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## CHAPTER 1

## Basic Algebraic Number Theory

## 1. Algebraic Prerequisites

### 1.1. Some basics.

1.1.1. DEFINITION. For a field $F$ define the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F$ by $n \mapsto n \cdot 1_{F}$. Its kernel $I$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbb{Z} / I$ is isomorphic to the image of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $F$. The latter is an integral domain, so $I$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $I=0$ or $I=p \mathbb{Z}$ for a prime number $p$. In the first case $F$ is said to have characteristic 0 , in the second - characteristic $p$.

Definition. Let $F$ be a subfield of a field $L$. An element $a \in L$ is called algebraic over $F$ if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:
(i) $f(a)=0$ for a non-zero polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$;
(ii) elements $1, a, a^{2}, \ldots$ are linearly dependent over $F$;
(iii) $F$-vector space $F[a]=\left\{\sum a_{i} a^{i}: a_{i} \in F\right\}$ is of finite dimension over $F$;
(iv) $F[a]=F(a)$.

Proof. (i) implies (ii): if $f(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} X^{i}, c_{0}, c_{n} \neq 0$, then $\sum c_{i} a^{i}=0$.
(ii) implies (iii): if $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} a^{i}=0, c_{n} \neq 0$, then $a^{n}=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{n}^{-1} c_{i} a^{i}$,

$$
a^{n+1}=a \cdot a^{n}=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{n}^{-1} c_{i} a^{i+1}=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c_{n}^{-1} c_{i} a^{i+1}+c_{n}^{-1} c_{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{n}^{-1} c_{i} a^{i}
$$

etc.
(iii) implies (iv): for every $b \in F[a]$ we have $F[b] \subset F[a]$, hence $F[b]$ is of finite dimension over $F$. So if $b \notin F$, there are $d_{i}$ such that $\sum d_{i} b^{i}=0$, and $d_{0} \neq 0$. Then $1 / b=-d_{0}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} b^{i-1}$ and hence $1 / b \in F[b] \subset F[a]$.
(iv) implies (i): if $1 / a$ is equal to $\sum e_{i} a^{i}$, then $a$ is a root of $\sum e_{i} X^{i+1}-1$.

For an element $a$ algebraic over $F$ denote by

$$
f_{a}(X) \in F[X]
$$

the monic polynomial of minimal degree such that $f_{a}(a)=0$.
This polynomial is irreducible: if $f_{a}=g h$, then $g(a) h(a)=0$, so $g(a)=0$ or $h(a)=0$, contradiction. It is called the monic irreducible polynomial of a over $F$.

For example, $f_{a}(X)$ is a linear polynomial iff $a \in F$.

LEmma. Define a ring homomorphism $F[X] \longrightarrow L, g(X) \mapsto g(a)$. Its kernel is the principal ideal generated by $f_{a}(X)$ and its image is $F(a)$, so

$$
F[X] /\left(f_{a}(X)\right) \cong F(a)
$$

Proof. The kernel consists of those polynomials $g$ over $F$ which vanish at $a$. Using the division algorithm write $g=f_{a} h+k$ where $k=0$ or the degree of $k$ is smaller than that of $f_{a}$. Now $k(a)=g(a)-f_{a}(a) h(a)=0$, so the definition of $f_{a}$ implies $k=0$ which means that $f_{a}$ divides $g$.

DEfinition. A field $L$ is called algebraic over its subfield $F$ if every element of $L$ is algebraic over $F$. The extension $L / F$ is called algebraic.

Definition. Let $F$ be a subfield of a field $L$. The dimension of $L$ as a vector space over $F$ is called the degree $|L: F|$ of the extension $L / F$.

If $a$ is algebraic over $F$ then $|F(a): F|$ is finite and it equals the degree of the monic irreducible polynomial $f_{a}$ of $a$ over $F$.

Transitivity of the degree $|L: F|=|L: M||M: F|$ follows from the observation: if $\alpha_{i}$ form a basis of $M$ over $F$ and $\beta_{j}$ form a basis of $L$ over $M$ then $\alpha_{i} \beta_{j}$ form a basis of $L$ over $F$.

Every extension $L / F$ of finite degree is algebraic: if $\beta \in L$, then $|F(\beta): F| \leqslant|L: F|$ is finite, so by (iii) above $\beta$ is algebraic over $F$. In particular, if $\alpha$ is algebraic over $F$ then $F(\alpha)$ is algebraic over $F$.

If $\alpha, \beta$ are algebraic over $F$ then the degree of $F(\alpha, \beta)$ over $F$ does not exceed the product of finite degrees of $F(\alpha) / F$ and $F(\beta) / F$ and hence is finite. Thus all elements of $F(\alpha, \beta)$ are algebraic over $F$.

In particular, for two algebraic over $F$ non-zero elements $\alpha, \beta$ the elements $\alpha+\beta, \alpha-\beta, \alpha \beta$, $\alpha \beta^{-1}$ are algebraic over $F$.

An algebraic extension $F\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)$ of $F$ is the composite of extensions $F\left(a_{i}\right)$, and since $a_{i}$ is algebraic $\left|F\left(a_{i}\right): F\right|$ is finite, thus every algebraic extension is the composite of finite extensions.
1.1.2. DEfinition. An extension $F$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ of finite degree is called an algebraic number field, the degree $|F: \mathbb{Q}|$ is called the degree of $F$.

## EXAMPLES.

1. Every quadratic extension $L$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ can be written as $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{e})$ for a square-free integer $e$. Indeed, if $1, \alpha$ is a basis of $L$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $\alpha^{2}=a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha$ with rational $a_{i}$, so $\alpha$ is a root of the polynomial $X^{2}-a_{2} X-a_{1}$ whose roots are of the form $a_{2} / 2 \pm \sqrt{d} / 2$ where $d \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the discriminant. Write $d=f / g$ with integer $f, g$ and notice that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d g^{2}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{f g})$. Obviously we can get rid of all square divisors of $f g$ without changing the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{f g})$.
2. Cyclotomic extensions $\mathbb{Q}^{m}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ where $\zeta_{m}$ is a primitive $m$ th root of unity. If $p$ is prime then the monic irreducible polynomial of $\zeta_{p}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $X^{p-1}+\cdots+1=\left(X^{p}-1\right) /(X-1)$ of degree $p-1$.

One way to show the irreducibility over $\mathbb{Q}$ of this polynomial is to make change of variable $Y=X+1$ and show that the polynomial in $Y$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ (applying the Eisenstein's criteria of irreducibility).
1.1.3. DEFINITION. Let two fields $L, L^{\prime}$ contain a field $F$. A homo(iso)morphism $\sigma: L \longrightarrow L^{\prime}$ such that $\left.\sigma\right|_{F}$ is the identity map is called an $F$-homo(iso)morphism of $L$ into $L^{\prime}$.

The set of all $F$-homomorphisms from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)$. Notice that every $F$-homomorphism is injective: its kernel is an ideal of $F$ and $1_{F}$ does not belong to it, so the ideal is the zero ideal. In particular, $\sigma(L)$ is isomorphic to $L$.

The set of all $F$-isomorphisms from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Iso}_{F}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)$.
Two elements $a \in L, a^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}$ are called conjugate over $F$ if there is a $F$-homomorphism $\sigma$ such that $\sigma(a)=a^{\prime}$. If $L, L^{\prime}$ are algebraic over $F$ and isomorphic over $F$, they are called conjugate over $F$.

## LEMMA.

(1) Any two roots of an irreducible polynomial over $F$ are conjugate over $F$.
(2) An element $a^{\prime}$ is conjugate to a over $F$ iff $f_{a^{\prime}}=f_{a}$.
(3) The polynomial $f_{a}(X)$ is divisible by $\Pi\left(X-a_{i}\right)$ in $L[X]$, where $a_{i}$ are all distinct conjugate to a elements over $F, L$ is the field $F\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)$ generated by $a_{i}$ over $F$.

Proof. (1) Let $f(X)$ be an irreducible polynomial over $F$ and $a, b$ be its roots in a field extension of $F$. Then $f_{a}=f_{b}=f$ and we have an $F$-isomorphism

$$
F(a) \cong F[X] /\left(f_{a}(X)\right)=F[X] /\left(f_{b}(X)\right) \cong F(b), \quad a \mapsto b
$$

and therefore $a$ is conjugate to $b$ over $F$.
(2) $0=\sigma f_{a}(a)=f_{a}(\sigma a)=f_{a}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$, hence $f_{a}=f_{a^{\prime}}$. If $f_{a}=f_{a^{\prime}}$, use (i).
(3) If $a_{i}$ is a root of $f_{a}$ then by the division algorithm $f_{a}(X)$ is divisible by $X-a_{i}$ in $L[X]$.

DEFINITION. For a field $F$ define the ring homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F, \quad n \mapsto n \cdot 1_{F}
$$

Its kernel $I$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbb{Z} / I$ is isomorphic to the image of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $F$. The latter is an integral domain, so $I$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $I=0$ or $I=p \mathbb{Z}$ for a prime number $p$. In the first case $F$ is said to have characteristic 0 , in the second - characteristic $p$.
1.1.4. DEfinition. A field is called algebraically closed if it does not have algebraic extensions.

THEOREM. (without proof) Every field $F$ has an algebraic extension $C$ which is algebraically closed. The field $C$ is called an algebraic closure of $F$. Every two algebraic closures of $F$ are isomorphic over $F$.

EXAMPLE. The field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ is contained in algebraically closed field $\mathbb{C}$. The maximal algebraic extension $\mathbb{Q}^{a}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ is obtained as the subfield of complex numbers which contains all algebraic elements over $\mathbb{Q}$. The field $\mathbb{Q}^{a}$ is algebraically closed: if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is algebraic
over $\mathbb{Q}^{a}$ then it is a root of a non-zero polynomial with finitely many coefficients, each of which is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $\alpha$ is algebraic over the field $M$ generated by the coefficients. Then $M(\alpha) / M$ and $M / \mathbb{Q}$ are of finite degree, and hence $\alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. belongs to $\mathbb{Q}^{a}$. The degree $\left|\mathbb{Q}^{a}: \mathbb{Q}\right|$ is infinite, since

$$
\left|\mathbb{Q}^{a}: \mathbb{Q}\right| \geqslant\left|\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right|=p-1
$$

for every prime $p$.
The field $\mathbb{Q}^{a}$ is is much smaller than $\mathbb{C}$, since its cardinality is countable whereas the cardinality of complex numbers is uncountable).

Everywhere below we denote by $C$ an algebraically closed field containing $F$.
Elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(F(a), C)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with distinct roots of $f_{a}(X) \in$ $F[X]$ : for each such root $a_{i}$, as in the proof of (i) above we have $\sigma: F(a) \longrightarrow C, a \mapsto a_{i}$; and conversely each such $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(F(a), C)$ maps $a$ to one of the roots $a_{i}$.

### 1.2. Galois extensions.

1.2.1. DEfinition. A polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$ is called separable if all its roots in $C$ are distinct.

Recall that if $a$ is a multiple root of $f(X)$, then $f^{\prime}(a)=0$. So a polynomial $f$ is separable iff the polynomials $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ don't have common roots.

LEMMA. Irreducible polynomials over fields of characteristic zero and irreducible polynomials over finite fields are separable polynomials

Proof. If $f$ is an irreducible polynomial over a field of characteristic zero, then its derivative $f^{\prime}$ is non-zero and has degree strictly smaller than $f$; and so if $f$ has a multiple root, than a g.c.d. of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ would be of positive degree strictly smaller than $f$ which contradicts the irreducibility of $f$. For the case of irreducible polynomials over finite fields see section 1.3.

Definition. Let $L$ be a field extension of $F$. An element $a \in L$ is called separable over $F$ if $f_{a}(X)$ is separable. The extension $L / F$ is called separable if every element of $L$ is separable over $F$.

EXAMPLE. Every algebraic extension of a field of characteristic zero or a finite field is separable.
1.2.2. Lemma. Let $M$ be a field extension of $F$ and $L$ be a finite extension of $M$. Then every $F$-homomorphism $\sigma: M \longrightarrow C$ can be extended to an $F$-homomorphism $\sigma^{\prime}: L \longrightarrow C$.
Proof. Let $a \in L \backslash M$ and $f_{a}(X)=\sum c_{i} X^{i}$ be the minimal polynomial of $a$ over $M$. Then $\left(\sigma f_{a}\right)(X)=$ $\sum \sigma\left(c_{i}\right) X^{i}$ is irreducible over $\sigma M$. Let $b$ be its root. Then $\sigma f_{a}=f_{b}$. Consider an $F$-homomorphism $\phi: M[X] \longrightarrow C, \phi\left(\sum a_{i} X^{i}\right)=\sum \sigma\left(a_{i}\right) b^{i}$. Its image is $(\sigma M)(b)$ and its kernel is generated by $f_{a}$. Since $M[X] /\left(f_{a}(X)\right) \cong M(a), \phi$ determines an extension $\sigma^{\prime \prime}: M(a) \longrightarrow C$ of $\sigma$. Since $\mid L$ : $M(a)\left|<|L: M|\right.$, by induction $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ can be extended to an $F$-homomorphism $\sigma^{\prime}: L \longrightarrow C$ such that $\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{M}=\sigma$.
1.2.3. THEOREM. Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $F$ of degree $n$. Then there exist exactly $n$ distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C$, i.e. $\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, C)\right|=|L: F|$.

Proof. The number of distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C$ is $\leqslant n$ is valid for any extension of degree $n$. To prove this, argue by induction on $|L: F|$ and use the fact that every $F$-homomorphism $\sigma: F(a) \longrightarrow C$ sends $a$ to one of roots of $f_{a}(X)$ and that root determines $\sigma$ completely.

To show that there are $n$ distinct $F$-homomorphisms for separable $L / F$ consider first the case of $L=F(a)$. From separability we deduce that the polynomial $f_{a}(X)$ has $n$ distinct roots $a_{i}$ which give $n$ distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C: a \mapsto a_{i}$.

Now argue by induction on degree. For $a \in L \backslash F$ consider $M=F(a)$. There are $m=|M: F|$ distinct $F$-homomorphisms $\sigma_{i}$ of $M$ into $C$. Let $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}: L \longrightarrow C$ be an extension of $\sigma_{i}$ which exists according to 1.2 .2 . By induction there are $n / m$ distinct $F\left(\sigma_{i}(a)\right)$-homomorphisms $\tau_{i j}$ of $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}(L)$ into $C$. Now $\tau_{i j} \circ \sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ are distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C$.
1.2.4. Proposition. Every finite subgroup of the multiplicative group $F^{\times}$of a field $F$ is cyclic.

Proof. Denote this subgroup by $G$, it is an abelian group of finite order. From the standard theorem on the stucture of finitely generated abelian groups we deduce that

$$
G \cong \mathbb{Z} / m_{1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / m_{r} \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $m_{1}$ divides $m_{2}$, etc. We need to show that $r=1$ (then $G$ is cyclic). If $r>1$, then let a prime $p$ be a divisor of $m_{1}$. The cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / m_{1} \mathbb{Z}$ has $p$ elements of order $p$ and similarly, $\mathbb{Z} / m_{2} \mathbb{Z}$ has $p$ elements of order $p$, so $G$ has at least $p^{2}$ elements of order $p$. However, all elements of order $p$ in $G$ are roots of the polynomial $X^{p}-1$ which over the field $F$ cannot have more than $p$ roots, a contradiction. Thus, $r=1$.
1.2.5. Theorem. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic zero or a finite field. Let $L$ be a finite field extension of $F$. Then there exists an element $a \in L$ such that $L=F(a)=F[a]$. Proof. If $F$ is of characteristic 0 , then $F$ is infinite. By 1.2 .3 there are $n=|L: F|$ distinct $F$-homomorphisms $\sigma_{i}: L \longrightarrow C$. Put $V_{i j}=\left\{a \in L: \sigma_{i}(a)=\sigma_{j}(a)\right\}$. Then $V_{i j}$ are proper $F$-vector subspaces of $L$ for $i \neq j$ of dimension $<n$, and since $F$ is infinite, there union $\cup_{i \neq j} V_{i j}$ is different from $L$. Then there is $a \in L \backslash\left(\cup V_{i j}\right)$. Since the set $\left\{\sigma_{i}(a)\right\}$ is of cardinality $n$, the minimal polynomial of $a$ over $F$ has at least $n$ distinct roots. Then $|F(a): F| \geqslant n=|L: F|$ and hence $L=F(a)$.

If $F$ is finite, then $L^{\times}$is cyclic by 1.2.4. Let $a$ be any of its generators. Then $L=F(a)$.
1.2.6. Definition. An algebraic extension $L$ of $F$ (inside $C$ ) is called the splitting field of polynomials $f_{i}$ if $L=F\left(\left\{a_{i j}\right\}\right)$ where $a_{i j}$ are all the roots of $f_{i}$.

An algebraic extension $L$ of $F$ is called $a$ Galois extension if $L$ is the splitting field of some separable polynomials $f_{i}$ over $F$.

EXAMPLE. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $F$ such that $L=F(a)$. Then $L / F$ is a Galois extension if the polynomial $f_{a}(X)$ of $a$ over $F$ has $\operatorname{deg} f_{a}$ distinct roots in $L$.

So quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ and cyclotomic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ are Galois extensions.
1.2.7. Lemma. Let $L$ be the splitting field of an irreducible polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$. Then $\sigma(L)=L$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, C)$.

Proof. $\sigma$ permutes the roots of $f(X)$. Thus, $\sigma(L)=F\left(\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right)\right)=L$.
1.2.8. THEOREM. A finite extension $L$ of $F$ is a Galois extension iff $\sigma(L)=L$ for every $\sigma \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, C)$ and $\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, L)\right|=|L: F|$. The set $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, L)$ equals to the set $\operatorname{Iso}_{F}(L, L)$ which is a finite group with respect to the composite of field isomorphisms. This group is called the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ of the extension $L / F$.

Proof. Sketch. Let $L$ be a Galois extension of $F$. The right arrow follows from the previous proposition and properties of separable extensions. On the other hand, if $L=F\left(\left\{b_{i}\right\}\right)$ and $\sigma(L)=$ $L$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, C)$ then $\sigma\left(b_{i}\right)$ belong to $L$ and $L$ is the splitting field of polynomials $f_{b_{i}}(X)$. If $\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, L)\right|=|L: F|$ then one can show by induction that each of $f_{b_{i}}(X)$ is separable.

Now suppose we are in the situation of 1.2.5. Then $L=F(a)$ for some $a \in L . L$ is the splitting field of some polynomials $f_{i}$ over $F$, and hence $L$ is the splitting field of their product. By 1.2.7 and induction we have $\sigma L=L$. Then $L=F\left(a_{i}\right)$ for any root $a_{i}$ of $f_{a}$, and elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, L)$ correspond to $a \mapsto a_{i}$. Therefore $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, L)=\operatorname{Iso}_{F}(L, L)$. Its elements correspond to some permutations of the set $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ of all roots of $f_{a}(X)$.
1.2.9. THEOREM. (without proof) Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension and $M$ be an intermediate field between $F$ and $L$. Then $L / M$ is a Galois extension with the Galois group

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)=\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F):\left.\sigma\right|_{M}=\operatorname{id}_{M}\right\}
$$

For a subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ denote

$$
L^{H}=\{x \in L: \sigma(x)=x \quad \text { for all } \sigma \in H\}
$$

This set is an intermediate field between $L$ and $F$.
1.2.10. ThEOREM. Main theorem of Galois theory (without proof)

Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension with Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.
Then $H \leftrightarrow L^{H}$ is a one-to-one correspondence between subgroups $H$ of $G$ and subfields of $L$ which contain $F$. The inverse map is given by $M \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / M)=H$.

Normal subgroups $H$ of $G$ correspond to Galois extensions $M / F$ and

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(M / F) \cong G / H
$$

### 1.3. Finite fields.

Every finite field $F$ has positive characteristic, since the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F$ is not injective. Let $F$ be of prime characteristic $p$. Then the image of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $F$ can be identified with the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ consisting of $p$ elements. If the degree of $F / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is $n$, then the number of elements in $F$ is $p^{n}$. By 1.2.4 the group $F^{\times}$is cyclic of order $p^{n}-1$, so every non-zero element of $F$ is a root of the polynomial $X^{p^{n}-1}-1$. Therefore, all $p^{n}$ elements of $F$ are all $p^{n}$ roots of the polynomial
$f_{n}(X)=X^{p^{n}}-X$. The polynomial $f_{n}$ is separable, since its derivative in characteristic $p$ is equal to $p^{n} X^{p^{n}-1}-1=-1$. Thus, $F$ is the splitting field of $f_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. We conclude that $F / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a Galois extension of degree $n=\left|F: \mathbb{F}_{p}\right|$.

LEMMA. The Galois group of $F / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is cyclic of order $n$ : it is generated by an automorphism $\phi$ of $F$ called the Frobenius automorphism:

$$
\phi(x)=x^{p} \quad \text { for all } x \in F
$$

Proof. $\phi^{m}(x)=x^{p^{m}}=x$ for all $x \in F$ iff $n \mid m$.
On the other hand, for every $n \geqslant 1$ the splitting field of $f_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a finite field consisiting of $p^{n}$ elements. Thus,

THEOREM. For every $n$ there is a unique (up to isomorphism) finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ consisting of $p^{n}$ elements; it is the splitting field of the polynomial $f_{n}(X)=X^{p^{n}}-X$. The finite extension $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n m}} / \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ is a Galois extension with cyclic group of degree $m$ generated by the Frobenius automorphism $\phi_{n}: x \mapsto x^{p^{n}}$.

Lemma. Let $g(X)$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree m over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Then $g(X)$ divides $f_{n m}(X)$ and therefore is a separable polynomial.

Proof. Let $a$ be a root of $g(X)$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}(a) / \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ is of degree $m$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}(a)=\mathbb{F}_{p^{n m}}$. Since $a$ is a root of $f_{n m}(X), g$ divides $f_{n m}$. The latter is separable and so is $g$.

## 2. Integrality

### 2.1. Integrality over rings.

2.1.1. Definition-Proposition. Let $B$ be a ring and $A$ its subring.

An element $b \in B$ is called integral over $A$ if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:
(i) there exist $a_{i} \in A$ such that $f(b)=0$ where $f(X)=X^{n}+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$;
(ii) the subring of $B$ generated by $A$ and $b$ is an $A$-module of finite type;
(iii) there exists a subring $C$ of $B$ which contains $A$ and $b$ and which is an $A$-module of finite type.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): note that the subring $A[b]$ of $B$ generated by $A$ and $b$ coincides with the $A$-module $M$ generated by $1, \ldots, b^{n-1}$. Indeed,

$$
b^{n+j}=-a_{0} b^{j}-\cdots-b^{n+j-1}
$$

and by induction $b^{j} \in M$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): obvious.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): let $C=c_{1} A+\cdots+c_{m} A$. Then $b c_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} c_{j}$, so $\sum_{j}\left(\delta_{i j} b-a_{i j}\right) c_{j}=0$. Denote by $d$ the determinant of $M=\left(\delta_{i j} b-a_{i j}\right)$. Note that $d=f(b)$ where $f(X) \in A[X]$ is a monic polynomial.

From linear algebra we know that $d E=M^{*} M$ where $M^{*}$ is the adjugate matrix to $M$ and $E$ is the identity matrix of the same order of that of $M$. Denote by $\mathscr{C}$ the column consisting of $c_{j}$. Now we get $M \mathscr{C}=0$ implies $M^{*} M \mathscr{C}=0$ implies $d E \mathscr{C}=0$ implies $d \mathscr{C}=0$. Thus $d c_{j}=0$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$. Every $c \in C$ is a linear combination of $c_{j}$. Hence $d c=0$ for all $c \in C$. In particular, $d 1=0$, so $f(b)=d=0$.

## EXAMPLES.

1. Every element of $A$ is integral over $A$.
2. If $A, B$ are fields, then an element $b \in B$ is integral over $A$ iff $b$ is algebraic over $A$.
3. Let $A=\mathbb{Z}, B=\mathbb{Q}$. A rational number $r / s$ with relatively prime $r$ and $s$ is integral over $\mathbb{Z}$ iff $(r / s)^{n}+a_{n-1}(r / s)^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}=0$ for some integer $a_{i}$. Multiplying by $s^{n}$ we deduce that $s$ divides $r^{n}$, hence $s= \pm 1$ and $r / s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence integral in $\mathbb{Q}$ elements over $\mathbb{Z}$ are just all integers.
4. If $B$ is a field, then it contains the field of fractions $F$ of $A$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(B, C)$ where $C$ is an algebraically closed field containing $B$. If $b \in B$ is integral over $A$, then $\sigma(b) \in \sigma(B)$ is integral over $A$.
5. If $b \in B$ is a root of a non-zero polynomial $f(X)=a_{n} X^{n}+\cdots \in A[X]$, then $a_{n}^{n-1} f(b)=0$ and $g\left(a_{n} b\right)=0$ for $g(X)=X^{n}+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}^{n-1} a_{0}, g\left(a_{n} X\right)=a_{n}^{n-1} f(X)$. Hence $a_{n} b$ is integral over $A$. Thus, for every algebraic over $A$ element $b$ of $B$ there is a non-zero $a \in A$ such that $a b$ is integral over $A$.
2.1.2. Corollary. Let $A$ be a subring of an integral domain B. Let I be a non-zero $A$ module of finite type, $I \subset B$. Let $b \in B$ satisfy the property $b I \subset I$. Then $b$ is integral over $A$.

Proof. Indeed, as in the proof of $(i i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ we deduce that $d c=0$ for all $c \in I$. Since $B$ is an integral domain, we deduce that $d=0$, so $d=f(b)=0$.
2.1.3. Proposition. Let $A$ be a subring of a ring $B$, and let $b_{i} \in B$ be such that $b_{i}$ is integral over $A\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i-1}\right]$ for all $i$. Then $A\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]$ is an $A$-module of finite type.

Proof. Induction on $n$. The case of $n=1$ is the previous proposition. If $C=A\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right]$ is an $A$-module of finite type, then $C=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} A$. Now by the previous proposition $C\left[b_{n}\right]$ is a $C$-module of finite type, so $C\left[b_{n}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{j} C$. Thus, $C\left[b_{n}\right]=\sum_{i, j} d_{j} c_{i} A$ is an $A$-module of finite type.
2.1.4. COROLLARY 1. If $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ are integral over $A$, then $b_{1}+b_{2}, b_{1}-b_{2}, b_{1} b_{2}$ are integral over $A$.

Corollary 2. The set $B^{\prime}$ of elements of $B$ which are integral over $A$ is a subring of $B$ containing $A$.

DEFINITION. $B^{\prime}$ is called the integral closure of $A$ in $B$. If $A$ is an integral domain and $B$ is its field of fractions, $B^{\prime}$ is called the integral closure of $A$.

A ring $A$ is called integrally closed if $A$ is an integral domain and $A$ coincides with its integral closure in its field of fractions.

Let $F$ be an algebraic number field. The integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $F$ is called the $\operatorname{ring} \mathscr{O}_{F}$ of (algebraic) integers of $F$.

## EXAMPLES.

1. A UFD is integrally closed. Indeed, if $x=a / b$ with relatively prime $a, b \in A$ is a root of polynomial $f(X)=X^{n}+\cdots+a_{0} \in A[X]$, then $b$ divides $a^{n}$, so $b$ is a unit of $A$ and $x \in A$.

In particular, the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$.
2. $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is integrally closed (see below in 2.1.6).
2.1.5. Lemma. Let $A$ be integrally closed. Let $B$ be a field. Then an element $b \in B$ is integral over $A$ iff the monic irreducible polynomial $f_{b}(X) \in F[X]$ over the fraction field $F$ of $A$ has coefficients in $A$.

Proof. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $F$ which contains $B$ and all $\sigma(b)$ for all $F$-homomorphisms from $B$ to an algebraically closed field $C$. Since $b \in L$ is integral over $A, \sigma(b) \in L$ is integral over $A$ for every $\sigma$. Then $f_{b}(X)=\Pi(X-\sigma(b))$ has coefficients in $F$ which belong to the ring generated by $A$ and all $\sigma(b)$ and therefore are integral over $A$. Since $A$ is integrally closed, $f_{b}(X) \in A[X]$.

If $f_{b}(X) \in A[X]$ then $b$ is integral over $A$ by 2.1.1.

## EXAMPLES.

1. Let $F$ be an algebraic number field. Then an element $b \in F$ is integral iff its monic irreducible polynomial has integer coefficients.

For example, $\sqrt{d}$ for integer $d$ is integral.
If $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then the monic irreducible polynomial of $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $X^{2}-X+(1-$ $d) / 4 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, so $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ is integral. Note that $\sqrt{d}$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2]$, and hence $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2]$.

Thus, the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ contains the subring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ and the subring $\mathbb{Z}[(1+$ $\sqrt{d}) / 2]$ if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. We show that there are no other integral elements.

An element $a+b \sqrt{d}$ with rational $a$ and $b \neq 0$ is integral iff its monic irreducible polynomial $X^{2}-2 a X+\left(a^{2}-d b^{2}\right)$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Therefore $2 a, 2 b$ are integers. If $a=(2 k+1) / 2$ for an integer $k$, then it is easy to see that $a^{2}-d b^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ iff $b=(2 l+1) / 2$ with integer $l$ and $(2 k+1)^{2}-$ $d(2 l+1)^{2}$ is divisible by 4. The latter implies that $d$ is a quadratic residue $\bmod 4$, i.e. $d \equiv 1$ $\bmod 4$. In turn, if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then every element $(2 k+1) / 2+(2 l+1) \sqrt{d} / 2$ is integral.

Thus, integral elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ are equal to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] & \text { if } d \not \equiv 1 & \bmod 4 \\
\mathbb{Z}[(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2] & \text { if } d \equiv 1 & \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

2. $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{m}}$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right]$ (see section 2.4).
2.1.6. DEfinition. $B$ is said to be integral over $A$ if every element of $B$ is integral over $A$. If $B$ is of characteristic zero, its elements integral over $\mathbb{Z}$ are called integral elements of $B$.

LEMMA. If $B$ is integral over $A$ and $C$ is integral over $B$, then $C$ is integral over $A$.

Proof. Let $c \in C$ be a root of the polynomial $f(X)=X^{n}+b_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+b_{0}$ with $b_{i} \in B$. Then $c$ is integral over $A\left[b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right]$. Since $b_{i} \in B$ are integral over $A$, proposition 2.1.3 implies that $A\left[b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}, c\right]$ is an $A$-module of finite type. From 2.1 .1 we conclude that $c$ is integral over A.

COROLLARY. $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is integrally closed.
Proof. An element of $F$ integral over $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is integral over $\mathbb{Z}$ due to the previous lemma.
2.1.7. Proposition. Let $B$ be an integral domain and $A$ be its subring such that $B$ is integral over $A$. Then $B$ is a field iff $A$ is a field.
Proof. If $A$ is a field, then $A[b]$ for $b \in B \backslash 0$ is a vector space of finite dimension over $A$, and the $A$-linear map $\varphi: A[b] \longrightarrow A[b], \varphi(c)=b c$ is injective, therefore surjective, so $b$ is invertible in $B$.

If $B$ is a field and $a \in A \backslash 0$, then the inverse $a^{-1} \in B$ satisfies $a^{-n}+a_{n-1} a^{-n+1}+\cdots+a_{0}=0$ with some $a_{i} \in A$. Then $a^{-1}=-a_{n-1}-\cdots-a_{0} a^{n-1}$, so $a^{-1} \in A$.

### 2.2. Norms and traces.

2.2.1. Definition. Let $A$ be a subring of a ring $B$ such that $B$ is a free $A$-module of finite rank $n$. For $b \in B$ its trace $\operatorname{Tr}_{B / A}(b)$, norm $N_{B / A}(b)$ and characteristic polynomial $g_{b}(X)$ are the trace, the norm and the characteristic polynomial of the linear operator $m_{b}: B \longrightarrow B, m_{b}(c)=b c$. In other words, if $M_{b}$ is a matrix of the operator $m_{b}$ with respect to a basis of $B$ over $A$, then $g_{b}(X)=\operatorname{det}\left(X E-M_{b}\right), \operatorname{Tr}_{B / A}(b)=\operatorname{Tr} M_{b}, N_{B / A}=\operatorname{det} M_{b}$.

If $g_{b}(X)=X^{n}+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$ then from the definition $a_{n-1}=-\operatorname{Tr}_{B / A}(b), a_{0}=(-1)^{n} N_{B / A}(b)$.
2.2.2. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(b+b^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}(b)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(b^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{Tr}(a b)=a \operatorname{Tr}(b), \operatorname{Tr}(a)=n a, \\
N\left(b b^{\prime}\right) & =N(b) N\left(b^{\prime}\right), N(a b)=a^{n} N(b), N(a)=a^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in A$.
2.2.3. Everywhere below in this section $F$ is either a finite field of a field of characteristic zero. Then every finite extension of $F$ is separable.

Proposition. Let L be an algebraic extension of $F$ of degree $n$. Let $b \in L$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be roots of the monic irreducible polynomial of $b$ over $F$ each one repeated $|L: F(b)|$ times. Then the characteristic polynomial $g_{b}(X)$ of $b$ with respect to $L / F$ is $\Pi\left(X-b_{i}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(b)=$ $\sum b_{i}, N_{L / F}(b)=\prod b_{i}$.

Proof. If $L=F(b)$, then use the basis $1, b, \ldots, b^{n-1}$ to calculate $g_{b}$. Let $f_{b}(X)=X^{n}+c_{n-1} X^{n-1}+$ $\cdots+c_{0}$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $b$ over $F$, then the matrix of $m_{b}$ is

$$
M_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-c_{0} & -c_{1} & -c_{2} & \ldots & -c_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $g_{b}(X)=\operatorname{det}\left(X E-M_{b}\right)=f_{b}(X)$ and $\operatorname{det} M_{b}=\Pi b_{i}, \operatorname{Tr} M_{b}=\sum b_{i}$.
In the general case when $|F(b): F|=m<n$ choose a basis $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n / m}$ of $L$ over $F(b)$ and take $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{1} b^{m-1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{2} b^{m-1}, \ldots$ as a basis of $L$ over $F$. The matrix $M_{b}$ is a block matrix with the same block repeated $n / m$ times on the diagonal and everything else being zero. Therefore, $g_{b}(X)=f_{b}(X)^{|L: F(b)|}$ where $f_{b}(X)$ is the monic irreducible polynomial of $b$ over $F$.

Example. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}, L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with square-free integer $d$. Then

$$
g_{a+b \sqrt{d}}(X)=(X-a-b \sqrt{d})(X-a+b \sqrt{d})=X^{2}-2 a X+\left(a^{2}-d b^{2}\right)
$$

so

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) / \mathbb{Q}}(a+b \sqrt{d})=2 a, \quad N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) / \mathbb{Q}}(a+b \sqrt{d})=a^{2}-d b^{2}
$$

In particular, an integer number $c$ is a sum of two squares iff $c \in N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) / \mathbb{Q}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$.
More generally, $c$ is in the form $a^{2}-d b^{2}$ with integer $a, b$ and square-free $d$ not congruent to $1 \bmod 4 i f f$

$$
c \in N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]
$$

2.2.4. Corollary 1. Let $\sigma_{i}$ be distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C$. Then $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(b)=$ $\sum \sigma_{i} b, N_{L / F}(b)=\Pi \sigma_{i}(b)$.
Proof. In the previous proposition $b_{i}=\sigma_{i}(b)$.
Corollary 2. Let $A$ be an integral domain, $F$ be its field of fractions. Let $L$ be an extension of $F$ of finite degree. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $F$. Then for an integral element $b \in L$ over $A g_{b}(X) \in A^{\prime}[X]$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(b), N_{L / F}(b)$ belong to $A^{\prime}$.

Proof. All $b_{i}$ are integral over $A$.
Corollary 3. If, in addition, $A$ is integrally closed, then $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(b), N_{L / F}(b) \in A$.
Proof. Since $A$ is integrally closed, $A^{\prime} \cap F=A$.
2.2.5. Lemma. Let $F$ be a finite field of a field of characteristic zero. If $L$ is a finite extension of $F$ and $M / F$ is a subextension of $L / F$, then the following transitivity property holds

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}=\operatorname{Tr}_{M / F} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / M}, \quad N_{L / F}=N_{M / F} \circ N_{L / M}
$$

Proof. Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$ be all distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $M$ into $C(m=|M: F|)$. Let $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n / m}$ be all distinct $M$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C(n / m=|L: M|)$. The field $\tau_{j}(L)$ is a finite extension of $F$, and by 1.2 .5 there is an element $a_{j} \in C$ such that $\tau_{j}(L)=F\left(a_{j}\right)$. Let $E$ be the minimal subfield of $C$ containing $M$ and all $a_{j}$. Using 1.2.3 extend $\sigma_{i}$ to $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}: E \longrightarrow C$. Then the composition $\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j}: L \longrightarrow C$ is defined. Note that $\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j}=\sigma_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j_{1}}$ implies $\sigma_{i}=\left.\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j}\right|_{M}=\left.\sigma_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j_{1}}\right|_{M}=$ $\sigma_{i_{1}}$, so $i=i_{1}$, and then $j=j_{1}$. Hence $\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n / m$ are all $n$ distinct $F$ homomorphisms of $L$ into $C$. By Corollary 3 in 2.2.4

$$
N_{M / F}\left(N_{L / M}(b)\right)=N_{M / F}\left(\prod \tau_{j}(b)\right)=\prod \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\left(\prod \tau_{j}(b)\right)=\prod\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j}\right)(b)=N_{L / F}(b)
$$

Similar arguments work for the trace.

### 2.3. Integral basis.

2.3.1. Definition. Let $A$ be a subring of a ring $B$ such that $B$ is a free $A$-module of rank $n$. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in B$. Then the discriminant $D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ is defined as $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{B / A}\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right)\right)$.
2.3.2. Proposition. If $c_{i} \in B$ and $c_{i}=\sum a_{i j} b_{j}, a_{i j} \in A$, then

$$
D\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)^{2} D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. $\left(c_{i}\right)^{t}=\left(a_{i j}\right)\left(b_{j}\right)^{t},\left(c_{k} c_{l}\right)=\left(c_{k}\right)^{t}\left(c_{l}\right)=\left(a_{k i}\right)\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right)\left(a_{l j}\right)^{t}$, $\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(c_{k} c_{l}\right)\right)=\left(a_{k i}\right)\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right)\right)\left(a_{l j}\right)^{t}$.
2.3.3. Definition. The discriminant $\mathscr{D}_{B / A}$ of $B$ over $A$ is the principal ideal of $A$ generated by the discriminant of any basis of $B$ over $A$.

By Proposition 2.3.2 every basis of $B$ over $A$ generates the same principal ideal of $A$, since $\left(\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)^{2}$ is invertible in $A$ for the matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ relating two bases.
2.3.4. Proposition. Let $\mathscr{D}_{B / A} \neq 0$. Let $B$ be an integral domain. Then a set $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ is a basis of $B$ over $A$ iff $D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) A=\mathscr{D}_{B / A}$.
Proof. Let $D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) A=\mathscr{D}_{B / A}$. Let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be a basis of $B$ over $A$ and let $b_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} c_{j}$. Then $D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{2} D\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$. Denote $d=D\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$.

Since $D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) A=D\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) A$, we get $a D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=d$ for some $a \in A$. Then $d(1-$ $\left.a \operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{2}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is invertible in $A$, so the matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is invertible in the ring of matrices over $A$. Thus $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ is a basis of $B$ over $A$.
2.3.5. Proposition. Let $F$ be a finite field or a field of characteristic zero. Let L be an extension of $F$ of degree $n$ and let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be distinct $F$-homomorphisms of $L$ into $C$. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be a basis of $L$ over $F$. Then

$$
D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(b_{j}\right)\right)^{2} \neq 0
$$

Proof. $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{k} \sigma_{k}\left(b_{i}\right) \sigma_{k}\left(b_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\sigma_{k}\left(b_{i}\right)\right)^{t}\left(\sigma_{k}\left(b_{j}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(b_{j}\right)\right)^{2} . \operatorname{If} \operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(b_{j}\right)\right)=$ 0 , then there exist $a_{i} \in L$ not all zero such that $\sum_{i} a_{i} \sigma_{i}\left(b_{j}\right)=0$ for all $j$. Then $\sum_{i} a_{i} \sigma_{i}(b)=0$ for every $b \in L$.

Let $\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \sigma_{i}(b)=0$ for all $b \in L$ with the minimal number of non-zero $a_{i}^{\prime} \in A$. Assume $a_{1}^{\prime} \neq 0$.
Let $c \in L$ be such that $L=F(c)$ (see 1.2.5), then $\sigma_{1}(c) \neq \sigma_{i}(c)$ for $i>1$.
We now have $\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \sigma_{i}(b c)=\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \sigma_{i}(b) \sigma_{i}(c)=0$. Hence $\sigma_{1}(c)\left(\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \sigma_{i}(b)\right)-\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \sigma_{i}(b) \sigma_{i}(c)=$ $\sum_{i>1} a_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(c)-\sigma_{i}(c)\right) \sigma_{i}(b)=0$. Put $a_{i}^{\prime \prime}=a_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(c)-\sigma_{i}(c)\right)$, so $\sum a_{i}^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{i}(b)=0$ with smaller number of non-zero $a_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ than in $a_{i}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Corollary. Under the assumptions of the proposition the linear map $L \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, F)$ : $b \mapsto\left(c \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(b c)\right)$ between $n$-dimensional $F$-vector spaces is injective, and hence bijective. Therefore for a basis $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ of $L / F$ there is a dual basis $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ of $L / F$, i.e. $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(b_{i} c_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$.

Proof. If $b=\sum a_{i} b_{i}, a_{i} \in F$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(b c)=0$ for all $c \in L$, then we get equations $\sum a_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right)=$ $0-$ this is a system of linear equations in $a_{i}$ with nondegenerate matrix $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right)$, so the only solution is $a_{i}=0$. Elements of the dual basis $c_{j}$ correspond to $f_{j} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(L, F), f_{j}\left(b_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}$.
2.3.6. THEOREM. Let $A$ be an integrally closed ring and $F$ be its field of fractions. Let $L$ be an extension of $F$ of degree $n$ and $A^{\prime}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $L$. Let $F$ be of characteristic 0 . Then $A^{\prime}$ is an $A$-submodule of a free A-module of rank $n$.

Proof. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a basis of $F$-vector space $L$. Then due to Example 5 in 2.1.1 there is $0 \neq a_{i} \in A$ such that $a_{i} e_{i} \in A^{\prime}$. Then for $a=\prod a_{i}$ we get $b_{i}=a e_{i} \in A^{\prime}$ form a basis of $L / F$.

Let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be the dual basis for $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$. Claim: $A^{\prime} \subset \sum c_{i} A$. Indeed, let $c=\sum a_{i} c_{i} \in A^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(c b_{i}\right)=\sum_{j} a_{j} \operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(c_{j} b_{i}\right)=a_{i} \in A
$$

by 2.2.5. Now $\sum c_{i} A=\oplus c_{i} A$, since $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ is a basis of $L / F$.
2.3.7. THEOREM. Let $A$ be a principal ideal ring and $F$ be its field of fractions of characteristic 0 . Let $L$ be an extension of $F$ of degree $n$. Then the integral closure $A^{\prime}$ of $A$ in $L$ is a free A-module of rank $n$.

In particular, the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of a number field $F$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank equal to the degree of $F$.

Proof. The description of modules of finite type over PID and the previous theorem imply that $A^{\prime}$ is a free $A$-module of rank $m \leqslant n$. On the other hand, by the first part of the proof of the previous theorem $A^{\prime}$ contains $n A$-linear independent elements over $A$. Thus, $m=n$.

DEFINITION. The discriminant $d_{F}$ of any integral basis of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is called the discriminant of $F$. Since every two integral bases are related via an invertible matrix with integer coefficients (whose determinant is therefore $\pm 1), 2.3 .2$ implies that $d_{F}$ is uniquely determined.

### 2.3.8. EXAMPLES.

1. Let $d$ be a square-free integer. By 2.1.5 the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ has an integral basis $1, \alpha$ where $\alpha=\sqrt{d}$ if $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

The discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is equal to

$$
4 d \quad \text { if } d \not \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 4, \quad \text { and } d \quad \text { if } d \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 4 .
$$

To prove this calculate directly $D(1, \alpha)$ using the definitions, or use 2.3.9.
2. Let $F$ be an algebraic number field of degree $n$ and let $a \in F$ be an integral element over $\mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $D\left(1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}\right)$ is a square free integer. Then $1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}$ is a basis of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, so $\mathscr{O}_{F}=\mathbb{Z}[a]$. Indeed: choose a basis $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\}=$ $\left\{1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}\right\}$. Let $c_{i}=\sum a_{i j} b_{j}$. By 2.3.2 we have $D\left(1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}\right)=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)^{2} D\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)\right.$. Since $D\left(1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}\right)$ is a square free integer, we get $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)= \pm 1$, so $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is invertible in $M_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, and hence $1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}$ is a basis of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
2.3.9. ExAMPLE. Let $F$ be of characteristic zero and $L=F(b)$ be an extension of degree $n$ over $F$. Let $f(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of $b$ over $F$ whose roots are $b_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(X)=\prod\left(X-b_{j}\right), \quad f^{\prime}\left(b_{i}\right)=\prod_{j \neq i}\left(b_{i}-b_{j}\right), \\
& N_{L / F} f^{\prime}(b)=\prod_{i} f^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{i} b\right)=\prod_{i} f^{\prime}\left(b_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\left(1, b, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(b_{i}^{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(b_{i}-b_{j}\right)=(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} N_{L / F}\left(f^{\prime}(b)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f(X)=X^{n}+a X+c$. Then

$$
b^{n}=-a b-c, \quad b^{n-1}=-a-c b^{-1}
$$

and

$$
e=f^{\prime}(b)=n b^{n-1}+a=n\left(-a-c b^{-1}\right)+a
$$

so

$$
b=-n c(e+(n-1) a)^{-1}
$$

The minimal polynomial $g(Y)$ of $e$ over $F$ corresponds to the minimal polynomial $f(X)$ of $b$; it is the numerator of $c^{-1} f\left(-n c(y+(n-1) a)^{-1}\right)$, i.e.

$$
g(Y)=(Y+(n-1) a)^{n}-n a(Y+(n-1) a)^{n-1}+(-1)^{n} n^{n} c^{n-1}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{L / F}\left(f^{\prime}(b)\right)=g(0)(-1)^{n} \\
& =n^{n} c^{n-1}+(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-1} a^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\left(1, b, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2}\left(n^{n} c^{n-1}+(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)^{n-1} a^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=2$ one has $a^{2}-4 c$, for $n=3$ one has $-27 c^{2}-4 a^{3}$.
For example, let $f(X)=X^{3}+X+1$. It is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Its discriminant is equal to $(-31)$, so according to example 2.5.3 $\mathscr{O}_{F}=\mathbb{Z}[a]$ where $a$ is a root of $f(X)$ and $F=\mathbb{Q}[a]$.

### 2.4. A little about cyclotomic fields.

2.4.1. DEFINITION. Let $\zeta_{n}$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity. The field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ is called the ( $n$ th) cyclotomic field.
2.4.2. THEOREM. Let $p$ be a prime number. The cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ is of degree $p-1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Its ring of integers coincides with $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]$.
Proof. Denote $z=\zeta_{p}$. Let $f(X)=\left(X^{p}-1\right) /(X-1)=X^{p-1}+\cdots+1$. Recall that $z-1$ is a root of the polynomial $g(Y)=f(1+Y)=Y^{p-1}+\cdots+p$ is a $p$-Eisenstein polynomial, so $f(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q},|\mathbb{Q}(z): \mathbb{Q}|=p-1$ and $1, z, \ldots, z^{p-2}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathbb{Q}(z)$.

Let $O$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. Since the monic irreducible polynomial of $z$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ has integer coefficients, $z \in O$. Since $z^{-1}$ is a primitive root of unity, $z^{-1} \in O$. Thus, $z$ is a unit of $O$.

Then $z^{i} \in O$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}\left(z^{-1}=z^{p-1}\right)$. We have $1-z^{i}=(1-z)\left(1+\cdots+z^{i-1}\right) \in(1-z) O$.
Denote by $\operatorname{Tr}$ and $N$ the trace and norm for $\mathbb{Q}(z) / \mathbb{Q}$. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}(z)=-1$ and since $z^{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$ are primitive $p$ th roots of unity, $\operatorname{Tr}\left(z^{i}\right)=-1 ; \operatorname{Tr}(1)=p-1$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(1-z^{i}\right)=p \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1
$$

Furthermore, $N(z-1)$ is equal to the free term of $g(Y)$ times $(-1)^{p-1}$, so $N(z-1)=(-1)^{p-1} p$ and

$$
N(1-z)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1}\left(1-z^{i}\right)=p
$$

since $1-z^{i}$ are conjugate to $1-z$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $p \mathbb{Z}$ is contained in the ideal $I=(1-z) O \cap \mathbb{Z}$.
If $I=\mathbb{Z}$, then $1-z$ would be a unit of $O$ and so would be its conjugates $1-z^{i}$, which then implies that $p$ as their product would be a unit of $O$. Then $p^{-1} \in O \cap \mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Thus,

$$
I=(1-z) O \cap \mathbb{Z}=p \mathbb{Z}
$$

Now we prove another auxiliary result:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}((1-z) O) \subset p \mathbb{Z}
$$

Indeed, every conjugate of $y(1-z)$ for $y \in O$ is of the type $y_{i}\left(1-z^{i}\right)$ with appropriate $y_{i} \in O$, so $\operatorname{Tr}(y(1-z))=\sum y_{i}\left(1-z^{i}\right) \in I=p \mathbb{Z}$.

Now let $x=\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-2} a_{i} z^{i} \in O$ with $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$. We aim to show that all $a_{i}$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}$. From the calculation of the traces of $z^{i}$ it follows that $\operatorname{Tr}((1-z) x)=a_{0} \operatorname{Tr}(1-z)+\sum_{0<i \leqslant p-2} a_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(z^{i}-z^{i+1}\right)=$ $a_{0} p$ and so $a_{0} p \in \operatorname{Tr}((1-z) O) \subset p \mathbb{Z}$; therefore, $a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $z$ is a unit of $O$, we deduce that $x_{1}=z^{-1}\left(x-a_{0}\right)=a_{1}+a_{2} z+\cdots+a_{p-2} z^{p-3} \in O$. By the same arguments $a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Looking at $x_{i}=z^{-1}\left(x_{i-1}-a_{i-1}\right) \in O$ we conclude $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i$. Thus $O=\mathbb{Z}[z]$.
2.4.3. The discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ is $D\left(1, z, \ldots, z^{p-2}\right)$.

By 2.3.9 it is equal $(-1)^{(p-1)(p-2) / 2} N\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)$. We have $f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1} /(z-1)=p z^{-1} /(z-1)$ and $N\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)=N(p) N(z)^{-1} / N(z-1)=p^{p-1}(-1)^{p-1} /\left((-1)^{p-1} p\right)=p^{p-2}$. Thus, the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ is $(-1)^{(p-1)(p-2) / 2} p^{p-2}$.
2.4.4. In general, the extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension and elements of the Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ are determined by their action on the primitive $m$ th root $\zeta_{m}$ of unity:

$$
\sigma \mapsto i: \sigma\left(\zeta_{m}\right)=\zeta_{m}^{i}, \quad(i, m)=1
$$

This map induces a group isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \longrightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}
$$

One can prove that the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$.

## 3. Dedekind Rings

### 3.1. Noetherian rings in brief.

3.1.1. Recall (see the commutative algebra course linked to at the beginning of this text) that a module $M$ over a ring is called a Noetherian module if one of the following equivalent properties is satisfied:
(i) every submodule of $M$ is of finite type;
(ii) every increasing sequence of submodules stabilises;
(iii) every nonempty family of submodules contains a maximal element with respect to inclusion.

A ring $A$ is called Noetherian if it is a Noetherian $A$-module.

EXAMPLE. A PID is a Noetherian ring, since every ideal of it is generated by one element.
LEmmA. Let $M$ be an A-module and $N$ is a submodule of $M$. Then $M$ is a Noetherian $A$ module iff $N$ and $M / N$ are.

Corollary 1. If $N_{i}$ are Noetherian A-modules, so is $\oplus_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}$.
Corollary 2. Let $A$ be a Noetherian ring and let $M$ be an A-module of finite type. Then $M$ is a Noetherian A-module.
3.1.2. Proposition. Let A be a Noetherian integrally closed ring. Let $K$ be its field of fractions and let L be a finite extension of $K$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $L$. Suppose that $K$ is of characteristic 0 . Then $A^{\prime}$ is a Noetherian ring.

Proof. According to 2.3.6 $A^{\prime}$ is a submodule of a free $A$-module of finite rank. Hence $A^{\prime}$ is a Noetherian $A$-module. Every ideal of $A^{\prime}$ is in particular an $A$-submodule of $A^{\prime}$. Hence every increasing sequence ideals of $A^{\prime}$ stabilises and $A^{\prime}$ is a Noetherian ring.
3.1.3. Example. The ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of a number field $F$ is a Noetherian ring. It is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $n$ where $n$ is the degree of $F$.

LEMMA. Every nonzero element of $\mathscr{O}_{F} \backslash\{0\}$ is either a unit or factorises into a product of prime elements and units (not uniquely in general).

Proof. Indeed, assume the family of proper principal ideals ( $a$ ) where $a$ cannot be factorised into a product of prime elements is nonempty. Choose a maximal element $(a)$ in this family. The element $a$ is not a unit, and $a$ is not prime. Hence there is a factorisation $a=b c$ with both $b, c \notin \mathscr{O}_{F}^{*}$. Then $(b),(c)$ are strictly larger than $(a)$, so $b$ and $c$ are products of prime elements. Then $a$ is, a contradiction.

### 3.2. Definition of Dedekind rings.

3.2.1. Definition. An integral domain $A$ is called a Dedekind ring if
(i) $A$ is a Noetherian ring;
(ii) $A$ is integrally closed;
(iii) every non-zero prime ideal of $A$ is maximal.

LEMMA. Every principal ideal domain A is a Dedekind ring.
Proof. For (i) see 3.1.1 and for (ii) see 2.1.4. If $(a)$ is a non-zero prime ideal and $(a) \subset(b) \neq A$, $(a) \neq(b)$. Then $b$ isn't a unit of $A, b$ divides $a$ and $a$ does not divide $b$. Write $a=b c$. Since $(a)$ is prime, either $b$ or $c$ belongs to $(a)$. If $b$ does then $(a)=(b)$. If $b$ doesn't, then $c$ must belong to (a), so $c=a d$ for some $d \in A$, and $a=b c=b d a$ which means that $b$ is a unit of $A$, a contradiction. Thus, property (iii) is satisfied as well.
3.2.2. Lemma. Let $A$ be an integral domain. Let $K$ be its field of fractions and let $L$ be a finite extension of $K$. Let $B$ be the integral closure of $A$ in L. Let $P$ be a non-zero prime ideal of $B$. Then $P \cap A$ is a non-zero prime ideal of $A$. Proof. Let $P$ be a non-zero prime ideal of $B$. Then $P \cap A \neq A$, since otherwise $1 \in P \cap A$ and hence $P=B$.

If $c, d \in A$ and $c d \in P \cap A$, then either $c \in P \cap A$ or $d \in P \cap A$. Hence $P \cap A$ is a prime ideal of A.

Let $b \in P, b \neq 0$. Then $b$ satisfies a polynomial relation $b^{n}+a_{n-1} b^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}=0$ with $a_{i} \in A$. We can assume that $a_{0} \neq 0$. Then $a_{0}=-\left(b^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} b\right) \in A \cap P$, so $P \cap A$ is a non-zero prime ideal of $A$.
3.2.3. Theorem. Let $A$ be a Dedekind ring. Let $K$ be its field of fractions and let $L$ be a finite extension of $K$. Let $B$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $L$. Suppose that $K$ is of characteristic 0. Then $B$ is a Dedekind ring.

Proof. $B$ is Noetherian by 3.1.2. It is integrally closed due to 2.1 .6 . By 3.2.2 if $P$ is a non-zero proper prime ideal of $B$, then $P \cap A$ is a non-zero prime ideal of $A$. Since $A$ is a Dedeking ring, it is a maximal ideal of $A$. The quotient ring $B / P$ is integral over the field $A /(P \cap A)$. Hence by 2.1.7 $B / P$ is a field and $P$ is a maximal ideal of $B$.
3.2.4. EXAMPLE. The ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of a number field $F$ is a Dedekind ring.

### 3.3. Factorisation in Dedekind rings.

3.3.1. Lemma. Every non-zero ideal in a Dedekind ring A contains some product of maximal ideals.

Proof. If not, then the set of non-zero ideals which do not contain products of maximal ideals is non-empty. Let $I$ be a maximal element with this property. The ideal $I$ is not $A$ and is not a maximal ideal, since it doesn't contain a product of maximal ideals. Hence $I$ is not a prime ideal. Therefore there are $a, b \in A$ such that $a b \in I$ and $a, b \notin I$. Since $I+a A$ and $I+b A$ are strictly greater than $I$, there are maximal ideals $P_{i}$ and $Q_{j}$ such that $\prod P_{i} \subset I+a A$ and $\prod Q_{j} \subset I+b A$. Then $\Pi P_{i} \Pi Q_{j} \subset(I+a A)(I+b A) \subset I$, a contradiction.
3.3.2. Lemma. Let a prime ideal $P$ of $A$ contain $I_{1} \ldots I_{m}$, where $I_{j}$ are ideals of $A$. Then $P$ contains one of $I_{j}$.
Proof. If $I_{k} \not \subset P$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, then take $a_{k} \in I_{k} \backslash P$ and consider the product $a_{1} \ldots a_{m}$. It belongs to $P$, therefore one of $a_{i}$ belongs to $P$, a contradiction.
3.3.3. The next proposition shows that for every non-zero ideal $I$ of a Dedekind ring $A$ there is an ideal $J$ such that $I J$ is a principal non-zero ideal of $A$. Moreover, the proposition gives an explicit description of $J$.

Proposition. Let I be a non-zero ideal of a Dedekind ring $A$ and $b$ be a non-zero element of $I$. Let $K$ be the field of fractions of $A$. Define

$$
J=\{a \in K: a I \subset b A\}
$$

Then $J$ is an ideal of $A$ and $I J=b A$.

Proof. Since $b \in I$, we get $b A \subset I$.
If $a \in J$ then $a I \subset b A \subset I$, so $a I \subset I$. Now we use the Noetherian and integrality property of Dedekind rings: Since $I$ is an $A$-module of finite type, by Remark in 2.1.1 $a$ is integral over $A$. Since $A$ is integrally closed, $a \in A$. Thus, $J \subset A$.

The set $J$ is closed with respect to addition and multiplication by elements of $A$, so $J$ is an ideal of $A$. It is clear that $I J \subset b A$. Assume that $I J \neq b A$ and get a contradiction.

The ideal $b^{-1} I J$ is a proper ideal of $A$, and hence it is contained in a maximal ideal $P$. Note that $b \in J$, since $b I \subset b A$. So $b^{2} \in I J$ and $b \in b^{-1} I J, b A \subset b^{-1} I J$. By 3.3.1 there are non-zero prime ideals $P_{i}$ such that $P_{1} \ldots P_{m} \subset b A$. Let $m$ be the minimal number with this property.

We have

$$
P_{1} \ldots P_{m} \subset b A \subset b^{-1} I J \subset P
$$

By 3.3.2 $P$ contains one of $P_{i}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $P_{1} \subset P$. Since $P_{1}$ is maximal, $P_{1}=P$.

If $m=1$, then $P \subset b A \subset b^{-1} I J \subset P$, so $P=b A$. Since $b A \subset I$ we get $P \subset I$. Since $P$ is maximal, either $I=P$ or $I=A$. The definition of $J$ implies in the first case $J=\{a \in K: a I=a P \subset b A=$ $P\}=A$ and $I J=b A$ and in the second case $b \in J$ implies $b A \subset J=\{a \in K: a A \subset b A\} \subset\{a \in K:$ $a \in b A\}=b A$ and so $J=b A$ and $I J=b A$.

Let $m>1$. Note that $P_{2} \ldots P_{m} \not \subset b A$ due to the definition of $m$. Therefore, there is $d \in P_{2} \ldots P_{m}$ such that $d \notin b A$. Since $b^{-1} I J \subset P, d b^{-1} I J \subset d P \subset P P_{2} \ldots P_{m} \subset b A$. So $\left(d b^{-1} J\right) I \subset b A$, and the defining property of $J$ implies that $d b^{-1} J \subset J$. Since $J$ is an $A$-module of finite type, by 2.1.1 $d b^{-1}$ belongs to $A$, i.e. $d \in b A$, a contradiction.

### 3.3.4. COROLLARY 1. (Cancellation property)

Let $I, J, H$ be non-zero ideals of $A$, then $I H=J H$ implies $I=J$.
Proof. Let $H^{\prime}$ be an ideal such that $H H^{\prime}=a A$ is a principal ideal. Then $a I=a J$ and $I=J$.

### 3.3.5. COROLLARY 2. (Factorisation property)

Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals of $A$. Then $I \subset J$ if and only if $I=J H$ for an ideal $H$.
Proof. If $I \subset J$ and $J$ is non-zero, then let $J^{\prime}$ be an ideal of $A$ such that $J J^{\prime}=a A$ is a principal ideal. Then $I J^{\prime} \subset a A$, so $H=a^{-1} I J^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $A$. Now

$$
J H=J a^{-1} I J^{\prime}=a^{-1} I J J^{\prime}=a^{-1} a I=I .
$$

3.3.6. THEOREM. Every proper ideal of a Dedekind ring factorises into a product of maximal ideals whose collection is uniquely determined.
Proof. Let $I$ be a non-zero ideal of $A$. There is a maximal ideal $P_{1}$ which contains $I$. Then by the factorisation property 3.3.5 $I=P_{1} Q_{1}$ for some ideal $Q_{1}$. Note that $I \subset Q_{1}$ is a proper inclusion, since otherwise $A Q_{1}=Q_{1}=I=P_{1} Q_{1}$ and by the cancellation property 3.3.4 $P_{1}=A$, a contradiction. If $Q_{1} \neq A$, then there is a maximal ideal $P_{2}$ such that $Q_{1}=P_{2} Q_{2}$. Continue the same argument: eventually we have $I=P_{1} \ldots P_{n} Q_{n}$ and $I \subset Q_{1} \subset \cdots \subset Q_{n}$ are all proper inclusions. Since $A$ is Noetherian, $Q_{m}=A$ for some $m$ and then $I=P_{1} \ldots P_{m}$.

If $P_{1} \ldots P_{m}=Q_{1} \ldots Q_{n}$, then $P_{1} \supset Q_{1} \ldots Q_{n}$ and by 3.3.2 $P_{1}$ being a prime ideal contains one of $Q_{i}$, so $P_{1}=Q_{i}$. Using 3.3.4 cancel $P_{1}$ on both sides and use induction.
3.3.7. REMARK. A maximal ideal $P$ of $A$ is involved in the factorisation of $I$ iff $I \subset P$. Indeed, if $I \subset P$, then $I=P Q$ by 3.3.5.
3.3.8. EXAMPLE. Let $A=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. This is a Dedekind ring, since $-5 \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, and $A$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$.

We have the norm map $N(a+b \sqrt{-5})=a^{2}+5 b^{2}$. If an element $u$ is a unit of $A$ then $u v=1$ for some $v \in A$, and the product of two integers $N(u)$ and $N(v)$ is 1 , thus $N(u)=1$. Conversely, if $N(u)=1$ then $u$ times its conjugate $u^{\prime}$ is one, and so $u$ is a unit of $A$. Thus, $u \in A^{\times}$iff $N(u) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$.

The norms of $2,3,1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ are $4,9,6$. It is easy to see that 2,3 are not in the image $N(A)$.
If, say, 2 were not a prime element in $A$, then $2=\pi_{1} \pi_{2}$ and $4=N\left(\pi_{1}\right) N\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ with both norms being proper divisors of 4 , a contradiction. Hence 2 is a prime element of $A$, and similarly $3,1 \pm$ $\sqrt{-5}$ are.

Now $2,3,1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ are prime elements of $A$ and

$$
6=2 \cdot 3=(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5})
$$

Note that $2,3,1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ are not associated with each other (the quotient is not a unit) since their norms differ not by a unit of $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $A$ isn't a UFD.

The ideals

$$
(2,1+\sqrt{-5}),(3,1+\sqrt{-5}),(3,1-\sqrt{-5})
$$

are maximal.
For instance, $|A /(2)|=4$, and it is easy to show that $A \neq(2,1+\sqrt{-5}) \neq(2)$, so $\mid A /(2,1+$ $\sqrt{-5}) \mid=2$, therefore $A /(2,1+\sqrt{-5})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. is a field.

We get factorisation of ideals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2)=(2,1+\sqrt{-5})^{2} \\
& (3)=(3,1+\sqrt{-5})(3,1-\sqrt{-5}) \\
& (1+\sqrt{-5})=(2,1+\sqrt{-5})(3,1+\sqrt{-5}) \\
& (1-\sqrt{-5})=(2,1+\sqrt{-5})(3,1-\sqrt{-5}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the first equality note that $(1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}=-4+2 \sqrt{-5} \in(2)$, so the RHS $\subset$ LHS; we also have $2=2(1+\sqrt{-5})-2^{2}-(1+\sqrt{-5})^{2} \in$ RHS, so LHS $=$ RHS.

For the second equality use $(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5})=6 \in(3), 3=3^{2}-(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5}) \in$ RHS.
For the third equality use $6 \in(1+\sqrt{-5}), 1+\sqrt{-5}=3(1+\sqrt{-5})-2(1+\sqrt{-5}) \in$ RHS.
For the fourth equality use conjugate the third equality and use $(2,1+\sqrt{-5})=(2,1-\sqrt{-5})$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2) \cdot(3) & =(2,1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}(3,1+\sqrt{-5})(3,1-\sqrt{-5}) \\
& =(2,1+\sqrt{-5})(3,1+\sqrt{-5})(2,1+\sqrt{-5})(3,1-\sqrt{-5}) \\
& =(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5})
\end{aligned}
$$

3.3.9. Lemma. Let $I+J=A$. Then $I^{n}+J^{m}=A$ for every $n, m \geqslant 1$.

Proof. We have $A=(I+J) \ldots(I+J)=I(\ldots)+J^{m} \subset I+J^{m}$, so $I+J^{m}=A$. Similarly $I^{n}+J^{m}=A$.

Proposition. Let $P$ be a maximal ideal of $A$. Then there is an element $\pi \in P$ such that

$$
P=\pi A+P^{n}
$$

for every $n \geqslant 2$.
Hence the ideal $P / P^{n}$ is a principal ideal of the quotient ring $A / P^{n}$. Moreover, it is the only maximal ideal of that ring.

Every ideal of the ring $A / P^{n}$ is principal of the form $P^{m} / P^{n}=\left(\pi^{m} A+P^{n}\right) / P^{n}$ for some $m \leqslant n$.
Proof. If $P=P^{2}$, then $P=A$ by cancellation property, a contradiction. Let $\pi \in P \backslash P^{2}$. Since $\pi A+P^{n} \subset P$, factorisation property implies that $\pi A+P^{n}=P Q$ for an ideal $Q$.

Note that $Q \not \subset P$, since otherwise $\pi \in P^{2}$, a contradiction.
Therefore, $P+Q=A$. The Lemma implies $P^{n-1}+Q=A$. Then

$$
P=P\left(Q+P^{n-1}\right) \subset P Q+P^{n}=\pi A+P^{n} \subset P
$$

so $P=\pi A+P^{n}$.
For $m \leqslant n$ we deduce $P^{m} \subset \pi^{m} A+P^{n} \subset P^{m}$, so $P^{m}=\pi^{m} A+P^{n}$.
Let $I$ be a proper ideal of $A$ containing $P^{n}$. Then by factorisation property $P^{n}=I K$ with some ideal $K$. Hence the factorisation of $I$ involves powers of $P$ only, so $I=P^{m}, 0<m \leqslant n$. Hence ideals of $A / P^{n}$ are $P^{m} / P^{n}$ with $m \leqslant n$.
3.3.10. Corollary. Every ideal in a Dedekind ring is generated by 2 elements.

Proof. Let $I$ be a non-zero ideal, and let $a$ be a non-zero element of $I$. Then $a A=P_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots P_{m}^{n_{m}}$ with distinct maximal ideals $P_{i}$.

By Lemma 3.3.9 we have $P_{1}^{n_{1}}+P_{k}^{n_{k}}=A$ if $l \neq k$, so we can apply the Chinese remainder theorem which gives

$$
A / a A \cong A / P_{1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times A / P_{m}^{n_{m}}
$$

For the ideal $I / a A$ of $A / a A$ we get

$$
I / a A \cong\left(I+P_{1}^{n_{1}}\right) / P_{1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times\left(I+P_{m}^{n_{m}}\right) / P_{m}^{n_{m}}
$$

Each of ideals $\left(I+P_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) / P_{i}^{n_{i}}$ is of the form $\left(\pi_{i}^{l_{i}} A+P_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) / P_{i}^{n_{i}}$ by 3.3.9. Hence $I / a A$ is isomorphic to $\Pi\left(\pi_{i}^{l_{i}} A+P_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) / P_{i}^{n_{i}}$. Using the Chinese remainder theorem find $b \in A$ such that $b-\pi_{i}^{l_{i}}$ belongs to $P_{i}^{n_{i}}$ for all $i$. Then $I / a A=(a A+b A) / a A$ and $I=a A+b A$.
3.3.11. THEOREM. A Dedekind ring $A$ is a UFD if and only if $A$ is a PID.

Proof. Let $A$ be not a PID. Since every proper ideal is a product of maximal ideals, there is a maximal ideal $P$ which isn't principal. Consider the family $\mathscr{F}$ of non-zero ideals $I$ such that $P I$ is principal. It is nonempty by 3.3.3. Let $I$ be a maximal element of this family and $P I=a A, a \neq 0$.

Note that $I$ isn't principal, because otherwise $I=x A$ and $P I=x P=a A$, so $a$ is divisible by $x$. Put $y=a x^{-1}$, then $(x) P=(x)(y)$ and by 3.3.4 $P=(y)$, a contradiction.

Claim: $a$ is a prime element of $A$. First, $a$ is not a unit of $A$ : otherwise $P \supset P I=a A=A$, a contradiction. Now, if $a=b c$, then $b c \in P$, so either $b \in P$ or $c \in P$. By 3.3.5 then either $b A=P J$ or $c A=P J$ for an appropriate ideal $J$ of $A$. Since $P I \subset P J$, we get $a I=I P I \subset I P J=a J$ and $I \subset J$. Note that $J \in \mathscr{F}$. Due to maximality of $I$ we deduce that $I=J$, and hence either $b A$ or $c A$ is equal to $a A$. Then one of $b, c$ is asociated to $a$, so $a$ is a prime element.
$P \not \subset a A$, since otherwise $a A=P I \subset a I$, so $A=I$, a contradiction.
$I \not \subset a A$, since otherwise $a A \subset I$ implies $a A=I, I$ is principal, a contradiction.
Thus, there are $d \in P$ and $e \in I$ not divisible by $a$. We also have $e d \in P I=a A$ is divisible by the prime element $a$. This can never happen in UFD. Thus, $A$ isn't a UFD.

Using this theorem, to establish that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ of 3.3.8 is not a unique factorisation domain it is sufficient to indicate a non-principal ideal of it.

### 3.4. The norm of an ideal.

In this subsection $F$ is a number field of degree $n, \mathscr{O}_{F}$ is the ring of integers of $F$.
3.4.1. Proposition. For a non-zero element $a \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$

$$
\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right|=\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right| .
$$

Proof. We know that $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $n$. The ideal $a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ is a free submodule of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of rank $n$, since if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are generators of $a \mathscr{O}_{F}$, then $a^{-1} x_{1}, \ldots, a^{-1} x_{m}$ are generators of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$,
so $m=n$. By the theorem on the structure of modules over principal ideal domains, there is a basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ such that $e_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} a_{n}$ is a basis of $a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ with appropriate $e_{1}|\ldots| e_{n}$. Then $\mathscr{O}_{F} / a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ is isomorphic to $\Pi \mathbb{Z} / e_{i} \mathbb{Z}$, so $\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right|=\Pi\left|e_{i}\right|$. By the definition $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)$ is equal to the determinant of the matrix of the linear operator $f: \mathscr{O}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{F}, b \mapsto a b$. Note that $a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ has another basis: $a a_{1}, \ldots, a a_{n}$, so $\left(a a_{1}, \ldots, a a_{n}\right)=\left(e_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} a_{n}\right) M$ with an invertible matrix $M$ with integer entries. Thus, the determinant of $M$ is $\pm 1$ and $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)$ is equal to $\pm \prod e_{i}$.
3.4.2. Corollary. $\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right|=|a|^{n}$ for every non-zero $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)=a^{n}$.
3.4.3. Definition. The norm $N(I)$ of a non-zero ideal $I$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is its index $\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: I\right|$.

Note that if $I \neq 0$ then $N(I)$ is a finite number.
Indeed, by 3.4.1 $N\left(a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right|$ for a non-zero $a$ which belongs to $I$. Then $a \mathscr{O}_{F} \subset I$ and $N(I) \leqslant N\left(a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right|$.
3.4.4. Proposition. If $I, J$ are non-zero ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, then $N(I J)=N(I) N(J)$.

Proof. Since every ideal factors into a product of maximal ideals by 3.3.6, it is sufficient to show that $N(I P)=N(I) N(P)$ for a maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.

The LHS $=\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: I P\right|=\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: I\right||I: I P|$. Recall that $P$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, so $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ is a field.

The quotient $I / I P$ can be viewed as a vector space over $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$. Its subspaces correspond to ideals between $I P$ and $I$ according to the description of ideals of the quotient ring. If $I P \subset J \subset I$, then by 3.3.5 $J=I Q$ for an ideal $Q$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.

By 3.3.3 there is a non-zero ideal $I^{\prime}$ such that $I I^{\prime}$ is a principal non-zero ideal $a \mathscr{O}_{F}$. Then $I P \subset I Q$ implies $a P \subset a Q$ implies $P \subset Q$. Therefore either $Q=P$ and then $J=I P$ or $Q=\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and then $J=I$. Thus, the only subspaces of the vector space $I / I P$ are itself and the zero subspace $I P / I P$. Hence $I / I P$ is of dimension one over $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ and therefore $|I: I P|=\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: P\right|$.

REMARK. If $I$ is a non-zero ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $N(I)$ is prime, then $I$ is a maximal ideal. Indeed, $\mathscr{O}_{F} / I$ is a finite commutative ring with a prime number of elements, hence a field.

### 3.5. Splitting of prime ideals in field extensions.

In this subsection $F$ is a number field and $L$ is a finite extension of $F$. Let $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ be their rings of integers.
3.5.1. PROPOSITION-DEFINITION. Let $P$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $Q$ a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Denote by $P \mathscr{O}_{L}$ the ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ generated by its subset $P$.

Then $Q$ is said to lie over $P$ and $P$ is said to lie under $Q$ if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:
(i) $P \mathscr{O}_{L} \subset Q$;
(ii) $P \subset Q$;
(iii) $Q \cap \mathscr{O}_{F}=P$.

Proof. (i) is equivalent to (ii), since $1 \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$. (ii) implies $Q \cap \mathscr{O}_{F}$ contains $P$, so either $Q \cap \mathscr{O}_{F}=P$ or $Q \cap \mathscr{O}_{F}=\mathscr{O}_{F}$, the latter is impossible since $1 \notin Q$. (iii) implies (ii).
3.5.2. PROPOSITION. Every maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ lies over a unique maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. For a maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ the ideal $P \mathscr{O}_{L}$ is a proper non-zero ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Let $P \mathscr{O}_{L}=\prod Q_{i}$ be the factorisation into a product of prime ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Then $Q_{i}$ are exactly those maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ which lie over $P$.
Proof. The first assertion follows from 3.2.2.
Choose a $b \in P \backslash P^{2}$, it exists by 3.3.9. By 3.3.3 for $b \in P \backslash P^{2}$ there is an ideal $J$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ such that $P J=b \mathscr{O}_{F}$. Then $J \not \subset P$, since otherwise $b \in P^{2}$, a contradiction. Take an element $c \in J \backslash P$. Then $c P \subset b \mathscr{O}_{F}$.

If $P \mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{L}$, then $c \mathscr{O}_{L}=c P \mathscr{O}_{L} \subset b \mathscr{O}_{L}$, so $c b^{-1} \in \mathscr{O}_{L} \cap F=\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $c \in b \mathscr{O}_{F} \subset P$, a contradiction. Thus, $P \mathscr{O}_{L}$ is a proper ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$.

According to 3.5.1 a prime ideal $Q$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ lies over $P$ iff $P \mathscr{O}_{L} \subset Q$ which is equivalent by 3.3.7 to the fact that $Q$ is involved in the factorisation of $P \mathscr{O}_{L}$.
3.5.3. Lemma. Let $P$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ which lie under a maximal ideal $Q$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Then the finite field $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ is a subfield of the finite field $\mathscr{O}_{L} / Q$.

Proof. $\mathscr{O}_{L} / Q$ is finite by 3.4.3. The kernel of the homomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} / Q$ is equal to $Q \cap \mathscr{O}_{F}=P$, so $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ can be identified with a subfield of $\mathscr{O}_{L} / Q$.
3.5.4. COROLLARY. Let $P$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. Then $P \cap \mathbb{Z}=p \mathbb{Z}$ for a prime number $p$ and $N(P)$ is a positive power of $p$.
Proof. $P \cap \mathbb{Z}=p \mathbb{Z}$ for a prime number $p$ by 3.2.2. Then $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ of finite positive dimension, therefore $\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: P\right|$ is a power of $p$.
3.5.5. DEfinition. Let a maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ lie under a maximal ideal $Q$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. The degree of $\mathscr{O}_{L} / Q$ over $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ is called the inertia degree $f(Q \mid P)$. If $P \mathscr{O}_{L}=\Pi Q_{i}^{e_{i}}$ is the factorisation of $P \mathscr{O}_{L}$ with distinct prime ideals $Q_{i}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$, then $e_{i}$ is called the ramification index $e\left(Q_{i} \mid P\right)$.
3.5.6. LEMMA. Let $M$ be a finite extension of $L$ and $P \subset Q \subset R$ be maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}, \mathscr{O}_{L}$ and $\mathscr{O}_{M}$ correspondingly. Then $f(R \mid P)=f(Q \mid P) f(R \mid Q)$ and $e(R \mid P)=e(Q \mid P) e(R \mid Q)$. Proof. The first assertion follows from 1.1.1. Since $P \mathscr{O}_{L}=Q^{e(Q \mid P)} \ldots$, we get $P \mathscr{O}_{M}=Q^{e(Q \mid P)} \mathscr{O}_{M} \cdots=$ $\left(Q \mathscr{O}_{M}\right)^{e(Q \mid P)} \ldots=\left(R^{e(R \mid Q)}\right)^{e(Q \mid P)} \ldots$, so the second assertion follows.
3.5.7. THEOREM. Let $Q_{1}, \ldots Q_{m}$ be different maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ which lie over a maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. Let $n=|L: F|$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} e\left(Q_{i} \mid P\right) f\left(Q_{i} \mid P\right)=n
$$

Proof. We consider only the case $F=\mathbb{Q}$. Apply the norm to the equality $p \mathscr{O}_{L}=\Pi Q_{i}^{e_{i}}$. Then by 3.4.2, 3.4.4

$$
p^{n}=N\left(p \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)=\prod N\left(Q_{i}\right)^{e_{i}}=\prod p^{f\left(Q_{i} \mid P\right) e\left(Q_{i} \mid P\right)}
$$

3.5.8. EXAMPLE. One can describe in certain situations how a prime ideal $(p)$ factorises in finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, provided the factorisation of the monic irreducible polynomial of an integral generator (if it exists) modulo $p$ is known.

Let the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of an algebraic number field $F$ be generated by one element $\alpha$ : $\mathscr{O}_{F}=\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, and $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $f_{i}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be monic polynomials such that

$$
\bar{f}(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{f_{i}}(X)^{e_{i}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]
$$

is the factorisation of $\bar{f}(X)$ where $\overline{f_{i}}(X)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Since $\mathscr{O}_{F} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}[X] /(f(X))$, we have

$$
\mathscr{O}_{F} / p \mathscr{O}_{F} \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] /(p, f(X)) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(\bar{f}(X))
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{O}_{F} /\left(p, f_{i}(\alpha)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(p, f(X), f_{i}(X)\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /\left(\overline{f_{i}}(X)\right)
$$

Putting $P_{i}=\left(p, f_{i}(\alpha)\right)$ we see that $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P_{i}$ is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /\left(\overline{f_{i}}(X)\right)$, hence $P_{i}$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ dividing $(p)$. We also deduce that

$$
N\left(P_{i}\right)=p^{\left|\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /\left(\overline{f_{i}}(X)\right): \mathbb{F}_{p}\right|}=p^{\operatorname{deg} \overline{f_{i}}}
$$

Now $\Pi P_{i}^{e_{i}}=\Pi\left(p, f_{i}(\alpha)\right)^{e_{i}} \subset p \mathscr{O}_{F}$, since $\Pi f_{i}(\alpha)^{e_{i}}-f(\alpha) \in p \mathscr{O}_{F}$. We also get $N\left(\Pi P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right)=$ $p^{\sum e_{i} \operatorname{deg} \overline{f_{i}}}=p^{n}=N\left(p \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$. Therefore from 3.5.7 we deduce that $p \mathscr{O}_{F}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ is the factorisation of $p \mathscr{O}_{F}$.

So we have proved
THEOREM. Let the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of an algebraic number field $F$ be generated by one element $\alpha: \mathscr{O}_{F}=\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, and $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $f_{i}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be irreducible polynomials such that

$$
\bar{f}(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{f_{i}}(X)^{e_{i}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]
$$

is the factorisation of $\bar{f}(X)$ where $\overline{f_{i}}(X)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
Then in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$

$$
p \mathscr{O}_{F}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}^{e_{i}}
$$

where $P_{i}=\left(p, f_{i}(\alpha)\right)$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ with norm $p^{\operatorname{deg} \overline{f_{i}}}$.
EXAMPLE. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with a square free integer $d$.
Then one can take $\sqrt{d}$ for $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ as $\alpha$. Then $f(X)=$ $X^{2}-d$ and $f(X)=X^{2}-X+(1-d) / 4$ resp.

Let $p$ be a prime in $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $p \mathscr{O}_{L}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} Q_{i}^{e_{i}}$. Then there are three cases:
(i) $m=2, e_{1}=e_{2}=1, f\left(Q_{i} \mid P\right)=1$. Then $p \mathscr{O}_{L}=Q_{1} Q_{2}, Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}$. We say that $p$ splits in $L$. From 3.5.8 we know that $Q_{i}=\left(p, f_{i}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\right)$.
(ii) $m=1, e_{1}=2, f\left(Q_{1} \mid P\right)=1$. Then $p \mathscr{O}_{L}=Q_{1}^{2}$. We say that $p$ ramifies in $L$. From 3.5.8 we know that $Q_{1}=\left(p, f_{1}(\alpha)\right)$.
(iii) $m=1, e_{1}=1, f\left(Q_{1} \mid P\right)=2$. Then $p \mathscr{O}_{L}=Q_{1}$. We say that $p$ remains prime in $L$. Here $Q_{1}=(p)$ as ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$.

Using the previous theorem we see that $p$ splits $\left(p \mathscr{O}_{F}=P_{1} \ldots P_{m}\right)$ iff $\bar{f}$ is separable and reducible, $p$ ramifies $\left(p \mathscr{O}_{F}=P^{e}\right)$ iff $\bar{f}$ is a power $>1$ of an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p}, p$ remains prime in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ iff $\bar{f}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
3.5.9. We have $X^{2}-X+(1-d) / 4=1 / 4\left(Y^{2}-d\right)$ where $Y=2 X-1$, so if $p$ is odd (so the image of 2 is invertible in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ ), the factorisation of $f(X)$ corresponds to the factorisation of $X^{2}-d$ independently of what $d$ is. The factorisation of $X^{2}-d$ certainly depends on whether $d$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p$, or not. If $d \equiv c^{2} \bmod p$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{2}-d \equiv f_{1} f_{2} \quad \bmod p, \quad f_{1}=X-c, f_{2}=X+c \\
& X^{2}-X+(1-d) / 4 \equiv f_{1} f_{2} \quad \bmod p, \quad f_{1}=X-(1+c) / 2, f_{2}=X-(1-c) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p=2$. If $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then

$$
f(X)=X^{2}-d \equiv X^{2}+d^{2} \equiv(X-d)^{2} \quad \bmod 2
$$

If $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then $f(X)=X^{2}+X+(1-d) / 4$. So, if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ then

$$
X^{2}+X+(1-d) / 4=X(X+1) \quad \bmod 2
$$

if $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 8, d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then $X^{2}+X+(1-d) / 4=X^{2}+X+1 \bmod 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X]$. Thus, we get

THEOREM. If $p$ is odd prime, then
(1) $p$ splits in $L=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ iff $d$ is a quadratic residue $\bmod p$. Then $f_{i}=X \pm c, \alpha=\sqrt{d}$ if $d \not \equiv 1$ $\bmod 4$ and $f_{i}=X-(1 \pm c) / 2, \alpha=(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.
(2) $p$ ramifies in L iff $d$ is divisible by $p$. Then $f_{1}=X$ if $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $f_{1}=X-a, 2 a \equiv 1$ $\bmod p$ if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.
(3) $p$ remains prime in Liff $d$ is a quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$.

If $p=2$ then
(1) if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, then 2 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then $f_{1}=X, f_{2}=X+1, \alpha=(1+\sqrt{d}) / 2$.
(2) if $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then 2 ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then $f_{1}=X-d, \alpha=\sqrt{d}$.
(3) if $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4, d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ then 2 remains prime in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.
3.5.10. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Recall from 2.4.2 that the ring of integers of the $p$ th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ is generated by $\zeta_{p}$. Its irreducible monic polynomial is $f(X)=X^{p-1}+\cdots+1=$ $\left(X^{p}-1\right) /(X-1)$. Since $X^{p}-1 \equiv(X-1)^{p} \bmod p$ we deduce that $(f(X), p)=\left((X-1)^{p-1}, p\right)$.

Therefore by 3.5.8 $p \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)}=\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)^{p-1} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)}$ and $p$ ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$.
For any other prime $l$ one can show that the polynomial $f(X)$ modulo $l$ is the product of distinct irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{l}$. Thus, no other prime ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$.

### 3.6. Finiteness of the ideal class group.

In this subsection $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is the ring of integers of a number field $F$.
3.6.1. DEfinition. For two non-zero ideals $I$ and $J$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ define the equivalence relation $I \sim J$ if there are non-zero $a, b \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ such that $a I=b J$. In other words, $I$ and $J$ are proportional to each other. Classes of equivalence are called ideal classes. Define the product of two classes with representatives $I$ and $J$ as the class containing $I J$. Then the class of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ (consisting of all nonzero principal ideals) is the identity element. By 3.3.3 for every non-zero ideal $I$ there is a non-zero ideal $J$ such that $I J$ is a principal ideal, i.e. every ideal class is invertible. Thus ideal classes form an abelian group which is called the ideal class group $C_{F}$ of the number field $F$.

The ideal class group shows how far from PID the ring $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is. Note that $C_{F}$ consists of one element iff $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is a PID iff $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is a UFD.

DEFINITION. One can also consider fractional ideals of $F$, i.e. $\mathscr{O}_{F}$-submodules of the $\mathscr{O}_{F}$-module $F$ that are proportional to ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, i.e. such that $a I$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ for some non-zero $a \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$. Principal fractional ideals are $b \mathscr{O}_{F}$ with $b \in F$.

Proposition 3.3.3 immediately implies that for every non-zero fractional ideal $I$ there is a non-zero fractional ideal $J$ such that $I J=\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $J=\left\{b \in F: b I \subset \mathscr{O}_{F}\right\}$. The fractional ideal $J$ is called the inverse $I^{-1}$ of the fractional ideal $I$. Theorem 3.3.6 implies that every non-zero fractional ideal is the product $\prod P_{i}^{n_{i}}$ of maximal ideals $P_{i}$ with non-zero integers $n_{i}$, uniquely up to permutation. The quotient of the group of non-zero fractional ideals by its subgroup of non-zero principal fractional ideals is isomorphic to the class group of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.
3.6.2. Proposition. There is a positive real number c such that every non-zero ideal I of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ contains a non-zero element $a$ with

$$
\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right| \leqslant c N(I)
$$

Proof. Let $n=|F: \mathbb{Q}|$. According to 2.3 .7 there is a basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ which is also a basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $F$. Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be all distinct $\mathbb{Q}$-homomorphisms of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Put

$$
c=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\sigma_{i} a_{j}\right|\right)
$$

Then $c>0$.
For a non-zero ideal $I$ let $m$ be the positive integer satisfying the inequality $m^{n} \leqslant N(I)<$ $(m+1)^{n}$. In particular, $\left|\mathscr{O}_{F}: I\right|<(m+1)^{n}$. Consider $(m+1)^{n}$ elements $\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j} a_{j}$ with $0 \leqslant$ $m_{j} \leqslant m, m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are two of them which have the same image in $\mathscr{O}_{F} / I$. Their difference $0 \neq a=\sum_{j=1}^{n} n_{j} a_{j}$ belongs to $I$ and satisfies $\left|n_{j}\right| \leqslant m$.

Now $\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right|=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|\sigma_{i} a\right|=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} n_{j} \sigma_{i} a_{j}\right| \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|n_{j}\right|\left|\sigma_{i} a_{j}\right|\right) \leqslant m^{n} c \leqslant c N(I)$.

Thus every non-zero ideal $I$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ contains a non-zero principal ideal $a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ whose index in $I$ does not exceed $c$.
3.6.3. Corollary. Every ideal class of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ contains an ideal $J$ with $N(J) \leqslant c$.

Proof. Given ideal class, consider an ideal $I$ of the inverse ideal class. Let $a \in I$ be as in the theorem. By 3.3.3 there is an ideal $J$ such that $I J=a \mathscr{O}_{F}$, so $(I)(J)=\left(a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=1$ in $C_{F}$. Then $J$ belongs to the given ideal class. Using 3.4.1 and 3.4.4 we deduce that $N(I) N(J)=N(I J)=$ $N\left(a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right| \leqslant c N(I)$. Thus, $N(J) \leqslant c$.
3.6.4. THEOREM. The ideal class group $C_{F}$ is finite. The number $\left|C_{F}\right|$ is called the class number of $F$.

Proof. By 3.5.4 and 3.5.2 for each prime $p$ there are finitely many maximal ideals $P$ lying over $(p)$, and $N(P)=p^{m}$ for $m \geqslant 1$. From $N\left(\prod P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right) \leqslant c$ we have bounds $e_{i} \leqslant \log _{2} c$.

Hence there are finitely many ideals $\Pi P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ satisfying $N\left(\Pi P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right) \leqslant c$.

EXAMPLE. The class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})$ is 1 , i.e. every ideal of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})$ is principal.

Indeed, by 2.3.8 we can take $a_{1}=1, a_{2}=(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2$ as an integral basis of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})$. Then

$$
c=(1+|(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2|)(1+|(1-\sqrt{-19}) / 2|)=10.4 \ldots .
$$

So every ideal class of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})}$ contains an ideal $J$ with $N(J) \leqslant 10$.
Let $J=\prod P_{i}^{e_{i}}$ be the factorisation of $J$, then $N\left(P_{i}\right) \leqslant 10$ for every $i$.
By Corollary 3.5.4 we know that $N\left(P_{i}\right)$ is a positive power of a prime integer, say $p_{i}$, and so $p_{i} \leqslant 10$.

From 3.5.2 we know that $P_{i}$ is a prime divisor of the ideal $\left(p_{i}\right)$ of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})}$. So we need to look at prime integer numbers not greater than 7 and their prime ideal divisors as potential candidates for non-principal ideals. Now prime number 3 has the property that -19 is a quadratic non-residue modulo them, so by Theorem 3.5.9 it remains prime in $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})}$.

Odd prime numbers 5, 7 have the property that -19 is a quadratic residue module them, so by Theorem 3.5.9 they split in $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})}$. By 3.5 .8 and 3.5 .9 we have $-19 \equiv 1^{2} \bmod 5$, so $f_{1}=$ $X-1, f_{2}=X,-19 \equiv 3^{2} \bmod 7$, so $f_{1}=X-2, f_{2}=X+1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 O=(5,(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2-1)(5,(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2)=(5,(1-\sqrt{-19}) / 2)(5,(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2) \\
& 7 O=(7,(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2-2)(7,(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2+1)=(7,(3-\sqrt{-19}) / 2)(7,(3+\sqrt{-19}) / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we have

$$
5=(1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2 \cdot(1-\sqrt{-19}) / 2, \quad 7=(3+\sqrt{-19}) / 2 \cdot(3-\sqrt{-19}) / 2
$$

so

$$
5 O=((1-\sqrt{-19}) / 2)((1+\sqrt{-19}) / 2), \quad 7 O=((3-\sqrt{-19}) / 2)((3+\sqrt{-19}) / 2)
$$

and the prime ideal factors of 50,70 are principal.
Finally, 2 remains prime in $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})}$, as follows from 3.5.9.
Thus, $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})}$ is a principal ideal domain.

REMARK. The bound given by $c$ is not good in practical applications. A more refined estimation is given by Minkowski's Theorem 3.6.6.
3.6.5. DEFINITION. Let $F$ be of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ be all $\mathbb{Q}$-homomorphisms of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Let

$$
\tau: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

be the complex conjugation. Then $\tau \circ \sigma_{i}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homomorphism of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$, so it is equal to certain $\sigma_{j}$. Note that $\sigma_{i}=\tau \circ \sigma_{i}$ iff $\sigma_{i}(F) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $r_{1}$ be the number of $\mathbb{Q}$-homomorphisms of this type, say, after renumeration, $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r_{1}}$. For every $i>r_{1}$ we have $\tau \circ \sigma_{j} \neq \sigma_{j}$, so we can form couples $\left(\sigma_{j}, \tau \circ \sigma_{j}\right)$. Then $n-r_{1}$ is an even number $2 r_{2}$, and $r_{1}+2 r_{2}=n$.

Renumerate the $\sigma_{j}$ 's so that $\sigma_{i+r_{2}}=\tau \circ \sigma_{i}$ for $r_{1}+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_{1}+r_{2}$. Define the canonical embedding of $F$ by

$$
\sigma: a \mapsto\left(\sigma_{1}(a), \ldots, \sigma_{r_{1}+r_{2}}(a)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{1}} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_{2}}, \quad a \in F
$$

The field $F$ is isomorphic to its image $\sigma(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^{r_{1}} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_{2}}$. The image $\sigma(F)$ is called the geometric image of $F$ and it can be partially studied by geometric tools.

### 3.6.6. Theorem. (Minkowski's Bound Theorem)

Let $F$ be an algebraic number field of degree $n$ with parameters $r_{1}, r_{2}$. Then every class of $C_{F}$ contains an ideal I such that its norm $N(I)$ satisfies the inequality

$$
N(I) \leqslant(4 / \pi)^{r_{2}} n!\sqrt{\left|d_{F}\right|} / n^{n}
$$

where $d_{F}$ is the discriminant of $F$.
Proof. not included
3.6.7. EXAMPLES.

1. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Then $r_{1}=2, r_{2}=0, n=2,\left|d_{F}\right|=5$.

$$
(4 / \pi)^{r_{2}} n!\sqrt{\left|d_{F}\right|} / n^{n}=2!\sqrt{5} / 2^{2}=1.1 \ldots
$$

so $N(I)=1$ and therefore $I=\mathscr{O}_{F}$. Thus, every ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is principal and $C_{F}=\{1\}$.
Similarly, the class groups of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ are trivial, since their discriminants are $-4,-8,-3,-7, r_{2}=1, r_{1}=0$ and $(2 / \pi) \sqrt{8}<2$.
2. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$. Then $r_{1}=0, r_{2}=1, n=2,\left|d_{F}\right|=20,(2 / \pi) \sqrt{|20|}<3$. Hence, similar to Example in 3.6.4 we only need to look at prime numbers $2(<3)$ and prime ideal divisors of the ideal (2) as potential candidates for non-principal ideals.

From 3.3.8 we know that $2 O=(2,1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}$ and $2=N(2,1+\sqrt{-5})$. So the ideal $(2,1+\sqrt{-5})$ is maximal by 3.4.5.

Alternatively, from 3.5.9 we get $2 O=(2,5-\sqrt{-5})^{2}=(2,1+\sqrt{-5})^{2}$ and $(2,1+\sqrt{-5})$ is maximal.

The ideal $(2,1+\sqrt{-5})$ is not principal: Indeed, if $(2,1+\sqrt{-5})=a \mathscr{O}_{L}$ then

$$
2=N(2,1+\sqrt{-5})=N\left(a \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)=\left|N_{L / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right|
$$

If $a=c+d \sqrt{-5}$ with $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we deduce that $c^{2}+5 d^{2}= \pm 2$, a contradiction.
We conclude that $C_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})}$ is a cyclic group of order 2 .
3. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14})$. Then $r_{1}=2, r_{2}=0, n=2,\left|d_{F}\right|=56$ and $(1 / 2) \sqrt{56}=3.7 \ldots<4$. So we only need to inspect prime ideal divisors of (2) and of (3).

By 3.5.8 and 3.5.9 we get $2 O=(2, \sqrt{14})^{2}$. Note that $(4+\sqrt{14}) \subset(2, \sqrt{14})$ and

$$
2=(4+\sqrt{14})(4-\sqrt{14}) \in(4+\sqrt{14}), \quad \sqrt{14}=4+\sqrt{14}-4 \in(4+\sqrt{14}),
$$

hence $(2, \sqrt{14})=(4+\sqrt{14})$ is principal.
14 is quadratic non-residue modulo 3 , so by Theorem 3.5.9 we deduce that 3 remains prime in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. Thus, every ideal of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14})$ is principal, $C_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14})}=\{1\}$.
4. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-13})$.

The discriminant of $F$ is -52 . We have $4<2 / \pi \sqrt{52}<5$.
Hence we only need to look at primes 2 and $3(<5)$ and prime ideal divisors in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of the ideals (2) and (3) as potential candidates for non-principal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.

By 3.5.9 the ideal (3) remains prime in $F$ since -13 is quadratic non-residue modulo 3 .
By 3.5.9 2 ramifies in $F$. By 3.5.8 we get the following factorisation into maximal ideals:

$$
\text { (2) }=(2, \sqrt{-13}-13)^{2}=(2,1+\sqrt{-13})^{2} \text {. }
$$

The ideal $(2,1+\sqrt{-13})$ is not principal: indeed, if $(2,1+\sqrt{-13})=a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ then

$$
2=N(2,1+\sqrt{-13})=N\left(a \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a)\right| .
$$

If $a=c+d \sqrt{-13}$ with $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we deduce that $c^{2}+13 d^{2}= \pm 2$, a contradiction.
Thus, the class group of $F$ is cyclic of order 2 and is generated by the class of the ideal $(2,1+\sqrt{-13})$.
5. It is known that for negative square-free $d$ the only quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with class number 1 are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}), \quad \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \quad \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}), \quad \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}), \\
\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19}), \quad \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-43}), \quad \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-67}), \quad \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-163}) .
\end{array}
$$

For $d>0$ there are many more quadratic fields with class number 1 . Gauß conjectured that there are infinitely many such fields, but this is still unproved.

### 3.7. On Fermat's Last Theorem.

3.7.1. Already Euler noticed that for an infinitely differentiable function $f(x)$ one has

$$
f(x+1)=e^{D} f(x)
$$

where $D$ is the operator $d / d x$.
If we denote $g(x)=f(x+1)-f(x)=\left(1-e^{D}\right) f(x)$, then

$$
f(x)=\left(1-e^{D}\right)^{-1} g(x)=\left(a_{1} D^{-1}+a_{0}+a_{1} D+a_{2} D^{2}+\ldots\right) g(x)
$$

where the coefficients are of the Taylor expansion of $\frac{x}{1-e^{x}}$ at $x=0$. This is how one comes for what Euler called (Jacob) Bernoulli numbers

$$
\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{i}}{i!} t^{i}
$$

$b_{0}=1, b_{1}=-1 / 2, b_{2}=1 / 6, b_{i}=0$ for odd $i>1$.

Now we can state one of the main achievements of Kummer.
TheOrem. (Kummer's Theorem)
Let $p$ be an odd prime. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ be the pth cyclotomic field.
If $p$ doesn't divide $\left|C_{F}\right|$, or, equivalently, $p$ does not divide numerators of (rational) Bernoulli numbers $b_{2}, b_{4}, \ldots, b_{p-3}$, then the Fermat equation

$$
X^{p}+Y^{p}=Z^{p}
$$

does not have positive integer solutions, i.e. Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT) holds in this case.
Among primes $<100$ only 37,59 and 67 don't satisfy the condition that $p$ does not divide $\left|C_{F}\right|$, so Kummer's theorem implies that for any other prime number smaller 100 the Fermat equation does not have positive integer solutions.

### 3.7.2. Full proofs of FLT.

1. In 1995 A. Wiles and R. Taylor published a proof of modularity of elliptic curves over rational numbers with semi-stable reduction, this is part of activity in the Langlands program. Using the previous theorem of Ribet, this result implies FLT.
2. Entirely independent from the method of Wiles, S. Mochizuki, A. Minamide, Y. Hoshi, W. Porowski, I. Fesenko produced in their published in 2022 paper a new proof of FLT. It is based on the fundamental IUT theory of S. Mochizuki and its slightly enhanced version contained in this paper, which enables the first proof of effective abc inequalities. FLT follows as one of the first applications of the established effective abc inequalities. In this application one uses some old computer verifications of FLT, classical results of H . Vandiver and new lower bounds for positive integer solutions of the Fermat's equation when their product is divisible by $p$ obtained by P. Mihăilescu.

One of the established effective abc inequalities is stated as follows:
for every two coprime (i.e. no common prime divisors) positive integer numbers $a, b$ and their sum $c=a+b$, the following effective abc inequality holds:

$$
\log (a b c)<\max \left\{1.7 \cdot 10^{30}, 6 \log \operatorname{rad}(a b c)\right\}
$$

where $\log$ is the natural logarithm and the radical $\operatorname{rad}(a b c)$ is the product of all distinct prime numbers dividing $a b c$.

For example, this effective abc inequality implies that for all sufficiently large $m$ the number $2^{m}+3^{m}$ is divisible by (effectively computable) large prime numbers whose power in the factorisation of $2^{m}+3^{m}$ does not exceed 5 . This is a new way to find very large prime numbers.

### 3.8. On Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

3.8.1. THEOREM. Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n, r_{1}+2 r_{2}=n$. Let $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ be its ring of integers and $U$ be the group of units of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. Then $U$ is the direct product of a finite cyclic group $T$ consisting of all roots of unity in $F$ and a free abelian group $U_{1}$ of rank $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$ :

$$
U \cong T \times U_{1} \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_{1}+r_{2}-1}
$$

A basis of the free abelian group $U_{1}$ is called a fundamental system of units in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.
Proof. Consider the canonical embedding $\sigma$ of $F$ into $\mathbb{R}^{r_{1}} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_{2}}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f: \mathscr{O}_{F} \backslash\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} \\
& f(x)=\left(\log \left|\sigma_{1}(x)\right|, \ldots, \log \left|\sigma_{r_{1}}(x)\right|, \log \left(\left|\sigma_{r_{1}+1}(x)\right|^{2}\right), \ldots, \log \left(\left|\sigma_{r_{1}+r_{2}}(x)\right|^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $f$ induces a homomorphism $g: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_{1}+r_{2}}$.
Let $Z$ be a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^{r_{1}+r_{2}}$. If $u \in g^{-1}(Z)$ then there is $c$ such that $\left|\sigma_{i}(u)\right| \leqslant c$ for all $i$. The coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $g_{u}(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\sigma_{i}(u)\right)$ of $u$ over $F$ being functions of $\sigma_{i}(u)$ are integers bounded by $\max \left(c^{n}, n c^{n-1}, \ldots\right)$, so the number of different characteristic polynomials of $g^{-1}(Z)$ is finite. So $g^{-1}(Z)$ and $Z \cap g(U)$ is finite. Thus $g(U)$ is a discrete group.

Every finite subgroup of the multiplicative group of a field is cyclic by 1.2.4. Hence the kernel of $g$, being the preimage of 0 , is a cyclic finite group. On the other hand, every root of unity belongs to the kernel of $g$, since $m g(z)=g\left(z^{m}\right)=g(1)=0$ implies $g(z)=0$ for the vector $g(z)$. We conclude that the kernel of $g$ consists of all roots of unity $T$ in $F$.

Since for $u \in U$ the norm $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(u)=\prod \sigma_{i}(u)$, as the product of units, is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}$, it is equal to $\pm 1$. Then $\prod\left|\sigma_{i}(u)\right|=1$ and

$$
\log \left|\sigma_{1}(u)\right|+\cdots+\log \left|\sigma_{r_{1}}(u)\right|+\log \left(\left|\sigma_{r_{1}+1}(u)\right|^{2}\right)+\cdots+\log \left(\left|\sigma_{r_{1}+r_{2}}(u)\right|^{2}\right)=0
$$

We deduce that the image $g(U)$ is contained in the hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{r_{1}+r_{2}}$ defined by the equation

$$
y_{1}+\cdots+y_{r_{1}+r_{2}}=0 .
$$

Since $g(Z)$ is discrete, by 3.7.2 $g(U)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ consisting of $m \leqslant r_{1}+r_{2}-1$ linearly independent vectors over $\mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $U_{1}$ the subgroup of $U$ generated by $z_{i}$ such that $g\left(z_{i}\right)=y_{i}$; it is a free abelian group, since there are no nontrivial relations among $y_{i}$. From the main theorem on group homomorphisms we deduce that $U / T \cong g(U)$ and hence $U=T U_{1}$. Since $U_{1}$ has no nontrivial torsion, $T \cap U_{1}=\{1\}$. Then $U$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is the direct product of the free abelian group $U_{1}$ of rank $m$ and the cyclic group $T$ of roots of unity.

It remains to show that $m=r_{1}+r_{2}-1$, i.e. $g(U)$ contains $r_{1}+r_{2}-1$ linearly independent vectors. Put $l=r_{1}+r_{2}$. As an application of Minkowski's geometric method one can show that
for every integer $k$ between 1 and $l$ there is $c>0$ such that for every non-zero $a \in \mathscr{O}_{F} \backslash\{0\}$ with $g(a)=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}\right)$ there is a non-zero $b=h_{k}(a) \in \mathscr{O}_{F} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(b)\right| \leqslant c \quad \text { and } g(b)=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{l}\right) \text { with } \beta_{i}<\alpha_{i} \text { for } i \neq k
$$

(for the proof see Marcus, Number Fields, 2nd edition, Th. 38 of Ch. 5)
Fix $k$. Start with $a_{1}=a$ and construct the sequence $a_{j}=h_{k}\left(a_{j-1}\right) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ for $j \geqslant 2$. Since $N\left(a_{j} \mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=\left|N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant c$, in the same way as in the proof of 3.6 .4 we deduce that there are only finitely many distinct ideals $a_{j} \mathscr{O}_{F}$. So $a_{j} \mathscr{O}_{F}=a_{q} \mathscr{O}_{F}$ for some $j<q \leqslant l$. Then $u_{k}=a_{q} a_{j}^{-1}$ is a unit and satisfies the property: the $i$ th coordinate of $g\left(u_{k}\right)=f\left(a_{q}\right)-f\left(a_{j}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}^{(k)}\right)$ is negative for $i \neq k$. Then $\alpha_{k}^{(k)}$ is positive, since $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{(k)}=0$.

This way we get $l$ units $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}$. We claim that there are $l-1$ linearly independent vectors among the images $g\left(u_{i}\right)$. To verify the claim it suffices to check that the first $l-1$ columns of the matrix $\left(\alpha_{i}^{(k)}\right)$ are linearly independent.

If there were not, then there would be a non-zero vector $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l-1}\right)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{(k)}=0$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$. Without loss of generality one can assume that there is $i_{0}$ between 1 and $l-1$ such that $t_{i_{0}}=1$ and $t_{i} \leqslant 1$ for $i \neq i_{0}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$. Then $t_{i_{0}} \alpha_{i_{0}}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}=\alpha_{i_{0}}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ and for $i \neq i_{0} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\left(i_{0}\right)} \geqslant \alpha_{i}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ since $t_{i} \leqslant 1$ and $\alpha_{i}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}<0$. Now we would get

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} t_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\left(i_{0}\right)} \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \alpha_{i}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}>\sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}=0
$$

a contradiction.
Thus, $m=r_{1}+r_{2}-1$.

REMARK. For a full and very different proof of Dirichlet's unit theorem see (5.4) Ch.3.
3.8.2. EXAMPLE. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with a square free non-zero integer $d$.

If $d>0$, then the group of roots of 1 in $F$ is $\{ \pm 1\}$, since $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ and there are only two roots of unity in $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ be the ring of integers of $F$. We have $n=2$ and $r_{1}=2, r_{2}=0$ if $d>0 ; r_{1}=0, r_{2}=1$ if $d<0$. If $d<0$, then

$$
U\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=T
$$

is a finite cyclic group consisting of all roots of unity in $F$. It has order 4 for $d=-1,6$ for $d=-3$, and one can show it has order 2 for all other negative square free integers.

If $d>0, U\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$ is the direct product of $\langle \pm 1\rangle$ and the infinite group generated by a unit $u$ (fundamental unit of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ ):

$$
U\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right) \cong\langle \pm 1\rangle \times\langle u\rangle=\left\{ \pm u^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Here is an algorithm how to find a fundamental unit if $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ (there is a similar algorithm for an arbitrary square free positive $d$ ):

If $a+b \sqrt{d}>1$ is a unit of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ then $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(a+b \sqrt{d})=a^{2}-d b^{2}= \pm 1$. Let $b$ be the minimal positive integer such that either $d b^{2}-1$ or $d b^{2}+1$ is a square of a positive integer, say, $a$.

Let $u=e+f \sqrt{d}$ be a fundamental unit. Changing the sign of $e, f$ if necessary, we can assume that $e, f$ are positive. Due to the definition of $u$ there is an integer $k$ such that $a+b \sqrt{d}= \pm u^{k}$. The sign is + , since the left hand side is positive; $k>0$, since $u \geqslant 1$ and the left hand side is $>1$. From $a+b \sqrt{d}=(e+f \sqrt{d})^{k}$ we deduce that if $k>1$ then $b=f+$ some positive integer $>f$, a contradiction. Thus, $k=1$ and $a+b \sqrt{d}>1$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.

For example, $1+\sqrt{2}$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $2+\sqrt{3}$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$.
3.8.3. Now suppose that $d>0$, and for simplicity, $d \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Let $u=e+f \sqrt{d}$ be a fundamental unit. From the previous we deduce that all integer solutions $(a, b)$ of the equation

$$
X^{2}-d Y^{2}= \pm 1
$$

satisfy $a+b \sqrt{d}= \pm(e+f \sqrt{d})^{m}$ for some integer $m$, which gives formulas for $a$ and $b$ as functions of $e, f, m$.

## 4. $p$-adic Numbers

## 4.1. $p$-adic valuation and $p$-adic norm.

### 4.1.1. Fix a prime $p$.

For a non-zero integer $m$ let

$$
k=v_{p}(m)
$$

be the maximal integer such that $p^{k}$ divides $m$, i.e. $k$ is the power of $p$ in the factorisation of $m$. Then $v_{p}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)=v_{p}\left(m_{1}\right)+v_{p}\left(m_{2}\right)$.

Extend $v_{p}$ to rational numbers putting $v_{p}(0):=\infty$ and

$$
v_{p}(m / n)=v_{p}(m)-v_{p}(n),
$$

this does not depend on the choice of a fractional representation: if $m / n=m^{\prime} / n^{\prime}$ then $m n^{\prime}=m^{\prime} n$, hence $v_{p}(m)+v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right)=v_{p}\left(m^{\prime}\right)+v_{p}(n)$ and $v_{p}(m)-v_{p}(n)=v_{p}\left(m^{\prime}\right)-v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right)$.

Thus we get the $p$-adic valuation $v_{p}: \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup\{+\infty\}$. For non-zero rational numbers $a=$ $m / n, b=m^{\prime} / n^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{p}(a b) & =v_{p}\left(m m^{\prime} /\left(n n^{\prime}\right)\right)=v_{p}\left(m m^{\prime}\right)-v_{p}\left(n n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =v_{p}(m)+v_{p}\left(m^{\prime}\right)-v_{p}(n)-v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =v_{p}(m)-v_{p}(n)+v_{p}\left(m^{\prime}\right)-v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =v_{p}(m / n)+v_{p}\left(m^{\prime} / n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =v_{p}(a)+v_{p}(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $v_{p}$ is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$to $\mathbb{Z}$.
4.1.2. p-adic norm. Define the $p$-adic norm of a rational number $\alpha$ by

$$
|\alpha|_{p}=p^{-v_{p}(\alpha)}, \quad|0|_{p}=0
$$

Then

$$
|\alpha \beta|_{p}=|\alpha|_{p}|\beta|_{p}
$$

If $\alpha=m / n$ with integer $m, n$ relatively prime to $p$, then $v_{p}(m)=v_{p}(n)=0$ and $|\alpha|_{p}=1$. In particular, $|-1|_{p}=|1|_{p}=1$ and so $|-\alpha|_{p}=|\alpha|_{p}$ for every rational $\alpha$.
4.1.3. Ultrametric inequality. For two integers $m, n$ let $k=\min \left(v_{p}(m), v_{p}(n)\right)$, so both $m$ and $n$ are divisible by $p^{k}$. Hence $m+n$ is divisible by $p^{k}$, thus

$$
v_{p}(m+n) \geqslant \min \left(v_{p}(m), v_{p}(m)\right)
$$

For two nonzero rational numbers $\alpha=m / n, \beta=m^{\prime} / n^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{p}(\alpha+\beta)=v_{p}\left(m n^{\prime}+m^{\prime} n\right)-v_{p}\left(n n^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geqslant \min \left(v_{p}(m)+v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right), v_{p}\left(m^{\prime}\right)+v_{p}(n)\right)-v_{p}(n)-v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geqslant \min \left(v_{p}(m)-v_{p}(n), v_{p}\left(m^{\prime}\right)-v_{p}\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\min \left(v_{p}(\alpha), v_{p}(\beta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for all rational $\alpha, \beta$ we get

$$
v_{p}(\alpha+\beta) \geqslant \min \left(v_{p}(\alpha), v_{p}(\beta)\right)
$$

This implies

$$
|\alpha+\beta|_{p} \leqslant \max \left(|\alpha|_{p},|\beta|_{p}\right)
$$

This inequality is called an ultrametric inequality.
In particular, since $\max \left(|\alpha|_{p},|\beta|_{p}\right) \leqslant|\alpha|_{p}+|\beta|_{p}$, we obtain

$$
|\alpha+\beta|_{p} \leqslant|\alpha|_{p}+|\beta|_{p}
$$

so $\|_{p}$ is a metric ( $p$-adic metric) on the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ and

$$
d_{p}(\alpha, \beta)=|\alpha-\beta|_{p}
$$

gives the p-adic distance between rational $\alpha, \beta$.
4.1.4. All norms on $\mathbb{Q}$. In general, for a field $F$ a norm $\|: F \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ is a map which sends 0 to 0 , which is a homomorphism from $F^{\times}$to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$and which satisfies the triangle inequality: $|\alpha+\beta| \leqslant|\alpha|+|\beta|$. In particular,

$$
|1|=1,1=|1|=|(-1)(-1)|=|-1|^{2}
$$

so $|-1|=1$, and hence

$$
|-a|=|-1||a|=|a|
$$

A norm is called nontivial if there is a nonzero $a \in F$ such that $|a| \neq 1$.
In addition to $p$-adic norms on $\mathbb{Q}$ we get the usual absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}$ which we will denote by $\left|\left.\right|_{\infty}\right.$.

A complete description of norms on $\mathbb{Q}$ is supplied by the following result.

THEOREM. (Ostrowski's Theorem) A nontrivial norm $|\mid$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ is either a power of the absolute value $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{\infty} ^{c}\right.$ with positive real $c$, or is a power of the $p$-adic norm $|\right|_{p} ^{c}$ for some prime $p$ with positive real $c$.

Proof. For an integer $a>1$ and an integer $b>0$ write

$$
b=b_{n} a^{n}+b_{n-1} a^{n-1}+\cdots+b_{0}
$$

with $0 \leqslant b_{i}<a, a^{n} \leqslant b$. Then

$$
|b| \leqslant\left(\left|b_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n-1}\right|+\cdots+\left|b_{0}\right|\right) \max \left(1,|a|^{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
|b| \leqslant\left(\log _{a} b+1\right) d \max \left(1,|a|^{\log _{a} b}\right)
$$

with $d=\max (|0|,|1|, \ldots,|a-1|)$.
Substituting $b^{s}$ instead of $b$ in the last inequality, we get

$$
\left|b^{s}\right| \leqslant\left(s \log _{a} b+1\right) d \max \left(1,|a|^{s \log _{a} b}\right)
$$

hence

$$
|b| \leqslant\left(s \log _{a} b+1\right)^{1 / s} d^{1 / s} \max \left(1,|a|^{\log _{a} b}\right)
$$

When $s \rightarrow+\infty$ we deduce

$$
|b| \leqslant \max \left(1,|a|^{\log _{a} b}\right)
$$

There are two cases to consider.
(1) Suppose there is an integer $b$ such that $|b|>1$. We can assume $b$ is positive. Then

$$
1<|b| \leqslant \max \left(1,|a|^{\log _{a} b}\right)
$$

and so $|a|>1,|b| \leqslant|a|^{\log _{a} b}$ for every integer $a>1$. Swapping $a$ and $b$ we get $|a| \leqslant|b|^{\log _{b} a}$, thus,

$$
|a|=|b|^{\log _{b} a}
$$

for every integer $a$ and hence for every rational $a$.
Choose $c>0$ such that $|b|=|b|_{\infty}^{c}$ then we obtain $|a|=|a|_{\infty}^{c}$ for every rational $a$.
(2) Suppose that $|a| \leqslant 1$ for all integer $a$. Since $\left|\mid\right.$ is nontrivial, let $a_{0}$ be the minimal positive integer such that $\left|a_{0}\right|<1$. If $a_{0}=a_{1} a_{2}$ with positive integers $a_{1}, a_{2}$, then $\left|a_{1}\right|\left|a_{2}\right|<1$ and either $a_{1}=1$ or $a_{2}=1$. This means that $a_{0}=p$ is a prime. If $q \notin p \mathbb{Z}$, then $p p_{1}+q q_{1}=1$ with some integers $p_{1}, q_{1}$ and hence $1=|1| \leqslant|p|\left|p_{1}\right|+|q|\left|q_{1}\right| \leqslant|p|+|q|$. Writing $q^{s}$ instead of $q$ we get $|q|^{s} \geqslant 1-|p|>0$ and $|q| \geqslant(1-|p|)^{1 / s}$. The right hand side tends to 1 when $s$ tends to infinity. So we obtain $|q|=1$ for every $q$ prime to $p$. Therefore, $|\alpha|=|p|^{v_{p}(\alpha)}$, and $|\mid$ is a power of the $p$-adic norm.
4.1.5. Lemma. (Product formula) For every nonzero rational $\alpha$

$$
\prod_{i \text { prime or } \infty}|\alpha|_{i}=1
$$

Proof. Due to the multiplicative property of the norms and factorisation of integers it is sufficient to consider the case when $\alpha$ a prime number $p$. Then $|p|_{p}=p^{-1},|p|_{\infty}=p$ and $|p|_{i}=1$ for all other $i$.

### 4.2. The field of $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

4.2.1. Definition. Similarly to the definition of real numbers as the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the absolute value $\left|\left.\right|_{\infty}\right.$ define $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ as the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the $p$-adic norm $\left|\left.\right|_{p}\right.$. So $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ consists of equivalences classes of all fundamental sequences (with respect to the $p$ adic norm) $\left(a_{n}\right)$ of rational numbers $a_{n}$ : two fundamental sequences $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right)$ are equivalent if and only if $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|_{p}$ tends to 0 .

The field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is called the field of $p$-adic numbers and its elements are called $p$-adic numbers.
4.2.2. $p$-adic series presentation of p-adic numbers. As an analog of the decimal presentation of real numbers every element $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ has a series representation: it can be written as an infinite convergent (with respect to the $p$-adic norm) series

$$
\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_{i} p^{i}
$$

with coefficients $a_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $a_{n} \neq 0$.
4.2.3. The $p$-adic norm and $p$-adic distance. We have an extension of the $p$-adic norm from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ by continuity: if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is the limit of a fundamental sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ of rational numbers, then $|\alpha|_{p}:=\lim \left|a_{n}\right|_{p}$. Since two fundamental sequences $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right)$ are equivalent if and only if $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|_{p}$ tends to 0 , the $p$-adic norm of $\alpha$ is well defined.

If we use the series representation $\alpha=\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_{i} p^{i}$ with coefficients $a_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $a_{n} \neq 0$, then $|\alpha|_{p}=p^{-n}$.

The $p$-adic norm on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ satisfies the ultrametric inequality: let $\alpha=\lim a_{n}, \beta=\lim b_{n},\left(a_{n}\right)$, $\left(b_{n}\right)$ are fundamental sequences of rational numbers, then $\alpha+\beta=\lim \left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $|\alpha|_{p} \leqslant|\beta|_{p}$, then $\left|a_{n}\right|_{p} \leqslant\left|b_{n}\right|_{p}$ for all sufficiently large $n$, and so

$$
|\alpha+\beta|_{p}=\lim \left|a_{n}+b_{n}\right|_{p} \leqslant \operatorname{limmax}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|_{p},\left|b_{n}\right|_{p}\right)=\lim \left|b_{n}\right|_{p}=|\beta|_{p}=\max \left(|\alpha|_{p},|\beta|_{p}\right)
$$

For $\alpha, \beta$ such that $|\alpha|_{p}<|\beta|_{p}$ we obtain $\beta=\gamma+\alpha$ where $\gamma=\beta-\alpha$. By the ultrametric inequality $|\beta|_{p} \leqslant \max \left(|\gamma|_{p},|\alpha|_{p}\right)$, so $|\beta|_{p} \leqslant|\gamma|_{p}$ and by the ultrametric inequality $|\gamma|_{p} \leqslant$ $\max \left(|\alpha|_{p},|-\beta|_{p}\right)=\max \left(|\alpha|_{p},|\beta|_{p}\right)=|\beta|_{p}$. Thus if $|\alpha|_{p}<|\beta|_{p}$ then $|\alpha-\beta|_{p}=|\beta|_{p}$.

Using the $p$-adic distance $d_{p}$ we have shown that for every triangle with vertices in $0, \alpha, \beta$ if the $p$-adic length of its side connecting 0 and $\alpha$ is smaller than the $p$-adic length of its side connecting 0 and $\beta$ then the $p$-adic length of the third side connecting $\alpha$ and $\beta$ equals to the former. Thus, in every triangle two sides are of the same $p$-adic length!
4.2.4. The ring of $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Define the set $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of $p$-adic integers as those $p$-adic numbers whose $p$-adic norm does not exceed 1, i.e. whose $p$-adic series representation has $n_{0} \geqslant 0$. For two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ we get $|\alpha \beta|_{p} \leqslant 1,|\alpha \pm \beta|_{p} \leqslant 1$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

The units $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are those $p$-adic numbers $u$ whose $p$-adic norm is 1 .
Every nonzero $p$-adic number $\alpha$ can be uniquely written as $p^{v_{p}(\alpha)} u$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Thus

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \cong\langle p\rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

where $\langle p\rangle$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by $p$.
Let $I$ be a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $n=\min \left\{v_{p}(\alpha): \alpha \in I\right\}$. Then $p^{n} u$ belongs to $I$ for some unit $u$, and hence $p^{n}$ belongs to $I$, so $p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \subset I \subset p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, i.e. $I=p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a principal ideal domain and a Dedekind ring.
4.2.5. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is the closed ball of radius 1 in the $p$-adic norm.

Let $\alpha$ be its internal point, so $|\alpha|_{p}<1$. Then for every $\beta$ on the boundary of the open ball, i.e. $|\beta|_{p}=1$ we obtain, applying 4.2.3, we obtain $|\alpha-\beta|_{p}=|\beta|_{p}=1$. Thus, the $p$-adic distance from $\alpha$ to every point on the boundary of the ball is 1 , i.e. every internal point of a $p$-adic ball is its centre.

### 4.3. Henselian properties.

Let $f(X)=\sum a_{i} X^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, a-b \in p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}, n>0$. Then

$$
f(a)-f(b)=\sum a_{i}\left(a^{i}-b^{i}\right)=\sum_{i>0} a_{i}(a-b)\left(a^{i-1}+\cdots+b^{i-1}\right) \in p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

THEOREM. (Henselian property)
Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$.
Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $v_{p}\left(f^{\prime}(a)\right)=r, v_{p}(f(a))>2 r$ for a non-negative integer $r$.
Define a sequence $\alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ as $\alpha_{0}=a$,

$$
\alpha_{n+1}=\alpha_{n}-\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}, \quad n \geqslant 0
$$

Then this sequence converges to $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that

$$
f(\alpha)=0, \quad v_{p}(\alpha-a) \geqslant r+1
$$

Proof. By induction on $n \geqslant 0$ we prove that $\alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, f\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \in p^{2 r+1+n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for $n \geqslant 0, \alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1} \in$ $p^{r+n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for $n \geqslant 1$. Then the sequence $\alpha_{n}$ indeed converges, and passing to the limit we obtain that its limit $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ satisfies $f(\alpha)=0$ and $\alpha-a \in p^{r+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Base of induction: $n=0$ is clear. Induction step $(n \Longrightarrow n+1): \alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}=-\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}$. Since by the induction hypothesis $\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{0} \in p^{r+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $v_{p}\left(f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right)=r$, using the property stated before the Lemma, we obtain $v_{p}\left(f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)=r$. Then by the induction hypothesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \in p^{r+1+n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n} \in p^{r+n+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\alpha_{n+1}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Finally, represent $f(X)$ as a polynomial of $X-\alpha_{n}$ :

$$
f(X)=f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\left(X-\alpha_{n}\right)+\left(X-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2} g(X)
$$

for a polynomial $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$. Substitute $X=\alpha_{n+1}$. Using the definition of $\alpha_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ we obtain

$$
f\left(\alpha_{n+1}\right)=\left(\frac{f\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}\right)^{2} g\left(\alpha_{n+1}\right)
$$

hence by $(*)$ we obtain $f\left(\alpha_{n+1}\right) \in p^{2(r+1+n)} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

REMARK. Often, a different property which implies this Theorem is called Hensel Lemma: Let $f(X), g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)$ be monic polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that for their residue images in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ the equality $\bar{f}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X) \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ holds. Suppose that $\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ are relatively prime in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$. Then there exist monic polynomials $g(X), h(X)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, such that

$$
f(X)=g(X) h(X), \bar{g}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}(X)=\bar{h}_{0}(X)
$$

Corollary 1. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X], a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $f(a) \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $f^{\prime}(a) \notin p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then the polynomial $f$ has a root $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $\alpha-a \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Proof. $r=0$.

Corollary 2. The polynomial $X^{p-1}-1$ has $p-1$ distinct roots in the field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, if $p>2$.
Proof. Choose any of $p-1$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$, denote it by $b$. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ whose image in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with respect to the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} / p \mathbb{Z}_{p}=\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is $b$. Then the image of $a^{p-1}-1$ with respect to the same homomorphism is 0 , i.e. $v_{p}\left(a^{p-1}-1\right) \geqslant 1$. Since $\left(X^{p-1}-1\right)^{\prime}=$ $(p-1) X^{p-2}$ and the image of $(p-1) a^{p-2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is not zero, we can apply Corollary 1 to deduce the existence of a root $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of $X^{p-1}-1, \alpha-a \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

COROLLARY 3. If $p>2$, the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$is the product of the cyclic group of order $p-1$ and the group $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}$is the product of the cyclic group of order 2 and the group $1+4 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. If $p$ is odd, let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, let $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$be its image with respect to the homomorphism of the previous proof and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be a root of $X^{p-1}-1$ such that $\beta-\alpha \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then $\gamma=\beta \alpha^{-1} \in$ $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The intersection of the group of roots of $X^{p-1}-1$ and the group $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is $\{1\}$ : indeed for $\delta \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ we have $1=(1+\delta)^{p-1}=1+(p-1) \delta+$ terms whose $p$-adic valuation is at least $\geqslant 2 v_{p}(\delta)>v_{p}((p-1) \delta)=v_{p}(\delta)$, hence $\delta=0$.

If $p=2$ then $\pm 1$ are roots in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. We can write $-1=1+2+2^{2}+\ldots$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence, every element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}=1+2 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is the product of $\pm 1$ and an element of $1+4 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. The intersection of the group $1+4 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and the cyclic group of order 2 is $\{1\}$.

COROLLARY 4. The group $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$contains $p-1$ roots of unity if $p>2$ and 2 roots of unity if $p=2$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ satisfy $\gamma^{m}=1, m>0$. If $s=v_{p}(\gamma)$, then $m s=v_{p}\left(\gamma^{m}\right)=v_{p}(1)=0$, so $s=0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Using Corollary 3 we only need to show that $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ does not have nontrivial roots of unity if $p>2$ and $1+4 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ does not have nontrivial roots of unity.

Write an element of $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ as $1+p^{r} a$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}, r \geqslant 1$. If $m$ is prime to $p$, then $(1+$ $\left.p^{r} a\right)^{m}=1+m p^{r} a+\cdots+p^{r m} a^{m} \equiv 1+m p^{r} a \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{r+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, so $\left(1+p^{r} a\right)^{m} \neq 1$. Hence we only need to look at elements of order $p$. If $p$ is odd, we have $\left(1+p^{r} a\right)^{p} \equiv 1+p^{r+1} a \not \equiv 1$ $\bmod p^{2 r+1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, hence $\left(1+p^{r} a\right)^{p} \neq 1$ and $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ does not have elements of order $p$. If $p=2$ then $\left(1+2^{r} a\right)^{2}=1+2^{r+1} a+2^{2 r} a^{2} \equiv 1+2^{r+1} a \not \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{2 r} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\left(1+2^{r} a\right)^{2} \neq 1$ if $r \geqslant 2$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}$, hence $1+4 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ does not have elements of order 2 .

COROLLARY 5. $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}=\left(1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{m}$ for every positive integer $m$ prime to $p$.
Proof. Let $\gamma \in 1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Put $f(X)=X^{m}-\gamma, a=1$ and apply the Hensel Lemma.

Corollary 6. The fields $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{q}, p \neq q$, are not isomorphic.
Proof. Consider $1+p q \in 1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. By the previous corollary $1+p q$ is a $q$ th power in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. On the other hand, $1+p q \in 1+q \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ cannot be a $q$ th power. Indeed, if $1+p q=\left(q^{n} \alpha\right)^{q}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}$, then comparing $v_{q}$ on the LHS and RHS we deduce $n=0$. Looking at the images of the LHS and RHS in $\mathbb{Z}_{q} / q \mathbb{Z}_{q} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we deduce $\alpha \in 1+q \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, so $\alpha=1+q \gamma$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Since $(1+q \gamma)^{q} \in 1+q^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and $p \notin q \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, we get a contradiction.

REMARK. For much more about p-adic fields see Ch.2.

## 5. A Little about Class Field Theory

To describe some very basic things in class field theory, in a way quite different from the presentation of class field theory in Chapter 3.

First, we need to talk a little about projective limits of algebraic objects.

### 5.1. Projective limits.

Let $A_{n}, n \geqslant 1$ be a set of groups/rings, with group operation, in the case of groups, written additively. Suppose there are group/ring homomorphisms $\varphi_{n m}: A_{n} \longrightarrow A_{m}$ for all $n \geqslant m$ such that $\varphi_{n n}=\mathrm{id}_{A_{n}}, \varphi_{n r}=\varphi_{m r} \circ \varphi_{n m}$ for all $n \geqslant m \geqslant r$.

The inverse/projective limit $\lim _{\leftrightarrows} A_{n}$ of $\left(A_{n}, \varphi_{n m}\right)$ is the set

$$
\left\{\left(a_{n}\right): a_{n} \in A_{n}, \varphi_{n m}\left(a_{n}\right)=a_{m} \text { for all } n \geqslant m\right\}
$$

with the group/ring operation(s) $\left(a_{n}\right)+\left(b_{n}\right)=\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)$ and $\left(a_{n}\right)\left(b_{n}\right)=\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)$
For every $m$ one has a group/ring homomorphism $\varphi_{n}: \varliminf_{\longleftarrow} A_{n} \longrightarrow A_{m},\left(a_{n}\right) \mapsto a_{m}$.

## EXAMPLES.

1. If $A_{n}=A$ for all $n$ and $\varphi_{n m}=$ id then $\lim A_{n}=A$.
2. If $A_{n}=\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_{n m}\left(a+p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)=a+p^{m} \mathbb{Z}$ then $\left(a_{n}\right) \in \lim _{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}$ means $p^{\min (n, m)} \mid\left(a_{n}-\right.$ $a_{m}$ ) for all $n, m$.

The sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ as above is a fundamental sequence with respect to the $p$-adic norm, and thus determines a $p$-adic number $a=\lim a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For its description, denote by $r_{m}$ the integer between 0 and $p^{m}-1$ such that $r_{m} \equiv a_{m} \bmod p^{m}$. Then $r_{m} \equiv a_{n} \bmod p^{m}$ for $n \geqslant m$ and $r_{n} \equiv r_{m}$ $\bmod p^{m}$ for $n \geqslant m$. Denote $c_{0}=r_{0}$ and $c_{m}=\left(r_{m}-r_{m-1}\right) p^{-m+1}$, so $c_{m} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Then $a=\sum_{m \geqslant 0} c_{m} p^{m}=\lim r_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

We have a group and ring homomorphism

$$
f: \lim \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \quad\left(a_{n}\right) \mapsto a=\lim a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

It is surjective: if $a=\sum_{m \geqslant 0} c_{m} p^{m}$ then define $r_{m}$ by the inverse procedure to the above, then $a$ is the image of $\left(r_{n}\right) \in \varliminf_{\longleftarrow} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n}$; and its kernel is trivial, since $a=0$ implies that for every $k p^{k}$ divides $a_{n}$ for all sufficiently large $n$, and so $p^{k}$ divides $a_{k}$.

Thus,

$$
\lim _{\rightleftarrows} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

This can be used as another (algebraic) definition of the ring of $p$-adic integers.
In particular, we have a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}$ whose kernel equals to $p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

From the above we immediately deduce that if $A_{n}=\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$and $\varphi_{n m}\left(a+p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)=a+p^{m} \mathbb{Z}$, $(a, p)=1$, then similarly we have a homomorphism

$$
f: \lim _{\rightleftarrows}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}, \quad\left(a_{n}\right) \mapsto \lim r_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

(note that $\left(r_{m}, p\right)=1$ and hence $\lim r_{m} \notin p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ ). Thus, there is an isomorphism

$$
\lim _{\longleftarrow}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

3. One can extend the definition of the projective limit to the case when the maps $\varphi_{n m}$ are defined for some specific pairs $(n, m)$ and not necessarily all $n \geqslant m$.

Let $A_{n}=\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\varphi_{n m}: A_{n} \longrightarrow A_{m}$ be defined only if $m \mid n$ and then $\varphi_{n m}(a+n \mathbb{Z})=a+m \mathbb{Z}$. Define, similarly to the above definition of the projective limit the projective limit $\lim _{幺} A_{n}$.

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z} / p_{1}^{k_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} / p_{r}^{k_{r}} \mathbb{Z}$, where $n=p_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{k_{r}}$ is the factorisation of $n$. The maps $\varphi_{n m}$ induce the maps already defined in Example 2 on $\mathbb{Z} / p^{r} \mathbb{Z}$, and we deduce

$$
\lim _{\hookleftarrow} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}=\lim _{\hookleftarrow} \mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z} \times \lim _{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z} / 3^{r} \mathbb{Z} \times \ldots \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \cdots=\prod \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

The group $\lim \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is denoted $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and is called the procyclic group (topologically it is generated by its unity 1 ). This group is uncountable. We have a surjective homomorphism $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ whose kernel is $n \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.
4. Similarly we have

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}=\lim _{\longleftarrow}(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}=\lim _{\longleftarrow}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \times \lim _{\longleftarrow}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 3^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times} \times \ldots \cong \prod \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

### 5.2. Infinite Galois theory.

As described above,

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $q=p^{n}$ and the isomorphism is given by $\phi_{n} \mapsto 1+m \mathbb{Z}$. The algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{a}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the compositum of all $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$. It is natural to define the infinite Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{a} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ as the projective
 $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right), r \mid m$. This corresponds to $\varphi_{m r}$ defined in Example 3 above.

Hence we get

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{a} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cong \lim \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}=\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

Similarly, for the maximal cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {cycl }}$, the composite of all finite cyclotomic extensions $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cycl}} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \lim _{\leftrightarrows}(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}
$$

The Main Theorem of extended (to infinite extensions) Galois theory (one has to add a new notion of closed subgroup for an appropriate extension of the finite Galois theory), can be stated as follows:

Let $L / F$ be a (possibly infinite) Galois extension, i.e. Lis the composite of splitting fields of separable polynomials over $F$. Denote $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)=\underset{¿}{\lim } \operatorname{Gal}(E / F)$ where $E / F$ runs through all finite Galois subextensions in $L / F$. Call a subgroup $H$ of $G$ closed if $H=\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } \operatorname{Gal}(E / K)$ where $K$ runs through a subfamily of finite subextensions in $E / F$, and surjective homomorphisms $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\prime \prime} / K^{\prime \prime}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ are induced by $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\prime \prime} / F\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\prime} / F\right)$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence $\left(H \mapsto L^{H}\right)$ between closed subgroups $H$ of $G$ and fields $M, F \subset M \subset L$, the inverse map is given by $M \mapsto H=\lim \operatorname{Gal}(E / K)$ where $K=E \cap M$. We have $\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)=H$.

Normal closed subgroups $H$ of $G$ correspond to Galois extensions $M / F$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(M / F) \cong$ $G / H$.

### 5.3. Cyclotomic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$.

We have already seen the importance of cyclotomic fields in Kummer's theorem 3.6.8.
Another very important property of cyclotomic fields is given by the following theorem
THEOREM. (Kronecker-Weber)
Every finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ is contained in some cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$. Therefore the maximal abelian extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ coincides with the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {cycl }}$ which is the compositum of all cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$.

For a finite abelian extension $F / \mathbb{Q}$ the minimal positive integer $n$ such that $F \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ is called the conductor of $F$.

For example, let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with square free integer $d$. Then one can prove that the conductor of $F$ is equal to $\left|d_{F}\right|$ where $d_{F}$ is the discriminant of $F$.

According to 2.4.4 the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. So the infinite $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to the limit of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$which by 5.1 .2 coincides with the group of units of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}=\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim } \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$.

The isomorphism

$$
\Upsilon: \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} \leadsto \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

can be described as follows: if $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$is congruent to $m$ modulo $n$ via

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} / n \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}
$$

then $\Upsilon(a)\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=\zeta_{n}^{m}$.
Using 5.1 we have an isomorphism

$$
\Psi: \prod \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \leadsto \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} \leadsto \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

On the left hand side we have an object $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$which is defined at the ground level of $\mathbb{Q}$, on the right hand side we have an object which incorporates information about all finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$.

The restriction of the isomorphism to quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ is related with the Gauß quadratic reciprocity law.

Abelian class field theory generalises the Kronecker-Weber theorem for an algebraic number field $K$ to give a reciprocity homomorphism which relates an object (idele class group) defined at level of $K$ and the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension of $K$ over $K$.

### 5.4. Ideles and reciprocity map.

5.4.1. Recall (see 4.2.4) that $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \cong\langle p\rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}, \quad a \mapsto(n, u)$ where $n=v_{p}(a)$ and $u=a p^{-n}$, $v_{p}$ is the $p$-adic valuation.

Denote $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}=\mathbb{R}$ and include $\infty$ in the set of "primes" of $\mathbb{Z}$. Form the so called restricted product

$$
J_{\mathbb{Q}}=\prod^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}=\left\{\left(a_{\infty}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right): a_{p} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}\right\}
$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{\times}=\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}^{\times}, \mathbb{Q}_{2}^{\times}, \mathbb{Q}_{3}^{\times}, \ldots$ such that almost all components $a_{p}$ are $p$-adic units. Elements of $J_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are called ideles of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Define a homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f: J_{\mathbb{Q}}=\prod^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\times} \times \prod \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \\
& \left(a_{\infty}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right) \mapsto\left(a, a_{\infty} a^{-1}, a_{2} a^{-1}, a_{3} a^{-1}, \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{\infty}\right) \Pi p^{v_{p}\left(a_{p}\right)} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$and $\operatorname{sign}(a)$ is the sign of $a$.
It is easy to verify that $f$ is an isomorphism.
5.4.2. Define a homomorphism

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}: \prod^{\prime} \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

by the following local-global formula:

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{\infty}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right)=\prod \Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(a_{p}\right)
$$

Here the local reciprocity map $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is described as follows: if $a_{p}=p^{n} u$ where $n=v_{p}(a)$, then for a $q^{m}$ th primitive root $\zeta$ with prime $q$ and $q^{m}>2$,

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(a_{p}\right)(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\zeta^{p^{n}}, & \text { if } p \neq q \\ \zeta^{u^{-1}}, & \text { if } p=q\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $p \neq q$, then $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(p)$ sends $\zeta$ to $\zeta^{p}$, the latter is kind of similar to the $p$ th Frobenius automorphism defined in 1.3 . So the local reciprocity map $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(p)$ sends prime $p$ to the $p$ th Frobenius automorphism.

For $p=\infty$ put

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}}\left(a_{\infty}\right)(\zeta)=\zeta^{\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{\infty}\right)} .
$$

The homomorphism $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is called the global reciprocity map.

## Theorem.

1. Reciprocity Law: for every non-zero rational number a one has

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}(a, a, a, \ldots)=1
$$

2. For units $u_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$one has

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(1, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots\right)^{-1}=\Psi\left(u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots\right) .
$$

3. Using $f$ define

$$
g: J_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\times} \times \prod \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \longrightarrow \prod \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

$\left(a, b, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots\right) \mapsto\left(u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots\right)$. Then

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)^{-1}=\Psi \circ g(\alpha) .
$$

4. The kernel of the reciprocity map $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ equals to $g^{-1}(1,1,1, \ldots)=$ the product of the diagonal image of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$in $J_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and of the image of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{\times}$in $J_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with respect to the homomorphism $\alpha \mapsto(\alpha, 1,1, \ldots)$. It induces an isomorphism

$$
J_{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\times} \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

Proof. To verify the first property, due to the multiplicativity of $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ it is sufficient to show that for a primitive $q^{m}$ th root $\zeta, q^{m}>2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}(p, p, \ldots)(\zeta)=\zeta \quad \text { for all positive prime numbers } p \\
& \Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}(-1,-1, \ldots)(\zeta)=\zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition of $\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we deduce that

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{l}}(p)(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\zeta, & \text { if } l \neq q, l \neq p \\ \zeta, & \text { if } l \neq q, l=p \\ \zeta^{p^{-1}}, & \text { if } l=q, l \neq p \\ \zeta, & \text { if } l=q=p\end{cases}
$$

So $\left(\prod_{l} \Psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{l}}(p)\right)(\zeta)=\zeta$ for $q \neq p$ and for $q=p$. Similarly one checks the second assertion.
The second property is easy: due to multiplicativity it suffices to show that

$$
\Psi\left(1, \ldots, u_{p}, 1, \ldots\right)^{-1}=\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(1, \ldots, u_{p}, 1, \ldots\right)
$$

and this follows immediately from the definition of $\Psi, \Psi_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
The third property follows from the definition of $f$ and the first and second properties. The fourth property follows from the third.
5.4.3. The previous description is part of cyclotomic class field theory of $\mathbb{Q}$, where one can use the Galois action on roots and roots generate the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ (KroneckerWeber theorem).

For an algebraic number field $F$ one can define, in a similar way, the idele group $J_{F}$ as a restricted product of the multiplicative groups $F_{P}^{\times}$of completions $F_{P}$ of $F$ with respect to non-zero prime ideals $P$ of the ring of integers of $F$, and of real or complex completions of $F$ with respect to real and complex embeddings of $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$.

Except the case of $\mathbb{Q}$, imaginary quadratic fields and totally imaginary quadratic extensions of totally real fields, one does not have an explicit description of the maximal abelian extension by appropriate torsion elements, as in the Kronecker-Weber Theorem. Thus, one needs to directly define a global reciprocity map

$$
\Psi_{F}: J_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)
$$

for all number fields $F$ and study its properties. This is done in a completely different way from cyclotomic class field theory, in general class field theory. The Kronecker-Weber theorem plays no role in general class field theory and this theorem will be the last statement to include, as a corollary of general class field theory, at the end of Chapter 3.

The global reciprocity map uses certain local reciprocity maps $F_{P}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{P}^{\mathrm{ab}} / F_{P}\right)$ and homomorphisms $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{P}^{\mathrm{ab}} / F_{P}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$. The local reciprocity maps are defined and studied in local class field theory.

The local reciprocity maps and global reciprocity maps satisfy a number of important properties, including functorial properties which do not play any role in special class field theorists such as the cyclotomic class field theory.

The analog of the reciprocity law is that the kernel of $\Psi_{F}$ contains the image of $F^{\times}$in $J_{F}$.
A key part of class field theory is the existence theorem: every open subgroups $N$ in $J_{F} / F^{\times}$ corresponds to its class field $L$, the unique finite abelian extension of $F$ such that $N_{L / F}\left(J_{L}\right) F^{\times}=N$ and $N=\Psi_{F}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)\right)$.

## CHAPTER 2

## Complete Discrete Valuation Fields

Chapters 2 does not include references to Chapter 1.
In Chapter 2 we will go relatively slow in sections $1-13$ in order to build good understanding of and intuition about complete discrete valuation fields. This Chapter includes less known but important topics such as the group of principal units as a topological $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module, the norm map behaviour in cyclic extensions of prime degree, Artin-Schreier extensions of local fields, an approach to the Hasse-Herbrand function that uses the behaviour of the norm map, and FontaineWintenberger's theory of fields of norms.

## 1. Valuation Fields

1.1. Definition. Let $\Gamma$ be an additively written totally ordered abelian group. Add to $\Gamma$ a formal element $+\infty$ with the properties $a \leqslant+\infty,+\infty \leqslant+\infty, a+(+\infty)=+\infty,(+\infty)+(+\infty)=+\infty$, for each $a \in \Gamma$; denote $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma \cup\{+\infty\}$.

For a field $F$ a map $v: F \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ with the properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(\alpha)=+\infty \Leftrightarrow \alpha=0 \\
& v(\alpha \beta)=v(\alpha)+v(\beta) \\
& v(\alpha+\beta) \geqslant \min (v(\alpha), v(\beta))
\end{aligned}
$$

is said to be a valuation on $F$.
The map $v$ induces a homomorphism of $F^{\times}$to $\Gamma$ and its value group $v\left(F^{\times}\right)$is a totally ordered subgroup of $\Gamma$.

If $v\left(F^{\times}\right)=\{0\}$, then $v$ is called the trivial valuation.
A field $F$ which has a nontrivial valuation is said to be a valuation field.
It is immediate that if $v(\alpha) \neq v(\beta)$, then $v(\alpha+\beta)=\min (v(\alpha), v(\beta))$.
1.2. Denote $\mathscr{O}_{v}=\{\alpha \in F: v(\alpha) \geqslant 0\}, \mathscr{M}_{v}=\{\alpha \in F: v(\alpha)>0\}$.

Then $\mathscr{M}_{v}$ coincides with the set of non-invertible elements of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$. Therefore, $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is a local ring with the unique maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}_{v}$.
$\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is called the ring of integers (with respect to $v$ ), and the field $\bar{F}_{v}=\mathscr{O}_{v} / \mathscr{M}_{v}$ is called the residue field, or residue class field.

The image of an element $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{v}$ in $\bar{F}_{v}$ is denoted by $\bar{\alpha}$, it is called the residue of $\alpha$ in $\bar{F}_{v}$.
The set of invertible elements of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is a multiplicative group $U_{v}=\mathscr{O}_{v}-\mathscr{M}_{v}$, it is called the group of units.

A valuation is called discrete if the totally ordered group $v\left(F^{\times}\right)$is isomorphic to the naturally ordered group $\mathbb{Z}$.

### 1.3. Examples.

1. The $p$-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
2. Let $K$ be a field. Let $p(X) \in K[X]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial over $K$. For a polynomial $f(X) \in K[X]$ denote by $v_{p(X)}(f(X))$ the largest integer $k$ such that $p(X)^{k}$ divides polynomial $f(X)$. For two polynomials $f, g$ put $v_{p(X)}(f / g)=v_{p(X)}(f)-v_{p(X)}(g)$. Put $v_{p(X)}(0)=+\infty$.

The map $v_{p(X)}$ is a discrete valuation of $K(X)$. Its the ring of integers

$$
\mathscr{O}_{v_{p(X)}}=\left\{\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}: f(X), g(X) \in K[X], g(X) \text { is relatively prime to } p(X)\right\}
$$

and the residue field is $K[X] /(p(X))$.
Another discrete valuation of $K(X)$ is - deg with the ring of integers $K\left[X^{-1}\right]$ and maximal ideal $X^{-1} K\left[X^{-1}\right]$.
3. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots \Gamma_{n}$ be totally ordered abelian groups. One can order the group $\Gamma_{1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n}$ lexicographically, namely setting $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)<\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ if and only if $a_{1}=b_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}=b_{i-1}$, $a_{i}<b_{i}$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. A valuation $v$ on $F$ is said to be discrete of rank $n$ if the value group $v\left(F^{\times}\right)$is isomorphic to the lexicographically ordered group $(\mathbb{Z})^{n}=\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}}_{n \text { times }}$.

Note that the first component $v_{1}$ of a discrete valuation $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ of rank $n$ is a discrete valuation (of rank 1) on the field $F$.
4. Let $F$ be a field with a valuation $v$. For $f(X)=\sum \alpha_{i} X^{i} \in F[X]$ put

$$
v^{*}(f(X))=\min _{i}\left(i, v\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times v\left(F^{\times}\right)
$$

One can naturally extend $v^{*}$ to $F(X)$. If we order the group $\mathbb{Z} \times v\left(F^{\times}\right)$lexicographically, we obtain the valuation $v^{*}$ on $F(X)$ with the residue field $\bar{F}_{v}$.

Similarly, it is easy to define a valuation on $F\left(X_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X_{n}\right)$ with the value group $(\mathbb{Z})^{n-1} \times$ $v\left(F^{\times}\right)$ordered lexicographically. In particular, for $F=\mathbb{Q}, v=v_{p}$ we get a discrete valuation of rank $n$ on $\mathbb{Q}\left(X_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X_{n-1}\right)$ and for $F=K(X), v=v_{p(X)}$ we get a discrete valuation of rank $n$ on $K(X)\left(X_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X_{n-1}\right)$.
5. Let $v$ be a discrete (surjective to $\mathbb{Z}$ ) valuation of $F$. Fix an integer $c$. For $f(X)=\sum \alpha_{i} X^{i} \in$ $F[X]$ put

$$
w_{c}(f(X))=\min _{i}\left\{v\left(\alpha_{i}\right)+i c\right\}
$$

Extending $w_{c}$ to $F(X)$ we obtain the discrete valuation $w_{c}$ with residue field $\bar{F}_{v}(X)$ (make substitution $X=Y \beta$ with $v(\beta)=c$ to reduce to the case $c=0$ ).
6. Let $F, v$ be as in Example 4. For $f(X)=\sum \alpha_{i} X^{i} \in F[X]$ put

$$
v_{*}(f(X))=\min _{i}\left(v\left(\alpha_{i}\right), i\right) \in v\left(F^{\times}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad v_{*}(0)=(+\infty,+\infty)
$$

for $v\left(F^{\times}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}$ ordered lexicographically. Extending $v_{*}$ to $F(X)$, we obtain the valuation $v_{*}$. The residue field of $v_{*}$ is $\bar{F}_{v}$.

## 2. Discrete Valuation Fields

2.1. A field $F$ is said to be a discrete valuation field if it admits a nontrivial discrete valuation v. An element $\pi \in \mathscr{O}_{v}$ is said to be a prime element (uniformising element, a uniformiser) if $v(\pi)>0$ generates the group $v\left(F^{\times}\right)$. Without loss of generality we shall often assume that the homomorphism

$$
v: F^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

is surjective.
2.2. Lemma. Assume that $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq \operatorname{char}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$ and $\operatorname{char}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)=p>0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{char}(F)=p \neq 0$. Then $p=0$ in $F$ and therefore in $\bar{F}_{v}$. Hence $p=\operatorname{char}\left(\bar{F}_{v}\right)$.
2.3. Lemma. Let $F$ be a discrete valuation field, and $\pi$ be a prime element. Then the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is a principal ideal ring, and every proper ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ can be written as $\pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{v}$ for some $n>0$. In particular, $\mathscr{M}_{v}=\pi \mathscr{O}_{v}$. The intersection of all proper ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is the zero ideal.
Proof. Let $I$ be a proper ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{v}$. Then there exists $n=\min \{v(\alpha): \alpha \in I\}$ and hence $\pi^{n} \varepsilon \in I$ for some unit $\varepsilon$. It follows that $\pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{v} \subset I \subset \pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{v}$ and $I=\pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{v}$. If $\alpha$ belongs to the intersection of all proper ideals $\pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{v}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{v}$, then $v(\alpha)=+\infty$, i.e., $\alpha=0$.
2.4. Lemma. Any element $\alpha \in F^{\times}$can be uniquely written as $\pi^{n} \varepsilon$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon \in U_{v}$.

Proof. Let $n=v(\alpha)$. Then $\alpha \pi^{-n} \in U_{v}$ and $\alpha=\pi^{n} \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \in U_{v}$. If $\pi^{n} \varepsilon_{1}=\pi^{m} \varepsilon_{2}$, then $n+v\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)=$ $m+v\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)$. As $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in U_{v}$, we deduce $n=m, \varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}$.
2.5. Let $v$ be a discrete valuation on $F, 0<d<1$. The mapping $d_{v}: F \times F \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $d_{v}(\alpha, \beta)=d^{v(\alpha-\beta)}$ is a metric on $F$. Therefore, it induces a Hausdorff topology on $F$. For every $\alpha \in F$ the sets $\alpha+\pi^{n} \mathscr{O}_{v}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, form a basis of open neighbourhoods of $\alpha$. This topology on $F$ is called the discrete valuation topology.
2.6. Lemma. The field $F$ with the above-defined topology is a topological field.

## Proof. As

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left((\alpha-\beta)-\left(\alpha_{0}-\beta_{0}\right)\right) \geqslant \min \left(v\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right), v\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)\right) \\
& v\left(\alpha \beta-\alpha_{0} \beta_{0}\right) \geqslant \min \left(v\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+v(\beta), v\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)+v\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right) \\
& v\left(\alpha^{-1}-\alpha_{0}^{-1}\right)=v\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)-v(\alpha)-v\left(\alpha_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce the statement.
2.7. Lemma. The topologies on $F$ defined by two discrete valuations $v_{1}, v_{2}$ coincide if and only if $v_{1}=v_{2}\left(\right.$ recall that $\left.v_{1}\left(F^{\times}\right)=v_{2}\left(F^{\times}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Proof. Let the topologies induced by $v_{1}, v_{2}$ coincide. Observe that $\alpha^{n}$ tends to 0 when $n$ tends to $+\infty$ in the topology defined by a discrete valuation $v$ if and only if $v(\alpha)>0$. Therefore, $v_{1}(\alpha)>0$ if and only if $v_{2}(\alpha)>0$. Let $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ be prime elements with respect to $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Then we conclude that $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \geqslant 1$ and $v_{1}\left(\pi_{2}\right) \geqslant 1$. If $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right)>1$ then $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1} \pi_{2}^{-1}\right)>0$. Consequently, $v_{1}\left(\pi_{1} \pi_{2}^{-1}\right)>0$, i.e., $v_{1}\left(\pi_{2}\right)<1$, a contradiction. Thus, $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right)=1$ and this equality holds for all prime elements $\pi_{1}$ with respect to $v_{1}$. This shows the equality $v_{1}=v_{2}$.
2.8. Proposition. (Approximation Theorem) Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be distinct discrete valuations on $F$. Then for every $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in F, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $\alpha \in F$ such that $v_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha\right)>c$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Proof. If $v(\alpha)>0$ then $v\left(\alpha^{m}\left(1+\alpha^{m}\right)^{-1}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$, and if $v(\alpha)<0$ then $v\left(\alpha^{m}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha^{m}\right)^{-1}-1\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$. We proceed by induction to deduce that there exists an element $\beta_{1} \in F$ such that $v_{1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)<0, v_{i}\left(\beta_{1}\right)>0$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Towards that aim for $n=2$, one can first verify that there is an element $\gamma_{1} \in F$ such that $v_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \geqslant 0, v_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)<0$. Using the proof of the previous Lemma, find elements $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in F$ with $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \neq 1=v_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right), v_{1}\left(\pi_{2}\right) \neq 1=v_{2}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$. If $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right)<0$ put $\gamma_{1}=\pi_{1}$. If $v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \geqslant 0$, then $v_{2}(\rho) \neq$ $0=v_{1}(\rho)$ for $\rho=\pi_{2} \pi_{1}^{-v_{1}\left(\pi_{2}\right)}$. Put $\gamma_{1}=\rho$ or $\gamma_{1}=\rho^{-1}$. Now let $\gamma_{2} \in F$ be such that $v_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$, $v_{1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)<0$. Then $\beta_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}$ is the desired element for $n=2$.

Let $n>2$. Then, by the induction assumption, there exists $\delta_{1} \in F$ with $v_{1}\left(\delta_{1}\right)<0, v_{i}\left(\delta_{1}\right)>0$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $\delta_{2} \in F$ with $v_{1}\left(\delta_{2}\right)<0, v_{n}\left(\delta_{2}\right)>0$. One can put $\beta_{2}=\delta_{1}$ if $v_{n}\left(\delta_{1}\right)>0$, $\beta_{2}=\delta_{1}^{m} \delta_{2}$ if $v_{n}\left(\delta_{1}\right)=0$, and $\beta_{2}=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}^{m}\left(1+\delta_{2}^{m}\right)^{-1}$ if $v_{n}\left(\delta_{1}\right)<0$ for a sufficiently large $m$.

To complete the proof we take $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n} \in F$ with $v_{i}\left(\beta_{i}\right)<0, v_{i}\left(\beta_{j}\right)>0$ for $i \neq j$. Put $\alpha=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}^{m}\left(1+\beta_{i}^{m}\right)^{-1}$. Then $\alpha$ is the desired element for a sufficiently large $m$.

## 3. Completion

3.1. Let $F$ be a field with a discrete valuation $v$ (as usual, $v\left(F^{\times}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ ). As $F$ is a metric topological space one can introduce the notion of a fundamental (Cauchy) sequence. A sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of elements of $F$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for every real $c$ there is $n_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that $v\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{m}\right) \geqslant c$ for $m, n \geqslant n_{0}$.

If $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is a fundamental sequence then for every integer $r$ there is $n_{r}$ such that for all $n, m \geqslant n_{r}$ we have $v\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{m}\right) \geqslant r$. We can assume $n_{1} \leqslant n_{2} \leqslant \ldots$. If for every $r$ there is $n_{r}^{\prime} \geqslant n_{r}$ such that $v\left(\alpha_{n_{r}^{\prime}}\right) \neq v\left(\alpha_{n_{r}^{\prime}+1}\right)$, then $\lim v\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=+\infty$. Thus, every fundamental sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ has limit $\lim v\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$.

Lemma. The set $A$ of all Cauchy sequences forms a ring with respect to component-wise addition and multiplication. The set of all Cauchy sequences $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ with $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$
forms a maximal ideal $M$ of $A$. The field $A / M$ is a discrete valuation field with its discrete valuation $\widehat{v}$ defined by $\widehat{v}\left(\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)=\lim v\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ for a Cauchy sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$.

Proof. A sketch of the proof is as follows. It suffices to show that $M$ is a maximal ideal of $A$. Let $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a Cauchy sequence with $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence, there is an $n_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that $\alpha_{n} \neq 0$ for $n \geqslant n_{0}$. Put $\beta_{n}=0$ for $n<n_{0}$ and $\beta_{n}=\alpha_{n}^{-1}$ for $n \geqslant n_{0}$. Then $\left(\beta_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence and $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\beta_{n}\right) \in(1)+M$. Therefore, $M$ is maximal.
3.2. A discrete valuation field $F$ is called a complete discrete valuation field if every Cauchy sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is convergent, i.e., there exists $\alpha=\lim \alpha_{n} \in F$ with respect to $v$. A field $\widehat{F}$ with a discrete valuation $\widehat{v}$ is called a completion of $F$ if it is complete, $\left.\widehat{v}\right|_{F}=v$, and $F$ is a dense subfield in $\widehat{F}$ with respect to $\widehat{v}$.

Proposition. Every discrete valuation field $F$ has a completion which is unique up to an isomorphism over $F$.

Proof. We verify that the field $A / M$ with the valuation $\widehat{v}$ is a completion of $F . F$ is embedded in $A / M$ by the formula $\alpha \mapsto(\alpha) \bmod M$. For a Cauchy sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ and real $c$, let $n_{0} \geqslant 0$ be such that $v\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{m}\right) \geqslant c$ for all $m, n \geqslant n_{0}$. Hence, for $\alpha_{n_{0}} \in F$ we have $\widehat{v}\left(\left(\alpha_{n_{0}}\right)-\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right) \geqslant c$, which shows that $F$ is dense in $A / M$. Let $\left(\left(\alpha_{n}^{(m)}\right)_{n}\right)_{m}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $A / M$ with respect to $\widehat{v}$. Let $n(0), n(1), \ldots$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that $v\left(\alpha_{n_{2}}^{(m)}-\alpha_{n_{1}}^{(m)}\right) \geqslant m$ for $n_{1}$, $n_{2} \geqslant n(m)$. Then $\left(\alpha_{n(m)}^{(m)}\right)_{m}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $F$ and the limit of $\left(\left(\alpha_{n}^{(m)}\right)_{n}\right)_{m}$ with respect to $\widehat{v}$ in $A / M$. Thus, we obtain the existence of the completion $A / M, \widehat{v}$.

If there are two completions $\widehat{F}_{1}, \widehat{v}_{1}$ and $\widehat{F}_{2}, \widehat{v}_{2}$, then we put $f(\alpha)=\alpha$ for $\alpha \in F$ and extend this homomorphism by continuity from $F$, as a dense subfield in $\widehat{F}_{1}$, to $\widehat{F}_{1}$. It is easy to verify that the extension $\widehat{f}: \widehat{F}_{1} \longrightarrow \widehat{F}_{2}$ is an isomorphism and $\widehat{v}_{2} \circ \widehat{f}=\widehat{v}_{1}$.

We shall denote the completion of the field $F$ with respect to $v$ by $\widehat{F}_{v}$ or $\widehat{F}$.
3.3. Lemma. Let $F$ be a field with a discrete valuation $v$ and $\widehat{F}$ its completion with the discrete valuation $\widehat{v}$. Then the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is dense in $\mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}$, the maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}_{v}$ is dense in $\mathscr{M}_{\hat{v}}$, and the residue field $\bar{F}_{v}$ coincides with the residue field of $\widehat{F}$ with respect to $\widehat{v}$.

Proof. It follows immediately from the construction of $A / M$ in (3.1) and Proposition (3.2).

### 3.4. Examples.

1. Embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for all prime $p$ and in $\mathbb{R}$ is a tool to solve various problems over $\mathbb{Q}$. An example is the Minkowski-Hasse Theorem: an equation $\sum a_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}=0$ for $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ has a nontrivial solution in $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if it admits a nontrivial solution in $\mathbb{R}$ and in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for all prime p. A generalisation of this result is the so-called Hasse local-global principle which is of great importance in algebraic number theory. It is interesting that, from the standpoint of model theory, the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ is locally equivalent to the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for each prime $p$.
2. The completion of $K(X)$ with respect to $v_{X}$ is the formal power series field $K((X))$ of all formal series $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n} X^{n}$ with $\alpha_{n} \in K$ and $\alpha_{n}=0$ for almost all negative $n$. The ring of integers
with respect to $v_{X}$ is $K[[X]]$, that is, the set of all formal series $\sum_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n} X^{n}, \alpha_{n} \in K$. Its residue field may be identified with $K$.
3. Let $F$ be a field with a discrete valuation $v$, and $\widehat{F}$ its completion. Then the valuation $v^{*}$ on $F(X)$ defined in Example 4 of (1.3) can be naturally extended to $\widehat{F}((X))$. For $f(X)=\sum_{n \geqslant m} \alpha_{n} X^{n}$, $\alpha_{n} \in \widehat{F}, \alpha_{m} \neq 0$, put $v^{*}(f(X))=\left(m, \widehat{v}\left(\alpha_{m}\right)\right)$. The ring of integers of $v^{*}$ on $\widehat{F}((X))$ is $\mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}+X \widehat{F}[[X]]$.
4. Let $F$ be the same as in the previous Example. Then the valuation $v_{*}$ on $F(X)$ defined in Example 6 of (1.3) can be naturally extended to the field

$$
\widehat{F}\{\{X\}\}=\left\{\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n} X^{n}: \alpha_{n} \in \widehat{F}, \inf _{n}\left\{\widehat{v}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right\}>-\infty, \widehat{v}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } n \rightarrow-\infty\right\}
$$

For $f(X)=\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n} X^{n} \in \widehat{F}\{\{X\}\}$ put

$$
v_{*}(f(X))=\min _{n}\left(\widehat{v}\left(\alpha_{n}\right), n\right) .
$$

The ring of integers of $v_{*}$ is $\mathscr{M}_{\hat{v}}\{\{X\}\}+\mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}[[X]]$ and the maximal ideal is $\mathscr{M}_{\hat{v}}\{\{X\}\}+X \mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}[[X]]$, where $\mathscr{M}_{\hat{v}}\{\{X\}\}=\mathscr{M}_{\hat{v}} \mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}\{\{X\}\}, \mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}\{\{X\}\}=\left\{\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n} X^{n}: \alpha_{n} \in \mathscr{O}_{\hat{v}}, \widehat{v}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty\right.$ as $\left.n \rightarrow-\infty\right\}$, and the residue field is $\bar{F}_{v}$.

### 3.5. DEFINITIONS.

1. A complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field is called a local field.

For example, $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $F((X))$ are local fields where $F$ is a perfect field (of positive or zero characteristic). Local fields with finite residue field are sometimes called local number fields if they are of characteristic zero and local functional fields if they are of positive characteristic.
2. Local fields are sometimes called 1-dimensional local fields. An n-dimensional local field $(n \geqslant 2)$ is a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field is an $(n-1)$-dimensional local field.

For example, $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\left(X_{2}\right)\right) \ldots\left(\left(X_{n}\right)\right), F\left(\left(X_{1}\right)\right) \ldots\left(\left(X_{n}\right)\right)(F$ is a perfect field $), K\left\{\left\{X_{1}\right\}\right\} \ldots\left\{\left\{X_{n-1}\right\}\right\}$ ( $K$ is a 1-dimensional local field of characteristic zero) are $n$-dimensional local fields.

## 4. Filtrations of Discrete Valuation Fields

In this section we study natural filtrations on the multiplicative group of a discrete valuation field $F$; in particular, its behaviour with respect to raising to the $p$ th power. For simplicity, we will often omit the index $v$ in notations $U_{v}, \mathscr{O}_{v}, \mathscr{M}_{v}, \bar{F}_{v}$. We fix a prime element $\pi$ of $F$.
4.1. A set $R$ is said to be a set of representatives for a valuation field $F$ if $R \subset \mathscr{O}, 0 \in R$ and $R$ is mapped bijectively on $\bar{F}$ under the canonical map $\mathscr{O} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O} / \mathscr{M}=\bar{F}$. Denote by rep: $\bar{F} \longrightarrow R$ the inverse bijective map. For a set $S$ denote by $(S)_{n}^{+\infty}$ the set of all sequences $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant n}, a_{i} \in S$. Let $(S)_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ denote the union of increasing sets $(S)_{n}^{+\infty}$ where $n \rightarrow-\infty$.
4.2. The additive group $F$ has a natural filtration

$$
\cdots \supset \pi^{i} \mathscr{O} \supset \pi^{i+1} \mathscr{O} \supset \ldots
$$

The factor filtration of this filtration is easy to calculate: $\pi^{i} \mathscr{O} / \pi^{i+1} \mathscr{O} \leftrightharpoons \bar{F}$.
Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete field with respect to a discrete valuation $v$. Let $\pi_{i} \in F$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an element of $F$ with $v\left(\pi_{i}\right)=i$. Then the map

$$
\operatorname{Rep}:(\bar{F})_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \longrightarrow F, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \operatorname{rep}\left(a_{i}\right) \pi_{i}
$$

is a bijection. Moreover, if $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \neq(0)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ then $v\left(\operatorname{Rep}\left(a_{i}\right)\right)=\min \left\{i: a_{i} \neq 0\right\}$.
Proof. The map Rep is well defined, because for almost all $i<0$ we get rep $\left(a_{i}\right)=0$ and the series $\sum \operatorname{rep}\left(a_{i}\right) \pi_{i}$ converges in $F$. If $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \neq\left(b_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and

$$
n=\min \left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}: a_{i} \neq b_{i}\right\},
$$

then $v\left(a_{n} \pi_{n}-b_{n} \pi_{n}\right)=n$. Since $v\left(a_{i} \pi_{i}-b_{i} \pi_{i}\right)>n$ for $i>n$, we deduce that

$$
v\left(\operatorname{Rep}\left(a_{i}\right)-\operatorname{Rep}\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=n .
$$

Therefore Rep is injective.
In particular, $v\left(\operatorname{Rep}\left(a_{i}\right)\right)=\min \left\{i: a_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Further, let $\alpha \in F$. Then $\alpha=\pi^{n} \varepsilon$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in U$. We also get $\alpha=\pi_{n} \varepsilon^{\prime}$ for some $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in U$. Let $a_{n}$ be the image of $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ in $\bar{F}$; then $a_{n} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{1}=\alpha-\operatorname{rep}\left(a_{n}\right) \pi_{n} \in \pi^{n+1} \mathscr{O}$. Continuing in this way for $\alpha_{1}$, we obtain a convergent series $\alpha=\sum \operatorname{rep}\left(a_{i}\right) \pi_{i}$. Therefore, Rep is surjective.

Corollary. We often take $\pi_{n}=\pi^{n}$. Therefore, by the preceding Proposition, every element $\alpha \in F$ can be uniquely expanded as

$$
\alpha=\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta_{i} \pi^{i}, \quad \theta_{i} \in R \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{i}=0 \quad \text { for almost all } i<0 .
$$

Definition. If $\alpha-\beta \in \pi^{n} \mathscr{O}$, we write $\alpha \equiv \beta \bmod \pi^{n}$.
4.3. Definitions. The group $1+\pi \mathscr{O}$ is called the group of principal units $U_{1}$ and its elements are called principal units. Introduce also higher groups of units as follows: $U_{i}=1+\pi^{i} \mathscr{O}$ for $i \geqslant 1$.
4.4. The multiplicative group $F^{\times}$has a natural filtration $F^{\times} \supset U \supset U_{1} \supset U_{2} \supset \ldots$.

Proposition. Let $F$ be a discrete valuation field. Then
(1) The choice of a prime element $\pi\left(1 \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \pi \in F^{\times}\right)$splits the exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow U \longrightarrow F^{\times} \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The group $F^{\times}$is isomorphic to $U \times \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) The canonical map $\mathscr{O} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O} / \mathscr{M}=\bar{F}$ induces the surjective homomorphism

$$
\lambda_{0}: U \longrightarrow \bar{F}^{\times}, \quad \varepsilon \mapsto \bar{\varepsilon} ;
$$

$\lambda_{0}$ maps $U / U_{1}$ isomorphically onto $\bar{F}^{\times}$.
(3) The map

$$
\lambda_{i}: U_{i} \longrightarrow \bar{F}, \quad 1+\alpha \pi^{i} \mapsto \bar{\alpha}
$$

for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}$ induces the isomorphism $\lambda_{i}$ of $U_{i} / U_{i+1}$ onto $\bar{F}$ for $i \geqslant 1$.
Proof. The statement (1) follows for example from Lemma (2.4).
(2) The kernel of $\lambda_{0}$ coincides with $U_{1}$ and $\lambda_{0}$ is surjective.
(3) The induced map $U_{i} / U_{i+1} \longrightarrow \bar{F}$ is a homomorphism, since

$$
\left(1+\alpha_{1} \pi^{i}\right)\left(1+\alpha_{2} \pi^{i}\right)=1+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \pi^{i}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \pi^{2 i}
$$

This homomorphism is bijective, since $\lambda_{i}\left(1+\operatorname{rep}(\bar{\alpha}) \pi^{i}\right)=\bar{\alpha}$.
4.5. Corollary. Let $l$ be not divisible by $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$. Raising to the lth power induces an automorphism of $U_{i} / U_{i+1}$ for $i \geqslant 1$.

If $F$ is complete, then the group $U_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$ is uniquely l-divisible.
Proof. If $\varepsilon=1+\alpha \pi^{i}$ with $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}$, then $\varepsilon^{l} \equiv 1+l \alpha \pi^{i} \bmod \pi^{i+1}$. Absence of nontrivial $l$-torsion in the additive group $\bar{F}$ implies the first property. It also shows that $U_{i}$ has no nontrivial $l$-torsion.

For an element $\eta=1+\beta \pi^{i}$ with $\beta \in \mathscr{O}^{\times}$we have $\eta=\left(1+l^{-1} \beta \pi^{i}\right)^{l} \eta_{1}$ with $\eta_{1} \in U_{i+1}$. Applying the same argument to $\eta_{1}$ and so on, we get an $l$ th root of $\eta$ in $F$ in the case of complete $F$.
4.6. Let $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$. Lemma (2.2) tells that either $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ or $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$. We shall study the operation of raising to the $p$ th power. Denote this homomorphism by

$$
\uparrow p: \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{p}
$$

The first and simplest case is $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$.
Proposition. Let $\operatorname{char}(F)=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$. Then the homomorphism $\uparrow p$ maps $U_{i}$ injectively into $U_{p i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$. For $i \geqslant 1$ it induces the commutative diagram


Proof. Since $\left(1+\varepsilon \pi^{i}\right)^{p}=1+\varepsilon^{p} \pi^{p i}$ and there is no nontrivial $p$-torsion in $\bar{F}^{\times}$and $F^{\times}$, the assertion follows.

Corollary. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $\bar{F}$ be perfect, i.e $\bar{F}=\bar{F}^{p}$. Then $\uparrow p$ maps the quotient group $U_{i} / U_{i+1}$ isomorphically onto the quotient group $U_{p i} / U_{p i+1}$ for $i \geqslant 1$.
4.7. We now consider the case of $\operatorname{char}(F)=0, \operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$. As $p=0$ in the residue field $\bar{F}$, we conclude that $p \in \mathscr{M}$ and, therefore, for the surjective discrete valuation $v$ of $F$ we get $v(p)=e \geqslant 1$.

DEFINITION. The number $e=e(F)=v(p)$ is called the absolute ramification index of $F$.
Let $\pi$ be a prime element in $F$. Let $R$ be a set of representatives, and let $\bar{\theta}_{0} \in \bar{F}$ be the element of $\bar{F}$ uniquely determined by the relation $p-\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\theta}_{0}\right) \pi^{e} \in \pi^{e+1} \mathscr{O}$.

Proposition. Let F be a discrete valuation field of characteristic zero with residue field of positive characteristic $p$. Then the homomorphism $\uparrow p$ maps $U_{i}$ to $U_{p i}$ for $i \leqslant e /(p-1)$, and $U_{i}$ to $U_{i+e}$ for $i>e /(p-1)$. This homomorphism induces the following commutative diagrams
(1) if $i<e /(p-1)$,

(2) if $i=e /(p-1)$ is an integer,

(3) if $i>e /(p-1)$,


The horizontal homomorphisms are injective in cases (1), (3) and surjective in case (3).
If a primitive pth root $\zeta_{p}$ of unity is contained in $F$, then $v\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)=e /(p-1)$ and the kernel of the horizontal homomorphisms in case (2) is of order $p$.

If e $/(p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}, U_{p e /(p-1)+1} \subset U_{e /(p-1)+1}^{p}$ and there is no nontrivial p-torsion in $F^{\times}$, then the homomorphism is injective in case (2).

Proof. Let $v(\alpha)=i$. Writing

$$
(1+\alpha)^{p}=1+p \alpha+\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \alpha^{2}+\cdots+p \alpha^{p-1}+\alpha^{p}
$$

and calculating $v(p \alpha)=e+i, v\left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \alpha^{2}\right)=e+2 i, \ldots, v\left(p \alpha^{p-1}\right)=e+(p-1) i, v\left(\alpha^{p}\right)=p i$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v\left((1+\alpha)^{p}-1\right)=v\left(\alpha^{p}+p \alpha\right), & \text { if } \quad v\left(\alpha^{p}\right) \neq v(p \alpha), \\
v\left((1+\alpha)^{p}-1\right) \geqslant v\left(\alpha^{p}+p \alpha\right), & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$

These formulas reveal the behaviour of $\uparrow p$ acting on the filtration in $U_{1}$, because $v\left(\alpha^{p}\right) \leqslant$ $v(p \alpha)$ if and only if $i \leqslant e /(p-1)$. Moreover, for a unit $\alpha$ we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left(1+\alpha \pi^{i}\right)^{p} & \equiv 1+\alpha^{p} \pi^{p i} \bmod \pi^{p i+1}, & & \text { if } i<e /(p-1) \\
\left(1+\alpha \pi^{i}\right)^{p} \equiv 1+\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\theta}_{0}\right) \alpha \pi^{i+e} \bmod \pi^{i+e+1}, & & \text { if } i>e /(p-1) \\
\left(1+\alpha \pi^{i}\right)^{p} \equiv 1+\left(\alpha^{p}+\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\theta}_{0}\right) \alpha\right) \pi^{p i} \bmod \pi^{p i+1}, & & \text { if } i=e /(p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we conclude that the diagrams in the Proposition are commutative. Further, the homomorphism $\uparrow p$ is an isomorphism in case (3) and injective in case (1).

Assume that $\zeta_{p} \in F$. The assertions obtained above imply that $v\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)=e /(p-1)$ and $e /(p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the homomorphism $\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{\alpha}^{p}+\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{0} \bar{\alpha}$ is not injective. Its kernel $\sqrt[p-1]{-\bar{\theta}_{0}} \mathbb{F}_{p}$ in this case is of order $p$.

Now let $e /(p-1)$ be an integer and let $U_{p e /(p-1)+1} \subset U_{e /(p-1)+1}^{p}$. Assume that the horizontal homomorphism in case (2) is not injective. Let $\bar{\alpha}_{0} \in \bar{F}$ satisfy the equation $\bar{\alpha}_{0}^{p}+\bar{\theta}_{0} \bar{\alpha}_{0}=0$. Then $\left(1+\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{0}\right) \pi^{e /(p-1)}\right)^{p} \in U_{j}$ for some $j>p e /(p-1)$. Therefore $\left(1+\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{0}\right) \pi^{e /(p-1)}\right)^{p}=\varepsilon_{1}^{p}$ for some $\varepsilon_{1} \in U_{e /(p-1)+1}$. Thus, $\left(1+\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{0}\right) \pi^{e /(p-1)}\right) \varepsilon_{1}^{-1} \in U_{e /(p-1)}$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity.
4.8. Corollary 1. Let $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$ and let $\bar{F}$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p>0$. Then $\uparrow p$ maps the quotient group $U_{i} / U_{i+1}$ isomorphically onto $U_{p i} / U_{p i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i<e /(p-1)$ and isomorphically onto $U_{i+e} / U_{i+e+1}$ for $i>e /(p-1)$.

Corollary 2. Let $F$ be a complete field. Let $i>p e /(p-1)$. Then $U_{i} \subset U_{i-e}^{p}$. Therefore, if $F^{\times}$has no nontrivial p-torsion then the homomorphism is injective in case (2).

In addition, if the residue field of $F$ is finite and $F$ contains no nontrivial pth roots of unity, then $U_{i} \subset U_{i-e}^{p}$ for $i \geqslant p e /(p-1)$.

Proof. Use the completeness of $F$. Due to the surjectivity of the homomorphisms in case (3) we get $U_{i} \subset U_{i+1} U_{i-e}^{p} \subset U_{i+2} U_{i-e}^{p} \subset \cdots \subset U_{i-e}^{p}$.

If the residue field of $F$ is finite, then the injectivity of the homomorphism in case (2) implies its surjectivity.
4.9. Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field.

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then $F^{\times n}$ is an open subgroup in $F^{\times}$for $n \geqslant 1$. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=p>0$, then $F^{\times n}$ is an open subgroup in $F^{\times}$if and only if $n$ is relatively prime to $p$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=0$, then by Corollary (4.5) we get $U_{1} \subset F^{\times n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$. It means that $F^{\times n}$ is open. If $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p$, then by Corollary (4.5) $U_{1} \subset F^{\times n}$ for $(n, p)=1$ and $F^{\times n}$ is open. In this case, if $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, then by Proposition (4.6) $1+\pi^{i} \notin F^{\times p}$ for $(i, p)=1$. Then $F^{\times p}$ is not open. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then using Corollary 2 of (4.8) we obtain $U_{i} \subset F^{\times p^{m}}$ when $i>p e /(p-1)+(m-1) e$. Therefore $F^{\times n}$ is open for $n \geqslant 1$.

This Proposition demonstrates that topological properties are closely connected with the algebraic ones for complete discrete valuation fields of characteristic 0 with residue field of characteristic $p$. This is not the case when $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$.
4.10. Finally, we deduce a multiplicative analog of the expansion in Proposition (4.2).

Proposition. (Hensel) Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field. Let $R$ be a set of representatives and let $\pi_{i}$ be as in (4.2). Then for $\alpha \in F^{\times}$there exist uniquely determined $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \theta_{i} \in R$, $\theta_{0} \in R^{\times}$for $i \geqslant 0$, such that $\alpha$ can be expanded in the convergent product

$$
\alpha=\pi^{n} \theta_{0} \prod_{i \geqslant 1}\left(1+\theta_{i} \pi_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of $n$ and $\theta_{0}$ immediately follow from Proposition (4.4). Assume that $\varepsilon \in U_{m}$, then, using Proposition (4.2), one can find $\theta_{m} \in R$ with $\varepsilon\left(1+\theta_{m} \pi_{m}\right)^{-1} \in$ $U_{m+1}$. Proceeding by induction, we obtain an expansion of $\alpha$ in a convergent product. If there are two such expansions $\Pi\left(1+\theta_{i} \pi_{i}\right)=\Pi\left(1+\theta_{i}^{\prime} \pi_{i}\right)$, then the residues $\bar{\theta}_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}^{\prime}$ coincide in $\bar{F}$. Thus, $\theta_{i}=\theta_{i}^{\prime}$.

## 5. Group of Principal Units as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module

We study $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-structure of the group of principal units of a complete discrete valuation field $F$ with residue field $\bar{F}$ of characteristic $p>0$ by using convergent series and results of the previous section. Everywhere in this section $F$ is a complete discrete valuation field with residue field of positive characteristic $p$.

Let $A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module endowed with a topology compatible with the structure of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ module and the $p$-adic topology of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. A set $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of $A$ is called a set of topological generators of $A$ if every element of $A$ is a limit of a convergent sequence of elements of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ submodule of $A$ generated by this set. A set of topological generators is called a topological basis if for every $j \in I$ and every non-zero $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ the element $c a_{j}$ is not a limit of a convergent sequence of elements of the submodule of $A$ generated by $\left\{a_{i}: i \neq j\right\}$.
5.1. Propositions (4.6), (4.7) imply that $\varepsilon^{p^{n}} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ for $\varepsilon \in U_{1}$. This enables us to write

$$
\varepsilon^{a}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon^{a_{n}} \quad \text { if } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \quad a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Lemma. Let $\varepsilon \in U_{1}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then $\varepsilon^{a} \in U_{1}$ is well defined and $\varepsilon^{a+b}=\varepsilon^{a} \varepsilon^{b}, \varepsilon^{a b}=\left(\varepsilon^{a}\right)^{b}$, $(\varepsilon \eta)^{a}=\varepsilon^{a} \eta^{a}$ for $\varepsilon, \eta \in U_{1}, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The multiplicative group $U_{1}$ is $a \mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module under the operation of raising to a power. Moreover, the structure of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module $U_{1}$ is compatible with the topologies of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $U_{1}$.

Proof. Assume that $\lim a_{n}=\lim b_{n}$; hence $a_{n}-b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\lim \varepsilon^{a_{n}-b_{n}}=1$. Propositions (4.6), (4.7) show that a map $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \times U_{1} \rightarrow U_{1}\left((a, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \varepsilon^{a}\right)$ is continuous with respect to the
$p$-adic topology on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and the discrete valuation topology on $U_{1}$. This argument can be applied to verify the other assertions of the Lemma.
5.2. Proposition. Let $F$ be of characteristic $p$ with perfect residue field. Let $R$ be a set of representatives, and let $R_{0}$ be a subset of it such that the residues of its elements in $\bar{F}$ form a basis of $\bar{F}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let an index-set J numerate the elements of $R_{0}$. Assume that $\pi_{i}$ are as in (4.2). Let $v_{p}$ be the p-adic valuation.

Then every element $\alpha \in U_{1}$ can be uniquely represented as a convergent product

$$
\alpha=\prod_{\substack{(i, p)=1 \\ i>0}} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{a_{i j}}
$$

where $\theta_{j} \in R_{0}, a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and the sets $J_{i, c}=\left\{j \in J: v_{p}\left(a_{i j}\right) \leqslant c\right\}$ are finite for all $c \geqslant 0,(i, p)=1$.

Proof. We first show that the element $\alpha$ can be written modulo $U_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$ in the desired form with $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Proceeding by induction, it will suffice to consider an element $\varepsilon \in U_{n}$ modulo $U_{n+1}$. Let $\varepsilon \equiv 1+\theta \pi_{n} \bmod U_{n+1}, \theta \in R$. If $(n, p)=1$, then one can find $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m} \in R_{0}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1+\theta \pi_{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(1+\theta_{k} \pi_{n}\right)^{b_{k}} \bmod U_{n+1}$ for some $m$. If $n=p^{s} n^{\prime}$ with an integer $n^{\prime}$, $\left(n^{\prime}, p\right)=1$, then using the Corollary of (4.6), one can find $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m} \in R_{0}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1+\theta \pi_{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(1+\theta_{k} \pi_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{s} b_{k}} \bmod U_{n+1}$ for some $m$. Now due to the continuity we get the desired expression for $\alpha \in U_{1}$ with the above conditions on the sets $J_{i, c}$.

Assume that there is a convergent product for 1 with $\theta_{j}, a_{i j}$. Let $\left(i_{0}, p\right)=1$ and $j_{0} \in J$ be such that $n=p^{v_{p}\left(a_{i_{0} j_{0}}\right)} i_{0} \leqslant p^{v_{p}\left(a_{i j}\right)} i$ for all $(i, p)=1, j \in J$. Then the choice of $R_{0}$ and (4.5), (4.6) imply $\Pi\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{a_{i j}} \notin U_{n+1}$, which concludes the proof.

Corollary. The $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module group $U_{1}$ has a topological basis $1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}$ where where $\theta_{j} \in R_{0}$, $(i, p)=1$.
5.3. Let's have an additional look at the horizontal homomorphism

$$
\psi: \bar{F} \longrightarrow \bar{F}, \quad \bar{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{\alpha}^{p}+\bar{\theta}_{0} \bar{\alpha}
$$

of case (2) in Proposition (4.7).
Suppose that a primitive $p$ th root of unity $\zeta_{p}$ belongs to $F$ and

$$
\zeta_{p} \equiv 1+\operatorname{rep}\left(\bar{\theta}_{1}\right) \pi^{e /(p-1)} \quad \bmod \pi^{e /(p-1)+1}
$$

$\left(v\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)=e /(p-1)\right.$ according to Proposition (4.7)). As $\bar{\theta}_{1} \in \operatorname{ker} \psi$, we conclude that $\psi(\bar{\alpha})=$ $\bar{\theta}_{1}^{p}\left(\eta^{p}-\eta\right)$ where $\eta=\bar{\alpha} \bar{\theta}_{1}^{-1}$. The homomorphism $\eta \mapsto \eta^{p}-\eta$ is usually denoted by $\wp$. In this terminology we get $\psi(\bar{F})=\bar{\theta}_{1}^{p} \wp(\bar{F})$.

The theory of 10.6 extensions sets a correspondence between abelian extensions of exponent $p$ and subgroups of $\bar{F} / \wp(\bar{F})$. In particular, if $\bar{F}$ is finite, then the cardinalities of the kernel of $\psi$ and of the cokernel of $\psi$ coincide. In this simple case $\psi(\bar{F})=\bar{F}$ if and only if there is no nontrivial $p$-torsion in $F^{\times}$, and $\psi(\bar{F})$ is of index $p$ if and only if $\zeta_{p} \in F^{\times}$(see (4.7)). The homomorphism $\wp$ plays an important role in class field theory.
5.4. Proposition. Let $F$ be of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field of characteristic $p$. Let $\pi_{i}$ be as in (4.2). If $e=v(p)$ is divisible by $p-1$, let $\psi: \bar{F} \longrightarrow \bar{F}$ be the map introduced in (5.3).

Let $R$ be a set of representatives and let $R_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.R_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ be a subset of it such that the residues of its elements in $\bar{F}$ form a basis of $\bar{F}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ (resp. form a basis of $\bar{F} / \psi(\bar{F})$ as $a \mathbb{F}_{p}$-module). Let the index-set $J$ (resp. $J^{\prime}$ ) numerate the elements of $R_{0}$ (resp. $R_{0}^{\prime}$ ). Let

$$
I=\{i: i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leqslant i<p e /(p-1),(i, p)=1\} .
$$

Let $v_{p}$ be the p-adic valuation.
Then every element $\alpha \in U_{1}$ can be represented as a convergent product

$$
\alpha=\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{a_{i j}} \prod_{j \in J^{\prime}}\left(1+\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}\right)^{a_{j}}
$$

where $\theta_{j} \in R_{0}, \eta_{j} \in R_{0}^{\prime}, a_{i j}, a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (the second product occurs when $e /(p-1)$ is an integer) and the sets

$$
J_{i, c}=\left\{j \in J: v_{p}\left(a_{i j}\right) \leqslant c\right\}, \quad J_{c}^{\prime}=\left\{j \in J^{\prime}: v_{p}\left(a_{j}\right) \leqslant c\right\}
$$

are finite for all $c \geqslant 0, i \in I$.
Proof. We shall show how to obtain the required form for $\varepsilon \in U_{n}$ modulo $U_{n+1}$. Put $\pi_{n}=\pi^{n}$ for $n=p e /(p-1)$. Let $\varepsilon=1+\theta \pi_{n} \bmod U_{n+1}, \theta \in R$. There are four cases to consider:
(1) $n \in I$. One can find $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m} \in R_{0}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the congruence $1+\theta \pi_{n} \equiv$ $\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(1+\theta_{k} \pi_{n}\right)^{b_{k}} \bmod U_{n+1}$ for some $m$.
(2) $n<p e /(p-1), n=p^{s} n^{\prime}$ with $n^{\prime} \in I$. Corollary 1 in (4.8) and (4.5) show that there exist $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m} \in R_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
1+\theta \pi_{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(1+\theta_{k} \pi_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{s} b_{k}} \quad \bmod U_{n+1} \quad \text { for some } m
$$

(3) $e /(p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}, n=p e /(p-1)$. Proposition (4.7) and (4.5) and the definition of $R_{0}^{\prime}$ imply that if $n=p^{s} n^{\prime}$ with $n^{\prime} \in I$, then there exist $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m} \in R_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{r} \in R_{0}^{\prime}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
1+\theta \pi_{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(1+\theta_{k} \pi_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{s} b_{k}} \prod_{l=1}^{r}\left(1+\eta_{l} \pi_{n}\right)^{c_{l}} \quad \bmod U_{n+1} \quad \text { for some } m, r .
$$

(4) $n>p e /(p-1)$. Proposition (4.7) and Corollary 1 in (4.8) imply that if $d=\min \{d$ : $n-d e \leqslant p e /(p-1)\}$ and $n^{\prime}=n-d e$, then

$$
1+\theta \pi_{n} \equiv\left(1+\theta^{\prime} \pi_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{d}} \quad \bmod U_{n+1} \quad \text { for some } \theta^{\prime} \in R
$$

Now applying the arguments of the preceding cases to $1+\theta^{\prime} \pi_{n^{\prime}}$, we can write $1+\theta \pi_{n} \bmod U_{n+1}$ in the required form.
5.5. From Proposition (4.7) we deduce that $F$ contains finitely many roots of unity of order a power of $p$.

Corollary. Let $F$ be of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field of characteristic $p$.
(1) If $F$ does not contain nontrivial pth roots of unity then the representation in Proposition (5.4) is unique. Therefore the elements $1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}, 1+\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}$ of Proposition (5.4) form a topological basis of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module $U_{1, F}$.
(2) If $F$ contains a nontrivial pth root of unity let $r$ be the maximal integer such that $F$ contains a primitive $p^{r}$ th root of unity. Then the numbers $a_{i j}, a_{j}$ of Proposition (5.4) are determined uniquely modulo $p^{r}$. Therefore the images of the elements $1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}, 1+$ $\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}$ of Proposition (5.4) form a topological basis of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{r} \mathbb{Z}$-module $U_{1, F} / U_{1, F}^{p^{r}}$.
(3) If the residue field of $F$ is finite then $U_{1}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ module of rank ef and its torsion part, where $f$ is the dimension of $\bar{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Proof. (1) All horizontal homomorphisms of the diagrams in Proposition (4.7) are injective when $\zeta_{p} \notin F$. Repeating the arguments for uniqueness from the proof of Proposition (5.2), we get the first assertion of the Corollary.
(2) We can argue by induction on $r$ and explain the induction step. Write a primitive $p^{r}$ th root $\zeta_{p^{r}}$ in the form of Proposition (5.4)

$$
\zeta_{p^{r}}=\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{c_{i j}} \prod_{j \in J^{\prime}}\left(1+\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}\right)^{c_{j}}
$$

and raise the expression to the $p^{r}$ th power which demonstrates the non-uniqueness of the expansion in Proposition (5.4).

Now if

$$
1=\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{a_{i j}} \prod_{j \in J^{\prime}}\left(1+\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}\right)^{a_{j}}
$$

then by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition (5.2) we deduce that $a_{i j}=p b_{i j}, a_{j}=p b_{j}$ with $p$-adic integers $b_{i j}, b_{j}$. Then

$$
\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{b_{i j}} \prod_{j \in J^{\prime}}\left(1+\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}\right)^{b_{j}}
$$

is a $p$ th root of unity, and so is equal to

$$
\left(\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{c_{i j}} \prod_{j \in J^{\prime}}\left(1+\eta_{j} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}\right)^{c_{j}}\right)^{p^{r-1} c}
$$

for some integer $c$. Now by the induction assumption all $b_{i j}-p^{r-1} c c_{i j}, b_{j}-p^{r-1} c c_{j}$ are divisible by $p^{r-1}$. Thus, all $a_{i j}, a_{j}$ are divisible by $p^{r}$.
(3) If the residue field of $F$ is finite then $U_{1}$ is a module of finite type over the principal ideal domain $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Note that the group $\wp(\bar{F})$ is of index $p$ in $\bar{F}$ because $\bar{F}$ is finite (see (5.3)). If the $p$-torsion of $F^{\times}$is of order $p^{r}$, we replace $1+\eta_{1} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}$ with a primitive $p^{r}$ th root of unity. The cardinality of $I$ is equal to $e=[p e /(p-1)]-[[p e /(p-1)] / p]$.

## 6. Set of Multiplicative Representatives

Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field. We shall introduce a special set $\mathscr{R}$ of multiplicative representatives which is closed with respect to multiplication. We will describe coefficients of the sum and product of convergent power series with multiplicative representatives.
6.1. Assume that $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$.

Let $a \in \bar{F}$. An element $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}$ is said to be a multiplicative representative (Teichmüller representative) of $a$ if $\bar{\alpha}=a$ and $\alpha \in \cap_{m \geqslant 0} F^{p^{m}}$. This definition is justified by the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION. An element $a \in \bar{F}$ has a multiplicative representative if and only if $a \in \underset{m \geqslant 0}{\cap} \bar{F}^{p^{m}}$. A multiplicative representative for such $a$ is unique. If $a$ and $b$ have the multiplicative representatives $\alpha$ and $\beta$, then $\alpha \beta$ is the multiplicative representative of $a b$.

Proof. We need the following Lemma.
6.2. Lemma. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{O}$ and $v(\alpha-\beta) \geqslant m$, $m>0$. Then

$$
v\left(\alpha^{p^{n}}-\beta^{p^{n}}\right) \geqslant n+m
$$

Proof. Put $\alpha=\beta+\pi^{m} \gamma$; then $\alpha^{p}=\beta^{p}+p \beta^{p-1} \pi^{m} \gamma+\cdots+p \beta\left(\pi^{m} \gamma\right)^{p-1}+\pi^{p m} \gamma^{p}$, and as $v(p) \geqslant$ 1 (recall $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p$ ), we have $v\left(p \beta^{p-1} \pi^{m} \gamma\right) \geqslant m+1, \ldots, v\left(\pi^{p m} \gamma^{p}\right) \geqslant m+1$, and $\alpha^{p}-\beta^{p} \in$ $\pi^{m+1} \mathscr{O}$. Now the required assertion follows by induction.

To prove the first assertion of the Proposition, suppose that $a \in \underset{m \geqslant 0}{\cap} \bar{F} p^{p^{m}}$. Since $\bar{F}$ has no nontrivial $p$-torsion, there exist unique elements $a_{m} \in \bar{F}$ satisfying the equations $a_{m}^{p^{m}}=a$. Let $\beta_{m} \in$ $\mathscr{O}$ be such that $\bar{\beta}_{m}=a_{m}$. Then $\overline{\beta_{m+1}^{p}}=\bar{\beta}_{m}$ and $v\left(\beta_{m+1}^{p}-\beta_{m}\right) \geqslant 1$. Lemma (6.2) implies $v\left(\beta_{m+1}^{p^{n+1}}-\right.$ $\left.\beta_{m}^{p^{n}}\right) \geqslant n+1$. Hence, the sequence $\left(\beta_{m}^{p^{m-n}}\right)_{m \geqslant n}$ is Cauchy. It has the limit $\alpha_{n}=\lim \beta_{m}^{p^{m-n}} \in \mathscr{O}$. We see that $\alpha_{n}^{p^{n}}=\alpha_{0}$ for $n \geqslant 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{0}=a$, i.e., $\alpha_{0}$ is a multiplicative representative of $a$. Conversely, if $a \in \bar{F}$ has a multiplicative representative $\alpha$, then $\bar{\alpha} \in \underset{m \geqslant 0}{\cap} \bar{F}^{p^{m}}$.

Furthermore, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are multiplicative representatives of $a \in \bar{F}$, then writing $\alpha=\alpha_{m}^{p^{m}}, \beta=$ $\beta_{m}^{p^{m}}$ for some $\alpha_{m}, \beta_{m} \in \mathscr{O}$, we have $\bar{\alpha}_{m}^{p^{m}}=\bar{\beta}_{m}^{p^{m}}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{m}=\bar{\beta}_{m}$ because of the injectivity of $\uparrow p^{m}$ in $\bar{F}$. Now Lemma (6.2) implies $v(\alpha-\beta) \geqslant m+1$, hence $\alpha=\beta$.

Finally, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the multiplicative representatives of $a$ and $b$, then $\overline{\alpha \beta}=a b$ and $\alpha \beta \in$ $\cap_{m \geqslant 0} F^{p^{m}}$. Therefore, $\alpha \beta$ is the multiplicative representative of $a b$.
6.3. Denote the set of multiplicative representatives in $\mathscr{O}$ by $\mathscr{R}$.

Corollary 1. If $\bar{F}$ is perfect (i.e. $F$ is a local field) then every element of $\bar{F}$ has its multiplicative representative in $\mathscr{R}$. The map $r: \bar{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{R}$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{F}^{\times} \leadsto \mathscr{R} \backslash\{0\}$. The correspondence $r: \bar{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{R}$ is called the Teichmüller map.

If $\bar{F}$ is finite then $\mathscr{R} \backslash\{0\}$ is a cyclic group of order equal to $|\bar{F}|-1$.

Corollary 2. Let $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$. If $\alpha, \beta$ are the multiplicative representatives of $a, b \in \bar{F}$, then $\alpha+\beta$ is the multiplicative representative of $a+b$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=\alpha_{m}^{p^{m}}, \beta=\beta_{m}^{p^{m}}$. Then $\alpha+\beta=\left(\alpha_{m}+\beta_{m}\right)^{p^{m}}$, hence $\alpha+\beta \in \underset{m \geqslant 0}{\cap} F^{p^{m}}$ and $\overline{\alpha+\beta}=$ $a+b$.
6.4. Consider the case where $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$ and $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p$. Suppose that we have two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{O}$, and ( $\pi$ is a prime element)

$$
\alpha=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} \theta_{i} \pi^{i}, \quad \beta=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} \eta_{i} \pi^{i}
$$

with $\theta_{i}, \eta_{i} \in \mathscr{R}$. Suppose also that $\alpha+\beta$ and $\alpha \beta$ are written in the form

$$
\alpha+\beta=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} \rho_{i}^{(+)} \pi^{i}, \quad \alpha \beta=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} \rho_{i}^{(\times)} \pi^{i}
$$

and $\rho_{i}{ }^{(+)}, \rho_{i}{ }^{(\times)} \in \mathscr{R}$.
Corollary (4.2) implies that $\rho_{i}^{(+)}, \rho_{i}^{(\times)}$are uniquely determined by $\theta_{i}, \eta_{i}$. Let's find out the dependence of $\rho_{n}^{(+)}, \rho_{n}^{(\times)}$on $\theta_{i}, \eta_{i}, i \leqslant n$. In order to obtain a polynomial relation we introduce elements $\theta_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \eta_{i}=\xi_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \rho_{i}^{(*)}=\lambda_{i}^{(*) p^{n-i}}$ for $\varepsilon_{i}, \xi_{i}, \lambda_{i}^{(*)} \in \mathscr{R}$ and $*=+$ or $*=\times, i \geqslant 0$.

Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi^{i} \varepsilon_{i}^{p^{n-i}}\right) *\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi^{i} \xi_{i}^{p^{n-i}}\right) \equiv\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi^{i} \lambda_{i}^{(*) p^{n-i}}\right) \quad \bmod \pi^{n+1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $*=+$ or $*=\times$. We see that if the residues $\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}, \bar{\xi}_{i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $\overline{\lambda_{i}^{(*)}}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ are known, then by using Lemma (6.2) we can calculate $\pi^{i} \varepsilon_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \pi^{i} \xi_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \pi^{i} \lambda_{i}^{p^{n-i}} \bmod \pi^{n+1}$. Hence, $\overline{\lambda_{n}^{(*)}}$ are uniquely determined from $(*)$.
6.5. Let $A=\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots\right]$ be the ring of polynomials in variables $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}$. Introduce polynomials

$$
W_{n}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} p^{i} X_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \quad n \geqslant 0
$$

In particular, $W_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=X_{0}, W_{1}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=X_{0}^{p}+p X_{1}$, and

$$
W_{n}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=p^{n} X_{n}+W_{n-1}\left(X_{0}^{p}, \ldots, X_{n-1}^{p}\right)
$$

Proposition. There exist unique polynomials

$$
\omega_{n}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right) \in A, n \geqslant 0
$$

satisfying the equations

$$
W_{n}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) * W_{n}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)=W_{n}\left(\omega_{0}^{(*)}, \ldots, \omega_{n}^{(*)}\right)
$$

for $n \geqslant 0$, where $*=+$ or $*=\times$.
Moreover, the polynomial

$$
\omega_{n}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)^{p}-\omega_{n}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}^{p}, \ldots, X_{n}^{p}, Y_{0}^{p}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{p}\right)
$$

belongs to $p A$.

Proof. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{0}^{(+)}=X_{0}+Y_{0}, \quad \omega_{1}^{(+)}=X_{1}+Y_{1}+\left(X_{0}^{p}+Y_{0}^{p}-\left(X_{0}+Y_{0}\right)^{p}\right) / p \\
& \omega_{0}^{(\times)}=X_{0} Y_{0}, \quad \omega_{1}^{(\times)}=X_{1} Y_{0}^{p}+Y_{1} X_{0}^{p}+p X_{1} Y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume now that $\omega_{i}^{(*)} \in A$ and the second assertion of the Proposition holds for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, and proceed by induction.

For a suitable polynomial $f_{n}^{*} \in A$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{n} \omega_{n}^{(*)} & =W_{n-1}\left(X_{0}^{p}, \ldots, X_{n-1}^{p}\right) * W_{n-1}\left(Y_{0}^{p}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}^{p}\right)  \tag{**}\\
& -W_{n-1}\left(\omega_{0}^{(*)^{p}}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}^{(*)}\right)+p^{n} f_{n}^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

For example, $f_{n}^{+}=X_{n}+Y_{n}$.
For any $g \in A$ we get

$$
g\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, \ldots\right)^{p}-g\left(X_{0}^{p}, Y_{0}^{p}, \ldots\right) \in p A
$$

and

$$
g\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, \ldots\right)^{p^{m}}-g\left(X_{0}^{p}, Y_{0}^{p}, \ldots\right)^{p^{m-1}} \in p^{m} A
$$

for $m \geqslant 0$.
Using the second assertion of the Proposition for $i<n$ and Lemma (6.2) we now deduce that

$$
W_{n-1}\left(\omega_{0}^{(*) p}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}^{(*) p}\right)-W_{n-1}\left(\omega_{0}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}^{p}, Y_{0}^{p}\right), \ldots, \omega_{n-1}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}^{p}, \ldots, Y_{0}^{p}, \ldots\right)\right) \in p^{n} A
$$

From it and from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n-1}\left(X_{0}^{p}, \ldots, X_{n}^{p}\right) * W_{n-1}\left(Y_{0}^{p}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}^{p}\right) \\
& =W_{n-1}\left(\omega_{0}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}^{p}, Y_{0}^{p}\right), \ldots, \omega_{n-1}^{(*)}\left(X_{0}^{p}, \ldots, Y_{0}^{p}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using $(* *)$ we conclude that $\omega_{n}^{(*)} \in A$.
The last assertion of the Proposition now follows from the first congruence for $g$ above.
6.6. We now return to the original problem to find an expression for $\rho_{i}^{(*)}$.

PROPOSITION. Let $\left(\sum \theta_{i} p^{i}\right) *\left(\sum \eta_{i} p^{i}\right)=\sum \rho_{i}^{(*)} p^{i}$ with $\theta_{i}, \eta_{i}, \rho_{i}^{(*)} \in \mathscr{R}$ and $*=+$ or $*=\times$. Then

$$
\rho_{i}^{(*)} \equiv \omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\theta_{0}^{p^{-i}}, \theta_{1}^{p^{-i+1}}, \ldots, \theta_{i}, \eta_{0}^{p^{-i}}, \eta_{1}^{p^{-i+1}}, \ldots, \eta_{i}\right) \quad \bmod p, \quad i \geqslant 0
$$

where $\omega_{i}^{(*)}$ are defined in (6.5).
Proof. Assume that the assertion of the Proposition holds for $i \leqslant n-1$. Using notations of (6.4) this means that

$$
\lambda_{i}^{(*) p^{n-i}} \equiv \omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{p^{n-i}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \xi_{0}^{p^{n-i}}, \ldots, \xi_{i}^{p^{n-i}}\right) \quad \bmod p, \quad i \leqslant n-1
$$

From Proposition (6.5) we obtain that for $i \leqslant n-1$

$$
\omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{p^{n-i}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \xi_{0}^{p^{n-i}}, \ldots, \xi_{i}^{p^{n-i}}\right) \equiv \omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}, \xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{i}\right)^{p^{n-i}} \bmod p
$$

Hence

$$
\lambda_{i}^{(*)} \equiv \omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}, \xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{i}\right) \quad \bmod p, \quad i \leqslant n-1
$$

From (*) in (6.4) we know

$$
W_{n}\left(\lambda_{0}^{(*)}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{(*)}\right) \equiv W_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) * W_{n}\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \quad \bmod p^{n+1}
$$

By Lemma (6.2) we have

$$
p^{i} \lambda_{i}^{(*)}{p^{n-i}}_{\equiv p^{i} \omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}, \xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{i}\right)^{p^{n-i}} \quad \bmod p^{n+1}, \quad i \leqslant n-1 . . . . ~}^{\text {. }}
$$

Therefore

$$
p^{n} \lambda_{n}^{(*)} \equiv p^{n} \omega_{n}^{(*)}\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}, \xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \quad \bmod p^{n+1}
$$

which implies the assertion.
Corollary 1. Let $\left(\sum \theta_{i}^{p^{-i}} p^{i}\right) *\left(\sum \eta_{i}^{p^{-i}} p^{i}\right)=\sum \rho_{i}^{(*) p^{-i}} p^{i}$ with $\theta_{i}, \eta_{i}, \rho_{i}^{(*)} \in \mathscr{R}, *=+$ or $*=\times$. Then

$$
\rho_{i}^{(*)} \equiv \omega_{i}^{(*)}\left(\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{i}, \eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{i}\right) \quad \bmod p
$$

Proof. In fact, this has already been shown in the proof of the Proposition.

$$
\text { COROLLARY 2. If }\left(\sum \theta_{i} p^{i}\right) *\left(\sum \eta_{i} p^{i}\right)=\sum \rho_{i}^{(*)} p^{i} \text { then }\left(\sum \theta_{i}^{p} p^{i}\right) *\left(\sum \eta_{i}^{p} p^{i}\right)=\sum \rho_{i}^{(*) p} p^{i}
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the Proposition and the last assertion of Proposition (6.5).

## 7. Witt Ring

Witt vectors over a perfect field $K$ of positive characteristic $p$ form the ring of integers of a local field with prime element $p$ and residue field $K$.
7.1. Let $B$ be an arbitrary commutative ring with unity. Let the polynomials

$$
W_{n}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} p^{i} X_{i}^{p^{n-i}}, \quad n \geqslant 0
$$

over $B$ be the images of the polynomials $W_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ defined in (6.5) under the natural homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow B$.

For $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$, put

$$
\left(a^{(i)}\right)=\left(W_{0}\left(a_{0}\right), W_{1}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right), \ldots\right) \in(B)_{0}^{+\infty}
$$

The sequences $\left(a_{i}\right) \in(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ are called Witt vectors (or, more generally, $p$-Witt vectors), and the $a^{(i)}$ for $i \geqslant 0$ are called the ghost components of the Witt vector $\left(a_{i}\right)$.

The map $\left(a_{i}\right) \mapsto\left(a^{(i)}\right)$ is a bijection of $(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ onto $(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ if $p$ is invertible in $B$.
Transfer the ring structure of $\left(a^{(i)}\right) \in(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ under the natural componentwise addition and multiplication on $\left(a_{i}\right) \in(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$. Then for $\left(a_{i}\right),\left(b_{i}\right) \in(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ we get

$$
\left(a_{i}\right) *\left(b_{i}\right)=\left(\omega_{0}^{(*)}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right), \omega_{1}^{(*)}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, b_{0}, b_{1}\right), \ldots\right)
$$

for $*=+$ or $*=\times$, where the polynomial $\omega_{i}^{(*)}$ is the image of the polynomial

$$
\omega_{i}^{(*)} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots\right]
$$

under the canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow B$.
If $p$ is invertible in $B$, then the set of Witt vectors is clearly a commutative ring under the operations defined above. In the general case, when $p$ is not invertible in $B$, the property of the set $(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ of being a commutative ring under the operations,$+ \times$ defined above can be expressed via certain equations for the coefficients of the polynomials $\omega_{i}^{(*)} \in B\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots\right]$. This implies that if a ring $B$ satisfies these conditions, then the same is true for a subring, quotient ring and the polynominal ring. Since every ring can be obtained in this way from a ring $\mathscr{B}$ in which $p$ is invertible, one deduces that under the image in $B$ of the above defined operations for $\mathscr{B}$ the set $(B)_{0}^{+\infty}$ is a commutative ring with the unity $(1,0,0, \ldots)$. This ring is called the Witt ring of $B$ and is denoted by $W(B)$. It is easy to verify that if $B$ is an integral domain, then $W(B)$ is an integral domain as well.
7.2. Assume from now on that $p=0$ in $B$.

LEMMA. Define the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}: B \longrightarrow W(B), \\
& \mathbf{V}: W(B) \longrightarrow W(B) \quad(\text { the "Verschiebung", i.e. "shift" map }) \\
& \mathbf{F}: W(B) \longrightarrow W(B) \quad \text { (the "Frobenius" map })
\end{aligned}
$$

by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}(a)=(a, 0,0, \ldots) \in W(B) \\
& \mathbf{V}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)=\left(0, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right) \\
& \mathbf{F}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)=\left(a_{0}^{p}, a_{1}^{p}, \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}(a b)=r_{0}(a) r_{0}(b) \\
& \mathbf{F}(\alpha+\beta)=\mathbf{F}(\alpha)+\mathbf{F}(\beta), \mathbf{F}(\alpha \beta)=\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \mathbf{F}(\beta) \\
& \mathbf{V}(\alpha+\beta)=\mathbf{V}(\alpha)+\mathbf{V}(\beta), \quad \mathbf{V F}(\alpha)=\mathbf{F} \mathbf{V}(\alpha)=p \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in W(B)$.
Proof. All these properties can be deduced from properties of $\omega_{i}^{(*)}$.
The map $\mathbf{F}-\mathrm{id}$ is often denoted by $\wp: W(B) \longrightarrow W(B)$.
Put $W_{n}(B)=W(B) / \mathbf{V}^{n} W(B)$. This is a ring consisting of finite sequences $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$.
7.3. The following assertion is of great importance, since it provides a construction of a local field of characteristic zero with prime element $p$ and given perfect residue field $K$.

Proposition. Let $K$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p$. For a Witt vector $\alpha=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right) \in$ $W(K) p u t$

$$
v(\alpha)=\min \left\{i: a_{i} \neq 0\right\} \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha \neq 0, \quad v(0)=+\infty
$$

Let $F_{0}$ be the field of fractions of $W(K)$ and $v: F_{0}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the extension of $v$ from $W(K)$ $\left(v\left(\alpha \beta^{-1}\right)=v(\alpha)-v(\beta)\right)$.

Then $v$ is a discrete valuation on $F_{0}$ and $F_{0}$ is a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with ring of integers $W(K)$, prime element $p$, and residue field isomorphic to $K$. The set of multiplicative representatives in $F_{0}$ coincides with $r_{0}(K)$ and the map $r_{0}$ with the Teichmüller map $K \longrightarrow W(K)$.

Proof. If $\alpha=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{m \text { times }}, \ldots), \beta=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n \text { times }}, \ldots)$, then using the properties of the polynomials $\omega_{i}^{(*)}$, we get

$$
\alpha+\beta=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{l \text { times }}, \ldots), \quad \alpha \beta=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n+m \text { times }}, \ldots)
$$

with $l \geqslant \min (m, n)$. Hence, the extension of $v$ to $F_{0}$ is a discrete valuation.
Note that $p=(0,1,0, \ldots) \in W(K)$ and $p^{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ with respect to $v$. Since $K$ is perfect, by Lemma (7.2) one can write an element $\alpha=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right) \in W(K)$ as the convergent sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\left(a_{0}, 0,0, \ldots\right)+\left(0, a_{1}, 0, \ldots\right)+\cdots=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_{0}\left(a_{i}^{p^{-i}}\right) p^{i} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, such expressions for Witt vectors are compatible with addition and multiplication in $W(K)$.

We also obtain that $W(K)$ is complete with respect to $v$, and if $v(\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha \in W(K)$, then $\alpha^{-1} \in W(K)$. Consequently, $v(\alpha) \geqslant v(\beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in W(K)$ implies $\alpha \beta^{-1} \in W(K)$, i.e., the ring of integers coincides with $W(K)$ and $F_{0}$ is complete. The maximal ideal of $W(K)$ is $\mathbf{V} W(K)$ and the residue field is isomorphic to $K$.

Finally, $r_{0}(K)=\bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} F_{0}^{p^{n}}$, and hence, using Proposition (6.1), we complete the proof.

## 8. The Hensel Lemma and Henselian Fields

Let $F$ be a valuation field with the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}$, the maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}$, and the residue field $\bar{F}$. For a polynomial $f(X)=a_{n} X^{n}+\cdots+a_{0} \in \mathscr{O}[X]$ we will denote the polynomial $\bar{a}_{n} X^{n}+$ $\cdots+\bar{a}_{0}$ by $\bar{f}(X) \in \bar{F}[X]$. We will write

$$
f(X) \equiv g(X) \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{m}
$$

if $f(X)-g(X) \in \mathscr{M}^{m}[X]$.
8.1. Let $A$ be a commutative ring. For two polynomials $f(X)=a_{n} X^{n}+\ldots a_{0}, g(X)=$ $b_{m} X^{m}+\cdots+b_{0}$ their resultant their resultant is the determinant of a matrix of order $(n+m) \times$ $(n+m)$ formed by $m$ rows of $a_{i}$ and $n$ rows of $b_{j}$, appropriately inserted.

This determinant $R(f, g)$ is zero iff $f$ and $g$ have a common root; in general $R(f, g)=f f_{1}+g g_{1}$ for some polynomials $f_{1}, g_{1} \in \mathscr{O}[X]$. If $f(X)=a_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right), g(X)=b_{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(X-\beta_{j}\right)$, then their resultant $R(f, g)$ is $a_{n}^{m} b_{m}^{n} \prod_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)$. In particular, $R(X-a, g(X))=g(a)$.

If $f, g \in \mathscr{O}[X]$ then $R(f, g) \in \mathscr{O}$. We shall use the following properties of the resultant: if $f \equiv f_{1}$ $\bmod \mathscr{M}[X]$ then $R(f, g) \equiv R\left(f_{1}, g\right) \bmod \mathscr{M} ;$ if $R(f, g) \in \mathscr{M}^{s} \backslash \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$ then $\mathscr{M}^{s}[X] \subset f \mathscr{O}[X]+$ $g \mathscr{O}[X]$.

Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field with the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}$ and the maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}$. Let $g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X), f(X)$ be polynomials over $\mathscr{O}$ such that $\operatorname{deg} f(X)=$ $\operatorname{deg} g_{0}(X)+\operatorname{deg} h_{0}(X)$ and the leading coefficient of $f(X)$ coincides with that of $g_{0}(X) h_{0}(X)$. Let $R\left(g_{0}, h_{0}\right) \notin \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$ and $f(X) \equiv g_{0}(X) h_{0}(X) \bmod \mathscr{M}^{2 s+1}$ for an integer $s \geqslant 0$.

Then there exist polynomials $g(X), h(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(X)=g(X) h(X) \\
& \operatorname{deg} g(X)=\operatorname{deg} g_{0}(X), \quad g(X) \equiv g_{0}(X) \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{s+1} \\
& \operatorname{deg} h(X)=\operatorname{deg} h_{0}(X), \quad h(X) \equiv h_{0}(X) \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{s+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We first construct polynomials $g_{i}(X), h_{i}(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X]$ with the following properties: $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}-\right.$ $\left.g_{0}\right)<\operatorname{deg} g_{0}, \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}-h_{0}\right)<\operatorname{deg} h_{0}$

$$
g_{i} \equiv g_{i-1} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{i+s}, \quad h_{i} \equiv h_{i-1} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{i+s}, \quad f \equiv g_{i} h_{i} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{i+2 s+1}
$$

Proceeding by induction, we can assume that the polynomials $g_{j}(X), h_{j}(X)$, for $j \leqslant i-1$, have been constructed. For a prime element $\pi$ put

$$
g_{i}(X)=g_{i-1}(X)+\pi^{i+s} G_{i}(X), \quad h_{i}(X)=h_{i-1}(X)+\pi^{i+s} H_{i}(X)
$$

with $G_{i}(X), H_{i}(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X], \operatorname{deg} G_{i}(X)<\operatorname{deg} g_{0}(X), \operatorname{deg} H_{i}(X)<\operatorname{deg} h_{0}(X)$. Then

$$
g_{i} h_{i}-g_{i-1} h_{i-1} \equiv \pi^{i+s}\left(g_{i-1} H_{i}+h_{i-1} G_{i}\right) \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}^{i+2 s+1}
$$

Since by the induction assumption $f(X)-g_{i-1}(X) h_{i-1}(X)=\pi^{i+2 s} f_{1}(X)$ for a suitable $f_{1}(X) \in$ $\mathscr{O}[X]$ of degree smaller than that of $f$, we deduce that it suffices for $G_{i}(X), H_{i}(X)$ to satisfy the congruence $\pi^{s} f_{1}(X) \equiv g_{i-1}(X) H_{i}(X)+h_{i-1}(X) G_{i}(X) \bmod \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$.

However, $R\left(g_{i-1}(X), h_{i-1}(X)\right) \equiv R\left(g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$. Then the properties of the resultant imply the existence of polynomials $H_{i}, G_{i}$ satisfying the congruence. Now put $g(X)=$ $\lim g_{i}(X), h(X)=\lim h_{i}(X)$ and get $f(X)=g(X) h(X)$.

The following statement is often called Hensel Lemma. It was proved by Hensel for $p$-adic numbers and by Rychlík for complete discrete valuation fields.
8.2. Corollary 1. Let $F$ be as in the Proposition and $\bar{F}$ the residue field of $F$. Suppose that $f(X), g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)$ are monic polynomials with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}$ and $\bar{f}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X) \bar{h}_{0}(X)$. Suppose that $\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ are relatively prime in $\bar{F}[X]$. Then there exist monic polynomials $g(X), h(X)$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}$, such that

$$
f(X)=g(X) h(X), \bar{g}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}(X)=\bar{h}_{0}(X)
$$

Proof. We have $R\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right) \notin \mathscr{M}$ and we can apply the previous Proposition for $s=0$. The polynomials $g(X)$ and $h(X)$ may be assumed to be monic, as it follows from the proof of the Proposition.

Valuation fields satisfying the assertion of Corollary 1 are said to be Henselian. Corollary 1 demonstrates that complete discrete valuation fields are Henselian.

Corollary 2. Let $F$ be a Henselian field and $f(X)$ a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}$. Let $\bar{f}(X) \in \bar{F}[X]$ have a simple root $\beta$ in $\bar{F}$. Then $f(X)$ has a simple root $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}$ such that $\bar{\alpha}=\beta$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathscr{O}$ be such that $\bar{\gamma}=\beta$. Put $g_{0}(X)=X-\gamma$ in Corollary 1 .
8.3. Corollary 3. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field. Let $f(X)$ be a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}$. Let $f\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{M}^{2 s+1}, f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \notin \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$ for some $\alpha_{0} \in \mathscr{O}$ and integer $s \geqslant 0$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}$ such that $\alpha-\alpha_{0} \in \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$ and $f(\alpha)=0$.
Proof. Put $g_{0}(X)=X-\alpha_{0}$ and write $f(X)=f_{1}(X)\left(X-\alpha_{0}\right)+\delta$ with $\delta \in \mathscr{O}$. Then $\delta \in \mathscr{M}^{2 s+1}$. Put $h_{0}(X)=f_{1}(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X]$. Hence $f(X) \equiv g_{0}(X) h_{0}(X) \bmod \mathscr{M}^{2 s+1}$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=h_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \notin \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$. This means that $R\left(g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)\right) \notin \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$, and the Proposition implies the existence of polynomials $g(X), h(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X]$ such that $g(X)=X-\alpha, \alpha \equiv \alpha_{0} \bmod \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$, and $f(X)=g(X) h(X)$.

Corollary 4. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field. For every positive integer $m$ whose image in $F$ is not zero there is $n$ such that $1+\mathscr{M}^{n} \subset F^{\times m}$.
Proof. Put $f_{a}(X)=X^{m}-a$ with $a \in 1+\mathscr{M}^{n}$. Let $m \in \mathscr{M}^{s} \backslash \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$. Then $f_{a}^{\prime}(1) \in \mathscr{M}^{s} \backslash \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$. Therefore for every $a \in 1+\mathscr{M}^{2 s+1}$ due to Corollary 3 the polynomial $f_{a}(X)$ has a root $\alpha \equiv 1$ $\bmod \mathscr{M}^{s+1}$.
8.4. The following assertion is useful.

LEMMA. Let F be a complete discrete valuation field and let

$$
f(X)=X^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{0}
$$

be an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in $F$. Then the condition $v\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \geqslant 0$ implies $v\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

Proof. Assume that $\alpha_{0} \in \mathscr{O}$ and that $j$ is the maximal integer such that $v\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=\min _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1} v\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. If $\alpha_{j} \notin \mathscr{O}$, then put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(X)=\alpha_{j}^{-1} f(X) \\
& g_{0}(X)=X^{j}+\alpha_{j}^{-1} \alpha_{j-1} X^{j-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{j}^{-1} \alpha_{0} \\
& h_{0}(X)=\alpha_{j}^{-1} X^{n-j}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\bar{f}_{1}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X) \bar{h}_{0}(X)$, and $\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ are relatively prime. Therefore, by Proposition (8.1), $f_{1}(X)$ and $f(X)$ are not irreducible.

## 9. Extensions of Valuation Fields

9.1. Let $F$ be a field and $L$ an extension of $F$ with a valuation $w: L \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$. Then $w$ induces the valuation $w_{0}=\left.w\right|_{F}: F \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ on $F$. In this context $L / F$ is said to be an extension of valuation fields. The group $w_{0}\left(F^{\times}\right)$is a totally ordered subgroup of $w\left(L^{\times}\right)$and the index of $w_{0}\left(F^{\times}\right)$in $w\left(L^{\times}\right)$is called the ramification index $e(L / F, w)$. The ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{w_{0}}$ is a subring of the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{w}$ and the maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}_{w_{0}}$ coincides with $\mathscr{M}_{w} \cap \mathscr{O}_{w_{0}}$. Hence, the residue field $\bar{F}_{w_{0}}$ can be considered as a subfield of the residue field $\bar{L}_{w}$. Therefore, if $\alpha$ is an element of $\mathscr{O}_{w_{0}}$, then its residue in the field $\bar{F}_{w_{0}}$ can be identified with the image of $\alpha$ as an element of $\mathscr{O}_{w}$ in the field $\bar{L}_{w}$. We shall denote this image of $\alpha$ by $\bar{\alpha}$. The degree of the extension $\bar{L}_{w} / \bar{F}_{w_{0}}$ is called the inertia degree or residue degree $f(L / F, w)$. An immediate consequence is the following Lemma.

LEMMA. Let $L$ be an extension of $F$ and let $w$ be a valuation on $L$. Let $L \supset M \supset F$ and let $w_{0}$ be the induced valuation on $M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(L / F, w)=e(L / M, w) e\left(M / F, w_{0}\right) \\
& f(L / F, w)=f(L / M, w) f\left(M / F, w_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

9.2. Assume that $L / F$ is a finite extension and $w_{0}$ is a discrete valuation. Let elements $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{e} \in L^{\times} e \leqslant e(L / F, w)$ be such that $w\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+w\left(F^{\times}\right), \ldots, w\left(\alpha_{e}\right)+w\left(F^{\times}\right)$are distinct in $w\left(L^{\times}\right) / w\left(F^{\times}\right)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{e} c_{i} \alpha_{i}=0$ holds with $c_{i} \in F$, then, as $w\left(c_{i} \alpha_{i}\right)$ are all distinct, we get

$$
w\left(\sum_{i=1}^{e} c_{i} \alpha_{i}\right)=\min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant e} w\left(c_{i} \alpha_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{i}=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant e
$$

This shows that $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{e}$ are linearly independent over $F$ and hence $e(L / F, w)$ is finite. Let $\pi$ be a prime element with respect to $w_{0}$. Then we deduce that there are only a finite number of positive elements in $w\left(L^{\times}\right)$which are $\leqslant w(\pi)$. Consider the smallest positive element in $w\left(L^{\times}\right)$. It generates the group $w\left(L^{\times}\right)$, and we conclude that $w$ is a discrete valuation. Thus, we have proved the following result.

Lemma. Let $L / F$ be a finite extension and $w_{0}$ discrete for $a$ valuation $w$ on $L$. Then $w$ is discrete.
9.3. Hereafter we shall consider discrete valuations. Let $F$ and $L$ be fields with discrete valuations $v$ and $w$ respectively and $F \subset L$. The valuation $w$ is said to be an extension of the valuation $v$, if the topology defined by $w_{0}$ is equivalent to the topology defined by $v$. We shall write $w \mid v$ and use the notations $e(w \mid v), f(w \mid v)$ instead of $e(L / F, w), f(L / F, w)$. If $\alpha \in F$ then $w(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=e(w \mid v) v(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.

Lemma. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $F$ of degree $n$; then

$$
e(w \mid v) f(w \mid v) \leqslant n
$$

Proof. Let $e=e(w \mid v)$ and let $f$ be a positive integer such that $f \leqslant f(w \mid v)$. Let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{f}$ be elements of $\mathscr{O}_{w}$ such that their residues in $\bar{L}_{w}$ are linearly independent over $\bar{F}_{v}$. It suffices to show
that $\left\{\theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}\right\}$ are linearly independent over $F$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant f, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant e-1$. Assume that

$$
\sum_{i, j} c_{i j} \theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}=0
$$

for $c_{i j} \in F$ and not all $c_{i j}=0$.
Multiplying the coefficients $c_{i j}$ by a suitable power of $\pi_{v}$, we may assume that $c_{i j} \in \mathscr{O}_{v}$ and not all $c_{i j} \in \mathscr{M}_{v}$. Note that if $\sum_{i} c_{i j} \theta_{i} \in \mathscr{M}_{w}$, then $\sum_{i} \bar{c}_{i j} \bar{\theta}_{i}=0$ and $c_{i j} \in \mathscr{M}_{v}$. Therefore, there exists an index $j$ such that $\sum_{i} c_{i j} \theta_{i} \notin \mathscr{M}_{w}$. Let $j_{0}$ be the minimal such index. Then $j_{0}=w\left(\sum c_{i j} \theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}\right)$, which is impossible. We conclude that all $c_{i j}=0$. Hence, ef $\leqslant n$ and $e(w \mid v) f(w \mid v) \leqslant n$.

For instance, let $\widehat{F}$ be the completion of a discrete valuation field $F$ with the discrete valuation $\widehat{v}$. Then $e(\widehat{v} \mid v)=1, f(\widehat{v} \mid v)=1$. Note that if $F$ is not complete, then $|\widehat{F}: F| \neq e(\widehat{v} \mid v) f(\widehat{v} \mid v)$. On the contrary, in the case of complete discrete valuation fields we have
9.4. Proposition. Let $L$ be an extension of $F$ and let $F, L$ be complete with respect to discrete valuations $v, w$. Let $w \mid v, f=f(w \mid v)$ and $e=e(w \mid v)<\infty$. Let $\pi_{w} \in L$ be a prime element with respect to $w$ and $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{f}$ elements of $\mathscr{O}_{w}$ such that their residues form a basis of $\bar{L}_{w}$ over $\bar{F}_{v}$. Then $\left\{\theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}\right\}$ is a basis of the $F$-space $L$ and of the $\mathscr{O}_{v}$-module $\mathscr{O}_{w}$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant f, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant e-1$. If $f<\infty$, then $L / F$ is a finite extension of degree $n=e f$.

Proof. Let $R$ be a set of representatives for $F$. Then the set

$$
R^{\prime}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{f} a_{i} \theta_{i}: a_{i} \in R \text { and almost all } a_{i}=0\right\}
$$

is the set of representatives for $L$. For a prime element $\pi_{v}$ with respect to $v$ put $\pi_{m}=\pi_{v}^{k} \pi_{w}^{j}$, where $m=e k+j, 0 \leqslant j<e$. Using Proposition (4.2) we obtain that an element $\alpha \in L$ can be expressed as a convergent series

$$
\alpha=\sum_{m} \eta_{m} \pi_{m} \quad \text { with } \quad \eta_{m} \in R^{\prime}
$$

Writing

$$
\eta_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{f} \eta_{m, i} \theta_{i} \quad \text { with } \quad \eta_{m, i} \in R
$$

we get

$$
\alpha=\sum_{i, j}\left(\sum_{k} \eta_{e k+j, i} \pi_{v}^{k}\right) \theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j} .
$$

Thus, $\alpha$ can be expressed as $\sum \rho_{i, j} \theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}$ with

$$
\rho_{i, j}=\sum_{k} \eta_{e k+j, i} \pi_{v}^{k} \in F, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant f, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant e-1
$$

By the proof of the previous Lemma this expression for $\alpha$ is unique. We conclude that $\left\{\theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}\right\}$ form a basis of $L$ over $F$ and of $\mathscr{O}_{w}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{v}$.
9.5. Further we shall assume that $v\left(F^{\times}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ for a discrete valuation $v$. Then $e(w \mid v)=$ $\left|\mathbb{Z}: w\left(F^{\times}\right)\right|$for an extension $w$ of $v$.

THEOREM. Let $F$ be a complete field with respect to a discrete valuation $v$ and $L$ a finite extension of $F$. Then there is precisely one extension $w$ on $L$ of the valuation $v$ and $w=\frac{1}{f} v \circ N_{L / F}$ with $f=f(w \mid v)$. The field $L$ is complete with respect to $w$.

Proof. Let $w^{\prime}=v \circ N_{L / F}$. First we verify that $w^{\prime}$ is a valuation on $L$. It is clear that $w^{\prime}(\alpha)=+\infty$ if and only if $\alpha=0$ and $w^{\prime}(\alpha \beta)=w^{\prime}(\alpha)+w^{\prime}(\beta)$. Assume that $w^{\prime}(\alpha) \geqslant w^{\prime}(\beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in L^{\times}$, then

$$
w^{\prime}(\alpha+\beta)=w^{\prime}(\beta)+w^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)
$$

and it suffices to show that if $w^{\prime}(\gamma) \geqslant 0$, then $w^{\prime}(1+\gamma) \geqslant 0$. Let

$$
f(X)=X^{m}+a_{m-1} X^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}
$$

be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\gamma$ over $F$. Then we get $(-1)^{m} a_{0}=N_{F(\gamma) / F}(\gamma)$ and if $s=|L: F(\gamma)|$, then $\left((-1)^{m} a_{0}\right)^{s}=N_{L / F}(\gamma)$. We deduce that $v\left(a_{0}\right) \geqslant 0$, and making use of (8.4), we get $v\left(a_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$. However,

$$
(-1)^{m} N_{F(\gamma) / F}(1+\gamma)=f(-1)=(-1)^{m}+a_{m-1}(-1)^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}
$$

hence

$$
v\left(N_{F(\gamma) / F}(1+\gamma)\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad v\left(N_{L / F}(1+\gamma)\right) \geqslant 0
$$

i.e., $w^{\prime}(1+\gamma) \geqslant 0$. Thus, we have shown that $w^{\prime}$ is a valuation on $L$.

Let $n=|L: F|$; then $w^{\prime}(\alpha)=n v(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in F^{\times}$. Hence, the valuation $(1 / n) w^{\prime}$ is an extension of $v$ to $L$ (note that $(1 / n) w^{\prime}\left(L^{\times}\right) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ in general). Let $e=e\left(L / F,(1 / n) w^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma (9.3) $e$ is finite. Put $w=(e / n) w^{\prime}: L^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, hence $w\left(L^{\times}\right)=w\left(\pi_{w}\right) \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}$ with a prime element $\pi_{w}$ with respect to $w$. Therefore, $w=(e / n) v \circ N_{L / F}$ is at once a discrete valuation on $L$ and an extension of $v$.

Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ be a basis of the $F$-vector space $L$. By induction on $r, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, we shall show that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}^{(m)} \gamma_{i} \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty \Longleftrightarrow a_{i}^{(m)} \rightarrow 0 \quad m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r
$$

where $a_{i}^{(m)} \in F$.
The left arrow and the case $r=1$ are clear. For the induction step we can assume that $a_{1}^{(m)} \nrightarrow 0$. Therefore we can assume that $v\left(a_{1}^{(m)}\right)$ is bounded. Hence

$$
\gamma_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{r} b_{i}^{(m)} \gamma_{i}=\left(a_{1}^{(m)}\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}^{(m)} \gamma_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $b_{i}^{(m)}=\left(a_{1}^{(m)}\right)^{-1} a_{i}^{(m)}$. Then $\sum_{i=2}^{r}\left(b_{i}^{(m)}-b_{i}^{(m+1)}\right) \gamma_{i} \rightarrow 0$, and the induction hypothesis shows that $b_{i}^{(m)}-b_{i}^{(m+1)} \rightarrow 0$ for $i=2, \ldots, r$. Thus, each $\left(b_{i}^{(m)}\right)_{m}$ converges to, say, $b_{i} \in F$. So the sequence $\gamma_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{r} b_{i}^{(m)} \gamma_{i}$ converges both to 0 and to $\gamma_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{r} b_{i} \gamma_{i}$, so

$$
0=\gamma_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{r} b_{i} \gamma_{i}
$$

which contradicts the choice of $\gamma_{i}$.
Similarly one shows that a sequence $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}^{(m)} \gamma_{i}$ is fundamental if and only if $a_{i}^{(m)}$ is fundamental for each $i=1, \ldots, r$.

Thus, the completeness of $F$ implies the completeness of its finite extension $L$ with respect to any extension of $v$. We also have the uniqueness of the extension.
9.6. Now we treat extensions of discrete valuations in the general case.

Theorem. Let $F$ be a field with a discrete valuation $v$. Let $\widehat{F}$ be the completion of $F$, and $\widehat{v}$ the discrete valuation of $\widehat{F}$. Suppose that $L=F(\alpha)$ is a finite extension of $F$ and $f(X)$ the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F$. Let $f(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}(X)^{e_{i}}$ be the decomposition of the polynomial $f(X)$ into irreducible monic factors in $\widehat{F}[X]$. For a root $\alpha_{i}$ of the polynomial $g_{i}(X)\left(\alpha_{1}=\alpha\right)$ put $L_{i}=\widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Let $\widehat{w}_{i}$ be the discrete valuation on $L_{i}$, the unique extension of $\widehat{v}$.

Then $L$ is embedded as a dense subfield in the complete discrete valuation field $L_{i}$ under $F \hookrightarrow \widehat{F}, \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{i}$, and the restriction $w_{i}$ of $\widehat{w}_{i}$ on $L$ is a discrete valuation on $L$ which extends $v$. The valuations $w_{i}$ are distinct and every discrete valuation which is an extension of $v$ to $L$ coincides with some $w_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.

Proof. First let $w$ be a discrete valuation on $L$ which extends $v$. Let $\widehat{L}_{w}$ be the completion of $L$ with respect to $w$. By Proposition (3.2) there exists an embedding $\sigma: \widehat{F} \longrightarrow \widehat{L}_{w}$ over $F$. As $\alpha \in \widehat{L}_{w}$, we get $\sigma(\widehat{F})(\alpha) \subset \widehat{L}_{w}$. Since $\sigma(\widehat{F})(\alpha)$ is a finite extension of $\sigma(\widehat{F})$, Theorem (9.5) shows that $\sigma(\widehat{F})(\alpha)$ is complete. Therefore, $\widehat{L}_{w} \subset \sigma(\widehat{F})(\alpha)$ and, moreover, $\widehat{L}_{w}=\sigma(\widehat{F})(\alpha)$. Let $g(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $\sigma(\widehat{F})$. Then $\sigma^{-1} g(X)$ divides $f(X)$ and $\sigma^{-1} g(X)=g_{i}(X)$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, w=w_{i}$.

Conversely, assume that $g(X)=g_{i}(X)$ and $\widehat{w}_{i}$ is the unique discrete valuation on $L_{i}=\widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ which extends $\widehat{v}$. Since $F$ is dense in $\widehat{F}$, we deduce that the image of $L$ is dense in $L_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ extends $v$.

If $w_{i}=w_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ then there is an isomorphism between $\widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ and $\widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$ over $\widehat{F}$ which sends $\alpha_{i}$ to $\alpha_{j}$, but this is impossible.

Corollary. Let L/F be a purely inseparable finite extension. Then there is precisely one extension to $L$ of the discrete valuation $v$ of $F$.

Proof. Assume $L=F(\alpha)$. Then $f(X)$ is decomposed as $(X-\alpha)^{p^{m}}$ in the fixed algebraic closure $F^{\text {alg }}$ of $F$. Therefore, $k=1$ and there is precisely one extension of $v$ to $L$. If there were two distinct extensions $w_{1}, w_{2}$ of $v$ to $L$ in the general case of a purely inseparable extension $L / F$, we would find $\alpha \in L$ such that $w_{1}(\alpha) \neq w_{2}(\alpha)$, and hence the restriction of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ on $F(\alpha)$ would be distinct. This leads to contradiction.

### 9.7. REMARKS.

1. More precisely, the Theorem should be formulated as follows.

The tensor product $L \otimes_{F} \widehat{F}$ may be treated as an $L$-module and $\widehat{F}$-algebra. Then the quotient of $L \otimes_{F} \widehat{F}$ by its radical decomposes into the direct sum of complete fields which correspond
to the discrete valuations on $L$ that are extensions of $v$. Under the conditions of the Theorem $L \otimes_{F} \widehat{F}=\widehat{F}[X] /(f(X))$, and we have the surjective homomorphism

$$
L \otimes_{F} \widehat{F}=\widehat{F}[X] /(f(X)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \widehat{F}[X] /\left(g_{i}(X)\right) \leadsto \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{w_{i} \mid v} \widehat{L}_{w_{i}}
$$

with the kernel $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}(X)\right) \widehat{F}[X] / f(X) \widehat{F}[X]$, where $\widehat{L}_{w_{i}}=\widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Note that this kernel coincides with the radical of $L \otimes_{F} \widehat{F}$. Under the conditions of the previous Theorem, if $L / F$ is separable, then all $e_{i}$ are equal to 1 and the kernel is trivial.
2. Assume that $L / F$ is as in the Theorem and, in addition, $L / F$ is Galois. Then $\widehat{F}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) / \widehat{F}$ is Galois. Let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Note that if $w$ is a valuation on $L$, then $w \circ \sigma$ is a valuation on $L$ for $\sigma \in G$. Put

$$
H_{i}=\left\{\sigma \in G: w_{1} \circ \sigma=w_{i}\right\} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k
$$

Then it is easy to show that $G$ is a disjoint union of the $H_{i}$ and $H_{i}=H_{1} \sigma_{i}$ for $\sigma_{i} \in H_{i}$. Theorem (9.6) implies that $H_{i}$ coincides with $\left\{\sigma \in G: \sigma g_{i}(X)=g_{1}(X)\right\}$, whence $\left\{\sigma \in G: \sigma g_{i}(X)=g_{i}(X)\right\}=$ $\sigma_{i}^{-1} H_{1} \sigma_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{deg} g_{i}(X)=\operatorname{deg} g_{1}(X), e_{i}=1$. The subgroup $H_{1}$ is said to be the decomposition group of $w_{1}$ over $F$. The fixed field $M=L^{H_{1}}$ is said to be the decomposition field of $w_{1}$ over $F$. Note that the field $M$ is obtained from $F$ by adjoining coefficients of the polynomial $g_{1}(X)$. We get $L=M\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$, and $g_{1}(X) \in M[X]$ is irreducible over $\widehat{F}=\widehat{M}$. Theorem (9.6) shows that $w_{1}$ is the unique extension to $L$ of $\left.w_{1}\right|_{M}$; there are $k$ distinct discrete valuations on $M$ which extend $v$.

EXAMPLE. Let $E=F(X)$. Recall that the discrete valuations on $E$ which are trivial on $F$ are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible monic polynomials $p(X)$ over $F: p(X) \rightarrow v_{p(X)}$, $v \rightarrow p_{v}(X)$ and there is the valuation $v_{\infty}$ with a prime element $\frac{1}{X}$. If $a_{n}$ is the leading coefficient of $f(X)$, then

$$
f(X)=a_{n} \prod_{v \neq v_{\infty}} p_{v}(X)^{v(f(X))}
$$

Let $F_{1}$ be an extension of $F$. Then a discrete valuation on $E_{1}=F_{1}(X)$, trivial on $F_{1}$, is an extension of some discrete valuation on $E=F(X)$, trivial on $F$. Let $p(X)=p_{v}(X)$ be an irreducible monic polynomial over $F$. Let $p(X)$ be decomposed into irreducible monic factors over $F_{1}: p(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}(X)^{e_{i}}$. Then one immediately deduces that the $w_{i}=w_{p_{i}(X)}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, are all discrete valuations, trivial on $F_{1}$, which extend the valuation $v_{p(X)}$. We also have $e\left(w_{p_{i}(X)} \mid v_{p(X)}\right)=e_{i}$. There is precisely one extension $w_{\infty}$ of $v_{\infty}$. Thus, for every $v$

$$
p_{v}(X)=\prod_{w_{i} \mid v} p_{w_{i}}(X)^{e\left(w_{i} \mid v\right)}
$$

and we have the surjective homomorphism $F(\alpha) \otimes_{F} F_{1} \longrightarrow \bigoplus F_{1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is a root of $p(X)$ and $\alpha_{i}$ is a root of $p_{i}(X)$. Here the kernel of this homomorphism also coincides with the radical of $F(\alpha) \otimes_{F} F_{1}$.
9.8. Finally we treat extensions of Henselian discrete valuation fields.

Lemma. (Gau $\beta$ ) Let $F$ be a discrete valuation field, $\mathscr{O}$ its ring of integers. Then if a polynomial $f(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X]$ is not irreducible in $F[X]$, it is not irreducible in $\mathscr{O}[X]$.

Proof. Assume that $f(X)=g(X) h(X)$ with $g(X), h(X) \in F[X]$. Let

$$
g(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i} X^{i}, \quad h(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} X^{i}, \quad f(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{n+m} a_{i} X^{i}
$$

Let

$$
j_{1}=\min \left\{i: v\left(b_{i}\right)=\min _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant n} v\left(b_{k}\right)\right\}, \quad j_{2}=\min \left\{i: v\left(c_{i}\right)=\min _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant m} v\left(c_{k}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then $v\left(b_{i} c_{j_{1}+j_{2}-i}\right)>v\left(b_{j_{1}} c_{j_{2}}\right)$ for $i \neq j_{1}$; hence $v\left(a_{j_{1}+j_{2}}\right)=v\left(b_{j_{1}}\right)+v\left(c_{j_{2}}\right)$. If $c=v\left(b_{j_{1}}\right)<0$, then we obtain $v\left(c_{j_{2}}\right) \geqslant-v\left(b_{j_{1}}\right)$, and one can write $f(X)=\left(\pi^{-c} g(X)\right)\left(\pi^{c} h(X)\right)$, as desired.

THEOREM. Let $v$ be a discrete valuation on $F$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $F$ is a Henselian field with respect to $v$.
(2) The discrete valuation $v$ has a unique extension to every finite algebraic extension $L$ of $F$.
(3) If $L$ is a finite separable extension of $F$ of degree $n$, then

$$
n=e(w \mid v) f(w \mid v)
$$

where $w$ is an extension of $v$ on $L$.
(4) $F$ is separably closed in $\widehat{F}$.

Proof.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Using Corollary (9.6), we can assume that $L / F$ is separable. Moreover, it suffices to verify (2) for the case of a Galois extension. Let $L=F(\alpha)$ be Galois, $f(X)$ be the irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F$. Let $f(X)=g_{1}(X) \ldots g_{k}(X)$ be the decomposition of $f(X)$ over $\widehat{F}$ as in (9.6). Let $H_{1}$ and $M=L^{H_{1}}$ be as therein. Put $w_{i}^{\prime}=\left.w_{i}\right|_{M}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ and suppose that $k \geqslant 2$. Since $w_{1}$ is the discrete valuation on $L$, which is the unique extension of $w_{1}^{\prime}$, we conclude that the topology induced by $w_{1}^{\prime}$ is not equivalent to the topology induced by $w_{i}^{\prime}$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. We get $w_{i}^{\prime}=\left.w_{1} \circ \sigma_{i}\right|_{M}$ for $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l} \in G, \sigma_{1}=1$. Taking into account the proof of Proposition (2.8), one can find an element $\beta \in M$ such that

$$
-c=w_{1}^{\prime}(\beta)<0, \quad w_{2}^{\prime}(\beta)>c, \ldots, \quad w_{k}^{\prime}(\beta)>c
$$

Let $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}\left(\tau_{1}=1\right)$ be the maximal set of elements of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ for which the elements $\beta, \tau_{2}(\beta), \ldots, \tau_{r}(\beta)$ are distinct. Then $\tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{r} \notin H_{1}$, and $w_{1}(\beta)=-c, w_{1}\left(\tau_{i}(\beta)\right)>c$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant r$.

Let $h(X)=X^{r}+b_{r-1} X^{r-1}+\cdots+b_{0}$ be the irreducible monic polynomial of $\beta$ over $F$. Then

$$
w_{1}\left(b_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} w_{1}\left(\tau_{i}(\beta)\right)>0
$$

Similarly one checks that $w_{1}\left(b_{i}\right)>0$ for $i<r-1$. We also obtain that

$$
w_{1}\left(b_{r-1}\right)=\min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} w_{1}\left(\tau_{i}(\beta)\right)=-c<0
$$

Hence, $v\left(b_{i}\right)>0$ for $0 \leqslant i<r-1$ and $v\left(b_{r-1}\right)<0$. Put $h_{1}(X)=b_{r-1}^{-r} h\left(b_{r-1} X\right)$. Then $h_{1}(X)$ is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. Since $\bar{h}_{1}(X)=(X+1) X^{r-1}$, by the Hensel Lemma (8.2), we obtain that $h_{1}(X)$ is not irreducible, implying the same for $h(X)$, and we arrive at a contradiction. Thus, $k=1$, and the discrete valuation $v$ is uniquely extended on $L$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. Let $L=F(\alpha)$ be a finite separable extension of $F$ and let $L / F$ be of degree $n$. Since $v$ has the unique extension $w$ to $L$, we deduce from Theorem (9.6) that $f(X)=g_{1}(X)$ is the decomposition of the irreducible monic polynomial $f(X)$ of $\alpha$ over $F$ in $\widehat{F}[X]$. Therefore, the extension $\widehat{F}(\alpha) / \widehat{F}$ is of degree $n$. We have also $e(w \mid v)=e(\widehat{w} \mid \widehat{v}), f(w \mid v)=f(\widehat{w} \mid \widehat{v})$, because $e(\widehat{w} \mid w)=1, f(\widehat{w} \mid w)=1, e(\widehat{v} \mid v)=1, f(\widehat{v} \mid v)=1$; see (9.3). Proposition (9.4) shows that $n=$ $e(\widehat{w} \mid \widehat{v}) f(\widehat{w} \mid \widehat{v})$. Hence $n=e(w \mid v) f(w \mid v)$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$. Let $\alpha \in \widehat{F}$ be separable over $F$. Put $L=F(\alpha)$ and $n=|L: F|$. Let $w$ be the discrete valuation on $L$ which induces the same topology on $L$ as $\left.\hat{v}\right|_{L}$. Then $e(w \mid v)=f(w \mid v)=1$, and hence $n=1, \alpha \in F$.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $f(X), g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)$ be monic polynomials with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}$. Let $\bar{f}(X)=$ $\bar{g}_{0}(X) \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ and $\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ be relatively prime in $\bar{F}_{v}[X]$. The field $\widehat{F}$ is Henselian according to (8.1). Then there exist monic polynomials $g(X), h(X)$ over the ring of integers $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}$ in $\widehat{F}$, such that $f(X)=g(X) h(X)$ and $\bar{g}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}(X)=\bar{h}_{0}(X)$. The polynomials $g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)$ are relatively prime in $\mathscr{O}[X]$ because their residues possess this property. Consequently, they are relatively prime in $F[X]$ by the previous Lemma. The roots of the polynomial $f(X)$ are algebraic over $F$, hence the roots of the polynomials $g(X), h(X)$ are algebraic over $F$ and the coefficients of $g(X), h(X)$ are algebraic over $F$. Since $F$ is separably closed in $\widehat{F}$, we obtain that $g(X)^{p^{m}}, h(X)^{p^{m}} \in F[X]$ for some $m \geqslant 0$. Then $f(X)^{p^{m}}$ is the product of two relatively prime polynomials in $F[X]$. We conclude that $g(X)^{p^{m}}=g_{1}(X)^{p^{m}}$ and $h(X)^{p^{m}}=h_{1}(X)^{p^{m}}$ for some polynomials $g_{1}(X), h_{1}(X) \in F[X]$ and, finally, the polynomial $g(X)$ coincides with $g_{1}(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X]$, the polynomial $h(X)$ coincides with $h_{1}(X) \in \mathscr{O}[X]$.
9.9. Corollary 1. Let F be a Henselian discrete valuation field and $L$ an algebraic extension of $F$. Then there is precisely one valuation $w: L^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ (not necessarily discrete), such that the restriction $\left.w\right|_{F}$ coincides with the discrete valuation v on $F$. Moreover, L is Henselian with respect to $w$.

Proof. Let $M / F$ be a finite subextension of $L / F$, and let, in accordance with the previous Theorem, $w_{M}: M^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the unique valuation on $M$ for which $\left.w_{M}\right|_{F}=v$. For $\alpha \in L^{\times}$we put $w(\alpha)=$ $w_{M}(\alpha)$ with $M=F(\alpha)$. It is a straightforward exercise to verify that $w$ is a valuation on $L$ and that $\left.w\right|_{F}=v$. If there were another valuation $w^{\prime}$ on $L$ with the property $\left.w^{\prime}\right|_{F}=v$, we would find $\alpha \in L$ with $w(\alpha) \neq w^{\prime}(\alpha)$, and hence $\left.w\right|_{F(\alpha)}$ and $\left.w^{\prime}\right|_{F(\alpha)}$ would be two distinct valuations on $F(\alpha)$ with the property $\left.w\right|_{F}=\left.w^{\prime}\right|_{F}=v$. Therefore, there exists exactly one valuation $w$ on $L$ for which $\left.w\right|_{F}=v$. To show that $L$ is Henselian we note that polynomials $f(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{w}[X], g_{0}(X) \in$ $\mathscr{O}_{w}[X], h_{0}(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{w}[X]$ belong in fact to $\mathscr{O}_{1}[X]$, where $\mathscr{O}_{1}$ is the ring of integers for some finite subextension $M / F$ in $L / F$. Clearly, the polynomials $\bar{g}_{0}(X), \bar{h}_{0}(X)$ are relatively prime in $\bar{M}_{w_{M}}[X]$, hence there exist polynomials $g(X), h(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{1}[X]$, such that $f(X)=g(X) h(X), \bar{g}(X)=\bar{g}_{0}(X)$ and $\bar{h}(X)=\bar{h}_{0}(X)$.

Corollary 2. Let $F$ be a Henselian discrete valuation field, and let $L / F$ be a finite separable extension. Let $v$ be the valuation on $F$ and $w$ the extension of $v$ to L. Let $e, f, \pi_{w}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{f}$
be as in Proposition (2.4). Then $\theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}$ is a basis of the $F$-space $L$ and of the $\mathscr{O}_{v}$-module $\mathscr{O}_{w}$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant f, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant e-1$. In particular, if $e=1$, then

$$
\mathscr{O}_{w}=\mathscr{O}_{v}\left[\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}\right], \quad L=F\left(\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

and if $f=1$, then

$$
\mathscr{O}_{w}=\mathscr{O}_{v}\left[\pi_{w}\right], \quad L=F\left(\pi_{w}\right)
$$

Proof. One can show, similarly to the proof of Lemma (2.3), that the elements $\theta_{i} \pi_{w}^{j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant$ $f, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant e-1$ are linearly independent over $F$. As $n=e f$, these elements form a basis of $\mathscr{O}_{w}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ and of $L$ over $F$.

Corollary 3. Let $F$ be a Henselian discrete valuation field, and $L / F$ a finite separable extension. Let $w$ be the discrete valuation on $L$ and $\sigma: L \longrightarrow F^{\text {alg }}$ an embedding over $F$. Then $w \circ \sigma^{-1}$ is the discrete valuation on $\sigma L$ and $\mathscr{M}_{\sigma L}=\sigma \mathscr{M}_{L}, \mathscr{O}_{\sigma L}=\sigma \mathscr{O}_{L}$.

Corollary 4. If $F$ is a Henselian discrete valuation field, then Proposition (8.1), Corollary 3 and 4 of (8.3), and Lemma (8.4) hold for $F$.

Proof. In terms of Proposition (8.1) we obtain that there exist polynomials $g, h \in \widehat{\mathscr{O}}[X]$ (where $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}$ is the ring of integers of $\widehat{F}$ ), such that $f=g h, g \equiv g_{0} \bmod \widehat{\mathscr{M}}^{s+1}, h \equiv h_{0} \bmod \widehat{\mathscr{M}}^{s+1}, \operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} g_{0}$, $\operatorname{deg} h=\operatorname{deg} h_{0}$ (where $\widehat{\mathscr{M}}$ is the maximal ideal of $\widehat{\mathscr{O}}$. Proceeding now analogously to the part $(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ of the proof of Theorem (2.8), we conclude that $g^{p^{m}}$ and $h^{p^{m}}$ belong to $\mathscr{O}[X]$ for some $m \geqslant 0$. As $g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)$ are relatively prime in $F[X]$ because $R\left(g_{0}(X), h_{0}(X)\right) \neq 0$, we obtain that $g(X)=g_{0}(X), h(X)=h_{0}(X)$ and Proposition (8.1) holds for $F$. Corollary 3 of (8.3) and Lemma (8.4) for $F$ are formally deduced from the latter.

The separable closure of $F$ in $\widehat{F}$ is called the Henselisation of $F$ (this is a least Henselian field containing $F$ ). For example, the separable closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is a Henselian countable field with respect to the $p$-adic valuation.

## 10. Unramified and Ramified Extensions

A complete discrete valuation field $F$ has the unique surjective discrete valuation $F^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with respect to which it is Henselian; we shall denote it from now on by $v_{F}$.

Let $L / F$ be an algebraic extension. If $v_{L}$ is the unique discrete valuation on $L$ which extends the valuation $v=v_{F}$ on $F$, then we shall write $e(L \mid F), f(L \mid F)$ instead of $e\left(v_{L} \mid v_{F}\right), f\left(v_{F} \mid v_{F}\right)$. We shall write $\mathscr{O}$ or $\mathscr{O}_{F}, \mathscr{M}$ or $\mathscr{M}_{F}, U$ or $U_{F}, \pi$ or $\pi_{F}, \bar{F}$ for the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{v}$, the maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}_{v}$, the group of units $U_{v}$, a prime element $\pi_{v}$ with respect to $v$, and the residue field $\bar{F}_{v}$, respectively.
10.1. Lemma. Let $L / F$ be a finite extension. Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ and let $f(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F$. Then $f(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$. Conversely, let $f(X)$ be a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. If $\alpha \in L$ is a root of $f(X)$, then $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$.

Proof. It is well known that $\beta=\alpha^{p^{m}}$ is separable over $F$ for some $m \geqslant 0$. Let $M$ be a finite Galois extension of $F$ with $\beta \in M$. Then, in fact, $\beta \in \mathscr{O}_{M}$ and the monic irreducible polynomial $g(X)$ of $\beta$ over $F$ can be written as

$$
g(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(X-\sigma_{i} \beta\right), \quad \sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / F), \sigma_{1}=1
$$

Since $\beta \in \mathscr{O}_{M}$ we get $\sigma_{i} \beta \in \mathscr{O}_{M}$ using Corollary 3 of (9.9). Hence we obtain $g(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$ and $f(X)=g\left(X^{p^{m}}\right) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$. If $\alpha \in L$ is a root of the polynomial $f(X)=X^{n}+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0} \in$ $\mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$ and $\alpha \notin \mathscr{O}_{L}$, then $1=-a_{n-1} \alpha^{-1}-\cdots-a_{0} \alpha^{-n} \in \mathscr{M}_{L}$, contradiction. Thus, $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$.

A finite extension $L$ of a Henselian discrete valuation field $F$ is called unramified if $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is a separable extension of the same degree as $L / F$. We deduce from (9.4) that if $L / F$ is unramified then $e(L \mid F)=1, f(L \mid F)=|L: F|$.

A finite extension $L / F$ is called totally ramified if $f(L \mid F)=1$.
A finite extension $L / F$ is called tamely ramified if $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is a separable extension and $p \nmid e(L \mid F)$ when $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$.
10.2. First we treat the case of unramified extensions.

## Proposition.

(1) Let $L / F$ be an unramified extension, and $\bar{L}=\bar{F}(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \bar{L}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ be such that $\bar{\alpha}=\theta$. Then $L=F(\alpha)$, and L is separable over $F, \mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha] ; \theta$ is a simple root of the polynomial $\bar{f}(X)$ irreducible over $\bar{F}$, where $f(X)$ is the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F$.
(2) Let $f(X)$ be a monic polynomial over $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, such that its residue is a monic separable polynomial over $\bar{F}$. Let $\alpha$ be a root of $f(X)$ in $F^{\text {alg }}$, and let $L=F(\alpha)$. Then the extension $L / F$ is unramified and $\bar{L}=\bar{F}(\theta)$ for $\theta=\bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. (1) By the preceding Lemma $f(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$. We have $f(\alpha)=0$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{\alpha})=0, \operatorname{deg} f(X)=$ $\operatorname{deg} \bar{f}(X)$. Furthermore,

$$
|L: F| \geqslant|F(\alpha): F|=\operatorname{deg} f(X)=\operatorname{deg} \bar{f}(X) \geqslant|\bar{F}(\theta): \bar{F}|=|L: F|
$$

It follows that $L=F(\alpha)$ and $\theta$ is a simple root of the irreducible polynomial $\bar{f}(X)$. Therefore, $\bar{f}^{\prime}(\theta) \neq 0$ and $f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0$, i.e., $\alpha$ is separable over $F$. It remains to use Corollary 2 of (9.9) to obtain $\mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]$.
(2) Let $f(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(X)$ be the decomposition of $f(X)$ into irreducible monic factors in $F[X]$. Lemma (9.8) shows that $f_{i}(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$. Suppose that $\alpha$ is a root of $f_{1}(X)$. Then $g_{1}(X)=\bar{f}_{1}(X)$ is a monic separable polynomial over $\bar{F}$. The Henselian property of $F$ implies that $g_{1}(X)$ is irreducible over $\bar{F}$. We get $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ by Lemma (10.1). Since $\theta=\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{L}$, we obtain $\bar{L} \supset \bar{F}(\theta)$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg} f_{1}(X)=|L: F| \geqslant|\bar{L}: \bar{F}| \geqslant|\bar{F}(\theta): \bar{F}|=\operatorname{deg} g_{1}(X)=\operatorname{deg} f_{1}(X)
$$

Thus, $\bar{L}=\bar{F}(\theta)$, and $L / F$ is unramified.

## Corollary.

(1) If $L / F, M / L$ are unramified, then $M / F$ is unramified.
(2) If $L / F$ is unramified, $M$ is an algebraic extension of $F$ and $M$ is the discrete valuation field with respect to the extension of the valuation of $F$, then $M L / M$ is unramified.
(3) If $L_{1} / F, L_{2} / F$ are unramified, then $L_{1} L_{2} / F$ is unramified.

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma (9.1).
To verify (2) let $L=F(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}, f(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}[X]$ as in the first part of the Proposition. Then $\alpha \notin \mathscr{M}_{L}$ because $\bar{L}=\bar{F}(\bar{\alpha})$. Observing that $M L=M(\alpha)$, we denote the irreducible monic polynomial of $\alpha$ over $M$ by $f_{1}(X)$. By the Henselian property of $M$ we obtain that $\bar{f}_{1}(X)$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial over $\bar{M}$.However, $\bar{f}_{1}(X)$ divides $\bar{f}(X)$, hence $\bar{f}_{1}(X)$ is irreducible separable over $\bar{M}$. Applying the second part of the Proposition, we conclude that $M L / M$ is unramified.
(3) follows from (1) and (2).

An algebraic extension $L$ of a Henselian discrete valuation field $F$ is called unramified if $L / F, \bar{L} / \bar{F}$ are separable extensions and $e(w \mid v)=1$, where $v$ is the discrete valuation on $F$, and $w$ is the unique extension of $v$ on $L$. For finite extensions this is compatible with the previous definition.

The third assertion of the Corollary shows that the compositum of all finite unramified extensions of $F$ in a fixed algebraic closure $F^{\text {alg }}$ of $F$ is unramified. This extension is a Henselian discrete valuation field. It is called the maximal unramified extension $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ of $F$. Its maximality implies $\sigma F^{\mathrm{ur}}=F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ for any automorphism of the separable closure $F^{\text {sep }}$ over $F$. Thus, $F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F$ is Galois.

### 10.3. Proposition.

(1) Let $L / F$ be an unramified extension and let $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ be a Galois extension. Then $L / F$ is Galois.
(2) Let $L / F$ be an unramified Galois extension. Then $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is Galois. For an automorphism $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ let $\bar{\sigma}$ be the automorphism in $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$ satisfying the relation $\bar{\sigma} \bar{\alpha}=\overline{\sigma \alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$. Then the map $\sigma \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$ induces an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ onto $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$.

Proof. (1) It suffices to verify the first assertion for a finite unramified extension $L / F$. Let $\bar{L}=\bar{F}(\theta)$ and let $g(X)$ be the irreducible monic polynomial of $\theta$ over $\bar{F}$. Then

$$
g(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\theta_{i}\right)
$$

with $\theta_{i} \in \bar{L}, \theta_{1}=\theta$. Let $f(X)$ be a monic polynomial over $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of the same degree as $g(X)$ and $\bar{f}(X)=g(X)$. The Henselian property (Corollary 2 in (8.2)) implies

$$
f(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

with $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{O}_{L}, \bar{\alpha}_{i}=\theta_{i}$. Proposition (10.2) shows that $L=F\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$, and we deduce that $L / F$ is Galois.
(2) Note that the automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ is well defined. Indeed, if $\beta \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ with $\bar{\beta}=\bar{\alpha}$, then $\sigma(\alpha-$ $\beta) \in \mathscr{M}_{L}$ by Corollary 3 in (9.9) and $\overline{\sigma \alpha}=\overline{\sigma \beta}$. It suffices to verify the second assertion for a finite unramified Galois extension $L / F$. Let $\alpha, \theta, f(X)$ be as in the first part of Proposition (10.2). Since all roots of $f(X)$ belong to $L$, we obtain that all roots of $\bar{f}(X)$ belong to $\bar{L}$ and $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is Galois. The homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$ is surjective because the condition $\bar{\sigma} \theta=\theta_{i}$ implies $\sigma \alpha=\alpha_{i}$ for the root $\alpha_{i}$ of $f(X)$ with $\bar{\alpha}_{i}=\theta_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$ are of the same order, we conclude that $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$.

COROLLARY. The residue field of $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ coincides with the separable closure $\bar{F}^{\mathrm{sep}}$ of $\bar{F}$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{F}^{\mathrm{sep}} / \bar{F}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \bar{F}^{\text {sep }}$, let $g(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\theta$ over $\bar{F}$, and $f(X)$ as in the second part of Proposition (10.2). Let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ be all the roots of $f(X)$ and $L=F\left(\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}\right)$. Then $L \subset F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ and $\theta=\bar{\alpha}_{i} \in \bar{F}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ for a suitable $i$. Hence, $\overline{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}=\bar{F}^{\text {sep }}$.
10.4. Let $L$ be an algebraic extension of $F$, and let $L$ be a discrete valuation field. We will assume that $F^{\text {alg }}=L^{\text {alg }}$ in this case.

Proposition. Let L be an algebraic extension of $F$ and let $L$ be a discrete valuation field. Then $L^{\mathrm{ur}}=L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$, and $L_{0}=L \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is the maximal unramified subextension of $F$ which is contained in L. Moreover, $\bar{L} / \bar{L}_{0}$ is a purely inseparable extension.

Proof. The second part of Corollary (10.2) implies $L^{\mathrm{ur}} \supset L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Since the residue field of $L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ contains the compositum of the fields $\bar{L}$ and $\bar{F}^{\text {sep }}$, which coincides with $\bar{L}^{\text {sep }}$ because $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is algebraic, we deduce $L^{\mathrm{ur}}=L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. An unramified subextension of $F$ in $L$ is contained in $L_{0}$, and $L_{0} / F$ is unramified. Let $\theta \in \bar{L}$ be separable over $\bar{F}$, and let $g(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\theta$ over $\bar{F}$. Let $f(X)$ be a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of the same degree as $g(X)$, and $\bar{f}(X)=g(X)$. Then there exists a root $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ of the polynomial $f(X)$ with $\bar{\alpha}=\theta$ because of the Henselian property. Proposition (10.2) shows that $F(\alpha) / F$ is unramified, and hence $\theta \in \bar{L}_{0}$.

Corollary. Let L be a finite separable (resp. finite) extension of a Henselian (resp. complete) discrete valuation field $F$, and let $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ be separable. Then $L$ is a totally ramified extension of $L_{0}, L^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is a totally ramified extension of $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$, and $\left|L: L_{0}\right|=\left|L^{\mathrm{ur}}: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right|$.

Proof. Theorem (9.8) and Proposition (9.4) show that $f\left(L \mid L_{0}\right)=1$, and $e\left(L \mid L_{0}\right)=\left|L: L_{0}\right|$. At the same time, Lemma (9.1) implies

$$
e\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} \mid F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)=e\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} \mid F\right)=e\left(L \mid L_{0}\right)
$$

Since $\left|L: L_{0}\right| \geqslant\left|L^{\mathrm{ur}}: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right|$, we obtain that $\left|L: L_{0}\right|=\left|L^{\mathrm{ur}}: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right|, e\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} \mid F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)=\left|L^{\mathrm{ur}}: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right|$, and $f\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} \mid F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)=1$.
10.5. We treat the case of tamely ramified extensions.

## Proposition.

(1) Let L be a finite separable (resp. finite) tamely ramified extension of a Henselian (resp. complete) discrete valuation field $F$ and let $L_{0} / F$ be the maximal unramified subextension in $L / F$. Then $L=L_{0}(\pi)$ and $\mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}[\pi]$ with a prime element $\pi$ in $L$ satisfying the equation $X^{e}-\pi_{0}=0$ for some prime element $\pi_{0}$ in $L_{0}$, where $e=e(L \mid F)$.
(2) Let $L_{0} / F$ be a finite unramified extension, $L=L_{0}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha^{e}=\beta \in L_{0}$. Let $p \nmid e$ if $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$. Then $L / F$ is separable tamely ramified.

Proof. (1) The Corollary of Proposition (10.4) shows that $L / L_{0}$ is totally ramified. Let $\pi_{1}$ be a prime element in $L_{0}$, then $\pi_{1}=\pi_{L}^{e} \varepsilon$ for a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$ and $\varepsilon \in U_{L}$ according to (9.3). Since $\bar{L}=\bar{L}_{0}$, there exists $\eta \in \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}$ such that $\bar{\eta}=\bar{\varepsilon}$. Hence $\pi_{1} \eta^{-1}=\pi_{L}^{e} \rho$ for the principal unit $\rho=$ $\varepsilon \eta^{-1} \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$. For the polynomial $f(X)=X^{e}-\rho$ we have $f(1) \in \mathscr{M}_{L}, f^{\prime}(1)=e$. Now Corollary 2 of (8.2) shows the existence of an element $v \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ with $v^{e}=\rho, \bar{v}=1$. Therefore, $\pi_{0}=\pi_{1} \eta^{-1}$, $\pi=\pi_{L} \nu$ are the elements desired for the first part of the Proposition. It remains to use Corollary 2 of (9.9).
(2) Let $\beta=\pi_{1}^{a} \varepsilon$ for a prime element $\pi_{1}$ in $L_{0}$ and a unit $\varepsilon \in U_{L_{0}}$. The polynomial $g(X)=$ $X^{e}-\bar{\varepsilon}$ is separable in $\bar{L}_{0}[X]$ and we can apply Proposition (10.2) to $f(X)=X^{e}-\varepsilon$ and a root $\eta \in F^{\text {sep }}$ of $f(X)$. We deduce that $L_{0}(\eta) / L_{0}$ is unramified and hence it suffices to verify that $M / M_{0}$ for $M=L(\eta), M_{0}=L_{0}(\eta)$, is tamely ramified. We get $M=M_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ with $\alpha_{1}=\alpha \eta^{-1}$, $\alpha_{1}^{e}=\pi_{1}^{a}$. Put $d=$ g.c.d. $(e, a)$. Then

$$
M \subset M_{0}\left(\alpha_{2}, \zeta\right)
$$

with $\alpha_{2}^{e / d}=\pi_{1}^{a / d}$ and a primitive $e$ th root $\zeta$ of unity. Since the extension $M_{0}(\zeta) / M_{0}$ is unramified (this can be verified by the same arguments as above), $\pi_{1}$ is a prime element in $M_{0}(\zeta)$. Let $v$ be the discrete valuation on $M_{0}\left(\alpha_{2}, \zeta\right)$. Then $(a / d) v\left(\pi_{1}\right) \in(e / d) \mathbb{Z}$ and $v\left(\pi_{1}\right) \in(e / d) \mathbb{Z}$, because $a / d$ and $e / d$ are relatively prime. This shows that $e\left(M_{0}\left(\alpha_{2}, \zeta\right) \mid M_{0}(\zeta)\right) \geqslant e / d$. However, $\left|M_{0}\left(\zeta, \alpha_{2}\right): M_{0}(\zeta)\right| \leqslant e / d$, and we conclude that $M_{0}\left(\zeta, \alpha_{2}\right) / M_{0}(\zeta)$ is tamely and totally ramified. Thus, $M_{0}\left(\zeta, \alpha_{2}\right) / M_{0}$ and $M / M_{0}$ are tamely ramified extensions.

## COROLLARY.

(1) If $L / F, M / L$ are separable tamely ramified, then $M / F$ is separable tamely ramified.
(2) If $L / F$ is separable tamely ramified, $M / F$ is an algebraic extension, and $M$ is discrete, then $M L / M$ is separable tamely ramified.
(3) If $L_{1} / F, L_{2} / F$ are separable tamely ramified, then $L_{1} L_{2} / F$ is separable tamely ramified.

If $F$ is complete, then all the assertions hold without the assumption of separability.
Proof. It is carried out similarly to the proof of Corollary (10.2). To verify (2) one can find the maximal unramified subextension $L_{0} / F$ in $L / F$. Then it remains to show that $M L / M L_{0}$ is tamely ramified. Put $L=L_{0}(\pi)$ with $\pi^{e}=\pi_{0}$. Then we get $M L=M L_{0}(\pi)$, and the second part of the Proposition yields the required assertion.
10.6. Finally we treat the case of totally ramified extensions. Let $F$ be a Henselian discrete valuation field. A polynomial

$$
f(X)=X^{n}+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0} \quad \text { over } \mathscr{O}
$$

is called an Eisenstein polynomial if $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathscr{M}, \quad a_{0} \notin \mathscr{M}^{2}$.

## Proposition.

(1) The Eisenstein polynomial $f(X)$ is irreducible over $F$. If $\alpha$ is a root of $f(X)$, then $F(\alpha) / F$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $n$, and $\alpha$ is a prime element in $F(\alpha), \mathscr{O}_{F(\alpha)}=$ $\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]$.
(2) Let $L / F$ be a separable totally ramified extension of degree $n$, and let $\pi$ be a prime element in L. Then $\pi$ is a root of an Eisenstein polynomial over $F$ of degree $n$.

Proof. (1) Let $\alpha$ be a root of $f(X), L=F(\alpha), e=e(L \mid F)$. Then

$$
n v_{L}(\alpha)=v_{L}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} \alpha^{i}\right) \geqslant \min _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}\left(e v_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)+i v_{L}(\alpha)\right)
$$

where $v_{F}$ and $v_{L}$ are the discrete valuations on $F$ and $L$. It follows that $v_{L}(\alpha)>0$. Since $e v_{F}\left(a_{0}\right)<$ $e v_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)+i v_{L}(\alpha)$ for $i>0$, one has $n v_{L}(\alpha)=e v_{F}\left(a_{0}\right)=e$. Lemma (9.3) implies $v_{L}(\alpha)=1, n=$ $e, f=1$, and $\mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]$ similarly to Corollary 2 of (9.9).
(2) Let $\pi$ be a prime element in $L$. Then $L=F(\pi)$ by Corollary 2 of (9.9). Let

$$
f(X)=X^{n}+a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}
$$

be the irreducible polynomial of $\pi$ over $F$. Then

$$
n=e, \quad n v_{L}(\pi)=\min _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}\left(n v_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)+i\right)
$$

hence $v_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)>0$, and $n=n v_{F}\left(a_{0}\right), v_{F}\left(a_{0}\right)=1$.

## 11. Galois Extensions and Ramification Groups

Ramification theory was first studied by Dedekind and Hilbert. In this section $F$ is a Henselian discrete valuation field.
11.1. Lemma. Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $F$. Then $v \circ \sigma=v$ for the discrete valuation $v$ on $L$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. If $\pi$ is a prime element in $L$, then $\sigma \pi$ is a prime element and $\sigma \mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{L}, \sigma \mathscr{M}_{L}=\mathscr{M}_{L}$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3 of (9.9).

Proposition. Let L be a finite Galois extension of $F$ and let $L_{0} / F$ be the maximal unramified subextension in $L / F$. Then $L_{0} / F$ and $\bar{L}_{0} / \bar{F}$ are Galois, and the map $\sigma \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$ defined in Proposition (10.3) induces the surjective homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / F\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{L}_{0} / \bar{F}\right)$. If, in addition, $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is separable, then $\bar{L}=\bar{L}_{0}$ and $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is Galois, and $L / L_{0}$ is totally ramified.

The extension $L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F$ is Galois and the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / L_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / L\right) \times$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$, and

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right), \quad \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / L\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / L_{0}\right)
$$

 (9.9) implies that $\sigma L_{0}$ is unramified over $F$, hence $L_{0}=\sigma L_{0}$ and $L_{0} / F$ is Galois. The the surjectivity of the homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{L}_{0} / \bar{F}\right)$ follows from Proposition (10.3). Since $L / F$ and $F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F$ are Galois extensions, we obtain that $L F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F$ is a Galois extension. Then $L^{\mathrm{ur}}=L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ by Proposition (10.4). The remaining assertions are easily deduced by using Galois theory.

Thus, a Galois extension $L / F$ induces the Galois extension $L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. The converse statement can be formulated as follows.
11.2. Proposition. Let $M$ be a finite extension of $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ of degree $n$. Then there exist a finite unramified extension $L_{0}$ of $F$ and an extension $L / L_{0}$ of degree $n$ such that $L \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}=L_{0}, L F^{\mathrm{ur}}=M$. If $M / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is separable (Galois) then one can find $L_{0}$ and $L$, such that $L / L_{0}$ is separable (Galois).

Proof. Assume that $L_{0}$ is a finite unramified extension of $F, L$ is a finite extension of $L_{0}$ of the same degree as $M / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ and $M=L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Then for a finite unramified extension $N_{0}$ of $L_{0}$ and $N=N_{0} L$ we get $\left|M: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right| \leqslant\left|N: N_{0}\right| \leqslant\left|L: L_{0}\right|$, hence $\left|N: N_{0}\right|=\left|L: L_{0}\right|$ and $|N: L|=\left|N_{0}: L_{0}\right|$. This shows $L \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}=L_{0}$ and $L_{0}, L$ are such as desired. Moreover, $N_{0}, N$ are also valid for the Proposition. Therefore, it suffices to consider a case of $M=F^{\mathrm{ur}}(\alpha)$.

Let $f(X) \in F^{\mathrm{ur}}[X]$ be the irreducible monic polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. In fact, its coefficients belong to some finite subextension $L_{0} / F$ in $F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F$. Put $L=L_{0}(\alpha)$. Then $f(X)$ is irreducible over $L_{0}, L$ is the finite extension of $L_{0}$ of the same degree as $M / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ and $M=L F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. This proves the first assertion of the Proposition. If $\alpha$ is separable over $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$, then it is separable over $L_{0}$. If $M / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is a Galois extension, then $M=F^{\mathrm{ur}}(\alpha)$ for a suitable $\alpha$ and $\sigma_{i}(\alpha)$ for $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ can be expressed as polynomials in $\alpha$ with coefficients in $F^{\text {ur }}$. All these coefficients belong to some finite extension $L_{0}^{\prime}$ of $L_{0}$ in $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. The pair $L_{0}^{\prime}, L^{\prime}=L_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is the desired one.

COROLLARY. If $\bar{M}=\overline{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}$, then $L / L_{0}$ and $M / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ are totally ramified.
Proof. It follows from Proposition (10.4).
11.3. Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $F, G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Put

$$
G_{i}=\left\{\sigma \in G: \sigma \alpha-\alpha \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1} \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}\right\}, \quad i \geqslant-1
$$

Then $G_{-1}=G$ by Lemma (11.1) and $G_{i+1}$ is a subset of $G_{i}$.
Let $v_{L}$ be the discrete valuation of $L$. For a real number $x$ define

$$
G_{x}=\left\{\sigma \in G: v_{L}(\sigma \alpha-\alpha) \geqslant x+1 \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}\right\}
$$

Certainly each of $G_{x}$ is equal to $G_{i}$ with the least integer $i \geqslant x$.
LEMMA. $G_{i}$ are normal subgroups of $G$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in G_{i}, \alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$. Then $\sigma \alpha-\alpha \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}$. Hence $\alpha-\sigma^{-1}(\alpha) \in \sigma^{-1}\left(\mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}\right)=\mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}$ by Lemma (11.1), i.e., $\sigma^{-1} \in G_{i}$. Let $\sigma, \tau \in G_{i}$. Then

$$
\sigma \tau(\alpha)-\alpha=\sigma(\tau(\alpha)-\alpha)+\sigma(\alpha)-\alpha \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}
$$

i.e., $\sigma \tau \in G_{i}$. Furthermore, let $\sigma \in G_{i}, \tau \in G$. Then $\tau(\alpha) \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ and $\sigma(\tau \alpha)-\tau \alpha \in$ $\mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}, \tau^{-1} \sigma \tau(\alpha)-\alpha \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}, \tau^{-1} \sigma \tau \in G_{i}$.

The groups $G_{x}$ are called (lower) ramification groups of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.
Proposition. Let L be a finite Galois extension of $F$, and let $\bar{L}$ be a separable extension of $\bar{F}$. Then $G_{0}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$ and the ith ramification groups of $G_{0}$ and $G$ coincide for $i \geqslant 0$. Moreover,

$$
G_{i}=\left\{\sigma \in G_{0}: \sigma \pi-\pi \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}\right\}
$$

for a prime element $\pi$ in $L$, and $G_{i}=\{1\}$ for sufficiently large $i$.
Proof. Note that $\sigma \in G_{0}$ if and only if $\bar{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$ is trivial. Then $G_{0}$ coincides with the kernel of the homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / \bar{F})$. Proposition (11.1) and Proposition (10.3) imply that this kernel is equal to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$. Since $G_{i}$ is a subgroup of $G_{0}$ for $i \geqslant 0$, we get the assertion about the $i$ th ramification group of $G_{0}$. Finally, using Corollary 2 of (9.9) we obtain $\mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}[\pi]$. Let

$$
\alpha=\sum_{m=0}^{n} a_{m} \pi^{m}
$$

be an expansion of $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}$. As $\sigma a_{m}=a_{m}$ for $\sigma \in G_{0}$ it follows that

$$
\sigma \alpha-\alpha=\sum_{m=0}^{n} a_{m}\left(\sigma\left(\pi^{m}\right)-\pi^{m}\right)
$$

Now we deduce the description of $G_{i}$, since $\sigma\left(\pi^{m}\right)-\pi^{m} \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}$. Now we deduce the description of $G_{i}$, since $\sigma\left(\pi^{i}\right)-\pi^{i} \in G_{i}$. If $i \geqslant \max \left\{v_{L}(\sigma \pi-\pi): \sigma \in G\right\}$, then $G_{i}=\{1\}$.

The group $G_{0}$ is called the inertia group of $G$, and the field $L_{0}$ is called the inertia subfield of $L / F$.
11.4. Proposition. Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of $F, \bar{L}$ a separable extension of $\bar{F}$, and $\pi$ a prime element in L. Introduce the maps

$$
\psi_{0}: G_{0} \longrightarrow \bar{L}^{\times}, \quad \psi_{i}: G_{i} \longrightarrow \bar{L} \quad(i>0)
$$

by the formulas $\psi_{i}(\sigma)=\lambda_{i}(\sigma \pi / \pi)$, where the maps

$$
\lambda_{0}: U_{L} \longrightarrow \bar{L}^{\times}, \quad \lambda_{i}: 1+\mathscr{M}_{L}^{i} \longrightarrow \bar{L}
$$

were defined in Proposition (4.4). Then $\psi_{i}$ is a homomorphism with the kernel $G_{i+1}$ for $i \geqslant 0$.
Proof. The proof follows from the congruence

$$
\frac{\sigma \tau(\pi)}{\pi}=\sigma\left(\frac{\tau \pi}{\pi}\right) \cdot \frac{\sigma \pi}{\pi} \equiv \frac{\tau \pi}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\sigma \pi}{\pi} \bmod U_{i+1}
$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in G_{i}$ and Proposition (4.4). The kernel of $\psi_{i}$ consists of those automorphisms $\sigma \in G_{i}$, for which $\sigma \pi / \pi \in 1+\mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+1}$, i.e., $\sigma \pi-\pi \in \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+2}$.

Corollary 1. Let L be a finite Galois extension of $F$, and $\bar{L}$ a separable extension of $\bar{F}$. If $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=0$, then $G_{1}=\{1\}$ and $G_{0}$ is cyclic. If $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$, then the group $G_{0} / G_{1}$ is cyclic of order relatively prime to $p, G_{i} / G_{i+1}$ are abelian p-groups if $i>0$, and $G_{1}$ is the maximal p-subgroup of $G_{0}$.

Proof. The previous Proposition permits us to transform the assertions of this Corollary into the following: a finite subgroup in $\bar{L}^{\times}$is cyclic (of order relatively prime to char $(\bar{L})$ when $\operatorname{char}(\bar{L}) \neq 0$ ); there are no nontrivial finite subgroups in the additive group of $\bar{L}$ if $\operatorname{char}(\bar{L})=0$; if $\operatorname{char}(\bar{L})=p>0$ then a finite subgroup in $\bar{L}$ is a $p$-group.

Corollary 2. Let L be a finite Galois extension of $F$ and $\bar{L}$ a separable extension of $\bar{F}$. Then the group $G_{1}$ coincides with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{1}\right)$, where $L_{1} / F$ is the maximal tamely ramified subextension in $L / F$.

Proof. The extension $L_{1} / L_{0}$ is totally ramified by Proposition (11.1) and is the maximal subextension in $L / L_{0}$ of degree relatively prime with $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$. Now Corollary 1 implies $G_{1}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{1}\right)$.

Corollary 3. Let L be a finite Galois extension of $F$ and $\bar{L}$ a separable extension of $\bar{F}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a solvable group. If, in addition, $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is a solvable extension, then $L / F$ is solvable.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 1.
11.5. Definition. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension with separable residue field extension; let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Integers $i$ such that $G_{i} \neq G_{i+1}$ are called ramification numbers of $L / F$ or lower ramification jumps of $L / F$.

One of the first properties of ramification numbers if supplied by the following
Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension with separable residue field extension. Let $\sigma \in G_{i} \backslash G_{i+1}$ and $\tau \in G_{j} \backslash G_{j+1}$ with $i, j \geqslant 1$. Then $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \tau^{-1} \in G_{i+j+1}$ and $i \equiv j \bmod p$.

Proof. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a prime element of $L$. Then

$$
\frac{\sigma \pi_{L}}{\pi_{L}}=1+\alpha \pi_{L}^{i}, \quad \frac{\tau \pi_{L}}{\pi_{L}}=1+\beta \pi_{L}^{j} \quad \text { with } \alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{O}_{L}^{\times}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma \tau \pi_{L} & =\sigma \pi_{L}+(\sigma \beta)\left(\sigma \pi_{L}\right)^{j+1} \\
& \equiv \pi_{L}+\alpha \pi_{L}^{i+1}+\beta \pi_{L}^{j+1}+(j+1) \alpha \beta \pi_{L}^{i+j+1} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+j+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(\sigma \tau-\tau \sigma) \pi_{L} \equiv(j-i) \alpha \beta \pi_{L}^{i+j+1} \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+j+2}$. Substituting instead of $\pi_{L}$ the other prime element $\sigma^{-1} \tau^{-1} \pi_{L}$ of $L$ we deduce that

$$
\frac{\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \tau^{-1} \pi_{L}}{\pi_{L}} \equiv 1+(j-i) \alpha \beta \pi_{L}^{i+j} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}^{i+j+1}
$$

Now if $j$ is the maximal ramification number of $L / F$, then $G_{j+1}=\{1\}$. Therefore the last formula in the previous paragraph shows that every positive ramification number $i$ of $L / F$ is congruent to $j$ modulo $p$. Therefore every two positive ramification number of $L / F$ are congruent to each other modulo $p$. Finally, from the same formula we deduce that $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \tau^{-1} \in G_{i+j+1}$.

## 12. Structure Theorems for Complete Discrete Valuation Fields

Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field. Lemma (2.2) shows that there are three cases: two equal-characteristic cases, when $\operatorname{char}(F)=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=0$ or $\operatorname{char}(F)=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$, and one unequal-characteristic case, when $\operatorname{char}(F)=0, \operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p>0$.
12.1. LEMMA. The ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ contains a nontrivial field $M$ if and only if $\operatorname{char}(F)=$ $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$.

Proof. Since $M \cap \mathscr{M}_{F}=(0), M$ is mapped isomorphically onto the field $\bar{M} \subset \bar{F}$, therefore $\operatorname{char}(F)=$ $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$. Conversely, let $A$ be the subring in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ generated by 1 . Then $A$ is a field if $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, and $A \cap \mathscr{M}_{F}=(0)$ if $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=0$. Hence, the quotient field of $A$ is the desired one.

A field $M \subset \mathscr{O}_{F}$, that is mapped isomorphically onto the residue field $\bar{F}=\bar{M}$ is called a coefficient field in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. Such a field, if it exists, is a set of representatives of $\bar{F}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, see (4.1). Proposition (4.2) implies immediately that in this case $F$ is isomorphic (algebraically and topologically) with the field $M((X))$ : a prime element $\pi$ in $F$ corresponds to $X$. Note that this isomorphism depends on the choice of a coefficient field (which is sometimes unique, see below) and the choice of a prime element of $F$.

We shall show below that a coefficient field exists in an equal-characteristic case.
12.2. The simplest case is that of $\operatorname{char}(F)=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=0$.

Proposition. Let char $(\bar{F})=0$. Then there exists a coefficient field in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. A coefficient field can be selected in infinitely many ways if and only if $\bar{F}$ is not algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a maximal subfield in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, in other words, $M$ be not properly contained in any other larger subfield of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. We assert that $\bar{M}=\bar{F}$, i.e., $M$ is a coefficient field. Indeed, if $\theta \in \bar{F}$ is algebraic over $\bar{M}$, then $\theta$ is separable over $\bar{M}$ and we can apply the arguments of the proof of Proposition (10.4) to show that there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ which is algebraic over $M$ and such that $\bar{\alpha}=\theta$. Since $M(\alpha)=M$ by the maximality of $M$, we get $\alpha \in M, \theta \in \bar{M}$.

Furthermore, let $\theta \in \bar{F}$ be transcendental over $\bar{M}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ be such that $\bar{\alpha}=\theta$. Then $\alpha$ is not algebraic over $M$, because if $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \alpha^{i}=0$ with $a_{i} \in M$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{i} \theta^{i}=0$. Hence, $\bar{a}_{i}=0$ and $a_{i}=0(M$ is mapped isomorphically onto $\bar{M})$. By the same reason $M[\alpha] \cap \mathscr{M}=(0)$. Hence, the quotient field $M(\alpha)$ is contained in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $M \neq M(\alpha)$, contradiction.

Thus, a coefficient field exists.

If $\bar{F}$ is not algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$, choose an element $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the maximal subfield in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, which contains $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha+\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{M}_{F}$, is a coefficient field and it will be different from the coefficient field containing $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ if $\varepsilon \neq 0$.

If $\bar{F}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $M$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ and is uniquely determined by the previous constructions.
12.3. To treat the case char $(\bar{F})=p$ we consider the following notion: elements $\theta_{i}$ of $\bar{F}$ are called a $p$-basis of $\bar{F}$ if

$$
\bar{F}=\bar{F}^{p}\left[\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\bar{F}^{p}\left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right]: \bar{F}^{p}\right|=p^{n}
$$

for every distinct elements $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$. The empty set is a $p$-basis if and only if $\bar{F}$ is perfect. For an imperfect $\bar{F}$, a $p$-basis $\Theta=\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ exists by Zorn's Lemma, because every maximal set of elements $\theta_{i}$ satisfying the second condition possesses the first property. The definition of a $p$-basis implies that $\bar{F}=\bar{F}^{p^{n}}\left[\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}\right]$ for $n \geqslant 1$.

Lemma. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field with the residue field $\bar{F}$ of characteristic p, and $\Theta=\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ be a p-basis of $\bar{F}$. Let $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ be such that $\bar{\alpha}_{i}=\theta_{i}$. Then there exists an extension $L / F$ with $e(L \mid F)=1$, such that $L$ is a complete discrete valuation field, $\bar{L}=\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} \bar{F}^{p^{n n}}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are the multiplicative representatives of $\theta_{i}$ in $L$.

Proof. Let $I$ be an index-set for $\Theta$. One can put $F_{n}=F_{n-1}\left(\left\{\alpha_{i, n}\right\}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i, n}^{p}=\alpha_{i, n-1}, i \in I$, and $F_{0}=F, \alpha_{i, 0}=\alpha_{i}$. Then $e\left(F_{n} \mid F\right)=1$ and the completion of $L^{\prime}=\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} F_{n}$ is the desired field. Since $\alpha_{i} \in \bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} L^{p^{n}}$, we obtain that $\alpha_{i}$ is the multiplicative representative of $\theta_{i}$.
12.4. Now we treat the $\operatorname{case} \operatorname{char}(F)=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p$.

If $\bar{F}$ is perfect, then Corollaries 1 and 2 of 6.3 show that the set of the multiplicative representatives of $\bar{F}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ forms a coefficient field. Moreover, this is the unique coefficient field in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ because if $M$ is such a field and $\alpha \in M$, then, as $M$ is perfect, $\alpha \in \bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} M^{p^{n}}$ is the multiplicative representative of $\bar{\alpha}$.

Note that in general there are infinitely many maximal fields similarly to the case of $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=$ 0 , therefore in general when $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ and $\bar{F}$ is perfect a maximal field is not a coefficient field.

Proposition. Let char $(F)=p$. If $\bar{F}$ is perfect then a coefficient field exists and is unique; it coincides with the set of multiplicative representatives of $\bar{F}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. If $\bar{F}$ is imperfect then there are infinitely many coefficient fields.

Proof. If $\bar{F}$ is imperfect we apply the construction of the previous Lemma. Then $\bar{L}$ is perfect and there is the unique coefficient field $N$ of $\bar{L}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Let $M$ be the subfield of $N$ corresponding to $\bar{F}$.

Let $\Theta=\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ be a $p$-basis of $\bar{F}$. Let $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ be such that $\bar{\alpha}_{i}=\theta_{i}$. Let $\alpha_{i, n}$ be as in the proof of Lemma (12.3).

If $\gamma \in M$ then $\bar{\gamma} \in \bar{F}^{p^{n}}[\Theta]$ and there exists an element $\beta_{n} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}\left[\left\{\alpha_{i, n}\right\}\right]$ such that $\bar{\beta}_{n}=\bar{\gamma}^{p^{-n}}$. It follows that $\beta_{n} \equiv \gamma^{p^{-n}} \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}$, and by Lemma (6.2) we deduce $\gamma \equiv \beta_{n}^{p^{n}} \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}^{n+1}$. Since
$\beta_{n}^{p^{n}} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}^{p^{n}}\left[\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}\right] \subset \mathscr{O}_{F}$, we obtain $\gamma=\lim \beta_{n}^{p^{n}} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$. This proves the existence of a coefficient field of $\bar{F}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.

If we apply this construction for another set of elements $\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ with $\bar{\alpha}_{i}^{\prime}=\bar{\alpha}_{i}$, then we get a coefficient field $M^{\prime}$ containing $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathscr{M}_{F} \cap M=\mathscr{M}_{F} \cap M^{\prime}=(0)$ we deduce $M \neq M^{\prime}$.
12.5. We conclude with the case of unequal characteristic: $\operatorname{char}(F)=0, \operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p$. For the discrete valuation $v_{F}$ such that $v_{F}\left(F^{\times}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ recall that $e(F)=v_{F}(p)$ is called the absolute index of ramification of $F$, see (4.7). The preceding assertions show that in equal-characteristic case for an arbitrary field $K$ there exists a complete discrete valuation field $F$ with the residue field $\bar{F}$ isomorphic to $K$. Here is an analog:

Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with residue field $K$ of characteristic $p$. Let $K_{1}$ be any extension of $K$. Then there exists a complete discrete valuation field $F_{1}$ which is an extension of $F$, such that $e\left(F_{1} \mid F\right)=1$ and $\bar{F}_{1}=K_{1}$.

Proof. It is suffices to consider two cases: $K_{1}=K(a)$ is an algebraic extension over $K$ and $K_{1}=$ $K(y)$ is a transcendental extension over $K$. If, in addition, in the first case $K_{1} / K$ is separable, then let $g(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $a$ over $K$, and let $f(X)$ be a monic polynomial over the ring of integers of $K$ such that $\bar{f}(X)=g(X)$. By the Hensel Lemma (8.2) there exists a root $\alpha$ of $f(X)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}=a$. Then $F_{1}=F(\alpha)$ is the desired extension of $F$. Next, if $a^{p}=b \in K$ and $\beta$ is an element in the ring of integers of $F$ such that $\bar{\beta}=b$, then $F_{1}=F(\alpha)$ is the desired extension of $F$ for $\alpha^{p}=\beta$. Finally, in the second case let $w$ be the discrete valuation on $F(y)$ defined in Example 5 in (1.3). Then completion of $F(y)$ is the desired extension $F_{1}$ of $F$.

Corollary. There exists a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with any given residue field of characteristic $p$ and the absolute index of ramification is equal to 1 .

Proof. One can set $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and apply the Proposition.
12.6. Proposition. Let L be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with the residue field $\bar{L}$ of characteristic p. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with $p$ as a prime element. Suppose that there is an isomorphism $\bar{\omega}: \bar{F} \longrightarrow \bar{L}$. Then there exists a field embedding $\omega: F \longrightarrow L$, such that $v_{L} \circ \omega=e(L) v_{F}$ and the image of $\omega(\alpha) \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ in the residue field $\bar{L}$ coincides with $\bar{\omega}(\bar{\alpha})$.

Proof. Assume first that $\bar{F}$ is perfect. By Corollary 1 of (6.3) any element $\theta \in \bar{F}$ has the unique multiplicative representative $r_{F}(\theta)$ in $F$ and $r_{L}(\bar{\omega}(\theta))$ in $L$. Put

$$
\omega\left(\sum r_{F}\left(\theta_{i}\right) p^{i}\right)=\sum r_{L}\left(\bar{\omega}\left(\theta_{i}\right)\right) p^{i} .
$$

Proposition (4.2) shows that the map $\omega$ is defined on $F$, Proposition (6.6) shows that $\omega$ is a homomorphism of fields. Evidently $v_{L} \circ \omega=e(L) v_{F}$ and $\overline{\omega(\alpha)}=\bar{\omega}(\bar{\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$.

Next, assume that $\bar{F}$ is imperfect. Let $\Theta=\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $p$-basis of $\bar{F}$. Let $\mathrm{A}=\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of elements $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ with $\bar{\alpha}_{i}=\theta_{i}$, and let $\mathrm{B}=\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of elements $\beta_{i} \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ with $\bar{\beta}_{i}=\theta_{i}$.

For a map

$$
v: I \longrightarrow\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{n}-1\right\}
$$

such that $v(i)=0$ for almost all $i \in I$, put

$$
\Theta^{v}=\prod_{i \in I} \theta_{i}^{v(i)}
$$

The same meaning will be used for $\mathrm{A}^{v}, \mathrm{~B}^{v}$. By Lemma (12.3) there exist complete discrete valuation fields $F^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ for $F, L$, such that $e\left(F^{\prime} \mid F\right)=e\left(L^{\prime} \mid L\right)=1$, and $\overline{F^{\prime}}$ is perfect and isomorphic to $\overline{L^{\prime}}$, and $\alpha_{i}$ (resp. $\beta_{i}$ ) are multiplicative representatives of $\theta_{i}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{F^{\prime}}$ (resp. of $\bar{\omega}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ in $\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}$ ). The previous arguments show the existence of a homomorphism $\omega^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \longrightarrow L^{\prime}$ with $v_{L^{\prime}} \circ \omega^{\prime}=e(L) v_{F^{\prime}}$ and $\overline{\omega^{\prime}(\alpha)}=\bar{\omega}(\bar{\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F^{\prime}}$. Moreover, $\omega^{\prime}$ maps $\alpha_{i}$ to $\beta_{i}$, since they are the multiplicative representatives of $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\omega}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $\bar{\gamma}=\sum a_{v}^{p^{n}} \Theta^{v}$ with $a_{v} \in \bar{F}$. Let $b_{v}$ be an element of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ with the property $\bar{b}_{v}=a_{v}$, and $c_{V}$ an element of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ with the property $\bar{c}_{v}=\overline{\omega^{\prime}\left(b_{v}\right)}$. Then $\gamma \equiv \sum b_{v}^{p^{n}} \mathrm{~A}^{v} \bmod p \mathscr{O}_{F}$, i.e.,

$$
\gamma=\sum b_{v}^{p^{n}} \mathrm{~A}^{v}+p \gamma_{1}
$$

with $\gamma_{1} \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$. We get $\omega^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{A}^{v}\right)=\mathrm{B}^{v}$ and using Lemma (6.2) we have

$$
\omega^{\prime}\left(b_{v}^{p^{n}}\right) \equiv c_{V}^{p^{n}} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L^{\prime}}^{n+1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\omega^{\prime}(\gamma) \equiv \sum c_{v}^{p^{n}} \mathrm{~B}^{v}+p \omega^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L^{\prime}}^{n+1}
$$

Repeating this reasoning for $\gamma_{1}$, we conclude that $\omega^{\prime}(\gamma) \equiv \delta_{n} \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L^{\prime}}^{n+1}$ for some $\delta_{n} \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$. Then $\omega^{\prime}(\gamma)=\lim \delta_{n}$ and since $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ is complete, we deduce $\omega^{\prime}(\gamma) \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$. Thus, $\omega^{\prime}$ maps $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ in $\mathscr{O}_{L}$, and we finally put $\omega=\left.\omega^{\prime}\right|_{F}$ to obtain the desired homomorphism.

COROLLARY 1. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}$ be complete discrete valuation fields of characteristic 0 with $p$ as a prime element. Let there be an isomorphism $\bar{\omega}$ of the residue field $\overline{F_{1}}$ to $\overline{F_{2}}$. Then there exists a field embedding $\omega: F_{1} \longrightarrow F_{2}$ such that $\overline{\omega(\alpha)}=\bar{\omega}(\bar{\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F_{1}}$.

Proof. Apply the Proposition for $F=F_{1}, L=F_{2}$ and $F=F_{2}, L=F_{1}$.

Corollary 2. The image $\omega(F)$ is uniquely determined in the field $L$ if and only if $\bar{F}$ is perfect or $e(L)=1$.

Proof. If $\bar{F}$ is perfect then its multiplicative representatives are uniquely determined in $F$ and in $L$, and this is compatible with $\omega$, hence $\omega(F)$ is uniquely determined and its image is equal to the image of the fraction field of the Witt vectors over $\bar{F}$ in $L$. If $e(L)=1$ then $\omega(F)=L$.

Assume that $\bar{F}$ is imperfect and $e(L)>1$. If $\omega(F)$ were uniquely determined in $L$ then in the proof of the Proposition we could have replaced $\beta_{i}$ by $\beta_{i}+\pi_{L}$ to obtain $\beta_{i} \in \omega\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right), \beta_{i}+\pi_{L} \in$ $\omega\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$ and hence $\pi_{L} \in \omega\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$; the latter is impossible because $v_{L} \circ \omega=e(L) v_{F}$.

## 13. Cyclic Extensions of Prime Degree

Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field and $L$ its Galois extension of prime degree $n$. Then there are four possible cases:
$L / F$ is unramified;
$L / F$ is tamely and totally ramified;
$L / F$ is totally ramified of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0 ;$
$\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ is inseparable of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$.
The fourth case is very interesting for higher local class field theory. Here we discuss the first three cases.

The following results were first proved by Hasse.
13.1. Lemma. Let Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension of degree $n, \gamma \in \mathscr{M}_{L}$. Then

$$
N_{L / F}(1+\gamma)=1+N_{L / F}(\gamma)+\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\gamma)+\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\boldsymbol{\delta})
$$

with some $\delta \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ such that $v_{L}(\delta) \geqslant 2 v_{L}(\gamma)$
Proof. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{L / F}(1+\gamma) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\sigma_{i}(\gamma)\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}(\gamma)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \gamma \sigma_{j}(\gamma)+\cdots\right)+\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}(\gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $\delta=\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \gamma \sigma_{j}(\gamma)+\cdots$, then $v_{L}(\delta) \geqslant 2 v_{L}(\gamma)$.
Below $\lambda_{i, L}, \lambda_{i, F}(i \geqslant 0)$ will be as in Proposition (4.4) for the specific choice of $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{F}$ as stated below. We denote $U_{i, L}=1+\pi_{F}^{i} \mathscr{O}_{L}, U_{i, F}=1+\pi_{F}^{i} \mathscr{O}_{F}$.
13.2. Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a Galois unramified extension of degree $n$. Then a prime element $\pi_{F}$ in $F$ is a prime element in $L$.

Then the following diagrams are commutative:


Proof. Proposition (10.3) implies that $\overline{N_{L / F}(\alpha)}=N_{\bar{L} / \bar{F}}(\bar{\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$, i.e., the second diagram is commutative. The preceding Lemma shows that

$$
N_{L / F}\left(1+\theta \pi_{F}^{i}\right)=1+\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F} \theta\right) \pi_{F}^{i}+\left(N_{L / F} \theta\right) \pi_{F}^{n i}+\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\boldsymbol{\delta})
$$

with $v_{L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}) \geqslant 2 i$ and, consequently, $v_{F}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\boldsymbol{\delta})\right) \geqslant 2 i$. Thus, we get

$$
N_{L / F}\left(1+\theta \pi_{F}^{i}\right) \equiv 1+\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F} \theta\right) \pi_{F}^{i} \quad \bmod \pi_{F}^{i+1}
$$

and the commutativity of the third diagram.

Corollary. In the case under consideration $N_{L / F} U_{1, L}=U_{1, F}$.
13.3. Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a totally and tamely ramified cyclic extension of degree $n$. Then for some prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$, the element $\pi_{F}=\pi_{L}^{n}$ is prime in $F$ and $\bar{F}=\bar{L}$. Then the following diagrams

are commutative, where id is the identity map, $\uparrow n$ takes an element to its nth power, $\times \bar{n}$ is the multiplication by $\bar{n} \in \bar{F}, i \geqslant 1$.

Moreover, $N_{L / F} U_{i, L}=N_{L / F} U_{i+1, L}$ if $n \nmid i$.
Proof. Since $\pi_{L}^{n}=\pi_{F}$ and $L / F$ is Galois, then $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ is cyclic of order $n$ and $\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)=\zeta \pi_{L}$ for a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity, $\zeta \in F$. The first diagram is commutative in view of Theorem (9.5). Proposition (11.1) shows that $\overline{\sigma(\alpha)}=\bar{\alpha}$ for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$, and we get the commutativity of the second diagram.

We have

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(1+\theta \pi_{L}^{i}\right)}{1+\theta \pi_{L}^{i}}=1+\theta\left(\zeta^{i}-1\right) \pi_{L}^{i} \quad \bmod \pi_{L}^{i+1}
$$

If $n \nmid i$ then the residue of $\zeta^{i}-1$ is non-zero and so $U_{i, L} \subset U_{i+1, L} \operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}$.
If $j=n i$, then $1+\theta \pi_{L}^{j} \in F$ for $\theta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$, and

$$
N_{L / F}\left(1+\theta \pi_{L}^{j}\right)=\left(1+\theta \pi_{F}^{i}\right)^{n} \equiv 1+n \theta \pi_{F}^{i} \quad \bmod \pi_{F}^{i+1}
$$

by Proposition (4.4). Applying Corollary (4.5), we deduce

$$
U_{i, F}=U_{i, F}^{n} \subset N_{L / F} U_{n i, L},
$$

and the equality follows from the previous paragraph.

Corollary. In the case under consideration $N_{L / F} U_{1, L}=U_{1, F}$.
If $\bar{F}$ is algebraically closed then $N_{L / F} L^{\times}=F^{\times}$.
13.4. Now we treat the most complicated case when $L / F$ is a totally ramified Galois extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$. Then Corollary 2 of (9.9) shows that $\mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{F}\left[\pi_{L}\right], L=F\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ for a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$, and $\bar{L}=\bar{F}$.

Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, then $\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right) / \pi_{L} \in U_{L}$. One can write $\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right) / \pi_{L}=\theta \varepsilon$ with $\theta \in U_{F}, \varepsilon \in 1+\mathscr{M}_{L}$. Then

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(\pi_{L}\right) / \pi_{L}=\sigma(\theta \varepsilon) \cdot \theta \varepsilon=\theta^{2} \varepsilon \cdot \sigma(\varepsilon)
$$

and

$$
1=\sigma^{p}\left(\pi_{L}\right) / \pi_{L}=\theta^{p} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \sigma(\varepsilon) \cdots \cdot \sigma^{p-1}(\varepsilon)
$$

This shows that $\theta^{p} \in 1+\mathscr{M}_{L}$ and $\theta \in 1+\mathscr{M}_{F}$, because raising to the $p$ th power is an injective homomorphism of $\bar{F}$. Thus, we obtain $\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right) / \pi_{L} \in 1+\mathscr{M}_{L}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}}=1+\eta \pi_{L}^{s} \quad \text { with } \quad \eta \in U_{L}, s=s(L \mid F) \geqslant 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $s$ does not depend on the choice of the prime element $\pi_{L}$ and of the generator $\sigma$ of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\frac{\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}} \equiv 1+i \eta \pi_{L}^{s} \quad \bmod \pi_{L}^{s+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\rho} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod \pi_{L}^{s+1}
$$

for an element $\rho \in U_{L}$. We also deduce that

$$
\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha} \in U_{s, L}
$$

for every element $\alpha \in L^{\times}$. This means that $G=G_{s}, G_{s+1}=\{1\}$ (see (11.3)). Thus, $s$ is the lower ramification number/jump of $L / F$.

We need the following auxiliary property.
LEMmA. Let $f(X)=X^{p}+a_{p-1} X^{p-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$ be the irreducible polynomial of $\pi_{L}$ over $F$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\frac{\pi_{L}^{j}}{f^{\prime}\left(\pi_{L}\right)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant j \leqslant p-2 \\
1 & \text { if } & j=p-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Since $\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$ are all the roots of the polynomial $f(X)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{f(X)}=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{f^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)\left(X-\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)}
$$

Putting $Y=X^{-1}$ and performing the calculations in the field $L((Y))$, we consequently deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(X)=Y^{-p}\left(1+a_{p-1} Y+\cdots+a_{0} Y^{p}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{f(X)}=\frac{Y^{p}}{1+a_{p-1} Y+\cdots+a_{0} Y^{p}} \equiv Y^{p} \quad \bmod Y^{p+1}, \\
& \frac{1}{X-\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)}=\frac{Y}{1-\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right) Y}=\sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}^{j}\right) Y^{j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(because $1 /(1-Y)=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} Y^{i}$ in $F((Y))$ ). Hence

$$
\sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}^{j}\right) Y^{j+1}}{f^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)} \equiv Y^{p} \quad \bmod Y^{p+1}
$$

or

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\frac{\pi_{L}^{j}}{f^{\prime}\left(\pi_{L}\right)}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}^{j}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant j \leqslant p-2 \\
1 & \text { if } & j=p-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

as desired.

Proposition. Let $[a]$ denote the maximal integer $\leqslant a$. For an integer $i \geqslant 0$ put $j(i)=s+$ $1+[(i-1-s) / p]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{L}^{i} \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)=\pi_{F}^{j(i)} \mathscr{O}_{F}
$$

Proof. One has $f^{\prime}\left(\pi_{L}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(\pi_{L}-\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)$ and $\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right) / \pi_{L} \equiv 1+i \eta \pi_{L}^{s} \bmod \pi_{L}^{s+1}$. Then

$$
f^{\prime}\left(\pi_{L}\right)=(p-1)!(-\eta)^{p-1} \pi_{L}^{(p-1)(s+1)} \varepsilon
$$

with some $\varepsilon \in 1+\mathscr{M}_{L}^{(p-1)(s+1)+1}$. Since $\bar{F}=\bar{L}$, for a prime element $\pi_{F}$ in $F$ one has the representation $\pi_{F}=\pi_{L}^{p} \varepsilon^{\prime}$ with $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in U_{L}$. The previous Lemma implies

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{L}^{j+s+1} \varepsilon_{j+s+1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant j<p-1 \\
\pi_{F}^{s+1} & \text { if } & j=p-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $\varepsilon_{j+s+1}=\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)^{s+1} /\left((p-1)!(-\eta)^{p-1} \varepsilon\right)$. Taking into consideration $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{F}^{i} \alpha\right)=\pi_{F}^{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\alpha)$, we can choose the units $\varepsilon_{j+s+1}$, for every integer $j>0$, such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{L}^{j+s+1} \varepsilon_{j+s+1}\right)=0$ if $p \nmid(j+1)$ and $=\pi_{F}^{s+(j+1) / p}$ if $p \mid(j+1)$. Thus, since the $\mathscr{O}_{F}$-module $\pi_{L}^{i} \mathscr{O}_{L}$ is generated by $\pi_{L}^{j} \varepsilon_{j}$, $j \geqslant i$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{L}^{i} \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)=\pi_{F}^{j(i)} \mathscr{O}_{F}$.
13.5. PROPOSITION. Let $L / F$ be a totally ramified Galois extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>$ 0 . Let $\pi_{L}$ be a prime element in $L$. Then $\pi_{F}=N_{L / F} \pi_{L}$ is a prime element in $F$.

Then the following diagrams are commutative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{L} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{0, L}} \bar{L}^{\times} \\
& U_{i, L} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{i, L}} \bar{L}=\bar{F} \\
& N_{L / F} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \uparrow p \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leqslant i<s, \\
& U_{i, F} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{i, F}} \bar{F} \\
& U_{s, L} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{s, L}} \bar{L}=\bar{F} \\
& N_{L / F} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \bar{\theta} \mapsto \bar{\theta}^{p}-\bar{\eta}^{p-1} \bar{\theta} \\
& U_{s, F} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{s, F}} \bar{F} \\
& U_{s+p i, L} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{s+p i, L}} \bar{L}=\bar{F} \\
& N_{L / F} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \times\left(-\bar{\eta}^{p-1}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad i>0 \text {. } \\
& U_{s+i, F} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{s+i, F}} \bar{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $N_{L / F}\left(U_{s+i, L}\right)=N_{L / F}\left(U_{s+i+1, L}\right)$ for $i>0, p \nmid i$.
Proof. The commutativity of the first and the second diagrams can be verified similarly to the proof of Proposition (13.3).

In order to explain at the remaining diagrams, put $\varepsilon=1+\theta \pi_{L}^{i}$ with $\theta \in U_{L}$. Then, by Lemma (13.1) we get

$$
N_{L / F} \varepsilon=1+N_{L / F}(\theta) \pi_{F}^{i}+\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\theta \pi_{L}^{i}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\theta \delta)
$$

with $v_{L}(\delta) \geqslant 2 i$. The previous Proposition implies that

$$
v_{F}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{L}^{i}\right)\right) \geqslant s+1+\left[\frac{i-1-s}{p}\right], v_{F}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\delta)\right) \geqslant s+1+\left[\frac{2 i-1-s}{p}\right]
$$

and for $i<s$

$$
v_{F}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\pi_{L}^{i}\right)\right) \geqslant i+1, \quad v_{F}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\delta)\right) \geqslant i+1 .
$$

Therefore, the third diagram is commutative. Further, using (*) of (13.4), one can write

$$
1=N_{L / F}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}}\right) \equiv 1+N_{L / F}(\eta) \pi_{F}^{s}+\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\eta \pi_{L}^{s}\right) \quad \bmod \pi_{F}^{s+1}
$$

We deduce that $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\eta \pi_{L}^{s}\right) \equiv-N_{L / F}(\eta) \pi_{F}^{s} \bmod \pi_{F}^{s+1}$. Since $N_{L / F}(\eta) \equiv \eta^{p} \bmod \pi_{L}$ in view of $U_{L} \subset U_{F} U_{1, L}$, we conclude that

$$
N_{L / F}\left(1+\theta \eta \pi_{L}^{s}\right)-1-\eta^{p} \pi_{F}^{s}\left(\theta^{p}-\theta\right) \in \pi_{L}^{p s+1} \theta \mathscr{O}_{L}
$$

for $\theta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$. This implies the commutativity of the fourth (putting $\theta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ ) and the fifth (when $\theta \in \pi_{F}^{i} \mathscr{O}_{F}$ ) diagrams. Finally, if $p \nmid i, \theta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$, then

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(1+\theta \pi_{L}^{i}\right)}{1+\theta \pi_{L}^{i}} \equiv 1+i \theta \eta \pi_{L}^{i+s} \bmod \pi_{L}^{i+s+1}
$$

This means that $N_{L / F}\left(1+i \theta \eta \pi_{L}^{i+s}\right) \in N_{L / F} U_{s+i+1, L}$ and $N_{L / F}\left(U_{s+i, L}\right)=N_{L / F}\left(U_{s+i+1, L}\right)$.
REMARK. Compare the behaviour of the norm map with the behaviour of raising to the pth power in Proposition (4.7).

Corollary. $U_{s+1, F}=N_{L / F} U_{s+1, L}$.
If $\bar{F}$ is algebraically closed then $N_{L / F} L^{\times}=F^{\times}$.
Proof. It follows immediately from the last diagram of the Proposition, since the multiplication by $(-\bar{\eta})^{p-1}$ is an isomorphism of the additive group $\bar{F}$.

## 14. Artin-Schreier Extensions

A theorem of Artin and Schreier asserts that every cyclic extension of degree $p$ over a field $K$ of characteristic $p$ is generated by a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha, \alpha \in K$. In this section we show how to extend this result to complete discrete valuation fields $F$ of characteristic 0 with residue field of characteristic $p$.
14.1. First we treat the case of unramified extensions. The polynomial $X^{p}-X$ is denoted by $\wp(X)$.

Lemma. Let $L / F$ be an unramified Galois extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$. Then $L=F(\lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in U_{F}$ with $\bar{\alpha} \notin \wp(\bar{F})$.

Proof. Let $\bar{L}=\bar{F}(\theta)$, where $\theta$ is a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\eta$ for some $\eta \notin \wp(\bar{F})$. Then the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha=0$, with $\alpha \in U_{F}$, such that $\bar{\alpha}=\eta$, has a root $\lambda$ in $L$, by Hensel Lemma (9.2). Thus, $L=F(\lambda)$.
14.2. Now we study the case of totally ramified extensions.

Let $L / F$ be a totally ramified Galois extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), \pi_{L}$ a prime element in $L$ and $s=v_{L}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)-1\right)$.

Lemma. For $\beta \in L$ there exists an element $b \in F$ such that $v_{L}(\sigma \beta-\beta)=v_{L}(\beta-b)+s$.
Proof. Let $\beta=a_{0}+a_{1} \pi_{L}+\cdots+a_{p-1} \pi_{L}^{p-1}$ with $a_{i} \in F$ (see Proposition (10.6)). Then

$$
\sigma(\beta)-\beta=a_{1} \pi_{L} \gamma+\cdots+a_{p-1} \pi_{L}^{p-1}\left((1+\gamma)^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

where $\gamma=\pi_{L}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)-1$. Since $v_{L}(\gamma)=s>0$, we get

$$
(1+\gamma)^{i}-1 \equiv i \gamma \quad \bmod \pi_{L}^{s+1} \quad \text { for } i \geqslant 0
$$

Hence, $v_{L}\left(a_{i} \pi_{L}^{i}\left((1+\gamma)^{i}-1\right)\right)$ are distinct for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$. Put $b=a_{0}$. Then $v_{L}(\sigma(\beta)-\beta)=v_{L}((\beta-b) \gamma)=v_{L}(\beta-b)+s$, as desired.
14.3. Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field with residue field of characteristic $p>0$. Let L be a totally ramified Galois extension of degree p of $F$. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ then $p \nmid s$. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then $s \leqslant p e /(p-1)$, where $e=e(F)$ is the absolute index of ramification of $F$. In this case, if $p \mid s$, then a primitive pth root of unity belongs to $F$, and $s=p e /(p-1), L=F(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})$ with some $\alpha \in F^{\times}, \alpha \notin U_{F} F^{\times p}$.

Proof. First let $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$. Then $\left(1+\theta \pi_{F}^{i}\right)^{p}=1+\theta^{p} \pi_{F}^{p i}$ for $\theta \in U_{F}$. One can take $\pi_{F}=N_{L / F} \pi_{L}$ for a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$. Then it follows from (13.4) that $\pi_{F} \equiv \pi_{L}^{p} \bmod \pi_{L}^{p+1}$. Assume that $s=p i$. Then $N_{L / F} U_{p i+1, L} \subset U_{p i+1, F}$, and we get the congruence $1+\theta^{p} \pi_{F}^{p i} \equiv N_{L / F}\left(1+\theta \pi_{L}^{p i}\right)$ $\bmod \pi_{F}^{p i+1}$ that contradicts the fourth diagram of Proposition (13.5). Hence, $p \nmid s$.

Now let $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$. Assume that $s>p e /(p-1)$. Let $\varepsilon=1+\theta \pi_{F}^{s} \in U_{s, F}$ with $\theta \in U_{F}$. Corollary 2 of (4.8) shows that $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{1}^{p}$ for some $\varepsilon_{1}=1+\theta_{1} \pi_{F}^{s-e} \in U_{F}$ with $\theta_{1} \in U_{F}$. Then $N_{L / F} U_{p(s-e), L} \not \subset U_{s+1, F}$, but $p(s-e) \geqslant s+1$, which is impossible because of Corollary (13.5). Hence, $s \leqslant p e /(p-1)$. By the same reasons as in the case of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, it is easy to verify that if $s=p i<p e /(p-1)$, then $1+\theta^{p} \pi_{F}^{p i} \equiv N_{L / F}\left(1+\theta \pi_{L}^{p i}\right) \bmod \pi_{F}^{p i+1}$, which is impossible. Therefore, in this case we get $s=p e /(p-1)$. One can write $\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right) \pi_{L}^{-1} \equiv 1+\theta \pi_{F}^{e /(p-1)}$ $\bmod \pi_{L}^{p e /(p-1)+1}$. Then, acting by $N_{L / F}$, we get $1 \equiv\left(1+\theta \pi_{F}^{e /(p-1)}\right)^{p} \bmod \pi_{F}^{p e /(p-1)+1}$. But $U_{p e /(p-1)+1, F} \subset U_{e /(p-1)+1, F}^{p}$ (see Corollary 2 of (4.8)), so we can find an element $\zeta \equiv 1+$ $\theta \pi_{F}^{e /(p-1)} \bmod \pi_{F}^{e /(p-1)+1}$, such that $\zeta^{p}=1 ; \zeta$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity in $F$, hence $L=F(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})$ for some $\alpha \in F^{\times}$, by Kummer theory. Writing $\alpha=\pi_{F}^{a} \varepsilon_{1}$ with $\varepsilon_{1} \in U_{F}$ and assuming $p \mid a$, we can replace $\alpha$ with $\varepsilon_{1}$. Since $\bar{L}=\bar{F}$ we obtain $\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \in \bar{F}^{p}$ (otherwise $L / F$ would not
be totally ramified) and $\varepsilon_{1} \equiv \varepsilon_{2}^{p} \bmod \pi_{L}$ for some $\varepsilon_{2} \in U_{F}$. Replacing $\varepsilon_{1}$ with $\varepsilon_{3}=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{-p}$, we get $\varepsilon_{3} \in U_{1, F}, L=F\left(\eta_{3}\right), \eta_{3}^{p}=\varepsilon_{3}$. Note that

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(1+\rho \pi_{L}^{i}\right)}{1+\rho \pi_{L}^{i}} \equiv 1+\rho i \eta \pi_{L}^{i+p e /(p-1)} \bmod \pi_{L}^{1+i+p e /(p-1)}
$$

for $\rho \in U_{F}$. Hence $\eta_{3}^{-1} \sigma\left(\eta_{3}\right) \equiv 1 \bmod \pi_{L}^{1+p e /(p-1)}$, but $\eta_{3}^{-1} \sigma\left(\eta_{3}\right)$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity. This contradiction proves that $\alpha \notin U_{F} F^{\times p}$.
14.4. Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field with residue field of characteristic $p>0$. Let L be a Galois totally ramified extension of degree $p, s=s(L \mid F)$.

Suppose that $s \neq p e /(p-1)$ if $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, where $e=e(F)$. Then $L=F(\lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is $a$ root of some polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha$ with $\alpha \in F, v_{F}(\alpha)=-s$.

Proof. The previous Proposition shows that $p \nmid s$. First consider the case of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$. Then, by Artin-Schreier theory, $L=F(\lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is a root of a suitable polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha$ with $\alpha \in F$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Then $(\sigma(\lambda)-\lambda)^{p}=\sigma \lambda-\lambda$. Since $\lambda \notin F$, we get $\sigma(\lambda)-\lambda=a$ with $a \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Then $\lambda^{-1} \sigma(\lambda)=1+a \lambda^{-1}$, and hence Proposition (13.5) implies $1+a \lambda^{-1} \in U_{s, L}$. This shows $v_{L}(\lambda) \leqslant-s$ and $v_{F}(\alpha) \leqslant-s$. Put $t=v_{F}(\alpha)$. Write $\lambda \equiv$ $\pi_{L}^{t} \theta \bmod \pi_{L}^{t+1}$ with $\theta \in U_{F}$ and a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$. If $t=p t^{\prime}$, then $\alpha \equiv \pi_{L}^{p t} \theta^{p} \equiv \pi_{F}^{p t^{\prime}} \theta^{p}$ $\bmod \pi_{L}^{p t+1}$, where $\pi_{F}=N_{L / F} \pi_{L} \equiv \pi_{L}^{p} \bmod \pi_{L}^{p+1}$ is a prime element in $F$. Replacing $\lambda$ by $\lambda^{\prime}=$ $\lambda-\pi_{F}^{t^{\prime}} \theta$ and $\alpha$ by $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha-\pi_{F}^{p t^{\prime}} \theta^{p}+\pi_{F}^{t^{\prime}} \theta$, we get $\lambda^{\prime p}-\lambda^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}$ and $L=F\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right), v_{F}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)>v_{F}(\alpha)$. Proceeding in this way we can assume $p \nmid t$ because $v_{F}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \leqslant-s$. Then it follows from (13.4) that $v_{L}\left(\lambda^{-1} \sigma(\lambda)-1\right)=s$ and $v_{F}(\alpha)=-s$.

Now we consider the case of $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$.
First, we will show that there is an element $\lambda_{1} \in L$, such that $v_{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=-s$ and $v_{L}\left(\sigma\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{1}-1\right)>0$. Indeed, put $\beta=-\pi_{L}^{-s} \rho s^{-1}$ with $\rho \in U_{F}$. Then

$$
\sigma(\beta)-\beta=-\pi_{L}^{-s} \rho s^{-1}\left(\left(1+\eta \pi_{L}^{s}\right)^{-s}-1\right) \equiv \rho \eta \quad \bmod \pi_{L}
$$

Hence, if we choose $\bar{\rho}=\bar{\eta}^{-1}$, then $v_{L}(\sigma(\beta)-\beta-1)>0$. Put $\lambda_{1}=\beta$.
Since $s<p e /(p-1)=e(L) /(p-1)$, we get $p \lambda_{1}^{p-1} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi_{L}$,

$$
v_{L}\left(\sigma\left(\lambda_{1}^{p}\right)-\lambda_{1}^{p}-1\right)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right)>0
$$

Second, we will construct a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}, n \geqslant 0$, of elements in $L$ satisfying the conditions for $n>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=-s, \quad v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \geqslant v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-1}\right)+1 \\
& v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)\right) \geqslant v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $\lambda=\lim \lambda_{n}$ we obtain $\sigma \wp(\lambda)=\wp(\lambda)$, or in other words $\lambda^{p}-\lambda=\alpha \in F$ and $v_{F}(\alpha)=-s$.
Put $\lambda_{0}=0$. Denote $\delta_{n}=\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$. Then $v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)>0$. If $\delta_{n}=0$, then put $\lambda_{m}=\lambda_{n}$ for $m>n$. Otherwise, by Lemma (14.2), there exists an element $c_{n} \in F$ such that

$$
v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right)=v_{L}\left(\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-c_{n}\right)+s
$$

Put $\mu_{n}=\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-c_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}=\lambda_{n}+\mu_{n}$. Then $\sigma \mu_{n}=\mu_{n}+\delta_{n}, v_{L}\left(\sigma\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)-\lambda_{n+1}-1\right)>0$ and $v_{L}\left(\mu_{n}\right)>-s, v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)=-s$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right)=v_{L}\left(\mu_{n}\right)=-s+v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant-s+1+v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n-1}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n-1}\right)\right)=v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-1}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n>1$.
For $n=1$ from the previous arguments we get

$$
v_{L}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)=-s+v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right) \geqslant v_{L}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)+1=1-s
$$

Furthermore, $\sigma \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}=\delta_{n}$ and

$$
\sigma \wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)=\wp\left(\mu_{n}+\delta_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)=-\delta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\binom{p}{i} \mu_{n}^{p-i} \delta_{n}^{i}
$$

Since $v_{L}\left(\mu_{n}\right)=v_{L}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \geqslant v_{L}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)=-s$ and $v_{L}\left(p \mu_{n}^{p-1}\right)=p e-(p-1) s>0$, we get

$$
v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)+\delta_{n}\right) \geqslant v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)+1
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right) & =\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \\
& +\sigma \wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)-\wp\left(\mu_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\binom{p}{i}\left(\sigma\left(\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}\right)-\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sigma\left(\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}\right)-\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}=\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{p-i}\left(1+\delta_{n} \mu_{n}^{-1}\right)^{i}-1\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{n}^{-1} \sigma \lambda_{n}=\varepsilon_{n} \in U_{s, L}$ since $p \nmid s$, and we also have $v_{L}\left(\delta_{n} \mu_{n}^{-1}\right)=v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)+s-v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=s$. Hence, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L}\left(\sigma\left(\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}\right)-\lambda_{n}^{p-i} \mu_{n}^{i}\right) & \geqslant-(p-i) s+i\left(v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)-s\right)+s \\
& \geqslant-(p-1) s+v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right) \geqslant-p e+v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
v_{L}\left(\sigma \wp\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)-\wp\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)\right) \geqslant v_{L}\left(\delta_{n}\right)+1
$$

which completes the proof.
14.5. The assertions converse to Propositions (14.1) and (14.4) can be formulated as follows.

Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field with a residue field of characteristic $p>0$. Then every polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha$ with $\alpha \in F, v_{F}(\alpha)>-p e /(p-1)$ if $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$ and $e=e(F)$, either splits completely or has a root $\lambda$ which generates a cyclic extension $L=F(\lambda)$ over $F$ of degree $p$. In the last case $v_{L}(\sigma(\lambda)-\lambda-1)>0$ for some generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. If $\alpha \in U_{F}, \bar{\alpha} \notin \wp(\bar{F})$, then $L / F$ is unramified; if $\alpha \in \mathscr{M}_{F}$, then $\lambda \in F$; if $\alpha \notin \mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $p \nmid v_{F}(\alpha)$, then $L / F$ is totally ramified with $s=-v_{F}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{M}_{F}, f(X)=X^{p}-X-\alpha$. Then $f(0) \in \mathscr{M}_{F}, f^{\prime}(0) \notin \mathscr{M}_{F}$, and, by Hensel Lemma (8.2), for every integer $a$ there is $\lambda \in \mathscr{M}_{F}$ with $f(\lambda)=0, \lambda-a \in \mathscr{M}_{F}$. This means that $f(X)$ splits completely in $F$. If $\alpha \in U_{F}, \bar{\alpha} \notin \wp(\bar{F})$, then Proposition (10.2) shows that $F(\lambda) / F$ is an unramified extension and Proposition (10.3) shows that $F(\lambda) / F$ is Galois of degree $p$. The generator $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, for which $\bar{\sigma} \bar{\alpha}=\bar{\alpha}+1$, is the required one.

If $\alpha \notin \mathscr{O}_{F}$, then let $\lambda$ be a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha$ in $F^{\text {alg }}$ and $L=F(\lambda)$. Put

$$
g(Y)=(\lambda+Y)^{p}-(\lambda+Y)-\alpha=Y^{p}+\binom{p}{1} \lambda Y^{p-1}+\cdots+\binom{p}{p-1} \lambda^{p-1} Y-Y .
$$

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, then $L / F$ is evidently cyclic of degree $p$ when $\alpha \notin \wp(F)$. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then $v_{L}\left(\binom{p}{i} \lambda^{i}\right)>e(L \mid F)(e-e i /(p-1)) \geqslant 0$ for $i \leqslant p-1$ and $\bar{g}(Y)=Y^{p}-Y$ over $\bar{L}$. Hence by Hensel Lemma $g(Y)$ splits completely in $L$. Therefore, $L / F$ is cyclic of degree $p$ if $f(X)$ does not split over $F$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, such that $\sigma(\lambda)-\lambda$ is a root of $g(Y)$ and is congruent to $1 \bmod \pi_{L}$. Then $v_{L}(\sigma(\lambda)-\lambda-1)>0$. If $p \nmid v_{F}(\alpha)$, then the equality $p v_{L}(\lambda)=v_{L}(\alpha)$ implies $e(L \mid F)=p$, and $L / F$ is totally ramified. It follows from the definition of $s$ in (13.4) that $s=$ $v_{L}\left(\sigma(\lambda) \cdot \lambda^{-1}-1\right)$, and consequently $s=v_{L}(\sigma(\lambda)-\lambda)-v_{L}(\lambda)=-v_{L}(\lambda)=-v_{F}(\alpha)$.

Corollary. Let $\lambda$ be a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X+\theta^{p} \alpha$ with $\theta \in U_{F}, v_{F}(\alpha)=-s>$ $-p e /(p-1)$, $p \nmid s$. Let $L=F(\lambda)$. Then $\alpha \in N_{L / F} L^{\times}$and $1+\theta^{-p} \wp\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right) \alpha^{-1}+\pi_{F}^{s+1} \mathscr{O}_{F} \subset N_{L / F} L^{\times}$, where $\wp\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)=\left\{\wp(\beta): \beta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}\right\}$.

Proof. The preceding Proposition shows that $L / F$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $p$ and that $v_{L}\left(\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right) \pi_{L}^{-1}-1\right)=s$ for a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ and a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$. Put $f(X)=X^{p}-X+\theta^{p} \alpha$. Then we get $N_{L / F}(-\lambda)=f(0)=\theta^{p} \alpha$ and $\alpha=N_{L / F}\left(-\lambda \theta^{-1}\right)$. For $\beta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ put

$$
g(Y)=f(\beta-Y)=(\beta-Y)^{p}-(\beta-Y)+\theta^{p} \alpha .
$$

Then

$$
N_{L / F}(\beta-\lambda)=g(0)=\wp(\beta)+\theta^{p} \alpha
$$

Therefore, $1+\wp(\beta) \theta^{-p} \alpha^{-1} \subset N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. It remains to use Corollary (13.5).

## 15. Hasse-Herbrand Function

Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field. In this section we will assume in addition that all residue field extensions are separable. We will associate to a finite separable extension $L / F$ a certain real function $h_{L / F}$ which partially describes the behaviour of the norm map from arithmetical point of view. Then we relate the function $h_{L / F}$ which was originally introduced in a different way by Hasse and Herbrand to properties of ramification subgroups.
15.1. Proposition. Let the residue field $\bar{F}$ be infinite. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension, $N=N_{L / F}$. Then there exists a unique function

$$
h=h_{L / F}: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}
$$

such that $h(0)=0$ and

$$
N U_{h(i), L} \subset U_{i, F}, \quad N U_{h(i), L} \not \subset U_{i+1, F}, \quad N U_{h(i)+1, L} \subset U_{i+1, F} .
$$

Proof. The uniqueness of $h$ follows immediately. Indeed, for $j>h(i) N U_{j, L} \subset U_{i+1, F}$, hence if $\tilde{h}$ is another function with the required properties, then $\tilde{h}(i) \leqslant h(i), h(i) \leqslant \tilde{h}(i)$, i.e., $h=\tilde{h}$.

As for the existence of $h$, we first consider the case of an unramified extension $L / F$. Then Proposition (13.2) shows that in this case $h(i)=i$ (because $N_{\bar{L} / \bar{F}}\left(\bar{L}^{\times}\right) \neq 1$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{L} / \bar{F}} \bar{L}=\bar{F}$ ). The next case to consider is a totally ramified cyclic extension $L / F$ of prime degree. In this case Proposition (13.3) and Proposition (13.5) describe the behaviour of $N_{L / F}$. By means of the homomorphisms $\lambda_{i, L}$, the map $N_{L / F}$ is determined by some nonzero polynomials over $\bar{L}$. The image of $\bar{L}$ under the action of such a polynomial is not zero since $\bar{L}$ is infinite. Hence, we obtain

$$
h(i)=|L: F| i
$$

if $L / F$ is totally tamely ramified, and

$$
h(i)= \begin{cases}i, & i \leqslant s \\ s(1-p)+p i, & i \geqslant s\end{cases}
$$

if $L / F$ is totally ramified of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$.
Now we consider the general case. Note that if we have the functions $h_{L / M}$ and $h_{M / F}$ for the Galois extensions $L / M, M / F$, then for the extension $L / F$ one can put $h_{L / F}=h_{L / M} \circ h_{M / F}$. Indeed,

$$
N_{L / F} U_{h_{L / F}(i), L} \subset N_{M / F} U_{h_{M / F}(i), M} \subset U_{i, F}
$$

Furthermore, the behaviour of $N_{L / F}$ is determined by some nonzero polynomials (the composition of the polynomials for $N_{L / M}$ and $N_{M / F}$, the existence of which can be assumed by induction). Hence

$$
N_{L / F} U_{h_{L / F}(i), L} \not \subset U_{i+1, F}
$$

Since

$$
N_{L / F} U_{h_{L / F}(i)+1, L} \subset N_{M / F} U_{h_{M / F}(i)+1, M} \subset U_{i+1, M}
$$

we deduce that $h=h_{L / F}$ is the desired function.
In the general case we put $h_{L / F}=h_{L / L_{0}}$ for $L_{0}=L \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ and determine $h_{L / L_{0}}$ by induction using Corollary 3 of (11.4), which shows that $L / L_{0}$ is solvable.
15.2. To treat the case of finite residue fields we need

Lemma. Let $L / F$ be a finite separable totally ramified extension. Then for an element $\alpha \in L$ we get

$$
N_{L / F}(\alpha)=N_{\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F \mathrm{ur}}}(\alpha)
$$

where $\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ is the completion of $F^{\mathrm{ur}}, \widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}}=L \widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}$.

Proof. Let $L=F\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ with a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$, and let $\alpha \in L$. Let

$$
\alpha \pi_{L}^{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{i j} \pi_{L}^{j} \quad \text { with } c_{i j} \in F, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, n=|L: F|
$$

Then $N_{L / F}(\alpha)=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{i j}\right)$. Since $L^{\mathrm{ur}}=F^{\mathrm{ur}}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ and

$$
\left|L^{\mathrm{ur}}: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right|=e\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} \mid F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)=e\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} \mid F\right)=e(L \mid F)=|L: F|,
$$

we get

$$
N_{L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}}(\alpha)=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{i j}\right)=N_{L / F}(\alpha)
$$

Finally, let $E / F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ be a finite totally ramified Galois extension with $E \supset L^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Let $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right), H=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / L^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$, and let $G$ be the disjoint union of $\sigma_{i} H$ with $\sigma_{i} \in G, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left|L^{\mathrm{ur}}: F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right|$. Then

$$
N_{L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}}(\alpha)=\prod \sigma_{i}(\alpha)=N_{\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}}(\alpha)
$$

because $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widehat{E} / \widehat{F^{\text {ur }}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widehat{E} / \widehat{L^{\text {ur }}}\right)$ by (4) in Theorem (9.8).
This Lemma shows that for a finite totally ramified Galois extension $L / F$ the functions $h_{L / F}$ and $h_{\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F \mathrm{ur}}}$ coincide. Now, if $L / F$ is a finite Galois extension, we get

$$
h_{L / F}=h_{L / L_{0}}=h_{\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F \mathrm{Fu}}} .
$$

So, if $\bar{F}$ is finite we put $h_{L / F}=h_{\widehat{L^{\text {ur }}} / \widehat{F^{\text {ur }}}}$ (the residue field of $\widehat{F^{\text {ur }}}$ is infinite as the separable closure of a finite field).

It is useful to extend this function to real numbers. For an unramified extension, a tamely totally ramified extension of prime degree, a totally ramified extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$ put

$$
h_{L / F}(x)=x, \quad h_{L / F}(x)=|L: F| x, \quad h_{L / F}(x)= \begin{cases}x, & x \leqslant s \\ s(1-p)+p x, & x \geqslant s\end{cases}
$$

for real $x \geqslant 0$ respectively. Using the solvability of $L / L_{0}$ (Corollary 3 of (11.4)) and the equality $h_{L / F}=h_{L / M} \circ h_{M / F}$ define now $h_{L / F}(x)$ as the composite of the functions for a tower of cyclic subextensions in $L / L_{0}$.

Proposition. Thus defined function $h_{L / F}:[0,+\infty) \longrightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is independent on the choice of a tower of subfields. The function $h_{L / F}$ is called the Hasse-Herbrand function of $L / F$. It is piecewise linear, continuous and increasing.

Proof. By induction on the degree of $L / F$ it suffices to show that if $M_{1} / M, M_{2} / M$ are linearly disjoint cyclic extensions of prime degree, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{E / M_{1}} \circ h_{M_{1} / M}=h_{E / M_{2}} \circ h_{M_{2} / M} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E=M_{1} M_{2}$.
Note that each of $h_{M_{1} / M}(x), h_{M_{2} / M}(x)$ has at most one point at which its derivate is not continuous. Therefore there are at most two points at which the function of the left (resp. right) hand side of $(*)$ has discontinuous derivative. By looking at graphs of the functions it is obvious that at such points the derivative strictly increases and there is at most one such non-integer point for
at most one of the composed functions of the left hand side and the right hand side of $(*)$. At this point (if it exists) the derivative jumps from $p$ to $p^{2}$.

From the uniqueness in the preceding Proposition we deduce that the left and right hand sides of $(*)$ are equal at all nonnegative integers. Thus, elementary calculus shows that the left and right hand sides of $(*)$ are equal at all nonnegative real numbers.
15.3. Let the residue field of $F$ be perfect. For a finite separable extension $L / F$ put

$$
h_{L / F}=h_{E / L}^{-1} \circ h_{E / F}
$$

where $E / F$ is a finite Galois extension with $E \supset L$. Then $h_{L / F}$ is well defined, since if $E^{\prime} / F$ is a Galois extension with $E^{\prime} \supset L$ and $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime} E$, then

$$
h_{E^{\prime \prime} / L}^{-1} \circ h_{E^{\prime \prime} / F}=\left(h_{E^{\prime \prime} / E^{\prime}} \circ h_{E^{\prime} / L}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(h_{E^{\prime \prime} / E^{\prime}} \circ h_{E^{\prime} / F}\right)=h_{E^{\prime} / L}^{-1} \circ h_{E^{\prime} / F}
$$

and, similarly, $h_{E^{\prime \prime} / L}^{-1} \circ h_{E^{\prime \prime} / F}=h_{E / L}^{-1} \circ h_{E / F}$. We can easily deduce from this that the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{L / F}=h_{L / M} \circ h_{M / F} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for separable extensions.

Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a finite separable extension, and let $\bar{F}$ be perfect. Then $h_{L / F}(\mathbb{N}) \subset$ $\mathbb{N}$ and the left and right derivatives of $h_{L / F}$ at any point are positive integers.

Proof. Let $E / F$ be a finite Galois extension with $E \supset L$. Then from Lemma (15.2) we get

$$
h_{L / F}=h_{E / L}^{-1} \circ h_{E / F}=h_{\widehat{E^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}}}^{-1} \circ h_{\widehat{E^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \overparen{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}}=h_{\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F \mathrm{ur}}} .
$$

Put $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widehat{E^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}\right), H=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widehat{E^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}}\right)$. Since $G$ is a solvable group, there exists a chain of normal subgroups

$$
G \triangleright G_{(1)} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{(m)}=\{1\},
$$

such that $G_{(i)} / G_{(i+1)}$ is a cyclic group of prime order. Then we obtain the chain of subgroups

$$
G \geqslant G_{(1)} H \geqslant \ldots \geqslant G_{(m)} H=H
$$

for which $G_{(i+1)} H$ is of prime index or index 1 in $G_{(i)} H$. This shows the existence of a tower of fields

$$
\widehat{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}-M_{1}-\cdots-M_{n-1}-M_{n}=\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}},
$$

such that $M_{i+1} / M_{i}$ is a separable extension of prime degree. Therefore, it suffices to prove the statements of the Proposition for such an extension.

If $M_{i+1} / M_{i}$ is a totally tamely ramified extension of degree $l$, then $\pi=\pi_{1}^{l}$ is a prime element in $M_{i}$ for some prime element $\pi_{1}$ in $M_{i+1}$. Since $l$ is relatively prime with $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$, we obtain, using the Henselian property of $M_{i}$ and the fact that the residue field of $\widehat{M_{i}^{\text {ur }}}$ is separably closed, that a primitive $l$ th root of unity belongs to $\widehat{M_{i}^{\text {ur }} .}$ This means that $\widehat{M_{i+1}^{\text {ur }}} / \widehat{M_{i}^{\text {ur }}}$ is a Galois extension and

$$
h_{M_{i+1} / M_{i}}(x)=l x
$$

If $M_{i+1} / M_{i}$ is an extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$, then let $K / M_{i}$ be the smallest Galois extension, for which $K \supset M_{i+1}$. Let $K_{1}$ be the maximal tamely ramified extension of $M_{i}$ in $K$; then
$l=e\left(K_{1} \mid M_{i}\right)=e\left(K \mid M_{i+1}\right)$ is relatively prime to $p$. Choose prime elements $\pi$ and $\pi_{1}$ in $M_{i+1}$ and $K$ such that $\pi=\pi_{1}^{l}$. Let $f(X) \in M_{i}[X]$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\pi$ over $M_{i}$. Then

$$
f^{\prime}(\pi)=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(\pi-\sigma^{i}(\pi)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(\pi_{1}^{l}-\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{1}^{l}\right)\right),
$$

where $\sigma$ is a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / K_{1}\right)$. Let $s$ be defined for $K / K_{1}$ as in (13.4). Then $v_{K}\left(\pi_{1}^{l}-\right.$ $\left.\sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{1}^{l}\right)\right)=l+s$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$, and $(p-1)(l+s)=v_{K}\left(f^{\prime}(\pi)\right)$ is divisible by $l$. We deduce that $l \mid(p-1) s$ and

$$
h_{M_{i+1} / M_{i}}(x)=\frac{1}{l} h_{K / K_{1}}(l x)= \begin{cases}x, & x \leqslant s l^{-1}, \\ s(1-p) l^{-1}+p x, & x \geqslant s l^{-1} .\end{cases}
$$

These considerations complete the proof.

Corollary. The function $h_{L / F}$ is piecewise linear, continuous and increasing.
15.4. The following assertion clarifies the relation between the Hasse-Herbrand function and the norm map.

Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a finite separable extension.
Then for $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$

$$
h_{L / F}\left(v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\varepsilon)-1\right)\right) \geqslant v_{L}(\varepsilon-1) .
$$

If, in addition, $L / F$ is totally ramified and if $v_{L}(\alpha-\beta)>0$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$, then

$$
h_{L / F}\left(v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\alpha)-N_{L / F}(\beta)\right)\right) \geqslant v_{L}(\alpha-\beta) .
$$

Proof. Let's show that the second inequality is a consequence of the first one.
If $v_{L}(\beta) \geqslant v_{L}(\alpha-\beta)$, then $v_{L}(\alpha) \geqslant v_{L}(\alpha-\beta)$, and applying Theorem (9.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\alpha)-N_{L / F}(\beta)\right) & \geqslant \min \left\{v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\alpha)\right), v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\beta)\right)\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{v_{L}(\alpha), v_{L}(\beta)\right\} \geqslant v_{L}(\alpha-\beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h_{L / F}(x) \geqslant x$, we obtain the second inequality.
If $v_{L}(\beta)<v_{L}(\alpha-\beta)$, then put $\varepsilon=\alpha \beta^{-1}$. Using the property of the derivatives of $h$ in Proposition (15.3) and the first inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{L / F}\left(v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\alpha)-N_{L / F}(\beta)\right)\right) & =h_{L / F}\left(v_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\varepsilon)-1\right)+v_{L}(\beta)\right) \\
& \geqslant v_{L}(\varepsilon-1)+v_{L}(\beta)=v_{L}(\alpha-\beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we verify the first inequality of the Proposition. By the proof of the previous Proposition, we may assume that $L / F$ is totally ramified and $\bar{F}$ is algebraically closed. It is easy to show that if the first inequality holds for $L / M$ and $M / F$, then it holds for $L / F$. The arguments from the proof of the previous Proposition imply now that it suffices to verify the first inequality for a separable extension $L / F$ of prime degree. If $L / F$ is tamely ramified, then $L / F$ is Galois, and the inequality follows from Proposition (13.3). If $|L: F|=p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$, then we may assume that $\varepsilon$ is a principal unit. Proposition (13.5) implies the required inequality for the Galois case.

In general, assume that $E / F$ is the minimal Galois extension such that $E \supset L$, and let $E_{1}$ is the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $F$ in $E$. Let $l=|E: L|=\left|E_{1}: F\right|$. Then $N_{L / F}\left(U_{i, L}\right)=$ $N_{E / F}\left(U_{l i, E}\right) \subset N_{E_{1} / F}\left(U_{j, E_{1}}\right)$ with $j \geqslant h_{E / E_{1}}^{-1}(l i)$. Hence, $N_{L / F}\left(U_{i, L}\right) \subset U_{k, F}$ with $l k \geqslant h_{E / E_{1}}^{-1}(l i)$, i.e., $k \geqslant h_{L / F}^{-1}(i)$, as desired.
15.5. We will relate the Hasse-Herbrand function to ramification groups which are defined in (11.3).

If $H$ is a subgroup of the Galois group $G$, then $H_{x}=H \cap G_{x}$. As for the quotients, the description is provided by the following

ThEOREM. (Herbrand) Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension and let $M / F$ be a Galois subextension. Let $x, y$ be nonnegative real numbers related by $y=h_{L / M}(x)$.

Then the image of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{y}$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(M / F)$ coincides with $\operatorname{Gal}(M / F)_{x}$.
Proof. The cases $x \leqslant 1$ or $e(L \mid M)=1$ are easy. Due to solvability of Galois groups of totally ramified extensions it is sufficient to prove the assertion in the case of a ramified cyclic extension $L / M$ of prime degree $l$.

If $l \neq p$, then using Proposition (10.5) choose a prime element $\pi$ of $L$ such that $\pi_{M}=\pi^{l}$ is a prime element of $M$. Then for every $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{1}$ we have $\pi_{M}^{-1} \tau \pi_{M}=\left(\pi^{-1} \tau \pi\right)^{l}$ and therefore

$$
v_{L}\left(\pi^{-1} \tau \pi-1\right)=v_{L}\left(\left(\pi^{-1} \tau \pi\right)^{l}-1\right)=l v_{M}\left(\pi_{M}^{-1} \tau \pi_{M}-1\right) .
$$

Consider now the most interesting case $l=p, x \geqslant 1$. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a prime element of $L$. Put $s=s(L \mid M)$, see (13.4).

The element $\pi_{M}=N_{L / M} \pi_{L}$ is a prime element of $M$. Let $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{y}$. We have $\pi_{M}^{-1} \tau \pi_{M}=$ $N_{L / M}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \tau \pi_{L}\right)$.

From Proposition (15.4) we get

$$
h_{L / M}\left(v_{M}\left(\pi_{M}^{-1} \tau \pi_{M}-1\right)\right)=h_{L / M}\left(v_{M}\left(N_{L / M}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \tau \pi_{L}\right)-1\right)\right) \geqslant y,
$$

so $\left.\tau\right|_{M}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Gal}(M / F)_{x}$.
Conversely, if $\left.\tau\right|_{M} \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / F)_{x}$, then $i=v_{M}\left(\pi_{M}^{-1} \tau \pi_{M}-1\right) \geqslant x$. If $i \leqslant s=s(L \mid M)$ then applying (13.5) we deduce that $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{i}=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{y}$. If $i>s$ then Proposition (11.5) and (13.5) show that $j=v_{L}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \tau \pi_{L}-1\right)=s+p r$ for some nonnegative integer $r$.

If $r>0$ then Proposition (13.5) implies that $i=s+r$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{j}=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{y}$. If $j=s$ then since $i>s$ from the same Proposition we deduce that

$$
\frac{\tau \pi_{L}}{\pi_{L}} \equiv \frac{\sigma \pi_{L}}{\pi_{L}} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}^{s+1}
$$

for an appropriate generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)$. Then $\tau \sigma^{-1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{k}$ for $k>s$. Due to the previous discussions (view $k$ as $j>s$ above) $k=h_{L / M}(i)$ and $\tau$ belongs to $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{y} \operatorname{Gal}(L / M)$, as required.

Corollary. Define the upper ramification filtration of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ as

$$
G(x)=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{h_{L / F}(x)} .
$$

Then for a normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ the previous Theorem shows that

$$
(G / H)(x)=G(x) H / H
$$

DEFINITION. For an infinite Galois extension $L / F$ define upper ramification subgroups of $G=$ $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ as

$$
G(x)=\lim _{幺} \operatorname{Gal}(M / F)(x)
$$

where $M / F$ runs through all finite Galois subextensions of $L / F$. Real numbers $x$ such that $G(x) \neq$ $G(x+\delta)$ for every $\delta>0$ are called upper ramification jumps of $L / F$.

For example, local class field theory for local fields with finite residue field implies that the set of upper ramification jumps of the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension is the set of natural numbers.
15.6. The following Proposition is a generalisation of results of section 13 .

Suppose that $L / F$ is a finite totally ramified Galois extension and that $|L: F|$ is a power of $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$. Put $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. For the chain of normal ramification groups

$$
G=G_{1} \geqslant G_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant G_{n}>G_{n+1}=\{1\}
$$

let $L_{m}$ be the fixed field of $G_{m}$; then we get the tower of fields

$$
F=L_{1}-L_{2}-\cdots-L_{n}-L_{n+1}=L
$$

Proposition. Let $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. Then $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)$ coincides with the ramification group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)_{m}, \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)_{m+1}=\{1\}$, and $h_{L_{m+1} / L_{m}}(m)=m$.

Moreover, if $i<m$, then $h_{L_{m+1} / L_{m}}(i)=i$ and the homomorphism

$$
U_{i, L_{m+1}} / U_{i+1, L_{m+1}} \longrightarrow U_{i, L_{m}} / U_{i+1, L_{m}}
$$

induced by $N_{L_{m+1} / L_{m}}$ is injective;
if $i>m$, then the homomorphism

$$
U_{h(i), L_{m+1}} / U_{h(i)+1, L_{m+1}} \longrightarrow U_{i, L_{m}} / U_{i+1, L_{m}}
$$

induced by $N_{L_{m+1} / L_{m}}$ for $h=h_{L_{m+1} / L_{m}}$ is bijective.
Furthermore, the homomorphism

$$
U_{h(i), L} / U_{h(i)+1, L} \longrightarrow U_{i, F} / U_{i+1, F}
$$

induced by $N_{L / F}$ for $h=h_{L / F}$, is bijective if $h(i)>n$.
Proof. Induction on $m$. Base of induction $m=n$. Since $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{n}\right)_{x}$ is equal to the group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{x} \cap \operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{n}\right)$, we deduce that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{n}\right)_{n}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{n}\right)_{n+1}=\{1\}$, and $h_{L / L_{n}}(x)=x$ for $x \leqslant n$. All the other assertions for $m=n$ follow from Proposition (13.5).

Induction step $m+1 \rightarrow m$. The transitivity property of the Hasse-Herbrand function implies that $h_{L / L_{m+1}}(x)=x$ for $x \leqslant m+1$. Now from the previous Theorem

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)_{x}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{m}\right)_{h_{L / L_{m+1}}(x)} \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)
$$

We deduce that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)_{m}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{m+1} / L_{m}\right)_{m+1}=\{1\}$. The rest follows from Proposition (13.5).

To deduce the last assertion note that $k=h_{L / F}(i)>n$ implies $j=h_{L_{m} / F}(i)>m$.

Corollary. The word "injective" in the Proposition can be replaced by "bijective" if $\bar{F}$ is perfect.
15.7. Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension, and let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), h=h_{L / F}$. Let $h_{l}^{\prime}$ and $h_{r}^{\prime}$ be the left and right derivatives of $h$. Then $h_{l}^{\prime}(x)=\left|G_{0}: G_{h(x)}\right|$, and

$$
h_{r}^{\prime}(x)= \begin{cases}\left|G_{0}: G_{h(x)}\right| & \text { if } h(x) \text { is not integer }, \\ \left|G_{0}: G_{h(x)+1}\right| & \text { if } h(x) \text { is integer } .\end{cases}
$$

Therefore

$$
h_{L / F}(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left|G_{0}: G_{h(t)}\right| d t .
$$

Proof. Using the equality $(*)$ of (15.3), we may assume that $L / F$ is a totally ramified extension the degree of which is a power of $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$. Then $G=G_{0}=G_{1}$. We proceed by induction on the degree $|L: F|$. Let $L_{n}$ be identical to that of (15.6); then $\left|L_{n}: F\right|<|L: F|$. Since $\left(G / G_{n}\right)_{m}=$ $G_{m} / G_{n}$ for $m \leqslant n$ due to (15.6), we deduce the following series of claims.

If $h_{L_{n} / F}(x) \leqslant n$, then, by Proposition (15.6), $h_{L / F}(x)=h_{L_{n} / F}(x)$ and

$$
h_{l}^{\prime}(x)=\left|\left(G / G_{n}\right):\left(G / G_{n}\right)_{h(x)}\right|=\left|G: G_{h(x)}\right| .
$$

If $h_{L_{n} / F}(x)<n$ and $h_{L / F}(x)=h_{L_{n} / F}(x)$ is not integer, then $h_{r}^{\prime}(x)=\left|G: G_{h(x)}\right|$.
If $h_{L_{n} / F}(x)$ is an integer $<n$, then

$$
h_{r}^{\prime}(x)=\left|\left(G / G_{n}\right):\left(G / G_{n}\right)_{h(x)+1}\right|=\left|G: G_{h(x)+1}\right| .
$$

Since the derivative (right derivative) of $h_{L / L_{n}}(x)$ for $x>n$ (resp. $x \geqslant n$ ) is equal to $\mid G_{n}$ : $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n+1}\left|=\left|G_{n}\right|\right.$, we deduce that if $h_{L_{n} / F}(x)>n$, then

$$
h_{l}^{\prime}(x)=\left|G_{n}\right| \cdot\left|G: G_{n}\right|=|G|=\left|G: G_{h(x)}\right| .
$$

So if $h_{L_{n} / F}(x) \geqslant n$, then $h_{r}^{\prime}(x)=\left|G_{n}\right| \cdot\left|G: G_{n}\right|=|G|$. This completes the proof.

REMARK. The function $h_{L / F}$ often appears under the notation $\psi_{L / F}$; in which case it is defined in quite a different way by using ramification groups, not the norm map. This function is inverse to the function $\varphi_{L / F}=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d t}{\left|G_{0}: G_{t}\right|}$.

## 16. Norm and Ramification Groups

16.1. The following assertion is of general interest.

Proposition. (Hilbert "Satz 90") Let F be a field. Let L/F be a cyclic Galois extension, and let $N_{L / F}(\alpha)=1$ for some $\alpha \in L$. Then there exists an element $\beta \in L$ such that $\alpha=\beta^{\sigma-1}$, where $\sigma$ is a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.

Proof. Let $\beta(\gamma)$ denote

$$
\gamma+\alpha^{-1} \sigma(\gamma)+\alpha^{-1} \sigma\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \sigma^{2}(\gamma)+\cdots+\alpha^{-1} \sigma\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \cdots \cdots \sigma^{n-2}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \sigma^{n-1}(\gamma)
$$

for $\gamma \in L, n=|L: F|$. If $\beta(\gamma)$ were equal to 0 for all $\gamma$, then we would have a nontrivial solution $1, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1} \sigma\left(\alpha^{-1}\right), \ldots$ for the $n \times n$ system of linear equations with the matrix $\left(\sigma^{i}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1}$, where $\left(\gamma_{j}\right)_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1}$ is a basis of $L$ over $F$. This is impossible because $L / F$ is separable. Hence $\beta(\gamma) \neq 0$ for some $\gamma \in L$. Then $\beta=\beta(\gamma)$ is the desired element.

Corollary. If $L$ is a cyclic unramified extension of $F$ and $N_{L / F}(\alpha)=1$ for $\alpha \in L$, then $\alpha=\gamma^{\sigma-1}$ for some element $\gamma \in U_{L}$.

Proof. In this case a prime element $\pi$ in $F$ is also a prime one in $L$. By the Proposition, $\alpha=$ $\beta^{-1} \sigma(\beta)$ with $\beta=\pi^{i} \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in U_{L}$. Then $\alpha=\varepsilon^{-1} \sigma(\varepsilon)$.

Below in this section $F$ is a complete discrete valuation field.
Recall that in section 11 we employed the homomorphisms

$$
\psi_{i}: G_{i} \longrightarrow U_{i, L} / U_{i+1, L}
$$

(we put $U_{0, L}=U_{L}$ ), where $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, $\pi_{L}$ is a prime element in $L, i \geqslant 0$. Obviously these homomorphisms do not depend on the choice of $\pi_{L}$ if $L / F$ is totally ramified. The induced homomorphisms $G_{i} / G_{i+1} \longrightarrow U_{i, L} / U_{i+1, L}$ will be also denoted by $\psi_{i}$.
16.2. Theorem. Let $L / F$ be a finite totally ramified Galois extension with group G. Let $h=h_{L / F}$. Then for every integer $i \geqslant 0$ the sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow G_{h(i)} / G_{h(i)+1} \xrightarrow{\psi_{h(i)}} U_{h(i), L} / U_{h(i)+1, L} \xrightarrow{N_{i}} U_{i, F} / U_{i+1, F}
$$

is exact (the right homomorphism $N_{i}$ is induced by the norm map).
Proof. The injectivity of $\psi_{h(i)}$ follows from the definitions. It remains to show that if $N_{L / F} \alpha \in$ $U_{i+1, F}$ for $\alpha \in U_{h(i), L}$, then

$$
\alpha \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}} \quad \bmod U_{h(i)+1, L}
$$

for some $\sigma \in G_{h(i)}$.
If $L / F$ is a tamely ramified extension of degree $l$, then the fourth commutative diagram of Proposition (13.3) shows that $N_{i}$ is injective for $i \geqslant 1$, and the kernel of $N_{0}$ coincides with the group of $l$ th roots of unity which is contained in $F$. Since $\pi_{L}=\sqrt[l]{\pi_{F}}$ is a prime element in $L$ for some prime element $\pi_{F}$ in $F$, we get $\operatorname{ker}\left(N_{0}\right) \subset \operatorname{im}\left(\psi_{0}\right)$, and in this case the sequence of the Theorem is commutative.

If $L / F$ is a cyclic extension of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})>0$, then the fourth commutative diagram of Proposition (13.5) shows that $\operatorname{ker}\left(N_{s}\right) \subset \operatorname{im}\left(\psi_{s}\right)$ for $s=v_{L}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)$ and a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Other diagrams of Proposition (13.5) show that $N_{i}$ is injective for $i \neq s$.

We proceed by induction on the degree $|L: F|$. Since we have already considered the tamely ramified case, we may assume that the maximal tamely ramified extension $L_{1}$ of $F$ in $L$ does not coincide with $L$. Since $\left|L: L_{1}\right|$ is a power of $p$, the homomorphism induced by $N_{L / L_{1}}$

$$
U_{0, L} / U_{1, L} \longrightarrow U_{0, L_{1}} / U_{1, L_{1}}
$$

is the raising to this power of $p$, and $\operatorname{ker}\left(N_{0}\right)$ is equal to the preimage under this homomorphism of the kernel of $U_{0, L_{1}} / U_{1, L_{1}} \longrightarrow U_{0, F} / U_{1, F}$. In other words $\operatorname{ker}\left(N_{0}\right)$ coincides with the group of all $l$ th roots of unity for $l=\left|L_{1}: F\right|$ which is contained in $F$. Hence the kernel of $N_{0}$ is contained in the image of $\psi_{0}$, since $\psi_{0}$ is injective and $\left|G_{0}: G_{1}\right|=l$.

Now suppose $i \geqslant 1$. In this case we may assume $L_{1}=F$ because the homomorphism $N_{i}$ induced by $N_{L_{1} / F}$ is injective for $i \geqslant 1$. Let $L_{n}$ be as in Proposition (15.6). Then one can express $N_{i}$ as the composition

$$
U_{h(i), L} / U_{h(i)+1, L} \xrightarrow{N^{\prime}} U_{h_{1}(i), L_{n}} / U_{h_{1}(i)+1, L_{n}} \xrightarrow{N^{\prime \prime}} U_{i, F} / U_{i+1, F},
$$

where $N^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime \prime}$ are induced by $N_{L / L_{n}}$ and $N_{L_{n} / F}$ respectively, and $h_{1}(i)=h_{L_{n} / F}(i)$. If $h_{1}(i) \geqslant$ $n$, then by Proposition (15.6) $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{n} / F\right)_{h_{1}(i)}=\{1\}$, and we may assume that $N^{\prime \prime}$ is injective. Then by the induction assumption $\operatorname{ker} N_{i}=\operatorname{ker} N^{\prime}$ coincides with the set of elements $\pi_{L}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ $\bmod U_{h(i)+1, L}$, where $\sigma$ runs over $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{n}\right)_{n}=G_{n}$. If $h_{1}(i)<n$ and $N_{L / F}(\alpha) \in U_{i+1, F}$ for some $\alpha \in U_{h(i), L}$, then $h(i)=h_{1}(i)$, and by the induction assumption,

$$
N^{\prime}(\alpha) \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\pi_{L_{n}}\right)}{\pi_{L_{n}}} \quad \bmod U_{h_{1}(i)+1, L_{n}}
$$

for a prime element $\pi_{L_{n}}$ in $L_{n}$ and some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. We can take $\pi_{L_{n}}=N_{L / L_{n}} \pi_{L}$. Hence

$$
N^{\prime}(\alpha) \equiv N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}}\right) \quad \bmod U_{h_{1}(i)+1, L_{n}}
$$

The homomorphisms

$$
U_{j, L} / U_{j+1, L} \longrightarrow U_{j, L_{n}} / U_{j+1, L_{n}}
$$

induced by $N_{L / L_{n}}$, are injective for $j<n$ by Proposition (15.6). Therefore, the element $\pi_{L}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ belongs to $U_{h(i), L}$ and so $\sigma \in G_{h(i)}$,

$$
\alpha \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}} \quad \bmod U_{h(i)+1, L}
$$

16.3. Now we study ramification numbers of abelian extensions. We shall see that these satisfy much stronger congruences than those of Proposition (11.5).

THEOREM. (Hasse-Arf) Let L/F be a finite abelian extension, and let the residue extension $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$ be separable. Let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Then $G_{j} \neq G_{j+1}$ for an integer $j \geqslant 0$ implies $j=h_{L / F}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ for an integer $j^{\prime} \geqslant 0$. In other words, upper ramification jumps of abelian extensions are integers.

Proof. We may assume that $j>0$ and that $L / F$ is totally ramified. Let $E / F$ be the maximal $p$-subextension in $L / F$, and $m=|L: E|$. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a suitable prime element in $L$ such that $\pi_{L}^{m} \in E$. For $\sigma \in G_{j}, \sigma \notin G_{j+1}$ we get $\pi_{L}^{-m} \sigma \pi_{L}^{m}=1+m \theta \pi_{L}^{j}$ for some $\theta \in U_{L}$; therefore $j=m j_{1}$, and $\left.\sigma\right|_{E} \in \operatorname{Gal}(E / F)_{j_{1}}, \sigma \notin \operatorname{Gal}(E / F)_{j_{1}+1}$. If we verify that $j_{1}=h_{E / F}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ for some integer $j^{\prime}$, then $j=h_{L / F}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, we may also assume $G=G_{1}$.

If $L / F$ is cyclic of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$, then the required assertion follows from Proposition (13.5). In the general case we proceed by induction on the degree of $L / F$. In terms of Proposition (15.6) it suffices to show that $n \in h_{L_{n} / F}(\mathbb{N})$ where $G_{n} \neq\{1\}=G_{n+1}$. Let $\sigma \in G_{n}, \sigma \neq 1$. Assume that there is a cyclic subgroup $H$ of order $p$ such that $\sigma \notin H$. Then denote the fixed field of $H$ by $M$. For a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$ the element $\pi_{M}=N_{L / M}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ is prime in $M$, and $M=F\left(\pi_{M}\right)$ by Corollary 2 of (9.9). Then $\varepsilon=N_{L / M}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right)=N_{L / M}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(N_{L / M}\left(\pi_{L}\right)\right) \neq 1$, since $\sigma\left(\pi_{M}\right) \neq \pi_{M}$. Put $n^{\prime}=v_{M}(\varepsilon-1)$; then $\left.\sigma\right|_{M} \in(G / H)_{n^{\prime}},\left.\sigma\right|_{M} \notin(G / H)_{n^{\prime}+1}$. By the induction hypothesis, $n^{\prime}=h_{M / F}\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some $n^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}$. Proposition (13.5) implies $n \leqslant h_{L / M}\left(n^{\prime}\right)$, and we obtain $n \leqslant h_{L / F}\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)$. If $n<h_{L / F}\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then, by Proposition (15.7) the left derivative of $h_{L / F}$ at $n^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to $|L: F|$, and the left derivative of $h_{L / M}$ at $n^{\prime}$ is equal to $|L: M|$. Therefore, the left derivative of $h_{M / F}$ at $n^{\prime \prime}$, which is equal to $\left|(G / H):(G / H)_{n^{\prime}}\right|$ by Proposition (15.7), coincides with $|M: F|$. This contradiction shows that $n=h_{L / F}\left(n^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

It remains to consider the case when there are no cyclic subgroups $H$ of order $p$, such that $\sigma \notin H$. This means that $G$ is itself cyclic. Let $\tau$ be a generator of $G$. The choice of $n$ and Theorem (16.2) imply that $\sigma=\tau^{i p^{m-1}}$, where $p \nmid i, p^{m}=|G|$. We can assume $m \geqslant 2$ because the case of $m=1$ has been considered above. Let $n_{1}=v_{L}\left(\pi_{L}^{-1} \tau^{p^{m-2}}\left(\pi_{L}\right)-1\right)$. Since $\left|G: G_{n}\right|=p^{m-1}$, Proposition (15.7) shows now that it suffices to prove that $p^{m-1} \mid\left(n-n_{1}\right)$. This is, in fact, a part of the third statement of the following Proposition.

Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a totally ramified cyclic extension of degree $p^{m}$. Let $\pi_{L}$ be a prime element in L. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ and integer $k$ put

$$
c_{k}=c_{k}(\sigma)=v_{L}\left(\frac{\sigma^{k}\left(\pi_{L}\right)}{\pi_{L}}-1\right)
$$

Then
(1) $c_{k}$ depends only on $v_{p}(k)$, where $v_{p}$ is the p-adic valuation (see section 1 );
(2) there exists an element $\alpha_{k} \in L^{\times}$such that

$$
v_{L}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=k, \quad v_{L}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{\alpha_{k}}-1\right)=c_{k}
$$

(3) if $v_{p}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \geqslant l$, then $v_{p}\left(c_{k_{1}}-c_{k_{2}}\right) \geqslant l+1$.

Proof.
(1) Note that $c_{k}$ does not depend on the choice of a prime element in $L$ by the same reasons as $s$ in (13.4). Let $k=i p^{j}$ with $p \nmid i, j \geqslant 0$. Then $\sigma^{k}-1=(\rho-1) \mu$ for $\rho=\sigma^{p^{j}}, \mu=\rho^{i-1}+\rho^{i-2}+$ $\cdots+1$. Since $c_{k}$ does not depend on the choice of a prime element in $L$ and $i$ is prime to $p$, we deduce $c_{k}=c_{p^{j}}$. We also have $c_{k}\left(\sigma^{p}\right)=c_{k p}(\sigma)$.
(2) Put $\alpha_{k}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma^{i}\left(\pi_{L}\right)$ for $k>0, \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{-k}^{-1}$ for $k<0$ and $\alpha_{0}=1$. The elements $\alpha_{k}$ satisfy condition (2) of the Proposition.
(3) Assume, by induction, that if $v_{p}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \geqslant l$ for $l \leqslant n-2$, then $v_{p}\left(c_{k_{1}}(\sigma)-c_{k_{2}}(\sigma)\right) \geqslant l+1$ for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.

First we show that all the integers $c_{p^{n-1}}, k+c_{k}$ for $v_{p}(k) \leqslant n-1$ are distinct. If $v_{p}\left(k_{1}\right)=v_{p}\left(k_{2}\right)$, $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, then $c_{k_{1}}=c_{k_{2}}$ and $k_{1}+c_{k_{1}} \neq k_{2}+c_{k_{2}}$. Let $v_{p}\left(k_{1}\right), v_{p}\left(k_{2}\right)$ be distinct and $\leqslant n-1$, then $v_{p}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \leqslant n-2$. So if $k_{1}+c_{k_{1}}=k_{2}+c_{k_{2}}$ then $v_{p}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)=v_{p}\left(c_{k_{2}}-c_{k_{1}}\right) \geqslant v_{p}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)+1$, and thus $k_{1}=k_{2}$. If $v_{p}(k)=n-1$ then $c_{p^{n-1}} \neq c_{k}+k$. If $v_{p}(k)<n-1$ then $v_{p}\left(c_{p^{n-1}}-c_{k}\right) \geqslant$ $v_{p}\left(p^{n-1}-k\right)+1>v_{p}(k)$ and so $c_{p^{n-1}} \neq c_{k}+k$.

Assume that $v_{p}\left(c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)-c_{p^{n}}(\tau)\right)<n$ for a generator $\tau$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Our purpose is to show that this leads to a contradiction. Then, obviously, $v_{p}\left(c_{k_{1}}(\sigma)-c_{k_{2}}(\sigma)\right) \geqslant l+1$ for $v_{p}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \geqslant$ $l, l \leqslant n-1$.

Put $d=c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)-c_{p^{n}}(\tau)$. Since

$$
v_{p}(d)=v_{p}\left(c_{p^{n-2}}\left(\tau^{p}\right)-c_{p^{n-1}}\left(\tau^{p}\right)\right) \geqslant n-1,
$$

we get $v_{p}(d)=n-1$. By (2), there exists an element $\alpha \in L$ such that $v_{L}(\alpha)=d$,

$$
v_{L}\left(\tau^{p}(\alpha)-\alpha\right)=d+c_{d}\left(\tau^{p}\right)=d+c_{p^{n}}(\tau)=c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau) .
$$

Put

$$
\beta=\left(\tau^{p-1}+\tau^{p-2}+\cdots+1\right) \alpha .
$$

Since $v_{L}\left(\tau^{p}(\alpha)-\alpha\right)=c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)>0$, we get $v_{L}(\tau(\alpha)-\alpha)>v_{L}(\alpha)$ and $v_{L}(\beta)>d$. We also obtain $v_{L}(\tau(\beta)-\beta)=v_{L}\left(\tau^{p}(\alpha)-\alpha\right)=c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)$.

Note that any element $\alpha_{k}$ as in (2) can be changed to $\theta \alpha_{k}$ satisfying the same property (2), with a unit $\theta \in U_{F}$ that has a given residue. Hence we deduce that $\beta$ can be expanded as

$$
\beta=\sum_{k \geqslant v_{L}(\beta)} \beta_{k},
$$

with $\beta_{k} \in L$ possessing the same properties with respect to $\tau$ as $\alpha_{k}$ of (2). Then

$$
\tau(\beta)-\beta=\sum_{\substack{k \geqslant v_{L}(\beta) \\ v_{p}(k)<n}}\left(\tau\left(\beta_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\right)+\sum_{\substack{k \geqslant v_{L}(\beta) \\ v_{p}(k) \geqslant n}}\left(\tau\left(\beta_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\right) .
$$

The valuations of the elements of the first sum on the right-hand side are all distinct because $v_{L}\left(\tau\left(\beta_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\right)=k+c_{k}(\tau)$ are all distinct and none of them coincides with $c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)=v_{L}(\tau(\beta)-$ $\beta)$. Therefore,

$$
c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)=v_{L}\left(\sum_{\substack{k \geqslant v_{L}(\beta) \\ v_{p}(k) \geqslant n}}\left(\tau\left(\beta_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

In this sum

$$
v_{L}\left(\tau\left(\beta_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\right)=k+c_{k}(\tau) \geqslant v_{L}(\beta)+c_{p^{n}}(\tau)>d+c_{p^{n}}(\tau)=c_{p^{n-1}}(\tau)
$$

a contradiction.

## REMARKS.

1. This Theorem can be naturally proved using local class field theory, see (3.3).
2. There is a converse theorem (Fesenko): a finite Galois totally ramified extension $L / F$ is abelian if and only if for every finite abelian totally ramified extension $M / F$ the extension $L M / F$ has integer upper ramification jumps. It is not true that if a finite Galois totally ramified extension has integer upper ramification jumps then it is abelian.

## 17. Field of Norms

The theory of a field of norms was started by Fontaine and Wintenberger 50 years ago. In this section $F$ is a local field with perfect residue field of characteristic $p>0$.
17.1. Definition. Let $L$ be a separable extension of $F$ with finite residue field extension $\bar{L} / \bar{F}$. We can view $L$ as the union of an increasing directed family of subfields $L_{i}$, which are finite extensions of $F, i \geqslant 0$. The extension $L / F$ is said to be arithmetically profinite if the composite $\cdots \circ h_{L_{i} / L_{i-1}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{L_{0} / F}(a)$ is a real number for every real $a>0$.

In other words, taking into consideration Proposition (15.3), $L / F$ is arithmetically profinite if and only if its residue field extension is finite and for every real $a>0$ there exists an integer $j$, such that the derivative (left or right) of $h_{L_{i} / L_{j}}(x)$ for $x<h_{L_{j} / F}(a), i>j$, is equal to 1 . Equivalently, for every real $a>0$ the derivative (left or right) of $h_{L_{i} / F}(x)$ is bounded for $x<a$ and all $i$.

Define the Hasse-Herbrand function of $L / F$ as

$$
h_{L / F}=\cdots \circ h_{L_{i} / L_{i-1}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{L_{0} / F}
$$

Proposition. The function $h_{L / F}$ is well defined. It is a piecewise linear, continuous and increasing function. If $E / L$ is a finite separable extension, then $E / F$ is arithmetically profinite. If $M / F$ is a subextension of $L / F$, then $M / F$ is arithmetically profinite. If, in addition, $M / F$ is finite, then $L / M$ is arithmetically profinite and

$$
h_{L / F}=h_{L / M} \circ h_{M / F} .
$$

Proof. Let $L_{i}^{\prime}$ be another increasing directed family of subfields in $L$ such that $L=\cup L_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $a$ be a real number $>0$. There exist integers $j$ and $k$ such that

$$
h_{L_{i} / L_{j}}(x)=x \quad \text { for } x<h_{L_{j} / F}(a), i>j
$$

and

$$
h_{L_{i}^{\prime} / L_{k}^{\prime}}(x)=x \quad \text { for } x<h_{L_{k}^{\prime} / F}(a), i>k
$$

Since there exists an integer $m \geqslant j$ such that $L_{j} L_{k}^{\prime} \subset L_{m}$, we obtain by (15.3) that

$$
h_{L_{j} L_{k}^{\prime} / L_{j}}(x)=x \quad \text { for } x<h_{L_{j} / F}(a) .
$$

Then

$$
h_{L_{j} / F}(x)=h_{L_{j} L_{k}^{\prime} / F}(x) \quad \text { for } x<a
$$

and similarly,

$$
h_{L_{k}^{\prime} / F}(x)=h_{L_{j} L_{k}^{\prime} / F}(x) \quad \text { for } x<a .
$$

Therefore,

$$
h_{L_{i} / F}(x)=h_{L_{i}^{\prime} / F}(x) \quad \text { for } x<a \text { and sufficiently large } i,
$$

and the function $h_{L / F}$ is well defined.
Let $E=L(\beta)$, and let $P=L(\alpha)$ be a finite Galois extension of $L$ with $P \supset E$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition (11.2), one can show that $L_{i}(\alpha) \cap L=L_{i}$ and $L_{i}(\alpha) / L_{i}$ is a Galois extension of the same degree as $P / L$ for a sufficiently large $i$. Then $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i}(\alpha) / L_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i}(\alpha) / L_{i}(\beta)\right)$ are isomorphic with $\operatorname{Gal}(P / L)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(P / E)$ for $i>m$, respectively.

Put $E_{i}=L_{i}$ for $i \leqslant m$ and $E_{i}=L_{i}(\beta)$ for $i>m$. Then $E=\cup E_{i}$. If the left derivative of $h_{L_{i} / F}(x)$ is bounded by $d$ for $x<a$ and $c=|E: L|$, then the left derivative of $h_{E_{i} / F}(x)$ is bounded by $c d$ for $x<a, i>m$. This means that $E / F$ is arithmetically profinite.

If $M / F$ is a finite subextension of $L / F$, then we can take $L_{0}=M$. Therefore $L / M$ is arithmetically profinite and

$$
h_{L / F}=h_{L / M} \circ h_{M / F} .
$$

If $M / F$ is a separable subextension of $L / F$, then there exists an increasing directed family of subfields $M_{i}, i \geqslant 0$, which are finite extensions of $F$ and such that $M=\cup M_{i}$. If $L=\cup L_{i}$, then also $L=\cup L_{i} M_{i}$, and the left derivative of $h_{L_{i} M_{i} / F}(x)$ for $x<a$ is bounded. Hence, the left derivative of $h_{M_{i} / F}(x)$ for $x<a$ is bounded, i.e., $M / F$ is arithmetically profinite.

## REMARKS.

1. Translating to the language of ramification groups by using the two previous sections, we deduce that a Galois extension $L / F$ with finite residue field extension is arithmetically profinite extension if and only if its upper ramification jumps form a discrete unbounded set and for every upper ramification jump $x$ the index of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(x+\delta)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(x)$ is finite. Alternatively, a Galois extension $L / F$ is arithmetically profinite if and only if for every $x$ the upper ramification $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(x)$ is open (i.e. of finite index) in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. More generally, a separable extension $L / F$ is arithmetically profinite if and only if for every $x$ the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)(x) \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ is open in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$.

Since the Hasse-Herbrand function relates upper and lower ramification filtrations, we can define lower ramification groups of an infinite Galois arithmetically profinite extension $L / F$ as $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{x}=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)\left(h_{L / F}^{-1}(x)\right)$.
2. Since upper ramification jumps of abelian extensions are subsets of natural numbers by Theorem (16.3), every abelian extension of a local field with finite residue field and finite residue field extension is arithmetically profinite, see Corollary of (3.3).
3. An important property of a totally ramified $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension $L / F$ in characteristic zero is that its upper ramification jumps form an arithmetic progression with difference $e=e(F)$ for sufficiently large jumps.

Maus-Sen's theorem on ramification filtration of $p$-adic Lie extensions $L / F$ in characteristic zero with finite residue field extension states that the $p$-adic Lie filtration is equivalent to the upper ramification filtration of the Galois group of such extensions. This theorem implies that every such extension is an arithmetically profinite extension. In positive characteristic the analogous result was proved by Wintenberger.
4. An important example of an arithmetically profinite extension is given by $L=\cup L_{i}, L_{0}=F$, $L_{i}=L_{i-1}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$ such that $\pi_{i}^{p}=\pi_{i-1}$ is a prime element of $L_{i-1}$. The extension $L / F$ is not Galois.
17.2. Let $L / F$ be arithmetically profinite. Put

$$
q(L \mid F)=\sup \left\{x \geqslant 0: h_{L / F}(x)=x\right\} .
$$

## Lemma.

(1) if $M / F$ is a subextension in $L / F$, then $q(L \mid F) \leqslant q(M \mid F)$.
(2) if $M / F$ is a finite subextension in $L / F$, then $q(L \mid M) \geqslant q(L \mid F)$.
(3) if $L=\cup L_{i}$ as in (17.1), then $q\left(L_{j} \mid L_{i}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $j>i, i, j \rightarrow+\infty$.
(4) $q(L \mid F)=+\infty$ if and only if $L / F$ is unramified; $q(L \mid F)=0$ if and only if $L / F$ is totally and tamely ramified, and $q(L \mid F) \leqslant p v_{F}(p) /(p-1)$ if $L / F$ is totally ramified.

Proof. (1) Let $L=\cup L_{i}, M=\cup M_{i}$ and $L_{i}^{\prime}=L_{i} M_{i}$. As $h_{L_{i}^{\prime} / F}(x) \leqslant h_{L / F}(x)$ by (15.3), we get $h_{L_{i}^{\prime} / F}(x)=$ $x$ for $x \leqslant q(L \mid F)$, hence $h_{M_{i} / F}(x)=x$ for $x \leqslant q(L \mid F)$. Therefore, $q(L \mid F) \leqslant q(M \mid F)$. (2) The previous Proposition shows that

$$
h_{L / M}(x)=x \quad \text { for } x \leqslant h_{M / F}(q(L \mid F))
$$

This means that $q(L \mid M) \geqslant h_{M / F}(q(L \mid F))$. But by Proposition (15.3), $h_{M / F}(x) \geqslant x$, hence $q(L \mid M) \geqslant$ $q(L \mid F)$. (3) It follows from the definition. (4) The first two assertions follow from Proposition (15.3). Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition (15.3) and using (1), it suffices to verify the last assertion for a separable totally ramified extension of degree $p$. Now the computations in the proof of Proposition (15.3) and Proposition (14.3) lead to the required inequality.
17.3. Let $L$ be an infinite arithmetically profinite extension of $F$, and let $L_{i}, i \geqslant 0$, be an increasing directed family of subfields, which are finite extensions of $F, L=\cup L_{i}$. Let

$$
N(L \mid F)^{\times}=\lim _{\longleftarrow} L_{i}^{\times}
$$

be the inverse limit of the multiplicative groups with respect to the norm homomorphisms $N_{L_{i} / L_{j}}, i \geqslant$ $j$. Denote $N(L \mid F)=N(L \mid F)^{\times} \cup\{0\}$.

LEMmA. The group $N(L \mid F)^{\times}$does not depend on the choice of $L_{i}$.
Proof. Let $L_{i}^{\prime}$ be another increasing directed family of finite extensions of $F$ and $L=\cup L_{i}^{\prime}$. For every $i$ there exists an index $j$, such that $L_{i}^{\prime} \subset L_{j}$ and $N_{L_{j} / F}=N_{L_{i}^{\prime} / F} \circ N_{L_{j} / L_{i}^{\prime}}$. This immediately implies the desired assertion.

Therefore

$$
N(L \mid F)^{\times}=\lim _{\longleftarrow} M \in S_{L / F} M^{\times},
$$

where $S_{L / F}$ is the partially ordered family of all finite subextensions in $L / F$ and the inverse limit is taken with respect to the norm maps. If $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{M}\right) \in N(L \mid F)$ with $\alpha_{M} \in M$, then $N_{M_{1} / M_{2}} \alpha_{M_{1}}=\alpha_{M_{2}}$ for $M_{2} \subset M_{1}$.

We will show that $N(L \mid F)$ is in fact a field (the field of norms). Moreover, one can define a natural discrete valuation on $N(L \mid F)$, which makes $N(L \mid F)$ a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p$ with residue field $\bar{L}$.
17.4. The following statement plays a central role.

Proposition. Let $M^{\prime} / M$ be totally ramified of degree a power of $p$. Then

$$
v_{M}\left(N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\alpha+\beta)-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\alpha)-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\beta)\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)}{p}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{O}_{M^{\prime}}$.
For $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{M}$ there exists an element $\beta \in \mathscr{O}_{M^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
v_{M}\left(N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\beta)-\alpha\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)}{p}
$$

Proof. To prove the first inequality, assume first that $M^{\prime} / M$ is a cyclic extension of degree $p$. Then we get $q\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)=s\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)$ (see (13.4) and (15.1)) and, by Proposition (13.4),

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{M^{\prime} / M}\left(\mathscr{O}_{M^{\prime}}\right)=\pi_{M}^{r} \mathscr{O}_{M}
$$

with $r=s+1+[(-1-s) / p] \geqslant(p-1) s\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right) / p$. Then Lemma (13.1) shows that

$$
v_{M}\left(N_{M^{\prime} / M}(1+\gamma)-1-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\gamma)\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)}{p}
$$

for $\gamma \in \mathscr{O}_{M^{\prime}}$. Substituting $\gamma=\alpha \beta^{-1}$ if $v_{M^{\prime}}(\alpha) \geqslant v_{M^{\prime}}(\beta)$ and $\beta \neq 0$, we obtain the desired inequality.
In the general case we proceed by induction on the degree of $M^{\prime} / M$. Let $E / M$ be a finite Galois extension with $E \supset M^{\prime}$, and let $E_{1}$ be the maximal tamely ramified extension of $M$ in $E$. Then $E_{1}$ and $M^{\prime}$ are linearly disjoint over $M$, and

$$
N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\alpha+\beta)-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\alpha)-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\beta)=N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{1}}(\alpha+\beta)-N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{1}}(\alpha)-N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{1}}(\beta)
$$

The group $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / E_{1}\right)$ is a $p$-group, and hence for $H=\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / E_{1} M^{\prime}\right)$ there exists a chain of subgroups

$$
G=G_{(0)} \geqslant G_{(1)} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant G_{(m)}=H,
$$

such that $G_{(i+1)}$ is a normal subgroup of index $p$ in $G_{(i)}$. For the fields we obtain the tower $E_{1}=E_{(0)}-E_{(1)}-\cdots-E_{(m)}=E_{1} M^{\prime}$, in which $E_{(i+1)}$ is a cyclic extension of degree $p$ over $E_{(i)}$. Let $E_{2}$ be some $E_{(i)}$ for $1 \leqslant i<m$. By the induction assumption,

$$
N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{2}}(\alpha+\beta)=N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{2}}(\alpha)+N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{2}}(\beta)+\delta
$$

with $v_{E_{2}}(\delta) \geqslant(p-1) q\left(E_{1} M^{\prime} \mid E_{2}\right) / p$. We deduce also that

$$
N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{1}}(\alpha+\beta)=N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{1}}(\alpha)+N_{E_{1} M^{\prime} / E_{1}}(\beta)+N_{E_{2} / E_{1}}(\delta)+\delta^{\prime}
$$

with $v_{E_{1}}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \geqslant(p-1) q\left(E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right) / p$. Then

$$
v_{E_{1}}\left(N_{E_{2} / E_{1}}(\delta)\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(E_{1} M^{\prime} \mid E_{2}\right)}{p} \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(E_{1} M^{\prime} \mid E_{1}\right)}{p}
$$

and

$$
v_{E_{1}}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(E_{1} M^{\prime} \mid E_{1}\right)}{p}
$$

by Lemma (17.2). These two inequalities imply that

$$
v_{M}\left(N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\alpha+\beta)-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\alpha)-N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\beta)\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)}{p}
$$

as required.
To prove the second inequality of the Proposition, we choose a prime element $\pi^{\prime}$ in $M^{\prime}$ and put $\pi=N_{M^{\prime} / M} \pi^{\prime}$. Then $\pi$ is a prime element in $M$. Let $n=\left|M^{\prime}: M\right|$ (a power of $p$ ). Writing the element $\alpha$ of $M$ as

$$
\alpha=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i} \pi^{i}
$$

with multiplicative representatives $\theta_{i}$, put

$$
\beta=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i}^{1 / n} \pi^{\prime i} \in M^{\prime}
$$

Then $N_{M^{\prime} / M}\left(\theta_{i}^{1 / n} \pi^{\prime}\right)=\theta_{i} \pi$. By the first inequality of the Proposition and passing to the limit, we obtain

$$
v_{M}\left(N_{M^{\prime} / M}(\beta)-\alpha\right) \geqslant \frac{(p-1) q\left(M^{\prime} \mid M\right)}{p}
$$

as required.
17.5. Let $L / F$ be an arithmetically profinite extension. Let $L_{0}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $F$ in $L$, and let $L_{1}$ be the maximal tamely ramified extension of $F$ in $L$. Then $L_{0} / F$ is finite by the definition, and $L_{1} / F$ is finite because of the equality $h_{L_{1} / L_{0}}(x)=\left|L_{1}: L_{0}\right| x$. So one can choose $L_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 2$ as finite extensions of $L_{1}$ in $L$ with $L_{i} \subset L_{i+1}$ and $L=\cup L_{i}$.

For an element $\mathrm{A} \in N(L \mid F)$ put

$$
v(\mathrm{~A})=v_{L_{0}}\left(\alpha_{L_{0}}\right)
$$

Then $v(\mathrm{~A})=v_{L_{i}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right)$ for $i \geqslant 0$.
Let $a$ be an element of the residue field $\bar{L}=\bar{L}_{0}$, and $\theta=r(a)$ the multiplicative representative of $a$ in $L_{0}$ (see section 6). Put $\theta_{L_{i}}=\theta^{1 / n_{i}}$, where $n_{i}=\left|L_{i}: L_{1}\right|$ for $i \geqslant 1$ and $\theta_{L_{0}}=N_{L_{1} / L_{0}} \theta$. Then $\Theta=\left(\theta_{L_{i}}\right)$ is an element of $N(L \mid F)$. Denote the map $a \mapsto \Theta$ by $R$.

Theorem. Let $L / F$ be an infinite arithmetically profinite extension. Let $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{M}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}=$ $\left(\beta_{M}\right)$ be elements of $N(L \mid F), M \in S_{L / F}$. Then the sequence $N_{M^{\prime} / M}\left(\alpha_{M^{\prime}}+\beta_{M^{\prime}}\right)$ is convergent in $M$ when $M \subset M^{\prime} \subset L,\left|M^{\prime}: M\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. Let $\gamma_{M}$ be the limit of this sequence. Then $\Gamma=\left(\gamma_{M}\right)$ is an element of $N(L \mid F)$. Put $\Gamma=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$.

Then $N(L \mid F)$ is a field with respect to the multiplication and addition defined above. The map $v$ is a discrete valuation of $N(L \mid F)$ and $N(L \mid F)$ is a complete field of characteristic $p$. The map
$R$ is an isomorphism of $\bar{L}$ onto a subfield in $N(L \mid F)$ which maps isomorphically onto the residue field of $N(L \mid F)$.

Proof. Let $L_{i}$ be as above in (17.5), in the context of Lemma (17.3).
Let $a$ be a positive integer and let $k$ be an integer such that $(p-1) q\left(L_{j} \mid L_{i}\right) / p \geqslant a$ for $j>i \geqslant k$, see Lemma (17.2). Let $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right), \mathrm{B}=\left(\beta_{L_{i}}\right)$ be elements of $N(L \mid F)$ and $\alpha_{L_{0}}, \beta_{L_{0}} \in \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}$. Then Proposition (17.4) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{L_{i} / L_{k}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}}\right) \equiv \alpha_{L_{k}}+\beta_{L_{k}} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L_{k}}^{a} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{k} \geqslant 0$ be a sequence of integers such that

$$
a_{k} \leqslant a_{k+1}, \quad a_{k} \leqslant(p-1) q\left(L \mid L_{k}\right) / p, \quad \lim a_{k}=+\infty
$$

(the existence of the sequence follows from Lemma (17.2)). Let an index $k \geqslant 1$ be in addition such that $a_{k}>1$. Suppose that $\beta_{L_{k}}$ is a prime element in $L_{k}$. Proposition (17.4) and Lemma (17.2) show that one can construct a sequence $\beta_{L_{i}} \in L_{i}, i \geqslant k$, such that

$$
v_{L_{i}}\left(N_{L_{i+1} / L_{i}} \beta_{L_{i+1}}-\beta_{L_{i}}\right) \geqslant a_{i} .
$$

Then $\beta_{L_{i}}$ is prime in $L_{i}$, and applying $(*)$, we get

$$
v_{L_{i}}\left(N_{L_{j} / L_{i}} \beta_{L_{j}}-\beta_{L_{i}}\right) \geqslant a_{i} \quad \text { for } j \geqslant i \geqslant k
$$

Now Proposition (15.4) and Proposition (17.1) imply that

$$
v_{L_{s}}\left(N_{L_{j} / L_{s}} \beta_{L_{j}}-N_{L_{i} / L_{s}} \beta_{L_{i}}\right) \geqslant h_{L_{i} / L_{s}}^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right) \geqslant h_{L / L_{s}}^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)
$$

for $j \geqslant i \geqslant s \geqslant k$. Since $h_{L / L_{s}}^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain that there exists $\gamma_{L_{s}}=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} N_{L_{i} / L_{s}} \beta_{L_{i}}$ and $\gamma_{L_{s}}$ is prime in $L_{s}$. Putting $\gamma_{L_{j}}=N_{L_{k} / L_{j}} \gamma_{L_{k}}$ for $j<k$, we get the element $\Gamma=\left(\gamma_{L_{i}}\right) \in N(L \mid F)$ with $v(\Gamma)=1$.

Furthermore, by Proposition (15.4) and ( $*$ ) we obtain:

$$
v_{L_{j}}\left(N_{L_{i} / L_{j}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}}\right)-N_{L_{k} / L_{j}}\left(\alpha_{L_{k}}+\beta_{L_{k}}\right)\right) \geqslant h_{L_{k} / L_{j}}^{-1}(a) \geqslant h_{L / L_{j}}^{-1}(a) .
$$

This means that the sequence $N_{L_{i} / L_{j}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}}\right)$ is convergent. In the general case let $c=v_{L_{0}}\left(\alpha_{L_{0}}\right), d=$ $v_{L_{0}}\left(\beta_{L_{0}}\right)$. Taking prime elements $\pi_{L_{i}}$ in $L_{i}$ such that $\Pi=\left(\pi_{L_{i}}\right) \in N(L \mid F)$ with $v(\Pi)=1$ and replacing $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right)$ by $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}} \pi_{L_{i}}^{-g}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}=\left(\beta_{L_{i}}\right)$ by $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{L_{i}} \pi_{L_{i}}^{-g}\right)$, where $g=\min (c, d)$, we deduce that $N_{L_{i} / L_{j}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}}\right)$ is convergent. Put $\gamma_{L_{j}}=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} N_{L_{i} / L_{j}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}}\right)$. Obviously, $\left(\gamma_{L_{i}}\right)=\Gamma \in N(L \mid F)$ and $N(L \mid F)$ is a field. As

$$
v(\Gamma)=v_{L_{k}}\left(\gamma_{L_{k}}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} v_{L_{k}}\left(N_{L_{i} / L_{k}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

we get $v(\Gamma) \geqslant \min (v(\mathrm{~A}), v(\mathrm{~B}), a)$. Choosing $a \geqslant \max (v(\mathrm{~A}), v(\mathrm{~B}))$, we obtain $v(\Gamma) \geqslant \min (v(\mathrm{~A}), v(\mathrm{~B}))$. Since $1=\left(1_{L_{i}}\right)$, for $p=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right)$ we get that

$$
\alpha_{L_{j}}=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} N_{L_{i} / L_{j}}(p)=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} p^{\left|L_{i}: L_{j}\right|}=0
$$

Therefore, $N(L \mid F)$ is a discrete valuation field of characteristic $p$.
To verify the completeness of $N(L \mid F)$ with respect to $v$, take a Cauchy sequence $\mathrm{A}^{(n)}=$ $\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}^{(n)}\right) \in N(L \mid F)$. We may assume $v\left(\mathrm{~A}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant 0$. For any $i$ there exists an integer $n_{i}$ such that
$v\left(\mathrm{~A}^{(n)}-\mathrm{A}^{(m)}\right) \geqslant a_{i}$ for $n, m \geqslant n_{i}\left(a_{i}\right.$ as above $)$. One may assume that $\left(n_{i}\right)_{i}$ is an increasing sequence. Applying ( $*$ ), we get

$$
v_{L_{i}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}^{(n)}-\alpha_{L_{i}}^{(m)}\right) \geqslant a_{i} \quad \text { for } n, m \geqslant n_{i} .
$$

Let $\alpha_{L_{i}}$ be an element in $L_{i}$ such that

$$
v_{L_{i}}\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}-\alpha_{L_{i}}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}\right) \geqslant a_{i} .
$$

Then, by (*),

$$
v_{L_{i}}\left(N_{L_{j} / L_{i}} \alpha_{L_{j}}-\alpha_{L_{i}}\right) \geqslant a_{i} .
$$

Proposition (15.4) and Proposition (17.1) imply now that

$$
v_{L_{s}}\left(N_{L_{i} / L_{s}} \alpha_{L_{i}}-N_{L_{j} / L_{s}} \alpha_{L_{j}}\right) \geqslant h_{L / L_{s}}^{-1}\left(a_{j}\right) \rightarrow+\infty
$$

when $i \geqslant j \rightarrow+\infty$. Putting $\alpha_{L_{s}}^{\prime}=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} N_{L_{i} / L_{s}} \alpha_{L_{i}}$, we obtain an element $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}^{\prime}\right) \in N(L \mid F)$ with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\lim \mathrm{A}^{(n)}$. Therefore, $N(L \mid F)$ is complete with respect to the discrete valuation $v$.

Finally, $R$ is multiplicative. If $R(a)=\Theta, R(b)=\Lambda, R(a+b)=\Omega$, then it follows immediately from (6.3), that $\omega_{L_{i}} \equiv \theta_{L_{i}}+\lambda_{L_{i}} \bmod p$. By the definition of $a_{i}$ we get $v_{L_{i}}(p) \geqslant a_{i}$. Then by (*) and Proposition (15.4) we obtain

$$
v_{L_{i}}\left(\omega_{L_{i}}-N_{L_{j} / L_{i}}\left(\theta_{L_{j}}+\lambda_{L_{j}}\right)\right) \rightarrow+\infty
$$

as $j \rightarrow+\infty$. This means that $\Omega=\Theta+\Lambda$ and $R$ is an isomorphism of $\bar{L}$ onto a subfield in $N(L \mid F)$. The latter subfield is mapped onto the residue field of $N(L \mid F)$, hence it is isomorphic to the residue field $\overline{N(L \mid F)}$.

Corollary. Let $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right), \mathrm{B}=\left(\beta_{L_{i}}\right)$ belong to the ring of integers of $N(L \mid F)$. Let $\Gamma=$ A +B . Then $\gamma_{L_{i}} \equiv \alpha_{L_{i}}+\beta_{L_{i}} \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L_{i}}^{a_{i}}$, where $a_{i}$ are those defined in the proof of the Theorem. Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L_{j}}$ there exists an element $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right)$ in the ring of integers of $N(L \mid F)$ such that $\alpha \equiv \alpha_{L_{j}} \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L_{j}}^{a_{j}}$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from (*) and the second from Proposition (17.4).
17.6. An immediate consequence of the definitions is that if $M / F$ is a finite subextension of an arithmetically profinite extension $L / F$, then $N(L \mid F)=N(L \mid M)$. On the other hand, if $E / L$ is a finite separable extension, then, as shown in Proposition (17.1), $E / F$ is an arithmetically profinite extension. Let $M$ be a finite extension of $F$ such that $M L=E$. Since $N_{L_{j} M / L_{i} M}(\alpha)=$ $N_{L_{j} / L_{i}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in L_{j}, j \geqslant i \geqslant m$, and sufficiently large $m$, we deduce that $N(L \mid F)$ can be identified with a subfield of $N(E \mid F): \mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right) \mapsto \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \in N(E \mid F)$ with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i} M}^{\prime}\right), \alpha_{L_{i} M}^{\prime}=\alpha_{L_{i}}$ for $i \geqslant m$, $\alpha_{L_{i} M}^{\prime}=N_{L_{m} M / L_{i} M}\left(\alpha_{L_{m}}\right)$ for $i<m$. In fact the discrete valuation topology of $N(L \mid F)$ coincides with the induced topology from $N(E \mid F)$, and $N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F)$ is an extension of complete discrete valuation fields. For an arbitrary separable extension $E / L$ denote by $N(E, L \mid F)$ the direct limit of $N\left(E^{\prime} \mid F\right)$ for finite separable subextensions $E^{\prime} / L$ in $E / L$. Obviously, $N(E, L \mid F)=N(E \mid F)$ if $E / L$ is finite.

Let $L / F$ be infinite arithmetically profinite, and let $L^{\prime} / L$ be a finite separable extension. Let $\tau$ be an automorphism in $G_{F}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ with $\tau(L) \subset L^{\prime}$. There exists a tower of increasing
subfields $L_{i}^{\prime}$ in $L^{\prime}$ such that $L_{i}^{\prime} / F$ is finite, $\tau(L) L_{i}^{\prime}=L^{\prime}, L^{\prime}=\cup L_{i}^{\prime}$, and $N_{L_{j}^{\prime} / L_{i}^{\prime}}(\tau \alpha)=\tau N_{\tau^{-1} L_{j}^{\prime} / \tau^{-1} L_{i}^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ for $j \geqslant i, \alpha \in \tau^{-1} L_{j}^{\prime}$; see the proof of Proposition (17.1). Let T: $N(L \mid F) \longrightarrow N\left(L^{\prime} \mid F\right)$ denote the homomorphism of fields, which is defined for $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}\right) \in N(L \mid F)$ as $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\alpha_{L_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\tau\left(\alpha_{\tau^{-1} L_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \in N\left(L^{\prime} \mid F\right)$. This notion is naturally generalized for $N(E, L \mid F)$ and $N\left(E^{\prime}, L \mid F\right)$ with $\tau(E) \subset E^{\prime}$.

Proposition. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be separable extensions of L. Then the set of all automorphisms $\tau \in G_{L}$ with $\tau\left(E_{1}\right) \subset E_{2}$ is identified (by $\tau \mapsto \mathrm{T}$ ) with the set of all automorphisms $\mathrm{T} \in G_{N(L \mid F)}$ with $\mathrm{T}\left(N\left(E_{1}, L \mid F\right)\right) \subset N\left(E_{2}, L \mid F\right)$. In particular, if $E / L$ is a Galois extension, then $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}(N(E, L \mid F) / N(L \mid F))$.

Proof. First we verify the second assertion for a finite Galois extension $E / L$. Let T act trivially on $N(E \mid F)$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$ acts trivially on the residue field of $N(E \mid F)$, which coincides with $\bar{E}$, and hence $\tau$ belongs to the inertia subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)_{0}$. Let $E=L(\beta)$ and $L_{i}$ form a standard tower of fields for $L$ over $F$, as in (17.5). Since the coefficients of the irreducible polynomial of $\beta$ over $L$ belong to some $L_{m}$, we deduce that $L_{i}(\beta) / L_{i}$ is $\operatorname{Galois}$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i}(\beta) / L_{i}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)$ for $i \geqslant m$. Let $\Pi=\left(\pi_{L_{i}(\beta)}\right)_{i>m}$ be a prime element of $N(E \mid F)$. Then $T(\Pi)=\Pi$ and $\tau \pi_{L_{i}(\beta)}=\pi_{L_{i}(\beta)}$ for $i>m$. We obtain now that $\tau=1$ because $\tau$ acts trivially on the residue field $\overline{L_{i}(\beta)}=\bar{E}$.

We conclude that $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)$ can be identified with a subgroup of

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F))
$$

Since the field of the fixed elements under the action of the image of $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)$ is contained in $N(L \mid F)$, these two groups are isomorphic.

From this we easily deduce the second assertion of the Proposition for an arbitrary Galois extension $E / L$.

Finally, if $E / L$ is a Galois extension such that $E_{1}, E_{2} \subset E$, denote the Galois groups of $E / E_{1}$ and $E / E_{2}$ by $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. These two groups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ can be identified with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(N(E, L \mid F) / N\left(E_{1}, L \mid F\right)\right)$, and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(N(E, L \mid F) / N\left(E_{2}, L \mid F\right)\right)$ respectively. Since the set of $\tau \in G_{L}$ with $\tau\left(E_{1}\right) \subset E_{2}$ coincides with $\left\{\tau \in G_{L}: \tau H_{1} \tau^{-1} \supset H_{2}\right\}$, the proof is completed.
17.7. The preceding Proposition shows that the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / L\right)$ can be considered as a quotient group of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(N(L \mid F)^{\text {sep }} / N(L \mid F)\right)$. We will show in what follows that the former group coincides with the latter.

THEOREM. Let $Q$ be a separable extension of $N(L \mid F)$. Then there exists a separable extension $E / L$ and an $N(L \mid F)$-isomorphism of $N(E, L \mid F)$ onto $Q$.

Thus, the absolute Galois group of $L$ is naturally isomorphic to the absolute Galois group of $N(L \mid F)$ :

$$
G_{L} \simeq G_{N(L \mid F)} .
$$

Proof. One can assume that $Q / N(L \mid F)$ is a finite Galois extension. Using the description of Galois extensions of (11.4) we must consider the following three cases: $Q / N(L \mid F)$ is unramified, cyclic tamely totally ramified, and cyclic totally ramified of degree $p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$.

Let $\mathscr{O}_{Q}=\mathscr{O}_{N(L \mid F)}[\Gamma]$. Let $f(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $\Gamma$ over $N(L \mid F)$. It suffices to find a separable extension $E^{\prime} / L$ such that $f(X)$ has a root in $N\left(E^{\prime}, L \mid F\right)$. Let $L_{i}$ and $a_{i}$ be identical to those in the proof of Theorem (17.5). By Lemma (10.1), we can write

$$
f(X)=X^{n}+\mathrm{A}^{(n-1)} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\mathrm{A}^{(0)}
$$

with $\mathrm{A}^{(m)}=\left(\alpha_{L_{i}}^{(m)}\right) \in \mathscr{O}_{N(L \mid F)}, n=|Q: N(L \mid F)|$. Denote by $f_{i}(X) \in \mathscr{O}_{L_{i}}[X]$ the polynomial $X^{n}+$ $\alpha_{L_{i}}^{(n-1)} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{L_{i}}^{(0)}$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be a root of $f_{i}(X)$ and $M_{i}=L_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), E_{i}=L\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$.

The following assertion will be useful in our considerations.
LEMMA. Let $\Gamma_{m}$ for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ are all roots of $f(X)$ and $\Delta=\prod_{m<l}\left(\Gamma_{m}-\Gamma_{l}\right)^{2}$ be the discriminant of $f(X)$. Then $\Delta=(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \sigma_{m} f^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ where $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ are elements of $\operatorname{Gal}(Q / N(L \mid F))$.

Let $d_{i} \in L_{i}$ be the discriminants of $f_{i}(X)$. Then there exists an index $i_{1}$ such that $v_{L_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)=v(\Delta)$ for $i \geqslant i_{1}$.

Proof. Let $\Delta=\left(\delta_{L_{i}}\right)$, and let $i_{1}$ be such that $a_{i}>v(\Delta)$ for $i \geqslant i_{1}$. Then $v(\Delta)=v_{L_{i}}\left(\delta_{L_{i}}\right)$, and Corollary (17.5) shows that $v_{L_{i}}\left(\delta_{L_{i}}-d_{i}\right) \geqslant a_{i}$. Hence, $v_{L_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)=v_{L_{i}}\left(\delta_{L_{i}}\right)=v(\Delta)$ for $i \geqslant i_{1}$.

This Lemma implies that $M_{i} / L_{i}$ is separable for $i \geqslant i_{1}$. Now we shall verify that in the three cases under consideration, there exists an index $i_{2}$, such that $M_{i} / L_{i}$ and $L / L_{i}$ are linearly disjoint and $q\left(E_{i} \mid M_{i}\right) \geqslant q\left(L \mid L_{i}\right)$ for $i \geqslant i_{2}$.

If $Q / N(L \mid F)$ is unramified, then the residue polynomial $\bar{f}_{i} \in \bar{L}[X]$ is irreducible of degree $n$ and $M_{i} / L_{i}$ is an unramified extension of the same degree. Hence, $M_{i} / L_{i}$ and $L / L_{i}$ are linearly disjoint and $h_{E_{i} / M_{i}}(x)=h_{L / L_{i}}(x)$, so $q\left(E_{i} \mid M_{i}\right)=q\left(L \mid L_{i}\right)$.

If $Q / N(L \mid F)$ is totally and tamely ramified, then one can take $f(X)=X^{n}-\Pi$, where $\Pi$ is a prime element in $N(L \mid F)$ (see (10.5)). Hence, $M_{i} / L_{i}$ is tamely and totally ramified of degree $n$ for $i \geqslant 1$. We deduce that $L \cap M_{i}=L_{i}$ and $h_{E_{i} / M_{i}}(n x)=n h_{L / L_{i}}(x)$, and hence $q\left(E_{i} \mid M_{i}\right) \geqslant n q\left(L \mid L_{i}\right)$ for $i \geqslant 1$.

If $Q / N(L \mid F)$ is totally ramified of degree $n=p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$, then one may assume that $f(X)$ is an Eisenstein polynomial (see (10.6)). Then $f_{i}(X)$ is a separable Eisenstein polynomial in $L_{i}[X]$, and $\alpha_{i}$ is prime in $M_{i}$. Let $N_{i}$ be the minimal finite extension of $M_{i}$ such that $N_{i} / L_{i}$ is Galois, and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ the maximal tamely unramified extension of $L_{i}$ in $N_{i}$. Then $\left|N_{i}: L_{i}\right| \leqslant p!$. One has $N_{i}=M_{i}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ and $s_{i}=s\left(N_{i} \mid M_{i}^{\prime}\right)=v_{N_{i}}\left(\sigma \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right)-v_{N_{i}}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ for a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(N_{i} / M_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ (see (13.4) and the proof of Proposition (15.3)). Note that

$$
v_{N_{i}}\left(\sigma \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{p(p-1)} v_{N_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right) \leqslant \frac{p!}{p(p-1)} v_{L_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)=(p-2)!v(\Delta)
$$

for $i \geqslant i_{1}$. Furthermore, in the same way as in the proof of Proposition (15.3), we get $h_{M_{i} / L_{i}}(x)=$ $l^{-1} h_{N_{i} / M_{i}^{\prime}}(l x)$, where $l=e\left(M_{i}^{\prime} \mid L_{i}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
q\left(M_{i} \mid L_{i}\right)=s_{i} l^{-1}<(p-2)!v(\Delta)
$$

Since $h_{L_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) / M_{i}} \circ h_{M_{i} / L_{i}}=h_{L_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) / L_{j}} \circ h_{L_{j} / L_{i}}$ for $j \geqslant i$, we deduce that $q\left(E_{i} \mid M_{i}\right)=h_{M_{i} / L_{i}}\left(q\left(L \mid L_{i}\right)\right) \geqslant$ $q\left(L \mid L_{i}\right)$.

Now we construct the desired field $E^{\prime}$. Let $v: N(L \mid F)^{\operatorname{sep} \times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the extension of the discrete valuation $v: N(L \mid F)^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (see Corollary 1 of (9.9)). According to Corollary (17.5)
there is an element $\mathrm{B}^{(j)}=\left(\beta_{L_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}^{(j)}\right)_{i \geqslant j} \in N\left(E_{j} \mid F\right)$ such that $v_{M_{j}}\left(\alpha_{j}-\beta_{M_{j}}^{(j)}\right) \geqslant b_{j}$, where $b_{j}$ is the maximal integer $\leqslant(p-1) q\left(E_{j} \mid M_{j}\right) / p$.

Since $q\left(E_{j} \mid M_{j}\right) \geqslant q\left(L \mid L_{j}\right)$, we obtain $b_{j} \geqslant a_{j}$. We claim that $v\left(f\left(\mathrm{~B}^{(j)}\right)\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $j \rightarrow+\infty$.
Indeed, $E_{j} / M_{j}$ is totally ramified. Therefore, if $f\left(\mathbf{B}^{(j)}\right)=\left(\rho_{L_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)_{i \geqslant j}$ then $v\left(f\left(\mathbf{B}^{(j)}\right)\right) \geqslant$ $v_{M_{j}}\left(\rho_{M_{j}}\right) / n$.

By using Corollary (17.5) we deduce

$$
v_{M_{j}}\left(\rho_{M_{j}}-f_{j}\left(\beta_{M_{j}}^{(j)}\right)\right) \geqslant(p-1) q\left(E_{j} \mid M_{j}\right) / p \geqslant a_{j} .
$$

This means that

$$
v\left(f\left(\mathrm{~B}^{(j)}\right)\right) \geqslant \frac{a_{j}}{n} \quad \text { for } j \geqslant i_{2}
$$

Since $a_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$ when $j \rightarrow+\infty$, we conclude that $v\left(f\left(\mathrm{~B}^{(j)}\right)\right) \rightarrow+\infty$.
By the same arguments we obtain that for $f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{B}^{(j)}\right)=\left(\mu_{L_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)_{i \geqslant j}$

$$
v\left(f^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{B}^{(j)}\right)\right) \leqslant v_{M_{j}}\left(\mu_{M_{j}}\right), \quad v_{M_{j}}\left(\mu_{M_{j}}-f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right) \geqslant a_{j}, \quad v_{M_{j}}\left(f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right) \leqslant n v(\Delta)
$$

for $j \geqslant i_{2}$. This implies that for a sufficiently large $j$

$$
v\left(f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{B}^{(j)}\right)\right) \leqslant n v(\Delta)<\frac{1}{2} v\left(f\left(\mathrm{~B}^{(j)}\right)\right) .
$$

Corollary 3 of (8.3) shows the existence of a root of $f(X)$ in $N\left(E_{j} \mid F\right)$. Putting $E^{\prime}=E_{j}$ we complete the proof of the Theorem.

DEFINITION. The functor of fields of norms associates to every arithmetically profinite extension $L$ over $F$ its field of norms $N(L \mid F)$, to every separable extension $E$ of $L$ the field $N(E, L \mid F)$ and to every element of $G_{F}$ the corresponding element of the group of automorphisms of the field $N(L \mid F)^{\text {sep }}$ (so that elements of $G_{L} \leqslant G_{F}$ are mapped isomorphically to elements of $\left.G_{N(L \mid F)}\right)$.

## REMARKS.

1. If $L / F$ is an arithmetically profinite extension, one can show that for a separable extension $E / L$ (not necessarily finite), $E / F$ is an arithmetically profinite extension if and only if $N(E, L \mid F) / N(L \mid F)$ is arithmetically profinite. In this case the field $N(E \mid F)$ can be identified with $N(N(E, L \mid F) \mid N(L \mid F))$ and

$$
h_{E / F}=h_{N(E, L \mid F) / N(L \mid F)} \circ h_{L / F} .
$$

If, in addition, $E / F$ and $E / L$ are Galois extensions, then

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(N(E, L \mid F) / N(L \mid F))\left(h_{L / F}(x)\right)=\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)(x) \cap \operatorname{Gal}(N(E, L \mid F) / N(L \mid F))
$$

where we identified $\operatorname{Gal}(N(E, L \mid F) / N(L \mid F))$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)$.
2. Fields of norms are related to various rings introduced by Fontaine in his study of Galois representations over local fields.
3. A local field $F$ with finite residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has infinitely many wild automorphisms, i.e., continuous homomorphisms $\sigma: F \longrightarrow F$ such that $\pi_{F}^{-1} \sigma\left(\pi_{F}\right) \in U_{1}$, if and only if $F$ is of positive characteristic. The group $R$ of wild automorphisms of $F$ has a natural filtration $R_{i}=\{\sigma \in R$ : $\left.\pi_{F}^{-1} \sigma \pi_{F} \in U_{i}\right\}$ and $R$ is isomorphic to $\lim _{\rightleftarrows} R / R_{i}$. Therefore the group $R$ is a pro-p-group. It is
called the Nottingham group by group theorists. It has finitely many generators. One can check that every nontrivial closed normal subgroup of an open subgroup of $R$ is open; so $R$ is a socalled hereditarily just infinite pro- $p$-group. Those are of importance for the theory of infinite pro- $p$-groups.

Every Galois totally ramified and arithmetically profinite p-extension of a local field with residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is mapped under the functor of fields of norms to a closed subgroup of $R$. Using this functor and realisability of pro- $p$-groups as Galois groups of arithmetically profinite extensions in positive characteristic one can easily show that every finitely generated pro- $p$-group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $R$.

For integer $r \geqslant 1$ define a closed subgroup $T=T[r]$ of $R$

$$
T[r]=\left\{\sigma \in R: \pi_{F}^{-1} \sigma \pi_{F}=f\left(\pi_{F}\right) \quad \text { with } f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[X^{p^{r}}\right]\right]\right\} .
$$

Fesenko proved that for $p>2, r \geqslant 1$ the group $T$ is hereditarily just infinite (i.e. every nontrivial normal closed subgroup of every open subgroup is open), $T$ does not have infinite subquotients isomorphic to $p$-adic Lie groups, and the group $T[r]$ for $r>1$ can be realised as the Galois group of an arithmetically profinite extension of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
4. General ramification theory of infinite extensions is far from being complete, despite many deep investigations.

A satisfactory ramification theory of complete discrete valuation fields with imperfect residue field is still missing. Such a theory is expected to have analogs of three key properties of ramification theory of local fields: Herbrand's theorem, Hasse-Arf's theorem and compatibility with local reciprocity map (see (3.3)). There are several partial theories, each with its own merits and drawbacks and none having analogs of all the three properties.

## 18. Local Fields with Finite Residue Fields

18.1. Let $F$ be a local field with finite residue field $\bar{F}=\mathbb{F}_{q}, q=p^{f}$ elements. The number $f$ is called the absolute residue degree of $F$. Since $\operatorname{char}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=p$, Lemma (2.2) shows that $F$ is of characteristic 0 or of characteristic $p$.

In the first case $v(p)>0$ for the discrete valuation $v$ in $F$, hence the restriction of $v$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to the $p$-adic valuation. Then we can view the field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of $p$-adic numbers as a subfield of $F$; another way to show this is to use the quotient field of the Witt ring of a finite field and Proposition (12.6).

Let $e=v(p)=e(F)$ be the absolute ramification index of $F$. Then by Proposition (9.4) we obtain that $F$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of degree $n=e f$. We call $F$ a local number field.

In the second case Propositions (12.4) and (12.1) show that $F$ is isomorphic (with respect to the field structure and the discrete valuation topology) to the field of formal power series $\mathbb{F}_{q}((X))$ with prime element $X$. We call $F$ a local functional field.

The topology of the multiplicative group $F^{\times}$of a local field is the product of the discrete topology on the infinite cyclic group generated by a prime element and the induced from $F$ topology on the group of units $U$. Equivalently, the topology of $F^{\times}$is induced from the topology of $F \times F$ with respect to the embedding $\alpha \mapsto\left(\alpha, \alpha^{-1}\right)$.

LEMMA. $F$ is a locally compact topological space with respect to the discrete valuation topology. The ring of integers $\mathscr{O}$ and the maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}$ are compact. The multiplicative group $F^{\times}$ is locally compact, and the group of units $U$ is compact.

Proof. Assume that $\mathscr{O}$ is not compact. Let $\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a covering by open subsets in $\mathscr{O}$, i.e., $\mathscr{O}=\cup V_{i}$, such that $\mathscr{O}$ is not covered by a finite union of $V_{i}$. Let $\pi$ be a prime element of $\mathscr{O}$. Since $\mathscr{O} / \pi \mathscr{O}$ is finite, there exists an element $\theta_{0} \in \mathscr{O}$ such that the set $\theta_{0}+\pi \mathscr{O}$ is not contained in the union of a finite number of $V_{i}$. Similarly, there exist elements $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \in \mathscr{O}$ such that $\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \pi+\cdots+\theta_{n} \pi^{n}+\pi^{n+1} \mathscr{O}$ is not contained in the union of a finite number of $V_{i}$. However, the element $\alpha=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \theta_{m} \pi^{m}$ belongs to some $V_{i}$, a contradiction. Hence, $\mathscr{O}$ is compact and $U$, as the union of $\theta+\pi \mathscr{O}$ with $\bar{\theta} \neq 0$, is compact.
18.2. Lemma. The Galois group of every finite extension of $F$ is solvable.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3 of (11.4).

Proposition. For every $n \geqslant 1$ there exists a unique unramified extension $L$ of $F$ of degree $n$ : $L=F\left(\mu_{q^{n}-1}\right)$.

The extension $L / F$ is cyclic and the maximal unramified extension $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ of $F$ is a Galois extension.

The group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right)$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and topologically generated by an automorphism $\varphi_{F}$, such that

$$
\varphi_{F}(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{q} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{F} \mathrm{ur} \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F} \mathrm{ur}
$$

The automorphism $\varphi_{F}$ is called the Frobenius automorphism of $F$.
Proof. First we note that, by Corollary 1 of (6.3), $F$ contains the group $\mu_{q-1}$ of $(q-1)$ th roots of unity which coincides with the set of nonzero multiplicative representatives of $\bar{F}$ in $\mathscr{O}$. Moreover, Proposition (4.4) and section 6 imply that the unit group $U_{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mu_{q-1} \times U_{1, F}$.

The field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has the unique extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ of degree $n$, which is cyclic over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Propositions (10.2) and (10.3) show that there is a unique unramified extension $L$ of degree $n$ over $F$ and hence $L=F\left(\mu_{q^{n}-1}\right)$.

Now let $E$ be an unramified extension of $F$ and $\alpha \in E$. Then $F(\alpha) / F$ is of finite degree. Therefore, $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is contained in the union of all finite unramified extensions of $F$. We have

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right) \cong \lim \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

It is well known that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {sep }} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is topologically generated by the automorphism $\sigma$ such that $\sigma(a)=a^{q}$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {sep }}$. Hence, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right)$ is topologically generated by the Frobenius automorphism $\varphi_{F}$.

REMARK. If $\theta \in \mu_{q^{n}-1}$, then

$$
\varphi_{F}(\theta) \equiv \theta^{q} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{L}
$$

and $\varphi_{F}(\theta) \in \mu_{q^{n}-1}$. The uniqueness of the multiplicative representative for $\bar{\theta}^{q} \in \bar{F}$ implies now that $\varphi_{F}(\theta)=\theta^{q}$.
18.3. In order to describe the group $U_{1}=U_{1, F}$ of principal units we can apply assertions of sections 4 and 5.

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, then Proposition (5.2) shows that every element $\alpha \in U_{1}$ can be uniquely written as the convergent product

$$
\alpha=\prod_{\substack{p \nmid i \\ i>0}} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{a_{i j}},
$$

where the index-set $J$ numerates $f$ elements in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, such that their residues form a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and the elements $\theta_{j}$ belong to this set of $f$ elements; $\pi_{i}$ are elements of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ with $v\left(\pi_{i}\right)=i$, and $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Thus, $U_{1}$ has the infinite topological basis $\left\{1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right\}$.

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0,(5.4)$ and (5.5) show that every element $\alpha \in U_{1}$ can be written as a convergent product

$$
\alpha=\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J}\left(1+\theta_{j} \pi_{i}\right)^{a_{i j}} \omega_{*}^{a}
$$

where $I=\{1 \leqslant i<p e /(p-1), p \nmid i\}, e=e(F)$; the index-set $J$ numerates $f$ elements in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$, such that their residues form a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and the elements $\theta_{j}$ belong to this set of $f$ elements; $\pi_{i}$ are elements of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ with $v\left(\pi_{i}\right)=i$, and $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

If a primitive $p$ th root of unity does not belong to $F$, then $\omega_{*}=1, a=0$ and the above expression for $\alpha$ is unique; $U_{1}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module of rank $n=e f=\left|F: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|$.

If a primitive $p$ th root of unity belongs to $F$, then $\omega_{*}=1+\theta_{*} \pi_{p e /(p-1)}$ is a principal unit such that $\omega_{*} \notin F^{\times p}$, and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. In this case the above expression for $\alpha$ is not unique. Subsections (4.7) and (4.8) imply that $U_{1}$ is isomorphic to the product of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and the $p$-torsion group $\mu_{p^{r}}$, where $r \geqslant 1$ is the maximal integer such that $\mu_{p^{r}} \subset F$.

LEMMA. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then $F^{\times n}$ is an open subgroup of finite index in $F^{\times}$for $n \geqslant 1$. If $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, then $F^{\times n}$ is an open subgroup of finite index in $F^{\times}$for $p \nmid n$. If a primitive nth root is in $F$ then $\left|F^{\times}: F^{\times n}\right|=n^{2} q^{v(n)}$.

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ and $p \mid n$, then $F^{\times n}$ is not open and is not of finite index in $F^{\times}$.

Proof. Everything except the formula follows from Proposition (4.9) and the previous considerations. To obtain the formula for $\left|F^{\times}: F^{\times n}\right|$, first it is $=n\left|U: U^{n}\right|$. Write $n=p^{r} m$ with integer $m$ prime to $p$. The integer $r$ can be positive only when $F$ is of characteristic zero. We have $\left|\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times n}\right|=\left|\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times m}\right|=m ;\left|U_{1}: U_{1}^{n}\right|=1$ in characteristic $p$ and $=\left|U_{1}: U_{1}^{p^{r}}\right|=p^{r d+1}$ in characteristic 0 , where $d=\left|F: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|$. Hence $\left|F^{\times}: F^{\times n}\right|=n^{2} p^{r d}$ and $p^{r d}=q^{v(n)}$.
18.4. Now we have a look at the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$for a finite extension $L$ of $F$. Recall that the norm map

$$
N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}
$$

is surjective when $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}} \supset \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then the second and third diagrams of Proposition (3.2) show that $N_{L / F} U_{L}=U_{F}$ in the case of an unramified extension $L / F$. Further, the first diagram there implies that

$$
N_{L / F} L^{\times}=\left\langle\pi^{n}\right\rangle \times U_{F},
$$

where $\pi$ is a prime element in $F, n=|L: F|$. This means, in particular, that $F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}$is a cyclic group of order $n$ in the case under consideration. Conversely, every subgroup of finite index in $F^{\times}$ that contains $U_{F}$ coincides with the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$for a suitable unramified extension $L / F$.

The next case is a totally and tamely ramified Galois extension $L / F$ of degree $n$. Since $L / F$ is Galois, we get $\mu_{n} \subset F^{\times}$and $n \mid(q-1)$. Proposition (13.3) and its Corollary show that

$$
N_{L / F} U_{1, L}=U_{1, F}, \quad \pi \in N_{L / F} L^{\times}
$$

for a suitable prime element $\pi$ in $F$ such that $L=F(\sqrt[n]{-\pi})$, and $\theta \in N_{L / F} L^{\times}$for $\theta \in U_{F}$ if and only if $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times n}$. Since $n \mid(q-1)$, the quotient group $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times n}$ is cyclic of order $n$. We conclude that

$$
N_{L / F} L^{\times}=\langle\pi\rangle \times\langle\theta\rangle \times U_{1, F}
$$

with an element $\theta \in U_{F}$, such that its residue $\bar{\theta}$ generates $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times n}$. In particular, $F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}$is cyclic of order $n$. Conversely, every subgroup of index $n$ relatively prime to $\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$ coincides with the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$for a suitable cyclic extension $L / F$.

The last case to be considered is the case of a totally ramified Galois extension $L / F$ of degree p. Preserving the notations of (13.4) we apply Proposition (13.5). The right vertical homomorphism of the fourth diagram

$$
\bar{\theta} \rightarrow \bar{\theta}^{p}-\bar{\eta}^{p-1} \bar{\theta}
$$

has a kernel of order $p$; therefore its cokernel is also of order $p$. Let $\theta_{*} \in U_{F}$ be such that $\overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{*}$ does not belong to the image of this homomorphism. Since $\bar{F}$ is perfect, we deduce, using the third and fourth diagrams, that $1+\theta_{*} \pi_{F}^{s} \notin N_{L / F} U_{1, L}$. The other diagrams imply that $F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}$is a cyclic group of order $p$ and generated by

$$
1+\theta_{*} \pi_{F}^{s} \quad \bmod N_{L / F} L^{\times}
$$

If $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then, by Proposition (14.3), $s \leqslant p e /(p-1)$, where $e=e(F)$. That Proposition also shows that if $p \mid s$, then $s=p e /(p-1)$ and a primitive $p$ th root of unity $\zeta_{p}$ belongs to $F$, and $L=F(\sqrt[p]{\pi})$ for a suitable prime element $\pi$ in $F$. In this case $F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}$is generated by $\omega_{*}$ $\bmod N_{L / F} L^{\times}$.

Conversely, note that every subgroup of index $p$ in the additive group $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ can be written as $\bar{\eta} \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ for some $\bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $N$ be an open subgroup of index $p$ in $F^{\times}$such that some prime element $\pi_{F} \in N$ and $\omega_{*} \in N$ (if $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$ ). Then, in terms of the cited Corollary (14.5), if $s$ is the maximal integer relatively prime to $p$ such that $U_{s, F} \not \subset N$ and $U_{s+1, F} \subset N$, then $1+\eta \wp\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right) \pi^{s}+$ $\pi^{s+1} \mathscr{O}_{F} \subset N$ for some element $\eta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$. By that Corollary we obtain that $1+\eta \wp\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right) \pi^{s}+$
$\pi^{s+1} \mathscr{O}_{F} \subset N_{L / F} L^{\times}$, where $L=F(\lambda)$ and $\lambda$ is a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X+\theta^{p} \alpha$, with $\alpha=$ $\theta^{-p} \eta^{-1} \pi^{-s}$ for a suitable $\theta \in U_{F}$. Since $s=s(L \mid F)$ (the same notation as in (13.4)), we get $U_{i, F} \subset U_{i+1, F} N_{L / F} U_{L}$ for $i<s$, by Proposition (13.5). In terms of the homomorphism $\lambda_{i}$ of section 4 we obtain that

$$
\lambda_{i}\left(\left(N \cap U_{i, F}\right) U_{i+1, F} / U_{i+1, F}\right)=\lambda_{i}\left(\left(N_{L / F} L^{\times} \cap U_{i, F}\right) U_{i+1, F} / U_{i+1, F}\right)
$$

for $i \geqslant 0$. If $\omega_{*} \notin N$ and $\operatorname{char}(F)=0$, then one can put $L=F(\sqrt[p]{\pi})$ to obtain the same relations for $N$ and $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$as just above.

When $F$ is of positive characteristic $p$, the Artin-Schreier extension $L / F$ generated by a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X+\theta^{p} \alpha$ with $v_{F}(\alpha)=-s<0$ and not divisible by $p$ has its ramification jump is $s$ (see section 14). Proposition (13.5) implies that $U_{i, F} \subset U_{i+1, F} N_{L / F} U_{L}$ for $i<s$ and $U_{s+1, F} \subset N_{L / F} U_{L}$. Since $\left|U_{F}: N_{L / F} U_{L}\right|=p$, and by Corollary (14.5) the units $1+\theta^{-p} \wp\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right) \alpha^{-1}$ are in the norm group of $L / F$, we deduce that $1+\theta^{-p} \rho \alpha^{-1} \notin N_{L / F} U_{L}$ for any unit $\rho \in U_{F}$ such that $\bar{\rho} \notin \wp(\bar{F})$. Hence every open subgroup of index $p$ in $F^{\times}$is the norm group of the appropriate Artin-Schreier extension.

Later we will show that every open subgroup $N$ of finite index in $F^{\times}, N=N_{L / F} L^{\times}$for a suitable abelian extension $L / F$.
18.5. The following property will be useful in motivating Neukirch's approach to class field theory.

Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. There is a finite separable extension $K / F$ such that $M=K L$ is a finite unramified Galois extension of $K$ and of $L$, $K^{\mathrm{ur}}=L^{\mathrm{ur}}=M^{\mathrm{ur}}$, and the image of the Frobenius automorphism $\varphi_{K} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / K\right)$ with respect to the restriction on $L$ is $\sigma$.


Proof. The restriction of $\sigma$ on $L_{0}=L \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is $\left.\varphi_{F}^{n}\right|_{L_{0}}$ for some $n>0$. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right)$ be an extension of $\varphi_{F}$. The product of $\sigma$ and the restriction of $\varphi^{-n}$ on $L$ is an element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$, let $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ correspond to it via the canonical isomorphism with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}=\tau \varphi^{n}$ has the property: $\left.\tilde{\sigma}\right|_{L}=\left.\sigma\left(\varphi^{-n} \varphi^{n}\right)\right|_{L}=\sigma,\left.\tilde{\sigma}\right|_{F \mathrm{ur}}=\varphi_{F}^{n}$.

Let $K$ by the fixed field of $\tilde{\sigma}$. Since $F \subset K \subset L^{\text {ur }}$ we deduce that $F^{\mathrm{ur}} \subset K^{\mathrm{ur}} \subset L^{\mathrm{ur}}$. The Galois group of $L^{\mathrm{ur}} / K$ is topologically generated by $\tilde{\sigma}$ and is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, therefore it does not have nontrivial closed subgroups of finite order. The group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / K^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ being a subgroup of the finite
group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ is trivial, so $L^{\mathrm{ur}}=K^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Due to the latter, $M / K$ is a subextension of $K^{\mathrm{ur}} / K$ and $M / L$ is a subextension of $L^{\mathrm{ur}} / L$, hence those are unramified extensions.

The degree of the extension $K / F$ is the product of the degree of the extension $K / K_{0}, K_{0}=$ $K \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}$, whose Galois group is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ and the degree of $K_{0} / F$ equal to $n$, so it is finite.

In the unramified extension $L^{\mathrm{ur}} / K$ the automophism $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a power of $\varphi_{K}$ and their restrictions to $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ are equal: $\left.\varphi_{K}\right|_{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}=\left.\varphi_{F}^{\left|K_{0}: F\right|}\right|_{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}=\left.\varphi_{F}^{n}\right|_{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}=\left.\tilde{\sigma}\right|_{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}$, so $\tilde{\sigma}=\varphi_{K}$.

## CHAPTER 3

## Class Field Theory

Chapter 3 includes only very rare references to Chapter 1, while, of course, including various references to Chapter 2.

This Chapter includes a very short and easy to follow presentation of class field theory of local fields with finite residue field and of global fields, in characteristic zero and positive characteristic. Algebraic topics such as central division algebras and Galois cohomology groups that are not necessary for class field theory are not included. The presentation of global class field theory is based on the use of abstract class field theory mechanism discovered by Neukirch. This mechanism is natural from the point of view of the theory of local fields and local class field theory, as explained in sections 1 and 2 . Neukirch's approach was partially motivated by anabelian geometry of number fields. Zeta integrals, the theory of Iwasawa and Tate, is included in section 5, as well as an application of zeta functions and their twists by characters to the computation of the index of the norm map image of idele class group.

## 1. Main Results of Local Class Field Theory

1.1. Let $k$ be a local field with finite residue field. The following main results of local class field theory will be proved in section 3 . Now, we just list them in order to partially motivate Neukirch's method in class field theory.

1. For every finite separable extension $F / k$ and finite Galois extension $L / F$ there is a surjective homomorphism

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}
$$

whose kernel is $\operatorname{Gal}(L / E)$, where $E$ is the maximal abelian subextension of $F$ in $L$ (hence $N_{L / F} L^{\times}=$ $\left.N_{E / F} E^{\times}\right)$, such that:
(a) if $L / F$ is unramified then

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}\left(\varphi_{L / F}\right) \equiv \pi_{F} \quad \bmod N_{L / F} L^{\times}
$$

where $\varphi_{L / F}$ is the restriction of the Frobenius automorphism $\varphi_{F}$ on $L, \pi_{F}$ is a prime element of $F$;
(b) if $M / F, E / L, F / k, L / k$ are finite separable extensions, and $L / F$ and $E / M$ are finite Galois extensions, then the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Gal}(E / M) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{E / M}} M^{\times} / N_{E / M} E^{\times} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow_{M / F}^{*} \\
\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{L / F}} & F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}
\end{array}
$$

is commutative, where the left vertical map is the restriction of Galois automorphisms and the right vertical map is induced by the norm map $N_{M / F}$;
(c) if $M / k$ is a finite separable extension and $L / M$ is a finite Galois extension, and $\sigma \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\text {sep }} / k\right)$, then the diagram


$$
\operatorname{Gal}(\sigma L / \sigma M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{r}_{\sigma L / \sigma M}}(\sigma M)^{\times} / N_{\sigma L / \sigma M}(\sigma L)^{\times}
$$

is commutative, where $\sigma^{*}(\tau)=\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$.
2. Denote the maximal abelian extension of $F$ by $F^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

For every finite separable extension $F / k$, passing to the inverse limit, we get

$$
\Psi_{F}: F^{\times} \longrightarrow \lim _{\rightleftarrows} F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times} \longrightarrow \lim _{\rightleftarrows} \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)
$$

where $L$ runs through all finite Galois (or all finite abelian) extensions of $F$. The homomorphism $\Psi_{F}$ is called the reciprocity map.
(a) $\Psi_{F}$ is injective and continuous, its image is dense in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$.
(b) Compatibility with 0-dimensional class field theory (for finite fields): the restriction of the image of $\Psi_{F}$ on $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ coincides with $\alpha \mapsto \varphi_{F}^{\nu_{F}(\alpha)}$, i.e. the diagram is commutative

(c) Compatibility with ramification theory for abelian extensions for $n \geqslant 0$ :

$$
\Psi_{F}: U_{n, F} \leadsto \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)(n)
$$

Note that there is no analog of this property in class field theory of global fields or higher local fields.
(d) For every finite separable extension $F / k$, if $L$ is a finite separable extension of $F$, and $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\text {sep }} / k\right)$, then the diagrams

are commutative, where $\sigma^{*}(\tau)=\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$, the right vertical homomorphism of the second diagram is the restriction and $\operatorname{Ver}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / L\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)$ is induced by the transfer map Ver: $G^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow H^{\text {ab }}$ for a subgroup $H$ of finite index in a group $G$.
3. Existence Theorem: the correspondence between open subgroups of finite index in $F^{\times}$and the norm subgroups of finite abelian extensions $L / F: N \leftrightarrow N_{L / F} L^{\times}, N=\Psi_{F}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)\right)$, is an order reversing bijection between the lattice of open subgroups of finite index in $F^{\times}$(with respect to the intersection $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ and the product $N_{1} N_{2}$ ) and the lattice of finite abelian extensions of $F$ (with respect to the compositum $L_{1} L_{2}$ and intersection $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ ).
1.2. Neukirch's method in class field theory constructs $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ by using desired properties 1a, 1 b and Proposition (18.5) Ch.2. In other words, one uses desired functoriality with respect to the base change to reduce to the case of finite unramified extensions, in order to get an explicit formula for the map $\Upsilon_{L / F}$. For a finite Galois extension $L / F$ one can try to define $\Upsilon_{L / F}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow$ $F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}$by finding for a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ any $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right)=\varphi_{K}$ as in the proof of Proposition (18.6) Ch.2, and then applying 1b, 1a to deduce that $\Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma)=N_{K / F}\left(\Upsilon_{K L / K}\left(\varphi_{K}\right)\right)=N_{K / F} \pi_{K}$ $\bmod N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. So it is natural to define $\mathrm{r}_{L / F}(\sigma)$ as $N_{K / F} \pi_{K} \bmod N_{L / F} L^{\times}$where $\pi_{K}$ is any prime element of the field $K$ which is the fixed field of any lift $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right)$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{L}=\sigma$ and $\left.\tilde{\sigma}\right|_{F u r}$ is a positive integer power of $\varphi_{K}$. Notice two indeterminacies in relation to the choice of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and the choice of $\pi_{K}$.

In order for everything to work fine, two axioms of class field theory have to be satisfied. Typically, for one dimensional fields they are: for cyclic extensions of prime degree with a generator $\sigma$ the kernel of the norm map $N_{L / F}$ is the image of $1-\sigma$ and the index of the norm group equals the degree of $L / F$.

Neukirch's mechanism derives $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ and its properties in the situations when these two class field theory axioms are satisfied for a specific class of fields and abelian groups associated to
them, such as the multiplicative group of local fields with finite residue field. This mechanism is universal and works for local fields with finite residue field as well as for global fields.

This explicit and clear mechanism to derive main theorems of class field theory from two class field theory axioms is purely group theoretical, while to verify the axioms for a specific class of fields and associated abelian groups one has to use ring structure of the fields. Thus, these class field theory axioms separate group theoretical part of class field theory from its part that uses ring structures. Such separation is important in anabelian geometry, one of generalisations of class field theory. Neukirch was partially motivated by his work in anabelian geometry of one-dimensional fields when proposing his approach to class field theory.

## 2. Neukirch's Abstract Class Field Theory

Let $k$ be a field. We would like to have class field theory for its finite separable extensions. We will use abbreviation LFF for local fields with finite residue field.
2.1. Assume that the absolute Galois group $G_{k}$ of $k$ is sufficiently large, namely that there is a surjective morphism (continuous homomorphism of topological (profinite) groups)

$$
\operatorname{deg}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

Denote its kernel by $G_{\tilde{k}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\text {sep }} / \tilde{k}\right)$. For LFF $\tilde{k}=k^{\mathrm{ur}}$.
For any finite separable extension $F$ of $k$, denote $\tilde{F}=F \tilde{k}$.
Extensions of $F$ in $\tilde{F}$ will be called unramified in this section.
Denote $F_{0}=F \cap \tilde{k}$ and $f_{F}=\left|F_{0}: k\right|$. For LFF $f_{F}$ is the inertia degree of $F / k$.
The morphism deg induces a surjective morphism

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{F}=f_{F}^{-1} \operatorname{deg}: G_{F} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

Then for a finite separable extension $L / F$ the following diagram is commutative


Call any element of $G_{F}$ which is sent by $\operatorname{deg}_{F}$ to $1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ a frobenian of $F$.
The restriction of any frobenian of $F$ on $\tilde{F}$ is called the frobenius of $F$.
For LFF the frobenius of $F$ is the Frobenius automorphisms of $F$.
2.2. Definition. For a finite Galois extension $L / F$ put

$$
\operatorname{Frob}(L / F)=\left\{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / F): \operatorname{deg}_{F}(\tau) \text { is a positive integer }\right\}
$$

Compare the following Proposition with Proposition (18.5) Ch.2.
Proposition. The set $\operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ is closed with respect to multiplication; it is not closed with respect to inversion and $1 \notin \operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$.

The fixed field $K$ of $\tau \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ is a finite extension of $F, \tau=\varphi_{K}, \tilde{K}=\tilde{L}$.
The field $M=K L$ is a finite unramified extension of $K$ and of $L$.
The set $\operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ consists of the frobeniuses $\varphi_{K}$ of finite extensions $K$ of $F$ in $\tilde{L}$.
The map $\operatorname{Frob}(L / F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F),\left.\tau \mapsto \tau\right|_{L}$ is surjective.
Proof. The first assertion is obvious.
Since $F \subset K \subset \tilde{L}$ we deduce that $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{K} \subset \tilde{L}$. The Galois group of $\tilde{L} / K$ is topologically generated by $\tau$ and isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, therefore it does not have nontrivial closed subgroups of finite order. So the group $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / \tilde{K})$ being a subgroup of the finite group $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / \tilde{F})$ is trivial. So $\tilde{L}=\tilde{K}$. Due to the latter, $M / K$ is a subextension of $\tilde{K} / K$ and $M / L$ is a subextension of $\tilde{L} / L$, hence those are unramified extensions.

Put $K^{0}=K \cap \tilde{F}$. This field is the fixed field of $\left.\tau\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}^{n}, n>0$, therefore $\left|K^{0}: F\right|=n$ is finite. We deduce that

$$
\left|K: K^{0}\right|=|\tilde{K}: \tilde{F}|=|\tilde{L}: \tilde{F}|=\left|L: L^{0}\right|
$$

is finite. Thus, $K / F$ is a finite extension.
Now $\left.\varphi_{K}\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}^{\left|K^{0}: F\right|}=\left.\varphi_{F}^{n}\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\left.\tau\right|_{\tilde{F}}$. Therefore, $\tau=\varphi_{K}$.
Denote by $\varphi$ an extension in $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / F)$ of $\varphi_{F}$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, then $\left.\sigma\right|_{L_{0}}$ is equal to $\varphi_{F}^{m}$ for some positive integer $m$. Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / \tilde{F})$ be such that $\left.\rho\right|_{L}$ is $\left.\sigma \varphi^{-m}\right|_{L}$ (it belongs to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{0}\right)$ since $\left.\sigma \varphi^{-m}\right|_{L}$ acts trivially on $\left.L_{0}\right)$. Then for $\tau=\rho \varphi^{m}$ we deduce that $\left.\tau\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}^{m}$ and $\left.\tau\right|_{L}=\sigma$. Then the element $\tau \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ is mapped to $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.

REMARK. If instead of the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-extension $\tilde{k} / k$ one starts with a $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$-extension $\breve{k} / k$ for a prime $l$ and the corresponding surjective homomorphism deg ${ }^{\smile}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{l}$, then the assertions of the Proposition for a finite Galois extension $L / F$ of degree a power of $l$ remain true, with exactly the same proof.
2.3. Assume that there is an abelian (discrete topological) group A endowed with a continuous action by the profinite group $G_{k}$. We will write the operation of $A$ multiplicatively.

For LFF $A=k^{\operatorname{sep} \times}$.
For a closed subgroup $G_{F}$ of $G_{k}$ (i.e. $F / k$ is a separable extension) denote by $A_{F}$ the $G_{F}$-fixed elements of $A$.

For an open subgroup $G_{L}$ of a closed subgroup $G_{F}$ of $G_{k}$ denote by $N_{L / F}: A_{L} \longrightarrow A_{F}$ the product of the action of right representatives of $G_{L}$ in $G_{F}$. It is easy to check that $N_{L / F}$ is a well defined map and is a homomorphism. Moreover, $N_{L / F}=N_{M / F} \circ N_{L / M}$ for a subextension $M / F$ of $L / F, N_{\sigma L / \sigma F} \circ \sigma=\sigma \circ N_{L / F}$ for $\sigma \in G_{k}$.

Assume that there is a homomorphism

$$
v: A_{k} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

whose image is $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and such that the equality $v\left(N_{F / k} A_{F}\right)=f_{F} v\left(A_{k}\right)$ holds for every finite separable extension $F / k$.

Put

$$
v_{F}=f_{F}^{-1} v \circ N_{F / k}: A_{F} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

then $v_{F}\left(A_{F}\right)=v\left(A_{k}\right)$.
For LFF $v_{F}$ is the discrete valuation of $F$.
The definition of $v_{F}$ immediately implies that $f_{L} v_{L}=f_{F} v_{F} \circ N_{L / F}$ and $v_{\sigma F}=v_{F} \circ \sigma$ for $\sigma \in G_{k}$.
DEFINITION. An element $\pi_{F}$ of $A_{F}$ such that $v_{F}\left(\pi_{F}\right)=1$ is called a prime element of $F$.
Define

$$
U_{F}=\left\{u \in A_{F}: v_{F}(u)=0\right\}
$$

So $A_{F}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $U_{F}$ and the subgroup generated by $\pi_{F}$.
We note that if $\sigma F=F$ then $\pi_{F}^{\sigma-1} \in U_{F}$.
2.4. Everywhere below $F$ is a finite separable extension of $k$.

Now we need two unramified axioms for the $G$-module $A$ (unramified axioms of CFT):
A1 ${ }^{\sim}$. For any unramified extension $L / F$ of prime degree

$$
\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}=A_{L}^{\sigma-1}
$$

where $\sigma$ is any generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.
A2 ${ }^{\sim}$. For any unramified extension $L / F$ of prime degree

$$
\left|A_{F}: N_{L / F} A_{L}\right|=|L: F|
$$

Equivalently, $A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L} \cong \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.
Corollary. For any finite unramified extension $L / F$ with a generator $\sigma$ we have

$$
\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}=A_{L}^{\sigma-1}, \quad\left|A_{F}: N_{L / F} A_{L}\right|=|L: F|
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}=U_{L}^{\sigma-1}, \quad N_{L / F} U_{L}=U_{F}
$$

Proof. For any finite unramified extension $L / F, \pi_{F}$ is a prime element of $A_{L}$ and $N_{L / F} \pi_{F}=\pi_{F}^{|L: F|}$. Then $A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}$ is the product of $\mathbb{Z} /|L: F| \mathbb{Z}$ and $U_{F} / N_{L / F} U_{L}$. Since $\pi_{F}$ is a prime element of $A_{L}$, for $\alpha=\pi_{F}^{r} u \in A_{L}$ we have $\alpha^{\sigma-1}=\left(\pi_{F}^{r} u\right)^{\sigma-1}=u^{\sigma-1}, u \in U_{L}$. Thus, the properties in the second displayed formula hold for unramified extensions of prime degree.

We check the assertions by induction on the degree. Let $M / F$ be a subextension of cyclic unramified extension $L / F$ such that $|L: M|$ is a prime number. By the induction hypothesis, $N_{L / M} U_{L}=U_{M}, N_{M / F} U_{M}=U_{F}$, so $N_{L / F} U_{L}=U_{F}$ and then $A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z} /|L: F| \mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha \in$ $\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}$ then by the induction hypothesis $N_{L / M} \alpha=\beta^{\sigma-1}$ for some $\beta \in U_{M}$, so $\beta=N_{L / M} \gamma$ for
some $\gamma \in U_{L}$ and $\alpha \gamma^{1-\sigma} \in \operatorname{ker} N_{L / M}$, hence $\alpha=\gamma^{\sigma-1} \delta^{\sigma^{\prime}-1}$ where $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma^{|M: F|}$. Hence $\alpha \in U_{L}^{\sigma-1}$.

Definition. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension. Define

$$
\widetilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{L / F}: \operatorname{Frob}(L / F) \longrightarrow A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}, \quad \tau \mapsto N_{K / F} \pi_{K} \quad \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L},
$$

where $K$ is the fixed field of $\tau \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / F),\left.\tau\right|_{L}=\sigma$ and $\pi_{K}$ is any prime element of $K$.
Lemma. The map $\tilde{\mathrm{\Upsilon}}_{L / F}$ is well defined. If $\left.\tau\right|_{L}=\mathrm{id}_{L}$ then $\tilde{\mathrm{\Upsilon}}_{L / F}(\tau)=1$.
Proof. Let $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ be prime elements in $K$. Then $\pi_{1}=\pi_{2} \varepsilon$ with a $\varepsilon \in U_{K}$. Let $M$ be the compositum of $K$ and $L$. Since the extension $M / K$ is unramified, by the previous Corollary there is $\eta \in U_{M}$ such that $\varepsilon=N_{M / K} \eta$. Hence

$$
N_{K / F} \pi_{1}=N_{K / F}\left(\pi_{2} \varepsilon\right)=N_{K / F} \pi_{2} \cdot N_{K / F}\left(N_{M / K} \eta\right)=N_{K / F} \pi_{2} \cdot N_{L / F}\left(N_{M / L} \eta\right) .
$$

We obtain that $N_{K / F} \pi_{1} \equiv N_{K / F} \pi_{2} \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}$.
If $\left.\tau\right|_{L}=\mathrm{id}_{L}$ then $L \subset K$ and therefore $N_{K / F} \pi_{K} \in N_{L / F} A_{L}$.
Proposition. The map $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{L / F}$ sends the product of two of its elements to the product of their images.

Proof. Denote by $\psi$ an extension in $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / F)$ of $\varphi_{F}$. Take three elements of $\operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ such that the third is the product of the first two. Let $K_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ be their fixed fields, so these elements are $\varphi_{K_{i}}$ by the previous results. Let $\left.\varphi_{K_{i}}\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}^{m_{i}}$ for positive integer $m_{i}$, then $\tau_{i}=\psi^{m_{i}} \varphi_{K_{i}}^{-1} \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / \tilde{F})$.

Also introduce $K_{4}=\psi^{m_{2}} K_{1}$ then $\left.\varphi_{K_{4}}\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\left.\psi^{m_{2}} \varphi_{K_{1}} \psi^{-m_{2}}\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}^{m_{1}}$. Denote $\tau_{4}=\psi^{m_{1}} \varphi_{K_{4}}^{-1}$. Since $m_{3}=m_{1}+m_{2}$, we have $\tau_{4}=\psi^{m_{3}} \varphi_{K_{1}}^{-1} \psi^{-m_{2}}$ and $\tau_{3}=\tau_{4} \tau_{2}$.

Enlarge $L$ by replacing it with a finite Galois extension of $F$ in $\tilde{L}$ which contains $L$ and all $K_{i}$. Proving the Proposition for this enlarged field implies the Proposition in the general case.

For a finite extension $K$ of $F$ in $\tilde{L}$ such that $K \tilde{F}=\tilde{L}$ let $K^{0}=K \cap \tilde{F},\left|K^{0}: F\right|=m$.


Denote by $N$ the norm map $N_{\tilde{L} / \tilde{F}}$.
Denote $\mathscr{N}_{K}: A_{K} \longrightarrow A_{\tilde{L}}, \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{1+\psi+\cdots+\psi^{m-1}}$.
We have $N_{K / K^{0}}(\alpha)=N(\alpha), N_{K^{0} / F}(\beta)=\beta^{1+\varphi_{F}+\cdots+\varphi_{F}^{m-1}}=\mathscr{N}_{K}(\beta)$, and $N_{K / F}=N_{K^{0} / F} \circ N_{K / K^{0}}=$ $N \circ \mathscr{N}_{K}$.

Let $\pi_{i}$ be a prime element of $K_{i}$, then $\varphi_{K_{i}} \pi_{i}=\pi_{i}$ and

$$
\mathscr{N}_{K_{i}}\left(\pi_{i}\right)^{\psi-1}=\pi_{i}^{\psi^{m_{i}-1}}=\pi_{i}^{\psi^{m_{i}} \varphi_{K_{i}}^{-1}-1}=\pi_{i}^{\pi_{i}-1} .
$$

Now,

$$
N_{K_{3} / F} \pi_{3} N_{K_{2} / F} \pi_{2}^{-1} N_{K_{1} / F} \pi_{1}^{-1}=N_{K_{3} / F} \pi_{3} N_{K_{2} / F} \pi_{2}^{-1} N_{K_{4} / F} \pi_{4}^{-1}=N \rho,
$$

where $\rho=\mathscr{N}_{3}\left(\pi_{3}\right) \mathscr{N}_{2}\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{-1} \mathscr{N}_{4}\left(\pi_{4}\right)^{-1}$. Then we have $v_{L}(\rho)=m_{3}-m_{2}-m_{1}=0$, i.e. $\rho \in U_{L}$. Using the previous paragraph, we deduce $\rho^{\psi-1}=\pi_{3}^{\tau_{3}-1} \pi_{2}^{1-\tau_{2}} \pi_{4}^{1-\tau_{4}}$.

Introduce three elements $\rho_{2}=\pi_{4} \pi_{2}^{-1}, \rho_{3}=\pi_{3} \pi_{4}^{-1}, \rho_{4}=\pi_{4}^{\tau_{2}-1}$ of $U_{L}$. Then

$$
\rho^{\psi-1}=\rho_{2}^{\tau_{2}-1} \rho_{3}^{\tau_{3}-1} \rho_{4}^{\tau_{4}-1} .
$$

To complete the proof of the Proposition we will show that $N \rho \in N_{L / F} A_{L}$. It is convenient to work with yet another field $M$ which is the fixed field of $\varphi_{L}^{n}$ where $n=|L: F|$.


Then $M / L$ is an unramified extension of degree $n$. Hence by the previous Corollary there are units $v, v_{i} \in U_{M}$ such that their images with respect to $N_{M / L}$ are equal to $\rho, \rho_{i}$. Then by the same Corollary

$$
v^{\psi-1}=v_{2}^{\tau_{2}-1} v_{3}^{\tau_{3}-1} v_{4}^{\tau_{4}-1} \xi
$$

where $\xi=\varepsilon^{\varphi_{L}-1}$ for some $\varepsilon \in U_{M}$.
Applying $N$, we obtain

$$
\left(N_{M / M^{0}} v\right)^{\psi-1}=\left(N_{M / M^{0}} V\right)^{\varphi_{F}-1}=\left(N_{M / M^{0}} \varepsilon\right)^{\varphi_{L}-1}=\left(N_{M / M^{0}} \varepsilon\right)^{\varphi_{F}^{r}-1}
$$

where $r=\left|L^{0}: F\right|$.
Since $\varphi_{F}^{r}-1=\left(\varphi_{F}-1\right) \mathscr{N}_{L}$ on $M^{0}$, we obtain $\left(N_{M / M^{0}} V\right)^{\varphi_{F}-1}=\left(\mathscr{N}_{L} N \varepsilon\right)^{\varphi_{F}-1}$ and therefore $N v=\kappa \cdot c N_{L} N \varepsilon$ with some $\kappa \in A_{F}$.

Applying $N_{M / L}$ and using $N_{M / L} \kappa=N_{L / F} \kappa$, we conclude $N \rho=N_{M / L} N v=N_{L / F}(\kappa) N_{M / F}(\varepsilon) \in$ $N_{L / F} A_{L}$.

Corollary. For a finite Galois extension $L / F$ the map $\tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{L / F}$ induces a well defined homomorphism

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}
$$

In particular, $N_{L / F} A_{L}=N_{E / F} A_{E}$ where $E / F$ is the maximal abelian subextension of $L / F$.
Proof. Let two frobeniuses $\varphi_{K_{1}}, \varphi_{K_{2}}$ have the same restriction on $L$. If their $\operatorname{deg}_{F}$ are the same then their restriction on $\tilde{F}$ are also the same, so they are equal. If $\operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{K_{1}}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{K_{2}}\right)$ is positive then $\varphi_{K_{1}} \varphi_{K_{2}}^{-1}$ is a frobenius whose restriction on $L$ is the identity automorphism, with fixed field $K_{3}$. For prime elements $\pi_{i}$ of $K_{i}$ by the previous Proposition we obtain $N_{K_{1} / F} \pi_{1} \equiv N_{K_{2} / F} \pi_{2} N_{K_{3} / F} \pi_{3} \equiv$ $N_{K_{2} / F} \pi_{2} \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}$ since $K_{3} \supset L$.

Since $\left|A_{F}: N_{L / F} A_{L}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\text {ab }}\right|=|\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)|=\left|A_{F}: N_{E / F} A_{E}\right|$, we deduce $N_{L / F} A_{L}=$ $N_{E / F} A_{E}$.

We will denote $\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}$ the induced map from the maximal abelian quotient $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\text {ab }}$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.

REmARK. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension such that $L \cap \tilde{F}=F$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / \tilde{F})$, denote by the same notation its restriction to $L$. Let $\varphi=\varphi_{L}$. Then $\Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma) \equiv N_{K / F} \pi_{K} \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}$ where $\pi_{K}$ is a prime element of the fixed field $K$ of $\varphi_{K}=\sigma \varphi, K \cap \tilde{F}=F$. Let $M$ be a finite Galois extension of $L$ inside $\tilde{L}$ and containing $K$. Then for a prime element $\pi_{L}$ of $L$ there is $\varepsilon \in U_{M}$ such that $\pi_{K}=\pi_{L} \varepsilon$. Hence $\varepsilon^{1-\varphi}=\varepsilon^{1-\sigma \varphi} \varepsilon^{\sigma \phi-\varphi}=\pi_{L}^{\sigma \varphi-1}\left(\varepsilon^{\varphi}\right)^{\sigma-1}=\left(\pi_{L} \varepsilon^{\varphi}\right)^{\sigma-1}$, so for the prime element $\pi_{M}=\pi_{L} \varepsilon^{\varphi}$ we have

$$
\varepsilon^{1-\varphi}=\pi_{M}^{\sigma-1}, \quad \Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma) \equiv N_{M / M \cap \tilde{F}} \varepsilon \quad \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}
$$

The equation $\varepsilon^{1-\varphi}=\pi_{M}^{\sigma-1}$ in the very special case of cyclotomic extensions of local fields with finite residue field plays the key role in the theory of $\phi-\gamma$ modules, but, as we see, its role is much more significant in abstract class field theory, and hence, in particular, in local class field theory and in global class field theory. This equation also plays the key role in non-commutative class field theory of arithmetically profinite extensions of local fields with finite residue field, see Remark 6 in (3.6).
2.5. Now we deduce some of the properties $1(\mathrm{a}), 1(\mathrm{~b}), 1(\mathrm{c})$ of $(1.1)$, and more.

Lemma. Let $L / F$ be a finite unramified extension of prime degree. Then

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}\left(\left.\varphi_{F}\right|_{L}\right) \equiv \pi_{F} \quad \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}
$$

where $\pi_{F}$ is any prime element of $F$, and $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ is an isomorphism of cyclic groups of order $|L: F|$. Proof. The fixed field of $\varphi_{F} \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ is $F$.

Proposition. If $M / F, E / L, F / k, L / k$ are finite separable extensions, and $L / F$ and $E / M$ are finite Galois extensions, then the diagram

is commutative, where the left vertical map is the restriction of Galois automorphisms and the right vertical map is induced by the norm map $N_{M / F}$.

Proof. For a $\tau \in \operatorname{Frob}(E / M)$ its restriction on $\tilde{L}$ is $\sigma \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$, $\operatorname{since}^{\operatorname{deg}}{ }_{F}(\sigma)=\operatorname{deg}_{M}(\tau) f_{M} f_{F}^{-1}$ is a positive natural number. The intersection of the fixed field $K$ of $\tau$ with $\tilde{L}$ is the fixed field $R$ of $\sigma$ and for a prime element $\pi_{K}$ of $K$ its norm $N_{K / R} \pi_{K}$ is a prime element of $R$. It remains to use $N_{M / F} \circ N_{K / M}=N_{R / F} \circ N_{K / R}$.

Corollary. Let $M / F$ be a Galois subextension in a finite Galois extension $L / F$. Then the diagram of maps

is commutative. Here the central homomorphism of the lower exact sequence is induced by the identity map of $A_{F}$.

Proof. An easy consequence of the preceding Proposition.

Proposition. If $M / k$ is a finite separable extension and $L / M$ is a finite Galois extension, and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\mathrm{sep}} / k\right)$, then the diagram

is commutative, where $\sigma^{*}(\tau)=\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$.
Proof. Let $\tau^{\prime} \in G_{k}$ be an extension of $\tau \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / M)$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{\sigma M}\left(\left.\sigma \tau^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}\right|_{\sigma \tilde{M}}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{M} \tau$ is a positive integer. If $K$ is the fixed field of $\tau^{\prime}$ with a prime element $\pi$ then $\sigma K$ is the fixed field of $\left.\sigma \tau^{\prime} \sigma^{-1}\right|_{\sigma \tilde{L}}$ with a prime element $\sigma \pi$.
2.6. Another functorial property involves the transfer map from group theory. Recall the notion of transfer (Verlagerung). Let $G$ be a group and let $G^{\prime}$ be its commutator subgroup (derived group). Denote the quotient group $G / G^{\prime}$ by $G^{\text {ab }}$; it is abelian. Let $H$ be a subgroup of finite index in $G$. Let

$$
G=\cup_{i} H \rho_{i}, \quad \rho_{i} \in G, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant|G: H|
$$

be the decomposition of $G$ into the disjoint union of sets $H \rho_{i}$.
Define the transfer

$$
\text { Ver }: G^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{ab}}, \quad \sigma \bmod G^{\prime} \mapsto \prod_{i} \rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \bmod H^{\prime}
$$

where $\sigma(i)$ is determined by the condition $\rho_{i} \sigma \in H \rho_{\sigma(i)}$. So $\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(|G: H|)$ is a permutation of $1, \ldots,|G: H|$.

We shall verify that Ver is well defined. Let $\rho_{i}^{\prime}=\kappa_{i} \rho_{i}$ with $\kappa_{i} \in H$. Then

$$
\prod \rho_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime-1}=\prod \kappa_{i}\left(\rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1}\right) \kappa_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \equiv \prod \rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \cdot \prod \kappa_{i} \cdot \prod \kappa_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \bmod H^{\prime}
$$

because $H / H^{\prime}$ is abelian. Hence

$$
\prod \rho_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime-1} \equiv \prod \rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \quad \bmod H^{\prime}
$$

Now we shall verify that Ver is a homomorphism. Let $\sigma, \tau \in G$; then

$$
\rho_{i} \sigma \tau \rho_{\sigma \tau(i)}^{-1} \equiv \rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \rho_{\sigma(i)} \tau \rho_{\sigma \tau(i)}^{-1} \bmod H^{\prime}
$$

and, as $\rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \in H, \rho_{i} \sigma \tau \rho_{\sigma \tau(i)}^{-1} \in H$, we get $\rho_{\sigma(i)} \tau \rho_{\sigma \tau(i)}^{-1} \in H$, i.e., $\sigma \tau(i)=\tau(\sigma(i))$. Hence

$$
\prod \rho_{i} \sigma \tau \rho_{\sigma \tau(i)}^{-1} \equiv \prod \rho_{i} \sigma \rho_{\sigma(i)}^{-1} \cdot \prod \rho_{i} \tau \rho_{\tau(i)}^{-1} \quad \bmod H^{\prime} .
$$

If $G$ is abelian then $\operatorname{Ver}(\sigma)=\sigma^{|G: H|}$.

We need another description of Ver. Let $\sigma$ be an element of $G$. For an element $\tau_{1} \in G$ let $g_{1}=g\left(\sigma, \tau_{1}\right)$ be the maximal integer such that all the sets $H \tau_{1} \sigma, H \tau_{1} \sigma^{2}, \ldots, H \tau_{1} \sigma^{g_{1}}$ are distinct. Then, take an element $\tau_{2} \in G$ such that all $H \tau_{2} \sigma, H \tau_{1} \sigma, \ldots, H \tau_{1} \sigma^{g_{1}}$ are distinct and find $g_{2}=$ $g\left(\sigma, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ such that all the sets

$$
H \tau_{2} \sigma, \ldots, H \tau_{2} \sigma^{g_{2}}, H \tau_{1} \sigma, \ldots, H \tau_{1} \sigma^{g_{1}}
$$

are distinct. Repeating this construction, we finally obtain that $G$ is the disjoint union of the sets $H \tau_{n} \sigma^{m_{n}}$, where $1 \leqslant n \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant m_{n} \leqslant g_{n}=g\left(\sigma, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$. The number $g_{i}$ can also be determined as the minimal positive integer, for which the element

$$
\sigma\left[\tau_{i}\right]=\tau_{i} \sigma^{g_{i}} \tau_{i}^{-1}
$$

belongs to $H$. The definition of Ver shows that in this case

$$
\operatorname{Ver}\left(\sigma \bmod G^{\prime}\right) \equiv \prod_{n} \sigma\left[\tau_{n}\right] \quad \bmod H^{\prime}
$$

Since the image of $\Upsilon L / F$ is in the abelian group, it defines a homomorphism

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}
$$

Proposition. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension and let $M / F$ be a subextension in $L / F$. Then the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Y}_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}} A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L} \\
\downarrow \text { Ver } & \\
\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Y}_{L / M}^{\mathrm{ab}}} A_{M} / N_{L / M} A_{L}
\end{array}
$$

is commutative; here the right vertical homomorphism is induced by the embedding $F \hookrightarrow M$.
Proof. Denote $\tilde{G}=\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / F), \tilde{H}=\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / M)$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, and let $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / F)$ be its extension. Let $\tilde{G}$ be the disjoint union of $\tilde{H} \tilde{\tau}_{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{m_{n}}$ for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant m_{n} \leqslant g_{n}$, as above. Let $G=$ $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ and $H=\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)$; then $G$ is the disjoint union of $H \tau_{n} \sigma^{m_{n}}$ for $\tau_{n}=\left.\tilde{\tau}_{n}\right|_{L} \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. This means that

$$
\operatorname{Ver}\left(\sigma \bmod G^{\prime}\right) \equiv \prod_{n} \sigma\left[\tau_{n}\right] \quad \bmod H^{\prime}
$$

Let $S$ be the subgroup in $\tilde{G}$ generated topologically by $\tilde{\sigma}$ and

$$
\tilde{H}_{n}=\tilde{H} \cap \tilde{\tau}_{n} S \tilde{\tau}_{n}^{-1}
$$

Then $\tilde{H}_{n}$ is a subgroup in $\tilde{H}$, which coincides with the subgroup in $\tilde{H}$ topologically generated by $\tilde{\sigma}\left[\tilde{\tau}_{n}\right]$. Note that $\tilde{\tau}_{n} S$ is the disjoint union of $\tilde{H}_{n} \tilde{\tau}_{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{m_{n}}$ for $1 \leqslant m_{n} \leqslant g_{n}$.

Let $\tilde{H}$ be the disjoint union of $\tilde{v}_{n, l} \tilde{H}_{n}$ for $\tilde{v}_{n, l} \in \tilde{H}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant\left|\tilde{H}: \tilde{H}_{n}\right|$. Then

$$
\tilde{G}=\cup \cup \tilde{v}_{n, l} \tilde{H}_{n} \tilde{\tau}_{n} \sigma^{m_{n}}=\cup \tilde{v}_{n, l} \tilde{\tau}_{n} S
$$

If $K$ is the fixed field of $\tilde{\sigma}$, then it is the fixed field of $S$, and we obtain that

$$
N_{K / F}(\alpha)=\prod_{n, l} \tilde{v}_{n, l} \tilde{\tau}_{n}(\alpha) \quad \text { for } \alpha \in K
$$

Let $K_{n}$ be the fixed field of $\tilde{\sigma}\left[\tilde{\tau}_{n}\right]=\tilde{\tau}_{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{g_{n}} \tilde{\tau}_{n}^{-1}$. Then $\left(\tilde{\tau}_{n} K\right) \tilde{F}=\tilde{\tau}_{n} \tilde{K}=\tilde{\tau}_{n} \tilde{L}=\tilde{L}, \tilde{\tau}_{n} K \subset K_{n}$, and $K_{n} / \tilde{\tau}_{n} K$ is the unramified extension of degree $g_{n}$. Hence, for a prime element $\pi$ in $K$, the element $\tilde{\tau}_{n}(\pi)$ is prime in $K_{n}$. Moreover, one can show as before that

$$
N_{K_{n} / M}(\alpha)=\prod_{l} \tilde{v}_{n, l}(\alpha) \quad \text { for } \alpha \in K_{n}
$$

We deduce that

$$
N_{K / F}(\pi)=\prod_{n, l} \tilde{v}_{n, l} \tilde{\tau}_{n}(\pi)=\prod_{n} N_{K_{n} / M}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{n}(\pi)\right) .
$$

Since $\tilde{\sigma}\left[\tilde{\tau}_{n}\right] \in \operatorname{Frob}(L / M)$ extends the element $\sigma\left[\tau_{n}\right] \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / M)$, we conclude that

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\sigma)=\prod_{n} \Upsilon_{L / M}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\sigma\left[\tau_{n}\right]\right)=\Upsilon_{L / M}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\prod_{n} \sigma\left[\tau_{n}\right]\right)
$$

and $\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\sigma)=\Upsilon_{L / M}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\operatorname{Ver}\left(\sigma \bmod \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\prime}\right)\right.$.
2.7. In order to prove that $\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is an isomorphism, we need two full axioms for the $G_{k^{-}}$ module $A$ (axioms of CFT), not just for unramified extensions:

A1. For any cyclic extension $L / F$ of prime degree

$$
\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}=A_{L}^{\sigma-1}
$$

where $\sigma$ is any generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.
A2. For any cyclic extension $L / F$ of prime degree

$$
\left|A_{F}: N_{L / F} A_{L}\right|=|L: F|
$$

Equivalently, $A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L} \cong \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.
ThEOREM. For a finite Galois extension $L / F$

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. First, let $L / F$ be a cyclic extension of prime degree $n$. If $L / F$ is unramified then $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ is an isomorphism by Lemma (2.5).

If $L \cap \tilde{F}=F$ then, in the notation of Remark (2.4) let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{L} / \tilde{F})$ and use the same notation for its restriction on $L$. Let $\varphi=\varphi_{L}$. Let $K$ be the fixed field of $\sigma \varphi$ with a prime element $\pi_{K}$. Then $K \cap \tilde{F}=F$. Assume that $\Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma) \equiv N_{K / F} \pi_{K} \equiv 1 \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}$ and get a contradiction. Let $M$ be the composite of $L$ and $K$, it is a subfield of $\tilde{L}$. For a prime element $\pi_{L}$ of $L$ there is a unit $\varepsilon \in U_{M}$ such that $\pi_{K}=\pi_{L} \varepsilon$. Using the notation in the proof of Proposition (2.4),

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma) \equiv N_{K / F} \pi_{K} \equiv N_{M / M^{0}} \varepsilon \quad \bmod N_{L / F} A_{L}
$$

If $N_{M / M^{0}} \varepsilon \in N_{L / F} A_{L}$, then since $L \cap \tilde{F}=F, N_{M / M^{0}} \varepsilon=N_{M / M^{0}} \rho$ for a unit $\rho \in U_{L}$, and axiom A1 implies $\rho=\varepsilon v^{\sigma-1}$ for some $v \in A_{M}$. Then

$$
\left(\pi_{L} \rho\right)^{\sigma-1}=\left(\pi_{L} \rho\right)^{\sigma \varphi-1}=\left(\pi_{K} v^{\sigma-1}\right)^{\sigma \varphi-1}=\left(v^{\sigma \varphi-1}\right)^{\sigma-1}
$$

so $\xi=\pi_{L} \rho v^{1-\sigma \varphi} \in M^{0}$. Since $v_{M}\left(v^{\sigma \varphi-1}\right)=0$, we obtain $1=v_{M}(\xi)=n v_{M^{0}}(\xi)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ is injective and then by A 2 it is also surjective.

Now, for a finite cyclic extension $L / F$ of non-prime degree let $M / F$ be a proper nontrivial subextension of prime degree. By Remark (2.7) $A_{M}^{\sigma-1} \subset N_{L / M} A_{L}$ and therefore $N_{M / F}^{*}$ in injective in the diagram of Corollary of (2.5). Therefore $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ is injective by induction on the degree. By induction on the degree, A2 and Corollary (2.5), $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ is surjective.

Next, consider the case of a finite abelian extension $L / F$. Using the commutative diagram in Corollary (2.5), the surjectivity of $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ follows by the induction on the degree, and if $\Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma)=1$ then the restriction of $\sigma$ on every cyclic quotient $M / F$ is trivial, hence $\sigma=1$.

For a finite Galois extension $L / F$ the same diagram now implies that the kernel of $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ is the commutator subgroup of $G$. For solvable extensions the surjectivity of $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ follows by induction on the degree. In the general case, the surjectivity follows if the image of $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ includes the $p$ Sylow subgroup of $A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}$ for every prime $p$. Let $M$ be the fixed field of a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Then by induction on the degree, $\Upsilon_{L / M}$ is surjective, so the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $A_{M} / N_{L / M} A_{L}$ is in its image. It remains to notice that $N_{M / F}^{*}$ maps this subgroup isomorphically onto the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L}$, since $|M: F|$ is prime to $p$ and the inverse map is induced by the inclusion $A_{F} \hookrightarrow A_{M}$.

REMARK. In the context of the last Proposition of (2.5) when $\sigma L=L, \sigma M=M$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(L / M)$ is abelian, then $\sigma^{*} \tau=\tau$ and hence by Proposition (2.5) and the preceding Theorem the map $\sigma: A_{M} / N_{L / M} A_{L} \longrightarrow A_{M} / N_{L / M} A_{L}$ is the identity map, i.e. $A_{M}^{\sigma-1} \subset N_{L / M} A_{L}$.
2.8. The inverse of $\Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ provides the norm residue homomorphism

$$
\Psi_{L / F}: A_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

its kernel is $N_{L / F} A_{L}$.
Proposition. Let $H$ be a subgroup in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$, and let $M$ be the fixed field of $H$ in $L \cap F^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Then $\Psi_{L / F}^{-1}(H)=N_{M / F} A_{M}$.

Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be abelian extensions of finite degree over $F$, and let $L_{3}=L_{1} L_{2}, L_{4}=L_{1} \cap L_{2}$. Then

$$
N_{L_{3} / F} A_{L_{3}}=N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}} \cap N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}}, \quad N_{L_{4} / F} A_{L_{4}}=N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}} N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}}
$$

For finite abelian extensions, the field $L_{1}$ is a subfield of the field $L_{2}$ if and only if $N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}} \subset$ $N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}}$; in particular, $L_{1}=L_{2}$ if and only if $N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}}=N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}}$.

If a subgroup $N$ in $A_{F}$ contains the norm subgroup $N_{L / F} A_{L}$ for some finite Galois extension $L / F$, then $N$ itself is a norm subgroup.

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from (2.5), (2.7). Put $H_{i}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{i}\right), i=1,2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{L_{3} / F} A_{L_{3}} & =\Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}(1)=\Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right) \\
& =\Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}\left(H_{1}\right) \cap \Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}\left(H_{2}\right)=N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}} \cap N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}} \\
N_{L_{4} / F} A_{L_{4}} & =\Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}\left(H_{1} H_{2}\right)=\Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}\left(H_{1}\right) \Psi_{L_{3} / F}^{-1}\left(H_{2}\right) \\
& =N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}} N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $L_{1} \subset L_{2}$, then $N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}} \subset N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}}$. Conversely, if $N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}} \subset N_{L_{1} / F} A_{L_{1}}$, then $N_{L_{1} L_{2} / F} A_{L_{1} L_{2}}$ coincides with $N_{L_{2} / F} A_{L_{2}}$, and Theorem (2.7) shows that the extension $L_{1} L_{2} / F$ is of the same degree as $L_{2} / F$, hence $L_{1} \subset L_{2}$.

Finally, if $N \supset N_{L / F} A_{L}$, then $N=N_{M / F} A_{M}$, where $M$ is the fixed field of $\Psi_{L / F}(N)$.

Remark. The question is how for a specific field $k$, when the axioms A1 and A2 hold, to characterise norm subgroups $N_{L / F} A_{L}$ of finite Galois extensions $L / F$ in terms of $A_{F}$, e.g. as open subgroup of a certain intrinsic topology of $A_{F}$.
2.9. Similarly to 2 of (1.1), passing to the inverse limit for $\Psi_{L / F}$, using (2.5), one gets the reciprocity map

$$
\Psi_{F}: A_{F} \longrightarrow \underset{\leftrightarrows}{\lim } A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L} \longrightarrow \underset{\leftrightarrows}{\lim } \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)
$$

where $L$ runs through all finite Galois (or all finite abelian) extensions of $F$.
Theorem. The reciprocity map is well defined.
Its image is dense in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$, and its kernel coincides with the intersection of all norm subgroups $N_{L / F} A_{L}$ in $A_{F}$ for all finite Galois (equivalently, all finite abelian) extensions $L / F$.

If $L / F$ is a finite Galois extension and $\alpha \in A_{F}$, then the automorphism $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts trivially on $L \cap F^{\mathrm{ab}}$ if and only if $\alpha \in N_{L / F} A_{L}$.

The restriction of $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ on $\tilde{F}$ coincides with $\varphi_{F}^{\nu_{F}(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in A_{F}$.
Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $F$, and let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F\right)$. Then the diagrams

$A_{L} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{L}} \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)$
are commutative, where $\sigma^{*}(\tau)=\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$, the right vertical homomorphism of the second diagram is the restriction and

$$
\text { Ver: } \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / L\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $L_{1} / F, L_{2} / F$ be finite Galois extensions and $L_{1} \subset L_{2}$. Then the first Proposition of (2.5) shows that the restriction of the automorphism

$$
\Psi_{L_{2} / F}(\alpha) \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{2} / F\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

on the field $L_{1} \cap F^{\mathrm{ab}}$ coincides with $\Psi_{L_{1} / F}(\alpha)$ for an element $\alpha \in A_{F}$. This means that $\Psi_{F}$ is well defined.

The condition $\alpha \in N_{L / F} A_{L}$ is equivalent $\Psi_{L / F}(\alpha)=1$, i.e. $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts trivially on $L \cap F^{\mathrm{ab}}$.
Hence, the kernel of $\Psi_{F}$ is equal to $\bigcap N_{L / F} A_{L}$, where $L$ runs through all finite Galois extensions of $F$. Since $\left.\Psi_{F}\left(A_{F}\right)\right|_{L}=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ for a finite abelian extension $L / F$, we deduce that $\Psi_{F}\left(A_{F}\right)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$.

Similarly to the proof of Lemma (2.5) we obtain $\left.\Psi_{F}\left(\pi_{F}\right)\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}$ for a prime element $\pi_{F}$ in $F$. Hence, $\left.\Psi_{F}(\alpha)\right|_{\tilde{F}}=\varphi_{F}^{\nu_{F}(\alpha)}$ and $\left.\Psi_{F}\left(U_{F}\right)\right|_{\tilde{F}}=1$.

The commutativity of the diagrams follow from (2.5), (2.6), (2.7).

## 3. Local Class Field Theory and Generalisations

In this section $k, F, L$ are a local fields with finite residue field.
Using section 2 and results about local fields in Ch. 2 we will now derive all main results of local class field theory.

Put $A=k^{\text {sep } \times}$, so $A_{F}=F^{\times}$.
3.1. The map $\operatorname{deg}_{k}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the surjective homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{k}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{\mathrm{ur}} / k\right) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \tilde{k}=k^{\mathrm{ur}}
$$

The map $v: A_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the discrete surjective valuation $v_{k}$ of $k$. The required compatibility with the norm map for finite separable extensions and their inertia degree follows from Theorem (9.5) Ch.2.

A1 of (2.7), i.e. Hilbert Theorem 90, holds by (16.1) Ch.2.
A2 of (2.7), the index of the norm group for cyclic extensions of prime degree, holds by (18.5) Ch.2.

Thus, for a finite Galois extension $L / F$ we have the homomorphism

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}
$$

its kernel is $[\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)]$ and it is surjective, and all the properties proved in section 2 hold.

We also have the local reciprocity map

$$
\Psi_{F}: F^{\times} \longrightarrow G_{F}^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

with the properties in (2.8) and (2.9) satisfied.
Its compatibility with 0-dimensional class field theory for finite fields follows from Theorem (2.9).

To check all the properties stated in (1.1), it remains to check that $\Psi_{F}$ is continuous and injective, its compatibility with ramification theory and the existence theorem.
3.2. EXISTENCE ThEOREM. The norm groups $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$of finite Galois extensions are open of finite index in $F^{\times}$.

The reciprocity map $\Psi_{F}$ is continuous and injective. Its image is dense in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$ and the cokernel is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} / \mathbb{Z}$.

The correspondence between open subgroups of finite index in $F^{\times}$and the norm subgroups of finite abelian extensions $L / F: N \leftrightarrow N_{L / F} L^{\times}, N=\Psi_{F}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)\right)$, is an order reversing bijection between the lattice of open subgroups of finite index in $F^{\times}$(with respect to the intersection $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ and the product $N_{1} N_{2}$ ) and the lattice of finite abelian extensions of $F$ (with respect to the compositum $L_{1} L_{2}$ and intersection $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ ).

Proof. To show that the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$is an open subgroup of $F^{\times}$, note that the norm map for cyclic extensions of prime degree maps open subgroups of the group of units to open subgroups, this follows from the explicit description of the norm map in section 13. Hence by induction on the degree we deduce that the norm map $N_{L / F}$ is open. In particular, $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$is open. By Theorem (2.7) it is of finite index.

The preimage $\Psi_{F}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)\right)$ of an open subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$ is the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$by Theorem (2.9), hence $\Psi_{F}$ is continuous.

Since $U_{F}$ is compact, its image with respect to $\Psi_{F}$ is closed, hence equals $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$, so the cokernel of $\Psi_{F}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right) / \varphi_{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} / \mathbb{Z}$.

We will verify that an open subgroup $N$ of finite index in $F^{\times}$coincides with the norm subgroup $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$of some finite abelian extension $L / F$. It suffices to verify that $N$ contains the norm subgroup $N_{M / F} M^{\times}$of some finite separable extension $M / F$. Indeed, in this case $N$ contains $N_{E / F} E^{\times}$, where $E / F$ is a finite Galois extension, $E \supset M$. Then by Proposition (2.8) we deduce that $N=N_{M / F} M^{\times}$, where $M$ is the fixed field of $\Psi_{E / F}(N)$ and $M / F$ is abelian.

Denote by $n$ the index of $N$ in $F^{\times}$. First, assume that $n$ is not divisible by characteristic of $F$. If roots $\mu_{n}$ of order dividing $n$ are in $F$, then consider the Kummer extension $L=F\left(\sqrt[n]{F^{\times}}\right)$. By Kummer theory $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), \mu_{n}\right) \cong F^{\times} / F^{\times n}$. Since the latter is finite by Proposition (4.9) Ch.2, $L / F$ is an abelian extension of exponent $n$. The index of its norm group in $F^{\times}$is the order of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ equal to the index of $F^{\times n}$, and the latter is included in the former, hence they are equal. Thus, in this case $N$ contains the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. If $\mu_{n}$ is not in $F^{\times}$, then put $F_{1}=F\left(\mu_{n}\right)$. By the same arguments, $F_{1}^{\times n}=N_{L_{1} / F_{1}} L^{\times}$for the finite abelian extension $L_{1} / F_{1}$. Then $N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times} \subset F^{\times n} \subset N$.

Assume now that $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$. We will show by induction on $m \geqslant 1$ that any open subgroup $N$ of index $p^{m}$ in $F^{\times}$contains a norm group. Let $m=1$. If $N \supset U_{F}$, then $N$ is the norm group of the unramified extension of degree $p$. If $N \not \supset U_{F}$, then it is the norm group by (18.5) Ch.2. Let $m>1$, and let $N_{1}$ be an open subgroup of index $p^{m-1}$ in $F^{\times}$such that $N \subset N_{1}$. By the induction assumption, $N_{1} \supset N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times}$. The subgroup $N \cap N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times}$is of index 1 or $p$ in $N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times}$. In the first case $N \supset N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times}$, and in the second case let $L / L_{1}$ be a finite separable extension with $N_{L_{1} / F}^{-1}\left(N \cap N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times}\right) \supset N_{L / L_{1}} L^{\times}$, then $N \supset N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. For an open subgroup $N$ of index $n p^{m}$ in $F^{\times}$
with $p \nmid n$ we now take open subgroups $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ of indices $n$ and $p^{m}$, respectively, in $F^{\times}$such that $N \subset N_{i}$. Then $N=N_{1} \cap N_{2} \supset N_{L_{1} / F} L_{1}^{\times} \cap N_{L_{2} / F} L_{2}^{\times} \supset N_{L_{1} L_{2} / F}\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right)^{\times}$and we have proved the desired assertion for $N$.

The kernel of $\Psi_{F}$ is the intersection of all norm groups $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$equal to the intersection of all open subgroups of $F^{\times}$, hence $\Psi_{F}$ is injective.

Everything else follows from Proposition (2.8).

Proposition. Every finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is contained in an appropriate finite cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{(n)}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ where $\zeta_{n}$ is a primitive nth root of unity. Hence

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{cycl}}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{(n)}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)=\lim _{\rightleftarrows}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{(n)} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} . . . .}
$$

Proof. Let's look at the extension $M=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\left(p^{m}\right)}, p^{m}>2$. We have $v_{M}\left(\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)=0$, so $\zeta_{p^{m}} \in \mathscr{O}_{M}$. Let

$$
f_{m}(X)=\frac{X^{p^{m}}-1}{X^{p^{m-1}}-1}=X^{(p-1) p^{m-1}}+X^{(p-2) p^{m-1}}+\cdots+1
$$

Then $\zeta_{p^{m}}$ is a root of $f_{m}(X)$, and hence $\left|M: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right| \leqslant(p-1) p^{m-1}$. The elements $\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i}, 0<i<p^{m}, p \nmid i$, are roots of $f_{m}(X)$. Hence

$$
f_{m}(X)=\prod_{\substack{p \nmid i \\ 0<i<p^{m}}}\left(X-\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p=f_{m}(1)=\prod_{\substack{p \nmid i \\ 0<i<p^{m}}}\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i}\right)
$$

Also,

$$
\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{-1}=1+\zeta_{p^{m}}+\cdots+\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i-1}
$$

belongs to the ring of integers of $M$. For the same reason, $\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i}\right)^{-1}$ belongs to the ring of integers of $M$. Thus, $\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}^{i}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{-1}$ is a unit and $p=\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)^{p^{m-1}(p-1)} \varepsilon$ for some unit $\varepsilon$. Therefore, $e\left(M \mid \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \geqslant(p-1) p^{m-1}$, and $M$ is a cyclic totally ramified extension with the prime element $1-\zeta_{p^{m}}$, and of degree $(p-1) p^{m-1}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. The polynomial $f_{m}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of $\zeta_{p^{m}}$ and $p=N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(1-\zeta_{p^{m}}\right)$. If $p$ is odd then $U_{m, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}=U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{(p-1) p^{m-1}}$ so it is $\subset N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} U_{M}$. If $p=2, m>1$ then $U_{m, \mathbb{Q}_{2}}=U_{2, \mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{2 m-2}=U_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{2 \cdot 2^{m-2}} \cup 5^{2^{m-2}} U_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{2 \cdot 2^{m-2}} \subset N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{2}} U_{M}$, as $5=N_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}^{(4)} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}}\left(2+\zeta_{4}\right)$. Since the index of the norm group equals to the index of $U_{m, \mathbb{Q}_{2}}$, they are equal. Thus, $N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} M^{\times}=$ $\langle p\rangle \times U_{m, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$.

Let $L / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be a finite abelian extension and $N$ its norm group. Then $\left\langle p^{r}\right\rangle \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \cap\langle p\rangle \times U_{m, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is in $N$ for some $r$ and $m$. The first group on the left is the norm group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{r}-1}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, the second group is the norm group of the extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{m}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Hence $L \subset \mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{\left(p^{r}-1\right) p^{m}}\right)$.

We also obtain $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\left(p^{m}\right)} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{m} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{\times}$and hence the Galois group of the extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ generated by all roots of order a power of $p$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Of course, the extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ generated by all roots of order prime to $p$ is $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\text {ur }}$. Hence $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\text {ab }} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$.

Corollary. Let $M=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\left(p^{m}\right)}, p^{m}>2$. Let $\alpha=u p^{v_{p}(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Then $\zeta_{p^{m}}^{\Psi_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\alpha)}=\zeta_{p^{m}}^{u^{-1}}$.

Proof. Denote by $Q$ the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and let $\phi$ be the continuous extension of $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ on $Q$, it will acts on power series in $\mathscr{O}_{Q}[[X]]$ by acting on their coefficients. Denote the set of multiplicative representatives in $Q$ by $R$. Note that the equation $a^{\phi-1}=b$ with $b \in \mathscr{O}_{Q}$ has a solution $a \in \mathscr{O}_{Q}$. Indeed, find coefficients of $a=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} a_{i} p^{i}, a_{i} \in R$, inductively for $b=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} b_{i} p^{i}$. The equation $a_{0}^{p-1}=a_{0}^{\phi-1} \equiv b_{0} \bmod p$ has a solution in $R$. If $\left(\sum_{i \geqslant 0}^{n} a_{i} p^{i}\right)^{\phi} \equiv\left(\sum_{i \geqslant 0}^{n} a_{i} p^{i}\right) b \bmod p^{n+1}$ then $a_{n+1}$ is a solution in $R$ of $a_{n+1}^{p}-a_{n+1} b_{0} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} b_{n+1-i}$ $\bmod p$.

Define $g_{u}(X)=u p X+X^{p}, f_{n}(X)=(1+X)^{n}-1$ for a positive integer $n$. Since only $u \bmod p^{m}$ matters, we can assume that $u$ is a positive integer. We claim that there is a power series $\theta(X) \in$ $X \mathscr{O}_{Q}[[X]]$ such that

$$
g_{u} \circ \theta=\theta^{\phi} \circ f_{p}
$$

and $\theta(X)$ is uniquely determined by its first coefficient. We find coefficients of $\theta(X)=\sum_{i \geqslant 1} t_{i} X^{i}$ inductively. The first coefficient is a solution of $t_{1}^{\phi-1}=u$. If $g_{u} \circ \theta_{n} \equiv \theta_{n}^{\phi} \circ f_{p} \bmod \operatorname{deg} n+1$ with $\theta_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} X^{i}$ then $\theta_{n+1}=\theta_{n}+t_{n+1} X^{n+1}$ where $p^{n+1} t_{n+1}^{\phi}-u p t_{n+1}=b$ where $b$ is the coefficient of $X^{n+1}$ of $g_{u} \circ \theta_{n}-\theta_{n}^{\phi} \circ f_{p}$, note that the latter $\equiv \theta_{n}(X)^{p}-\theta_{n}^{\phi}\left(X^{p}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$, so $b \in p \mathscr{O}_{Q}$. Rewrite the equation for $t_{n+1}$ as $t_{n+1}-\beta t_{n+1}^{\phi}=\gamma$ with $\beta \in \mathscr{M}_{Q}$, then $t_{n+1}=\beta+\beta \gamma^{\phi}+\beta^{1+\phi} \gamma^{\phi^{2}}+\cdots$. The uniqueness of $t_{n+1}$ follows, since the only solution of $c=\beta c^{\phi}$ is 0 .

Denote $\rho=\theta^{\phi^{-1}} \circ f_{u}$, then $f_{u} \circ \rho=\left(f_{u} \circ \theta\right)^{\phi^{-1}} \circ f_{u}=\left(\theta^{\phi} \circ f_{p}\right)^{\phi^{-1}} \circ f_{u}=\theta \circ f_{u p}=\rho^{\phi} \circ f_{p}$. Since $\theta$ and $\theta^{\phi^{-1}} \circ f_{u}$ have the same first coefficient, the uniqueness of $\theta$ modulo the first coefficient implies $\theta=\theta^{\phi^{-1}} \circ f_{u}$ and $\theta^{\phi}=\theta \circ f_{u}$.

Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ be such that $\zeta_{p^{m}}^{\sigma}=\zeta_{p^{m}}^{u^{-1}}$. Denote by $R$ be completion of the maximal unramified extension of $M$. Denote the continuous extension of $\varphi_{M}$ on $R$ by $\varphi$, then $\left.\varphi\right|_{Q}=\phi$. Put $\pi_{M}=\zeta_{p^{m}}-1$ and $\pi_{K}=\theta\left(\pi_{M}\right) \in R$. We deduce $f_{u}\left(\pi_{M}^{\sigma}\right)=\left(1+\pi_{M}^{\sigma}\right)^{u}-1=\zeta_{p^{m}}^{\sigma u}-1=\zeta_{p^{m}}-1=\pi_{M}$ and $\pi_{K}^{\sigma \varphi}=\theta^{\phi}\left(\pi_{M}^{\sigma}\right)=\theta\left(f_{u}\left(\pi_{M}^{\sigma}\right)\right)=\theta\left(\pi_{M}\right)=\pi_{K}$, so $\pi_{K}$ belongs to the fixed field $K$ of $\sigma \varphi$ and it is its prime element. Hence $\Upsilon_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\sigma) \equiv N_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} \pi_{K} \bmod N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} M^{\times}$.

For a polynomial $h$ define $h^{(n)}$ as the composite of $n$ copies of $h$. Then $g_{u}^{(n)}\left(\pi_{K}\right)=g_{u}^{(n)}\left(\theta\left(\pi_{M}\right)\right)=$ $\theta^{\phi^{n}}\left(f_{p}^{(n)}\left(\pi_{M}\right)\right)=\theta^{\phi^{n}}\left(\zeta_{p^{m}}^{p^{n}}-1\right)$ is zero if $n=m$. It is non-zero if $n=m-1$, since $\left|K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|=$ $\left|M: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|>(p-1) p^{m-2}$. Hence $\pi_{K}$ is a root of the polynomial $g(X)=g_{u}^{(m)}(X) / g_{u}^{(m-1)}(X)=$ $g_{u}^{(m-1)}(X)^{p-1}+u p \equiv X^{p^{m-1}(p-1)} \bmod p$, and $g$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ by Eisenstein's criterion. Finally, $N_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} \pi_{K}=(-1)^{\left|M: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|} g(0)=(-1)^{\left|M: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|} p u, p=(-1)^{\left|M: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|} N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} \pi_{M}$, so $N_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} \pi_{K} \equiv u$ $\bmod N_{M / \mathbb{Q}_{p}} M^{\times}$.

The next Theorem includes another proof of the Hasse-Arf theorem using class field theory.
3.3. Theorem. Let $L / F$ be a finite abelian extension, $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Denote by $h$ the Hasse-Herbrand function $h_{L / F}$. Put $U_{0, F}=U_{F}$. Then for every non-negative integer $n$ the reciprocity map $\Psi_{L / F}$ maps the quotient group $U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}$isomorphically onto the ramification group $G(n)=G_{h(n)}$ and $U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times} / U_{n+1, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}$isomorphically onto $G_{h(n)} / G_{h(n)+1}$. Therefore

$$
G_{h(n)+1}=G_{h(n+1)},
$$

i.e., upper ramification jumps of $L / F$ are integers.

Proof. Let $L_{0}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $F$ in $L$. We know that $h_{L / F}=h_{L / L_{0}}$, and the norm $N_{L_{0} / F}$ maps $U_{n, L_{0}}$ onto $U_{n, F}$ for $n \geqslant 0$. Using the first Proposition of (2.5) (for $E=L, M=$ $F, L=L_{0}$ ) we can therefore assume that $L \cap \tilde{F}=F$.

By Remark (2.4) and using its notation

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}(\sigma) \equiv N_{M / M_{0}} \varepsilon \quad \bmod N_{L / F} L^{\times}, \quad \varepsilon^{1-\varphi}=\pi_{M}^{\sigma-1}
$$

where $M_{0}=M \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}$. If $\sigma \in G_{h(n)}$, then $\pi_{M}^{1-\sigma}$ belongs to $U_{h(n), M}$. Writing $\varepsilon=\Pi\left(1+\theta_{i} \pi^{i}\right)$ with a prime element $\pi$ of $L$, one immediately deduces that $\varepsilon \in U_{h(n), M} U_{L}$. Hence

$$
N_{M / M_{0}} \varepsilon \in N_{M / M_{0}}\left(U_{h(n), M} U_{L}\right) \cap U_{F} \subset U_{n, F} N_{L / F} U_{L} .
$$

So $\Upsilon\left(G_{h(n)}\right) \subset U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. Similarly, $\Upsilon\left(G_{h(n)+1}\right) \subset U_{n+1, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}$.
In the rest of the proof we will show that $\Upsilon\left(G_{h(n)}\right) \supset U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. Then $\Upsilon\left(G_{h(n)}\right)=U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}$, and we deduce $\Upsilon_{L / F}\left(G_{h(n)+1}\right)=\Upsilon_{L / F}\left(G_{h(n+1)}\right), G_{h(n)+1}=G_{h(n+1)}$.

Let $R / F$ be a subextension of $L / F$ such that $L / R$ is of prime degree $l$ and its ramification jump $s$ is such that $G_{s+1}=\{1\}$.

If $h(n)>s$ then $G_{h(n)}=\{1\}$. Let's show in this case, by induction on the degree, that $U_{n, F} \subset$ $N_{L / F} U_{h(n), L}$. The inequality $h(n)>s$ and the description of the Hasse-Herbrand function for cyclic extensions of prime degree implies that $h_{R / F}(n)>s$. By induction $U_{n, F} \subset N_{R / F} U_{h_{R / F}(n), R}$. Since every unit in $U_{h_{R / F}(n), R}$ is the image with respect to $N_{L / R}$ of a unit in $U_{h(n), L}$, we deduce the claim. Thus, if $h(n)>s$ then $N_{L / F} L^{\times}=\Upsilon(\{1\})=\Upsilon\left(G_{h(n)}\right) \supset U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}=N_{L / F} L^{\times}$.

Let $h(n) \leqslant s$. If $s=0$ there is nothing to prove, so let $s>0$ and hence $L / R$ is of degree $p$. Then $h_{R / F}(n)=h(n) \leqslant s$. Let's show by induction on the degree that

$$
\Psi_{L / F}\left(U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times}\right) \subset G_{h(n)}
$$

Assume this inclusion is not true for $L / F$. Then, using the previous notation, there is a $\sigma \in$ $G_{j} \backslash G_{j+1}, j<h(n)$ such that $\pi_{M}^{\sigma-1}=\varepsilon^{1-\varphi}$ and $N_{M / M_{0}} \varepsilon \in U_{n, F} N_{L / F} U_{L}$. Denote by $E$ the composite of $R$ and $M_{0}$. Applying the norm map $N_{M / E}$, since $j<s$ we deduce that $\left.\sigma\right|_{R} \in \operatorname{Gal}(R / F)_{j} \backslash$ $\operatorname{Gal}(R / F)_{j+1},\left(N_{M / E} \pi_{M}\right)^{\sigma-1}=\left(N_{M / E} \mathcal{E}\right)^{1-\varphi}, N_{E / M_{0}}\left(N_{M / E} \mathcal{E}\right) \in U_{n, F} N_{L / F} U_{L}$ which contradicts the induction assumption.

## Corollary.

For $n \geqslant 0$ the reciprocity map $\Psi_{F}$ maps $U_{n, F}$ isomorphically onto $G(n)$, where $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$. Every abelian extension with finite residue field extension is arithmetically profinite.
Every abelian extension has integer upper ramification jumps.
Proof. By the previous Theorem $\Psi_{L / F}\left(U_{n, F} N_{L / F} L^{\times}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(n)$ for every finite abelian extension $L / F$. We deduce that $\Psi_{F}\left(U_{n, F}\right)$ is a dense subset of $G(n)$. Since $U_{n, F}$ is compact when the residue field is finite, $\Psi_{F}\left(U_{n, F}\right)$ is closed and we conclude that $\Psi_{F}\left(U_{n, F}\right)=G(n)$.

For every abelian extension $L / F$ the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(n)$ is the image of $G(n)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Since every group of principal units of $F$ has finite index in $U_{F}$, the previous paragraph implies that $G(n)$ has finite index in $G(0)$ and so $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(x)$ for every $x$ has finite index in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Thus, $L / F$ is arithmetically profinite.

For an upper ramification jump $x$ of $L / F$ the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(x+1)$ is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Therefore, the fixed field $E$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)(x+1)$ is a finite abelian extension of $F$. The jump $x$ corresponds to the jump $x$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)$ and therefore is integer by the previous Theorem.
3.4. Hilbert symbol plays a prominent role in class field theory and its applications.

Let the group $\mu_{n}$ of all $n$th roots of unity in the separable closure $F^{\text {sep }}$ be contained in $F$ and let $p \nmid n$ if $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$.

The norm residue symbol or Hilbert symbol or Hilbert pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{n}: F^{\times} \times F^{\times} \longrightarrow \mu_{n}$ is defined by the formula

$$
(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)(\gamma), \quad \text { where } \gamma^{n}=\beta, \gamma \in F^{\text {sep }}
$$

If $\gamma^{\prime} \in F^{\text {sep }}$ is another element with $\gamma^{\prime n}=\beta$, then $\gamma^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} \in \mu_{n}$ and

$$
\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)(\gamma)
$$

This means that the Hilbert symbol is well defined.
Proposition. The norm residue symbol possesses the following properties:
(1) $(\cdot, \cdot)_{n}$ is bilinear;
(2) $(1-\alpha, \alpha)_{n}=1$ for $\alpha \in F^{\times}, \alpha \neq 1$ (Steinberg property);
(3) $(-\alpha, \alpha)_{n}=1$ for $\alpha \in F^{\times}$;
(4) $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=(\beta, \alpha)_{n}^{-1}$;
(5) $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$ if and only if $\alpha \in N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\beta}) / F} F(\sqrt[n]{\beta})^{\times}$and if and only if $\beta \in N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha}) / F} F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha})^{\times} ;$
(6) $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$ for all $\beta \in F^{\times}$if and only if $\alpha \in F^{\times n}$, $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$ for all $\alpha \in F^{\times}$if and only if $\beta \in F^{\times n} ;$
(7) $(\alpha, \beta)_{n m}^{m}=(\alpha, \beta)_{n}$ for $m \geqslant 1, \mu_{n m} \subset F^{\times}$;
(8) $(\alpha, \beta)_{n, L}=\left(N_{L / F} \alpha, \beta\right)_{n, F}$ for $\alpha \in L^{\times}, \beta \in F^{\times}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{n, L}$ is the Hilbert symbol in $L$, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{n, F}$ is the Hilbert symbol in $F$, and $L$ is a finite separable extension of $F$;
(9) $(\sigma \alpha, \sigma \beta)_{n, \sigma L}=\sigma(\alpha, \beta)_{n, L}$, where $L$ is a finite separable extension of $F, \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F\right)$, and $\mu_{n} \subset L^{\times}$but not necessarily $\mu_{n} \subset F^{\times}$.

Proof.
(1): For $\gamma \in F^{\text {sep }}, \gamma^{n}=\beta$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma) & =\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma)\right) \cdot\left(\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)(\gamma)\right) \\
& =\left(\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma)\right)\left(\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)(\gamma)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ acts trivially on $\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta\right)_{n} \in \mu_{n}$. We also obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha, \beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)_{n} & =\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)\left(\gamma_{2}^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\alpha, \beta_{2}\right)_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in F^{\text {sep }}, \gamma_{1}^{n}=\beta_{1}, \gamma_{2}^{n}=\beta_{2}$.
(5),(2),(3),(4): $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$ if and only if $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts trivially on $F(\sqrt[n]{\beta})$ and if and only if $\alpha \in$ $\left.N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\beta}) / F} F(\sqrt[n]{\beta})\right)^{\times}$by Theorem (2.9).

Let $m \mid n$ be the maximal integer for which $\alpha \in F^{\times m}$. Then $F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha}) / F$ is of degree $n m^{-1}$. Let $\alpha=\alpha_{1}^{m}$ with $\alpha_{1} \in F^{\times}$and let $\zeta_{n}$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity. Then for $\delta \in F^{\text {sep }}, \delta^{n}=\alpha$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\alpha=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}^{i} \boldsymbol{\delta}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n m^{-1}}\left(1-\zeta_{n}^{i} \zeta_{n m^{-1}}^{j} \delta\right) \\
& =N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha}) / F}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\zeta_{n}^{i} \delta\right)\right) \in N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha}) / F} F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha})^{\times}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $(1-\alpha, \alpha)_{n}=1$. Further, $-\alpha=(1-\alpha)\left(1-\alpha^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ for $\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 1$. This means that $(-\alpha, \alpha)_{n}=(1-\alpha, \alpha)_{n}\left(1-\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\right)_{n}^{-1}=1$. Moreover,

$$
1=(-\alpha \beta, \alpha \beta)_{n}=(-\alpha, \alpha)_{n}(\alpha, \beta)_{n}(\beta, \alpha)_{n}(-\beta, \beta)_{n}=(\alpha, \beta)_{n}(\beta, \alpha)_{n}
$$

i.e., $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=(\beta, \alpha)_{n}^{-1}$.

Finally, if $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$, then $(\beta, \alpha)_{n}=1$, which is equivalent to

$$
\beta \in N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha}) / F} F(\sqrt[n]{\alpha})^{\times}
$$

(6): Let $\beta \in F^{\times n}$; then $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$ for all $\alpha \in F^{\times}$. Let $\beta \notin F^{\times n}$, then $L=F(\sqrt[n]{\beta}) \neq F$, and $L / F$ is a nontrivial abelian extension. By Theorem (2.9) the subgroup $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$does not coincide with $F^{\times}$. If we take an element $\alpha \in F^{\times}$such that $\alpha \notin N_{L / F} L^{\times}$then, by property (5), we get $(\alpha, \beta)_{n} \neq 1$. (7): For $\gamma \in F^{\text {sep }}, \gamma^{n m}=\beta$, one has

$$
(\alpha, \beta)_{n m}^{m}=\left(\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)(\gamma)\right)^{m}=\left(\gamma^{-m} \Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma^{m}\right)\right)=(\alpha, \beta)_{n}
$$

because $\left(\gamma^{m}\right)^{n}=\beta$.
(8): Theorem (2.9) shows that

$$
(\alpha, \beta)_{n, L}=\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{L}(\alpha)(\gamma)=\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}\left(N_{L / F}(\alpha)\right)(\gamma)=\left(N_{L / F} \alpha, \beta\right)_{n, F}
$$

where $\gamma \in F^{\text {sep }}, \gamma^{n}=\beta$.
(9): Theorem (2.9) shows that for $\gamma \in F^{\text {sep }}, \gamma^{n}=\beta$,

$$
(\sigma \alpha, \sigma \beta)_{n, \sigma L}=\sigma\left(\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{L}(\alpha)(\gamma)\right)=\sigma(\alpha, \beta)_{n, L}
$$

Corollary. The Hilbert symbol induces the nondegenerate pairing

$$
(\cdot, \cdot)_{n}: F^{\times} / F^{\times n} \times F^{\times} / F^{\times n} \longrightarrow \mu_{n}
$$

Kummer theory asserts that abelian extensions $L / F$ of exponent $n\left(\mu_{n} \subset F^{\times}, p \nmid n\right.$ if $\operatorname{char}(F)=$ $p$ ) are in one-to-one correspondence with subgroups $\mathrm{B}_{L} \subset F^{\times}$, such that $\mathrm{B}_{L} \supset F^{\times n}, L=F\left(\sqrt[n]{\mathrm{B}_{L}}\right)=$ $F\left(\gamma_{i}: \gamma_{i}^{n} \in \mathrm{~B}_{L}\right)$ and the group $\mathrm{B}_{L} / F^{\times n}$ is dual to $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$.

ThEOREM. Let $\mu_{n} \subset F^{\times}, p \nmid n$, if $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$. Let A be a subgroup in $F^{\times}$such that $F^{\times n} \subset \mathrm{~A}$. Denote its orthogonal complement with respect to the Hilbert symbol $(\cdot, \cdot)_{n}$ by $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}^{\perp}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left\{\beta \in F^{\times}:(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1 \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathrm{A}\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathrm{A}=N_{L / F} L^{\times}$, where $L=F(\sqrt[n]{\mathrm{B}})$ and $A=B^{\perp}$.
Proof. We first recall that $F^{\times n}$ is of finite index in $F^{\times}$by Proposition (4.9) Ch.2.
Let B be a subgroup in $F^{\times}$with $F^{\times n} \subset \mathrm{~B}$ and $\left|\mathrm{B}: F^{\times n}\right|=m$. Let $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}^{\perp}$. Then $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$, for $\alpha \in \mathrm{A}$, acts trivially on $F(\sqrt[n]{\beta})$ for $\beta \in \mathrm{B}$. This means that $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts trivially on $L=F(\sqrt[n]{\mathrm{B}})$ and, by Theorem (2.9), $\alpha \in N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. Hence

$$
\mathrm{A} \subset N_{L / F} L^{\times} .
$$

Conversely, if $\alpha \in N_{L / F} L^{\times}$, then $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts trivially on $F(\sqrt[n]{\beta}) \subset L$ and

$$
\alpha \in N_{F(\sqrt[n]{\beta}) / F} F(\sqrt[n]{\beta})^{\times}
$$

for every $\beta \in \mathrm{B}$. Property (5) of the previous Proposition shows that $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=1$ and hence $N_{L / F} L^{\times} \subset \mathrm{A}$. Thus, $\mathrm{A}=N_{L / F} L^{\times}$.

Furthermore, to complete the proof it suffices to verify that a subgroup A in $F^{\times}$with $F^{* n} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ coincides with $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$. Restricting the Hilbert symbol on $\mathrm{A} \times F^{\times}$we obtain that it induces the nondegenerate pairing $\mathrm{A} / F^{* n} \times F^{\times} / \mathrm{A}^{\perp} \longrightarrow \mu_{n}$. The order of $\mathrm{A} / F^{* n}$ coincides with the order of $F^{\times} / \mathrm{A}^{\perp}$. Similarly, one can verify that the order of $\mathrm{A}^{\perp} / F^{\times n}$ is the same as that of $F^{\times} /\left(\mathrm{A}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$, and hence the order of $F^{\times} / \mathrm{A}^{\perp}$ equals the order of $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp} / F^{\times n}$. From $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{A}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$ we deduce that $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{A}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$.

The problem to find explicit formulas for the norm residue symbol originates from Hilbert. In the case under consideration the challenge is to find a formula for the Hilbert symbol $(\alpha, \beta)_{n}$ in terms of the elements $\alpha, \beta$ of the field $F$. This problem is very complicated when $p \mid n$. There is a simple answer when $p \nmid n$.

Proposition. Let $n$ be relatively prime with $p$ and $\mu_{n} \subset F^{\times}$. Then

$$
(\alpha, \beta)_{n}=c(\alpha, \beta)^{(q-1) / n},
$$

where $q$ is the cardinality of the residue field $\bar{F}$ and

$$
c: F^{\times} \times F^{\times} \longrightarrow \mu_{q-1}
$$

is the tame symbol defined by the formula

$$
c(\alpha, \beta)=\operatorname{pr}\left(\beta^{v_{F}(\alpha)} \alpha^{-v_{F}(\beta)}(-1)^{v_{F}(\alpha) v_{F}(\beta)}\right),
$$

with the projection pr: $U_{F} \longrightarrow \mu_{q-1}$ induced by the decomposition $U_{F} \cong \mu_{q-1} \times U_{1, F}$, i.e., $\operatorname{pr}(u)$ is the multiplicative representative of $\bar{u} \in \bar{F}$.

Proof. Note that the elements of the group $\mu_{n}$, for $p \nmid n$, are isomorphically mapped onto the subgroup in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. Hence, $n \mid(q-1)$. Note also that the prime elements generate $F^{\times}$. Indeed, if $\alpha=\pi^{a} \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \in U_{F}$, then $\alpha=\pi_{1} \pi^{a-1}$ for the prime element $\pi_{1}=\pi \varepsilon$,
when $a \neq 1$, and $\alpha=\pi_{2}$ for the prime element $\pi_{2}=\pi \varepsilon$, when $a=1$. Using properties (1) and (7) of the Hilbert symbol it suffices to verify that $c(\pi, \beta)=(\pi, \beta)_{q-1}$ for $\beta \in F^{\times}$.

Let $\beta=(-\pi)^{a} \theta \varepsilon$ with $a=v_{F}(\beta), \theta \in \mu_{q-1}, \varepsilon \in U_{1, F}$. Then $c(\pi,-\pi)=1$. Since $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{1}^{q-1}$ for some $\varepsilon_{1} \in U_{1, F}$ due to $(q-1)$-divisibility of $U_{1, F}$, we obtain $c(\pi, \varepsilon)=1$. Hence $c(\pi, \beta)=$ $c(\pi, \theta)=\theta$. Property (3) of the Hilbert symbol shows that $(\pi,-\pi)_{q-1}=1$. Since the group $U_{1, F}$ is $(q-1)$-divisible, $(\pi, \varepsilon)_{q-1}=1$. Finally, since the extension $F(\sqrt[n]{\theta}) / F$ is unramified, for $\eta \in F^{\text {sep }}, \eta^{q-1}=\theta$ we have

$$
(\pi, \theta)_{q-1}=\eta^{-1} \Psi_{F}(\pi)(\eta)=\eta^{-1} \varphi_{F}(\eta)=\eta^{q-1}=\theta
$$

We conclude that $(\pi, \beta)_{q-1}=\theta=c(\pi, \beta)$.

REMARK. There are two types of explicit formulas for the $p^{r}$ th Hilbert symbol: explicit formulas of Shafarevich, Vostokov, Kato type and explicit formulas of Eisenstein, Kummer, ArtinHasse, Iwasawa, Sen, Coates-Wiles, Kato-Kurihara type.

Here is the Vostokov formula for the Hilbert pairing. Let $F$ contain a primitive $p^{n}$ th root $\zeta_{p^{n}}$ of unity, $p>2, n \geqslant 1$. Choose a prime element $\pi$ of $F$. Let $\mathscr{O}_{0}$ be the ring of integers of the inertia subfield $F_{0}=F \cap \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\text {ur }}$ of $F$. Let $\operatorname{Tr}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathscr{O}_{0} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ and let $\varphi$ be the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Then for $\alpha, \beta \in F^{\times}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha, \beta)_{p^{n}}=\zeta_{p^{n}}^{\operatorname{Trres} \Phi(A, B)(1 / S+1 / 2)} \\
& \Phi(A, B)=l(B) d A / A-l(A) \frac{1}{p} d B^{\triangle} / B^{\triangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A, B \in \mathscr{O}_{0}((X))^{\times}$are any series such that $A(\pi)=\alpha, B(\pi)=\beta, S=S_{1}^{p^{n}}-1$, the series $S_{1} \in$ $1+X \mathscr{O}_{0}[[X]]$ is any series such that $S_{1}(\pi)=\zeta_{p^{n}}, l(A)=\log \left(A^{p} / A^{\triangle}\right) / p,\left(\sum a_{i} X^{i}\right)^{\triangle}=\sum \varphi\left(a_{i}\right) X^{p i}$, $\operatorname{res}\left(\sum a_{i} X^{i} d X\right)=a_{-1}$. Thus, this formula for the Hilbert pairing involves indeterminacies in relation to the choice of $\pi, A, B, S_{1}$.

The right hand side of the previous displayed formula is defined independently of class field theory, it is called the Vostokov symbol. Vostokov symbol can be used to provide an alternative presentation of class field theory for Kummer extensions without using the local reciprocity map.
3.5. Artin-Schreier pairing is important in positive characteristic.

Abelian extensions of exponent $p$ of a field $F$ of characteristic $p$ are described by the ArtinSchreier theory. The polynomial $\wp(X)=X^{p}-X$ is additive. Abelian extensions $L / F$ of exponent $p$ are in one-to-one correspondence with subgroups $\mathrm{B} \subset F$ such that $\wp(F) \subset \mathrm{B}$. The quotient group $\mathrm{B} / \wp(F)$ is dual to $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, where

$$
L=F\left(\wp^{-1}(\mathrm{~B})\right)=F(\gamma: \wp(\gamma) \in \mathrm{B})
$$

For a complete discrete valuation field $F$ of characteristic $p$ with a finite residue field we define the map

$$
(\cdot, \cdot]: F^{\times} \times F \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

by the formula

$$
(\alpha, \beta]=\Psi_{F}(\alpha)(\gamma)-\gamma
$$

where $\gamma$ is a root of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\beta$. All the roots of this polynomial are $\gamma+c$ where $c$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, therefore we deduce that the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot]$ is well defined.

PROPOSITION. The map $(\cdot, \cdot]$ has the following properties:
(1) $\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, \beta\right]=\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta\right]+\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta\right],\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right]=\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}\right]+\left(\alpha, \beta_{2}\right]$;
(2) $(-\alpha, \alpha]=0$ for $\alpha \in F^{\times}$;
(3) $(\alpha, \beta]=0$ if and only if $\alpha \in N_{F(\gamma) / F} F(\gamma)^{\times}$, where $\gamma^{p}-\gamma=\beta$;
(4) $(\alpha, \beta]=0$ for all $\alpha \in F^{\times}$if and only if $\beta \in \wp(F)$;
(5) $(\alpha, \beta]=0$ for all $\beta \in F$ if and only if $\alpha \in F^{\times p}$;
(6) $(\pi, \beta]=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{T}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta_{0}$, where $\pi$ is a prime element in $F$ and $\beta=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i} \pi^{i}$ with $\theta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Proof.
(1): One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma)-\gamma & =\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma)-\gamma\right)+\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)(\gamma)-\gamma \\
& =\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)(\gamma)-\gamma+\Psi\left(\alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma)-\gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Psi_{F}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)(\gamma)-\gamma \in F$. One also has

$$
\Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)=\Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\gamma_{1}+\Psi_{F}(\alpha)\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\gamma_{2}
$$

(3): $(\alpha, \beta]=0$ if and only if $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts trivially on $F(\gamma)$, where $\gamma^{p}-\gamma=\beta$. Theorem (2.9) shows that this is equivalent to $\alpha \in N_{F(\gamma) / F} F(\gamma)^{\times}$.
(2): If $\alpha \in \wp(F)$, then $(-\alpha, \alpha]=0$ by property (3). If a root $\gamma$ of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\alpha$ does not belong to $F$, then $-\alpha=N_{F(\gamma) / F}(-\gamma)$ and property (3) shows that $(-\alpha, \alpha]=0$.
(4): If $\beta \notin \wp(F)$, then $L=F(\gamma) \neq F$ for a root $\gamma$ of the polynomial $X^{p}-X-\beta ; L / F$ is an abelian extension of degree $p$, and hence $N_{L / F} L^{\times} \neq F^{\times}$. For an element $\alpha \in F^{\times}$, such that $\alpha \notin N_{L / F} L^{\times}$, we deduce by Theorem (2.9) that $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)$ acts nontrivially on $L$, i.e., $\Psi_{F}(\alpha)(\gamma) \neq \gamma$ and $(\alpha, \beta] \neq 0$. (5): Let A denote the set of those $\alpha \in F^{\times}$, for which $(\alpha, \beta]=0$ for all $\beta \in F$. Note that for $\alpha, \beta \in F^{\times}$properties (1) and (2) imply

$$
(-\beta, \alpha \beta]=(-\alpha \beta, \alpha \beta]-(\alpha, \alpha \beta]=-(\alpha, \alpha \beta]
$$

Hence, the condition $\alpha \in \mathrm{A}$ is equivalent to $(\alpha, \alpha \beta]=0$ for all $\beta \in F^{\times}$and to $(-\beta, \alpha \beta]=0$ for all $\beta \in F^{\times}$. Then, if $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathrm{~A}$ we get $\left(-\beta,\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \beta\right]=\left(-\beta, \alpha_{1} \beta\right]+\left(-\beta, \alpha_{2} \beta\right]=0$, and $\left(-\beta,-\alpha_{1} \beta\right]=-\left(-\beta, \alpha_{1} \beta\right]=0$. This means that $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2},-\alpha_{1} \in \mathrm{~A}$. Obviously, $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \in \mathrm{~A}, \alpha_{1}^{-1} \in$ A. Therefore, the set $\mathrm{A} \cup\{0\}$ is a subfield in $F$. Further, $F^{p} \subset \mathrm{~A} \cup\{0\}$ by property (1), and we obtain $F^{p} \subset \mathrm{~A} \cup\{0\} \subset F$.

One can identify the field $F$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q}((\pi))$. Then the field $F^{p}$ is identified with the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(\pi^{p}\right)\right)$ and we obtain that the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q}((\pi)) / \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(\pi^{p}\right)\right)$ is of degree $p$. Hence, $\mathrm{A} \cup\{0\}=F^{p}$ or $\mathrm{A} \cup\{0\}=F$. Since $\wp(F) \neq F$, property (4) shows that $(\alpha, \beta] \neq 0$ for some $\beta \in F, \alpha \in F^{\times}$. Thus, $\mathrm{A} \cup\{0\} \neq F$, i.e., $\mathrm{A}=F^{\times p}$.
(6): If $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\gamma \in F^{\text {sep }}, \gamma^{p}-\gamma=\theta$, then $F(\gamma)=F$ or $F(\gamma) / F$ is the unramified extension of degree $p$. Theorem (2.9) implies

$$
(\pi, \theta]=\varphi_{F}(\gamma)-\gamma=\gamma^{q}-\gamma=\theta^{q / p}+\theta^{q / p^{2}}+\cdots+\theta=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta
$$

Let $a$ be a positive integer and $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. Then

$$
a\left(\pi, \theta \pi^{a}\right]=\left(\pi^{a}, \theta \pi^{a}\right]=\left(\theta \pi^{a}, \theta \pi^{a}\right]=\left(-1, \theta \pi^{a}\right]=0
$$

since the group $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$is $p$-divisible and $-1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{p}$. Hence $\left(\pi, \theta \pi^{a}\right]=0$ for $p \nmid a$. Finally, let $a=p^{s} b$, where $s>0$ and $p \nmid b, b>0$. Then

$$
\theta \pi^{a}=\left(\theta_{1} \pi^{p^{s-1} b}\right)^{p}-\theta_{1} \pi^{p^{s-1} b}+\theta_{1} \pi^{p^{s-1} b} \in \theta_{1} \pi^{p^{s-1} b}+\wp(F)
$$

where $\theta_{1}^{p}=\theta$. Continuing in this way we deduce that $\theta \pi^{a}=\theta_{s} \pi^{b}+\wp(\lambda)$, where $\theta_{s}^{p^{s}}=\theta$ and $\lambda \in F$. Then $\left(\pi, \theta \pi^{a}\right]=\left(\pi, \theta_{s} \pi^{b}\right]=0$. We obtain property (6) and complete the proof.

COROLLARY. The pairing $(\cdot, \cdot]$ determines the nondegenerate pairing

$$
F^{\times} / F^{\times p} \times F / \wp(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

To obtain an explicit formula for $(\cdot, \cdot]$, introduce a map $d_{\pi}$ as follows.
Let $\pi$ be a prime element of a complete residue field $F$ of characteristic $p$ with the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then an element $\alpha \in F$ can be uniquely expanded as

$$
\alpha=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i} \pi^{i}, \quad \theta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}
$$

Put

$$
d_{\pi} \alpha=\sum_{i \geqslant a} i \theta_{i} \pi^{i-1} d \pi, \quad \operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\sum \eta_{i} \pi^{i} d \pi\right)=\eta_{-1}
$$

Define the Artin-Schreier pairing

$$
D_{\pi}: F^{\times} \times F \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}, \quad D_{\pi}(\alpha, \beta)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\beta d_{\pi} \alpha / \alpha\right)
$$

Proposition. The map $D_{\pi}$ possesses the following properties:
(1) linearity

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, \beta\right) & =D_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta\right)+D_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta\right) \\
D_{\pi}\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) & =D_{\pi}\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}\right)+D_{\pi}\left(\alpha, \beta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) if $\pi_{1}$ is a prime element in $F$, then

$$
D_{\pi}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right)=D_{\pi_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta_{0}
$$

where $\beta=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i} \pi_{1}^{i}, \theta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$;
(3) if $\theta, \eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$then $D_{\pi}\left(1+\theta \pi^{i}, \eta \pi^{j}\right)=0$ if $i>-j, i>0 ; D_{\pi}\left(1+\theta \pi^{i}, \eta \pi^{j}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}(\theta \eta)$ if $i=-j>0$.

## Proof.

(1): We have

$$
\frac{d_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}=\frac{d_{\pi} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}+\frac{d_{\pi} \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}}
$$

since $d_{\pi} \alpha$ can be treated as a formal differential $\left.d \alpha(X)\right|_{X=\pi}$ for the series $\alpha(X)=\sum a_{i} X^{i}$. Hence, we get $D_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, \beta\right)=D_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta\right)+D_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta\right)$.

The other formula follows immediately.
(2): Let $C=\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots\right]$, where $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are independent indeterminates. Let $X$ be an indeterminate over $C$. Put

$$
\alpha(X)=X_{1} X+X_{2} X^{2}+X_{3} X^{3}+\cdots \in C[[X]] .
$$

For an element $\sum_{j \geqslant a} \kappa_{j} X^{j} \in C[[X]], \kappa_{i} \in C$, we put

$$
d\left(\sum_{j \geqslant a} \kappa_{j} X^{j}\right)=\sum_{j \geqslant a} j \kappa_{j} X^{j-1} d X, \quad \operatorname{res}_{X}\left(\sum_{j \geqslant a} \kappa_{j} X^{j} d X\right)=\kappa_{-1} .
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{res}_{X} d\left(\sum_{j \geqslant a} \kappa_{j} X^{j}\right)=0
$$

Hence, for $i \neq 0$ we get

$$
\operatorname{res}_{X}\left(\alpha(X)^{i-1} d \alpha(X)\right)=\operatorname{res}_{X}\left(\frac{1}{i} d\left(\alpha(X)^{i}\right)\right)=0
$$

One can define a ring-homomorphism $C[[X]] \longrightarrow F$ as follows: $X_{i} \in C \rightarrow \eta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, X \rightarrow \pi$. The series $\alpha(X)$ is mapped to $\alpha(\pi)=\eta_{1} \pi+\eta_{2} \pi^{2}+\cdots \in F$, and we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\alpha(\pi)^{i-1} d_{\pi} \alpha(\pi)\right)=0 \quad \text { if } \quad i \neq 0
$$

Now let $\beta=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i} \pi_{1}^{i}, \theta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. The definition of $D_{\pi_{1}}$ shows that

$$
D_{\pi_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta_{0} .
$$

Writing $\pi_{1}=\eta_{1} \pi+\eta_{2} \pi^{2}+\cdots=\alpha(\pi)$ with $\eta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we get

$$
D_{\pi}\left(\pi_{1}, \theta_{i} \pi_{1}^{i}\right)=\operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\theta_{i} \pi_{1}^{i-1} d_{\pi} \pi_{1}\right)=\operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\theta_{i} \alpha(\pi)^{i-1} d_{\pi} \alpha(\pi)\right)=0 \quad \text { if } i \neq 0,
$$

and

$$
D_{\pi}\left(\pi_{1}, \theta_{0}\right)=\operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\theta_{0} \alpha(\pi)^{-1} d_{\pi} \alpha(\pi)\right)=\operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\left(\theta_{0} \pi^{-1}+\delta\right) d \pi\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta_{0}
$$

where $\delta \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$. Thus $D_{\pi_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right)=D_{\pi}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta_{0}$, as desired.
(3) follows immediately from the definitions.

Proposition. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p$ with the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot]$ coincides with $D_{\pi}$. In particular, the pairing $D_{\pi}$ does not depend on the choice of the prime element $\pi$.

Proof. As the prime elements generate $F^{\times}$, it suffices to show, using property (1) of $(\cdot, \cdot]$ and property (1) of $D_{\pi}$, that for a prime element $\pi_{1}$ in $F$ the following equality holds:

$$
\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right]=D_{\pi}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right), \quad \beta \in F .
$$

Let $\beta=\sum_{i \geqslant a} \theta_{i} \pi_{1}^{i}$. Then property (6) of $(\cdot, \cdot]$ and property (2) of $d_{\pi}$ imply that

$$
\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right]=D_{\pi}\left(\pi_{1}, \beta\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \theta_{0},
$$

as desired.

## Remarks.

1. One can prove directly, without using class field theory, that $D_{\pi}$ induces a continuous perfect pairing $F^{\times} / F^{\times p} \times F / \wp(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$, using explicit computations of $D_{\pi}$ in the Proposition preceding the previous one. Using Artin-Schreier theory, this gives an algebraic and topological isomorphism $F^{\times} / F^{\times p} \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{p} / F\right)$ where $F_{p}$ is the composite of all cyclic extensions of degree $p$ of $F$.
2. Similar to the study of the Hilbert symbol, one can prove that for an open subgroup A in $F^{\times}$ such that $F^{\times p} \subset \mathrm{~A}$, its orthogonal complement B with respect to the Artin-Schreier pairing (, ] produces an abelian extension $L=F\left(\wp^{-1}(\mathrm{~B})\right)$ of $F$ such that $\mathrm{A}=N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. In particular, every open subgroup A of index $p$ in $F^{\times}$is the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$of $L=F\left(\wp^{-1}(\beta)\right)$ where $\beta \notin \wp(F)$ satisfies $(A, \beta]=0$.
3. Using Witt vectors over $F$ one can extended the previous theory to the Artin-Schreier-Witt pairing. A map defined by

$$
(\cdot, \cdot]_{n}: F^{\times} \times W_{n}(F) \longrightarrow W_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}
$$

by the formula

$$
(\alpha, x]_{n}=\Psi_{F}(\alpha)(z)-z,
$$

where $z \in W_{n}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)$ and $z^{p}-z=x$, produces a nondegenerate pairing

$$
F^{\times} / F^{\times p^{n}} \times W_{n}(F) / \wp W_{n}(F) \longrightarrow W_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Similar to the previous material, there is an explicit formula for it.

### 3.6. Further Remarks.

1. Let $L$ be an infinite arithmetically profinite extension of a local number field $F$, and let $E / L$ be a finite Galois extension. If $L$ is the union of finite field extensions $L_{i}$ of $F$ and $E=L(\alpha)$, then $E$ is the union of $E_{i}=L_{i}(\alpha)$ and $E_{i} / L_{i}$ is Galois extension with the Galois group isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L)$ for all sufficiently large $i$. Define

$$
\Upsilon_{E / L}: \operatorname{Gal}(E / L) \longrightarrow N(L \mid F)^{\times} / N_{N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F)} N(E \mid F)^{\times}
$$

as the inverse limit of $\mathrm{\Upsilon}_{E_{i} / L_{i}}: \operatorname{Gal}(E / L) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(E_{i} / L_{i}\right) \longrightarrow L_{i}^{\times} / N_{E_{i} / L_{i}} E_{i}^{\times}$with respect to the norm maps. Then $\Upsilon_{E / L}$ equals the composition of $\operatorname{Gal}(E / L) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}(N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F))$ and the homomorphism $\Upsilon_{N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F)}: \operatorname{Gal}(N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F)) \longrightarrow N(L \mid F)^{\times} / N_{N(E \mid F) / N(L \mid F)} N(E \mid F)^{\times}$. Thus, the reciprocity map in characteristic 0 or zero is connected with the reciprocity map in characteristic $p$.

Using this observation and the explicit formula for the Artin-Schereir pairing and its generalisation, the Artin-Scheirer-Witt pairing, and field of norms of a local number field contains $\mu_{p^{n}}$ and its appropriate arithmetically profinite extension $L / F$, one can obtain new proofs of explicit formulas for the $p^{r}$ th Hilbert symbol. Using the arithmetically profinite extension described in Remark 4 of (17.1) Ch. 2 one obtains explicit formulas of Shafarevich, Vostokov, ... type. Using the arithmetically profinite extension generated by all roots of order a power of $p$ one obtains explicit formulas of Kummer, Artin-Hasse, Iwasawa, ... type.

An open question is whether there is another class of arithmetically profinite extensions that can lead to a new type of explicit formulas for the Hilbert symbol.
2. Let $\pi$ be a prime element in $F$ and $\Psi_{F}(\pi)=\varphi$. Then $\left.\varphi\right|_{F \text { ur }}=\varphi_{F}$, and for the fixed field $F_{\pi}$ of $\varphi$ we get

$$
F_{\pi} \cap F^{\mathrm{ur}}=F, \quad F_{\pi} F^{\mathrm{ur}}=F^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

The prime element $\pi$ belongs to the norm group of every finite subextension $L / F$ of $F_{\pi} / F$. The $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F_{\pi}\right)$ is mapped isomorphically onto $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right)$ and the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\pi} / F\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$, the inertia subgroup of $G_{F}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$.

We have

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\pi} / F\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right), \quad \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{\pi} / F\right) \cong U_{F}, \quad \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ur}} / F\right) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

and

$$
\Psi_{F}\left(F^{\times}\right)=\langle\varphi\rangle \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)
$$

where $\langle\varphi\rangle$ is the cyclic group generated by $\varphi$.
The field $F_{\pi}$ can be explicitly generated by roots of iterated powers of the isogeny of a formal Lubin-Tate group associated to $\pi$.
3. Other approaches to class field theory of local fields with finite residue field:

- historically the first one, by Hasse, using the computation of the Brauer group of the field to define a canonical pairing of the group of characters of the field $k$ with $k^{\times}$and use its properties to derive the reciprocity map
- historically the second one, using group cohomology, e.g. Artin-Tate
- explicit cohomology-free approach of Hazewinkel (in a way the inverse to the Neukirch approach in the local field case)
- in positive characteristic Kawada-Satake's cohomology-free approach uses Artin-SchreierWitt theory and explicit pairings
- explicit cohomology-free approach using formal Lubin-Tate groups
- using $\phi-\gamma$ modules theory, by Herr.

Hazewinkel's approach to local class field theory constructs $\Psi_{L / F}: F^{\times} / N_{L / F} L^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\text {ab }}$ for a totally ramified Galois extension $L / F$ by sending $\alpha \in U_{L}$ to $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ that satisfies the congruence $\pi_{L}^{1-\sigma} \equiv \beta^{\varphi-1} \bmod U_{\mathscr{L}}^{1-\sigma}$ where $\mathscr{L}$ is the completion of $L^{\mathrm{ur}}$ and $\beta \in U_{\mathscr{L}}$ is such that $N_{L / F} \beta=\alpha$.
4. It is an open question whether there is another local class field theory with different deg, for example, using the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-quotient of the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
5. Generalisation of class field theory to local fields with quasi-finite residue field $\bar{F}$, i.e. $G_{\bar{F}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, using $A_{F}=F^{\times}$can be produced by checking axioms A1 and A2. When the residue field is infinite, existence theorem becomes much more complicated, and the formal Lubin-Tate groups approach is not extendable.

Generalisation of class field theory to local fields with perfect residue field $\bar{F}$ of characteristic $p$ such that $\bar{F} \neq \wp(\bar{F})$, i.e. the field $\bar{F}$ is not separably $p$-closed, i.e., it has nontrivial separable extensions of degree $p$. Let $F^{\text {abur }}$ denote the maximal abelian unramified $p$-extension of $F$ and let
$L / F$ be a finite Galois totally ramified $p$-extension. Fesenko's class field theory for such $F$ defines a generalisation $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ of the Neukirch method. The reciprocity map $\Upsilon_{L / F}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{abur}} / F\right), \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leadsto U_{1, F} / N_{L / F} U_{1, L}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ denotes continuous $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-homomorphisms from the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {abur }} / F\right)$ endowed with the topology of profinite group to the discrete finite group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

The group $U_{1, F} / N_{L / F} U_{1, L}$ is no longer finite if the residue field if not quasi-finite, so the numerical property in A 2 has to be replaced with the isomorphism property $U_{1, F} / N_{L / F} U_{1, L} \leadsto$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{abur}} / F\right), \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ for cyclic totally ramified extensions $L / F$ of degree $p$. In this theory one uses a generalisation of Hazewinkel's reciprocity map $\Psi_{L / F}$ and the easy to check fact that $\Psi_{L / F} \circ \Upsilon_{L / F}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is the identity map on $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

Existence theorem in this theory implies the following property: let $\pi$ be a prime element in $F$ and let $F_{\pi}$ be the compositum of all finite abelian extensions $L$ of $F$ such that $\pi \in N_{L / F} L^{\times}$. Then $F_{\pi}$ is a maximal abelian totally ramified $p$-extension of $F$ and the maximal abelian $p$-extension $F^{\text {abp }}$ of $F$ is the compositum of linearly disjoint extensions $F_{\pi}$ and $F^{\text {abur }}$. No explicit construction of $F_{\pi}$ is known unless the residue field is finite.
6. There is even a generalisation of class field theory to some partial class field theory of complete discrete valuation fields with general (i.e. possibly imperfect) residue field $\bar{F}$ of characteristic $p$ such that $\bar{F} \neq \wp(\bar{F})$. Unlike the other local class field theories, there is no induction on the degree in this theory.

At the same time, class field theory of a $n$-dimensional local field $F$, see (3.5), with last finite residue field describes abelian extensions of $F$ by using the Milnor $K_{n}(F)$-group of $F$, and induction on the degree works fine there. This theory works with $A_{F}=K_{n}(F)$ with the appropriate definitions of $v$ and deg, so that the axioms A1, A2 are satisfied. However, there is in general no Galois descent, i.e. $K_{n}(F) \not \not \nsim K_{n}(L)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L / F)}$, and the map $K_{n}(F) \longrightarrow K_{n}(L)$ induced by field embedding is not in general injective, so one needs to modify the abstract class field theory to be applicable here. The theory constructs the higher local reciprocity map $A_{F}=K_{n}(F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$ with everywhere dense image and with the kernel $\cap_{m \geqslant 1} m K_{n}(F)$, such that all the properties in section 2 hold.
7. Arithmetic non-abelian class field theory for a local field $F$ with finite residue field (Fesenko). Let $\varphi$ in the absolute Galois group $G_{F}$ of $F$ be an extension of the Frobenius automorphism $\varphi_{F}$. Let $F_{\varphi}$ be the fixed field of $\varphi$. It is a totally ramified extension of $F$ and its compositum with $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$ coincides with the maximal separable extension of $F$. For every finite subextension $E / F$ of $F_{\varphi} / F$ put $\pi_{E}=\Upsilon_{E}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{E^{\mathrm{ab}}}\right)$. Then $\pi_{E}$ is a prime element of $E$ and from functorial properties of the reciprocity maps we deduce that $\pi_{M}=N_{E / M} \pi_{E}$ for every subextension $M / F$ of $E / F$.

Let $L \subset F_{\varphi}$ be an infinite Galois totally ramified arithmetically profinite extension of $F$. Then the prime elements $\left(\pi_{E}\right)$ in finite subextensions $E$ of $F_{\varphi} / F$ supply the sequence of normcompatible prime elements $\left(\pi_{E}\right)$ in finite subextensions of $L / F$ and therefore by the theory of fields of norms a prime element $X$ of the local field $N=N(L \mid F)$. Denote by $\varphi$ the automorphism
of $N^{\mathrm{ur}}$ and of its completion $\widehat{N^{\mathrm{ur}}} \cong N\left(\widehat{L^{\mathrm{ur}}} / \widehat{F \mathrm{ur}}\right)$ corresponding to $\varphi$. Note that $N$ and $\widehat{N^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ are $G_{F}$-modules.

Define a noncommutative local reciprocity map

$$
\Theta_{L / F}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow U_{\widehat{N u t}} / U_{N}
$$

by

$$
\Theta_{L / F}(\sigma)=U \quad \bmod U_{N},
$$

where $U \in U_{\widehat{N u t}}$ satisfies the equation

$$
U^{\varphi-1}=X^{1-\sigma} .
$$

The element $U$ exists by the properties of local fields with separably closed residue field. Compare this equation with that in Remark of (2.4).

The ground component $u_{\widehat{F \mathrm{UI}}}$ of $U=\left(u_{\widehat{M^{\text {II }}}}\right)$ belongs to $F$. We have compatibility with the usual local class field theory at the lowest component:

$$
\Theta_{L / F}(\sigma)_{\widehat{F} \mathrm{ur}}=u_{\widehat{F \mathrm{Hu}}}=\Upsilon_{F}(\sigma) \quad \bmod N_{L / F} U_{L} .
$$

The reciprocity map $\Theta_{L / F}$ is injective and satisfies the 1-cocyle relation:

$$
\Theta_{L / F}(\sigma \tau)=\Theta_{L / F}(\sigma) \sigma\left(\Theta_{L / F}(\tau)\right)
$$

For arithmetically profinite extensions whose Galois group is $n$-nilpotent, this noncommutative reciprocity map iimplies Koch-de Shalit-Gurevich class field theory.

## 4. Adeles of Global Fields

4.1. To derive global class field theory from abstract class field theory in section 2 , it is not compulsory but very useful to use rings of adeles of global fields. The material of this and next sections may be viewed as an extended part of algebraic number theory.

A global field $F$ is either a number field, i.e. a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, or a global function field, i.e. a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$.

The largest finite subfield of a global function field is called its constant field or field of constants.

Note that every finitely generated extension $F$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ of transcendence degree 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a global function field. Indeed, if $F=\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ with $a_{1}$ transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, then by induction one can assume that $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(a_{2}\right)$, so $F$ is a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Find a non-zero irreducible polynomial $f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=0$, it contains a term in which the degree of $X_{i}$ is prime to $p$ for $i$ equal 1 or 2 , and then $F$ is separable over $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(a_{j}\right)$ where $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$.

Many results of basic algebraic number theory hold for global function fields, with $\mathbb{Z}$ replaced by $\mathbb{F}_{p}[t]$. The ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ of a global field is a Dedekind ring, hence with unique factorisation of non-zero proper ideals into the product of maximal ideals. The norm $N(I)$ of ideals
is a multiplicative function and the maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ lying over maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ are described similarly to the number field case. Instead of working with the ideal class group of the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ it is better to work with the Picard group of an associated smooth irreducible projective curve, as we will see later in this section.

DEFINITION. A completion $F_{v}$ of $F$ is a local field with finite residue field or $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ such that there is a ring isomorphism $\xi$ between $F$ and its dense subfield.

Two completions $F_{v}, F_{v}^{\prime}$ of $F$ are called equivalent if there is a ring isomorphism $\tau: F_{v} \longrightarrow F_{v}^{\prime}$ such that $\xi=\xi^{\prime} \circ \tau$.

A place of $F$ is an equivalence class of completions of $F$. A place is called (archimedean or infinite) real, resp. complex, if the completion is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, resp. $\mathbb{C}$. The rest of the places is called finite or non-archimedean.

## EXAMPLES.

1. Finite places of $\mathbb{Q}$ correspond to positive primes, and there is one infinite real place.
2. A complex place has two representatives, a complex embedding and its composite with complex conjugation.
3. All places of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ are finite, they correspond to monic irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ or to -deg , see Example 2 of (1.3).

Similarly to section 9 ,

DEFINITION. For a finite separable extension $L / F$ of global fields a place $w$ of $L$ is said to lie over a place $v$ of $F$, we write $w \mid v$, if $L_{w} / F_{v}$ is a finite extension of complete fields.

Due to Remark 1 of (9.7) Ch.2, for a finite separable extension $L / F$ of global fields and a place $v$ of $F$ places $w$ of $L$ over $v$ are determined from the isomorphisms

$$
L \otimes_{F} F_{v} \cong \oplus_{w \mid v} L_{w}
$$

(the same argument as in (9.7) Ch. 2 works for infinite places as well). So

$$
\sum_{w \mid v} e(w \mid v) f(w \mid v)=\sum_{w \mid v}\left|L_{w}: F_{v}\right|=|L: F|
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}(\alpha)=\sum_{w \mid v} \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{w} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{w}\right), \quad N_{L / F}(\alpha)=\prod_{w \mid v} N_{L_{w} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{w}\right)
$$

where $\left(\alpha_{w}\right)$ is the image of an element $\alpha$ of $L$ in $\oplus_{w \mid v} L_{w}$.
Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension. Let a place $w$ of $L$ lie over a place $v$ of $F$. The group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ acts on the set of $w$ over $v$, and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ induces an isomorphism $L_{w} \cong L_{\sigma w}$. The decomposition group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w}$ of $w$ in $L / F$ is the subgroup $\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F): \sigma w=w\}$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w}$ induces a $K_{v}$-automorphism of $L_{w}$, which is the continuous extension of $\sigma$ from $L$ to $L_{w}$. The restriction of automorphisms gives the injective map
$i_{w}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{w} / F_{v}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ whose image is $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w}$.

4.2. The name 'adele' in number theory is the evolution of 'ideal number' $\rightarrow$ 'ideal' $\rightarrow$ 'idele' $\rightarrow$ 'additive idele' $\rightarrow$ 'adele'.

DEFINITION. For a global field $F$ its ring of adeles $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ is the restricted product of all its nonequivalent completions

$$
\mathrm{A}_{F}=\prod^{\prime} F_{v}=\left\{\alpha=\left(\alpha_{v}\right): \alpha_{v} \in F_{v}, \alpha_{v} \in \mathscr{O}_{v} \text { for almost all } v\right\}
$$

where $v$ runs through all places of $F$, and $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ is the ring of integers of $F_{v}$ for finite $v$. So we do not need to know what the rings of integers of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ are.

Equivalently, $\mathrm{A}_{F}=\underset{\lim _{S}}{ } \mathrm{~A}_{F}(S), \mathrm{A}_{F}(S)=\prod_{v \in S} F_{v} \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathscr{O}_{v}$ with $S$ running through all finite subsets of places of $F$ containing all infinite places. The addition and multiplication on $\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$ are component-wise.

DEFINITION. Define the translation invariant topology on the additive group $\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$ as the product topology on the topology of the additive group $F_{v}$ for $v \in S$ and the topology of the additive group $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ for $v \notin S$. Since $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ are compact and $F_{v}$ are locally compact, $\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$ is locally compact. Endow the additive group of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ with the direct limit topology $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$, so $\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$ are open subrings of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$. This topology is translation invariant. A fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero in $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ is formed by $\prod_{v \in S} W_{v} \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathscr{O}_{v}$ where $W_{v}$ are open neighbourhoods of zero in $F_{v}$. Since each $F_{v}$ and $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ are complete topological space, $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ is a complete topological space. Since $\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$ are locally compact, $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ is locally compact.

We have the canonical diagonal injective homomorphism

$$
F \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{F}, \quad a \mapsto(a)
$$

We will identify $F$ with its image in $\mathrm{A}_{F}$.
So the set of all $\prod_{v \in S} W_{v} \times \prod_{v \notin S} O_{v}$ with open neighbourhoods $W_{v}$ of 0 in $F_{v}$ and $S$ running through finite subsets of places of $F$ containing all infinite places, is a basis of fundamental neighbourhoods of 0 in $\mathrm{A}_{F}$.

Due to the relation between completions in finite field extensions, for a finite separable extension $L / F$ of global fields we immediately deduce

$$
\mathrm{A}_{L} \cong \mathrm{~A}_{F} \otimes_{F} L
$$

Hence we have (see also (4.1)) the norm map $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}, N_{L / F}: \mathrm{A}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{F}$ satisfy

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}\left(\left(\alpha_{w}\right)\right)_{v}=\sum_{w \mid v} N_{L_{w} / F_{v}} \alpha_{w}, \quad N_{L / F}\left(\left(\alpha_{w}\right)\right)_{v}=\prod_{w \mid v} N_{L_{w} / F_{v}} \alpha_{w}
$$

4.3. Proposition. The topological additive group of a completion $F_{v}$ of a global field is topologically self-dual: it is non-canonically isomorphic to its character group $X\left(F_{v}\right)$.

The topological additive group of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ is topologically self-dual: it is non-canonically isomorphic to its character group $X\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$.
$F$ is discrete in $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$ is compact.
Proof. Let $k=\mathbb{Q}$ in characteristic zero and $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ in positive characteristic and a global field $F$ be a finite separable extension of $k$.

The additive group $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p}+A_{p}$ where $A_{p}=\left\{a / p^{n}: a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geqslant 0\right\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \cap A_{p}=\mathbb{Z}$, so we get a continuous additive homomorphism $\omega_{p}: \mathbb{Q}_{p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p} \leadsto A_{p} / \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ by sending $z+a$ to $a \bmod \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, a \in A_{p}$. We have $\omega_{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=0$.

On the additive group of $F_{v}=\mathbb{F}_{p}((t))$ we get a continuous additive homomorphism $\omega_{v}: \mathbb{F}_{p}((t)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}((t)) / \mathbb{F}_{p}[[t]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ which sends $\sum a_{i} t^{i}$ to $\psi\left(a_{-1}\right)=\psi \circ \operatorname{res}_{t}\left(\sum a_{i} t^{i} d t\right)$ where $\psi$ is a homomorphism which sends $1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ to $1 / p \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ and res ${ }_{t}$ is as in (3.5). We have $\omega_{v}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)=0$.

Using these homomorphisms, define their analogs for completions of $F$.
For an archimedean completion $F_{v}$ denote by $\psi_{v}^{0}$ its character

$$
\alpha \mapsto \exp \left(-2 \pi i \operatorname{Tr}_{F_{v} / \mathbb{R}}(\alpha)\right)
$$

For a non-archimedean completion $F_{v}$ in characteristic zero denote by $\psi_{v}^{0}$ its character

$$
\alpha \mapsto \exp \left(2 \pi i \omega_{p} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{F_{v} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\alpha)\right) .
$$

For a non-archimedean completion $F_{v}$ in characteristic $p$ denote by $\psi_{v}^{0}$ its character

$$
\alpha \mapsto \exp \left(2 \pi i \omega_{v} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{F_{v} / \mathbb{F}_{p}((t))}(\alpha)\right)
$$

Since the trace sends integral elements to integral elements, we deduce $\psi_{v}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)=1$ for all finite places $v$.

Denote the character $\alpha \mapsto \psi_{v}^{0}(\alpha \gamma)$ by $\gamma \psi_{v}^{0}$. For every character $\psi_{v}$ of $F_{v}$ one can find $\gamma \in F_{v}$ such that $\psi_{v}(\alpha)=\gamma \psi_{v}^{0}$, by choosing its successive coefficients of powers of a prime element appropriately. Indeed, since $\psi_{v}$ is continuous, there is integer $m$ such that $\psi_{v}\left(\mathscr{M}_{v}^{m}\right)=1, \psi_{v}\left(\mathscr{M}_{v}^{m-1}\right) \neq 1$, and there is a similar $m_{0}$ for $\psi_{v}^{0}$. If $\pi_{v}$ is a prime element of $F_{v}$, let $\gamma=\theta_{m_{0}-m} \pi_{v}^{m_{0}-m}+\ldots$ with a non-zero multiplicative representative $\theta_{m_{0}-m} \in \mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times}$such that the induced by $\psi_{v}$ character of the finite field $k(v)=\mathscr{M}_{v}^{m-1} / \mathscr{M}_{v}^{m}$ coincides with the character induced by $\gamma \psi_{v}^{0}$. Then ${ }_{\gamma} \psi_{v}^{0} \psi_{v}^{-1}$ vanishes on $\mathscr{M}_{v}^{m-1}$. Repeat the procedure to get $\gamma=\theta_{m_{0}-m} \pi_{v}^{m_{0}-m}+\theta_{1+m_{0}-m} \pi_{v}^{1+m_{0}-m}+\cdots \in F_{v}$, etc. Thus, $X\left(F_{v}\right)=\left\{\gamma \psi_{v}^{0}: \gamma \in F_{v}\right\} \leadsto F_{v}$.

Open neighbourhoods in $X\left(F_{v}\right)$ of the character $\psi^{1}, \psi^{1}\left(F_{v}\right)=1$, are $W(U)=\left\{\psi \in X\left(F_{v}\right)\right.$ : $\left.\psi\left(B_{v}\right) \subset U\right\}$ where $U$ runs through open neighbourhoods of 1 of the complex unit circle and $B_{v}$ is some fixed nontrivial closed ball of $F_{v}$. The set $\left\{\gamma \in F_{v}: \gamma \psi_{v}^{0} \in W(U)\right\}$ equals $W=\left\{\gamma \in F_{v}\right.$ : $\left.\psi_{v}^{0}\left(\gamma B_{v}\right) \subset U\right\}$ which is open in $F_{v}$. Conversely, for any non-empty open subset $V$ of $F_{v}$ the set $\left\{\gamma \psi_{v}^{0}: \gamma \in V\right\}$ is open in $X\left(F_{v}\right)$ since $V$ contains an open set $\left\{\gamma \in V: \psi_{v}^{0}\left(\gamma B_{v}\right) \subset U\right\}$ for some open $U$ and hence $\left\{{ }_{\gamma} \psi_{v}^{0}: \gamma \in V\right\}$ contains $W(U)$.

Then the pairing $F_{v} \times F_{v} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z},(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \psi_{v}^{0}(\alpha \beta)$ induces an algebraic and topological isomorphism of the additive group $F_{v}$ and its group of characters $X\left(F_{v}\right)$. For $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ these are classical statements.

A character $\psi$ of the additive group of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ induces a character of $\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)$ and $\psi_{v}$ on $F_{v}$ which is trivial on almost all $\mathscr{O}_{v}$, so $\psi(\alpha)=\prod \psi_{v}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$. Conversely, if $\psi_{v}$ are characters of $F_{v}$ trivial on almost all $\mathscr{O}_{v}$, then $\left(\alpha_{v}\right) \mapsto \Pi \psi_{v}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ is a character of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$. Thus, we have the character

$$
\psi^{0}=\psi_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}^{0}=\prod_{v} \psi_{v}^{0}
$$

The definitions imply that for a finite separable extension of global fields we have

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{A}_{L}}^{0}=\psi_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}^{0} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / F}
$$

Similarly to the local situation, the pairing $\mathrm{A}_{F} \times \mathrm{A}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z},(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \psi^{0}(\alpha \beta)$ induces an (algebraic and topological) isomorphism of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ with its group of characters.

Due to the formula $\mathrm{A}_{L}=\mathrm{A}_{F} \otimes_{F} L$ for a finite separable field extension $L / F$, it suffices to show the last claim of the Proposition for $k$. In the first case, by using the first paragraph of the proof, $\mathrm{A}_{k}=k+\mathrm{A}_{k}(\infty), \mathrm{A}_{k}(\infty)=\Pi \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{R}$, and $k \cap \mathrm{~A}_{k}(\infty)=\mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have a homeomorphism $\mathrm{A}_{k} / k \leadsto \mathrm{~A}_{k}(\infty) / \mathbb{Z}$. The group $\mathbb{Z}$ is discrete in $\mathrm{A}_{k}(\infty)$ as one immediately sees looking at the real component, hence $\mathbb{Q}$ is discrete in $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Also, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathbb{Q}+\Pi \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times[-1 / 2,1 / 2], \mathbb{Q} \cap \Pi \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times$ $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]=\{0\}$, where $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$ is isomorphic to the complex unit circle with respect to $\alpha \mapsto \exp (2 \pi i \alpha)$. We obtain a homeomorphism of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}$ with the compact set $\Pi \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. In the case of positive characteristic, using $k_{v}=\mathscr{O}_{v}+k \cap \mathrm{~A}_{k}(\{v\})$ for every place $v$, we deduce $\mathrm{A}_{k}=\mathrm{A}_{k}(\emptyset)+k$. Since $k \cap \mathrm{~A}_{k}(\emptyset)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$, we get a homeomorphism $\mathrm{A}_{k} / k \leadsto \prod_{v} \mathscr{O}_{v} / \mathbb{F}_{p}$, so $k$ is discrete in $\mathrm{A}_{k}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{k} / k$ is compact.

REMARKS. 1. For the character (sometimes called standard character) $\psi^{0}$ we have $\psi^{0}(F)=1$. Due to the definitions, it suffices to check that $\psi^{0}(k)=1$. In characteristic zero this follows from $-\alpha+\sum_{p} \omega_{p}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, since $v_{q}\left(\omega_{p}(\alpha)+\mathbb{Z}\right) \geqslant 0$ if $p \neq q$ and $v_{p}\left(\omega_{p}(\alpha)-\alpha\right) \geqslant 0$.

In positive characteristic, it is sufficient to check for a rational function $f(t)=g(t) / h(t)^{n} \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ where $h(t)$ is an irreducible monic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ corresponding to a discrete valuation $v$ and $\operatorname{deg}(g)<n \operatorname{deg}(h)$. We have $\psi_{-\operatorname{deg}}^{0}(f)=\psi \circ \operatorname{res}_{t^{-1}}\left(f(t) d t^{-1}\right)=\psi\left(\operatorname{res}_{t}(f(t) d t)\right)=\psi(-a)$ where $a$ is the coefficient of degree $n \operatorname{deg}(h)-1$ of $g$. If $\alpha$ is a root of $h(t)$, then $h(t)=\prod\left(t-\sigma_{i} \alpha\right)$ with $\sigma_{i}$ running through the Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(\alpha) / \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Writing $f(t)=\sum_{i} \sum_{m \geqslant-n} a_{m}^{(i)}\left(t-\sigma_{i} \alpha\right)^{m}$ we obtain that the $t^{-1}$ coefficient of $f(t)$ is

$$
\sum_{i} \operatorname{res}_{t-\sigma_{i}(\alpha)}(f(t) d t)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(\alpha) / \mathbb{F}_{q}} \operatorname{res}_{t-\alpha}(f(t) d t)
$$

Hence $\psi_{h(t)}^{0}(f)=\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(\alpha) / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \circ \operatorname{res}_{t-\alpha}(f(t) d t)=\psi(a)$. Thus, $\psi^{0}(F)=1$.
2. The orthogonal complement $F^{\perp}$ of $F$ with respect to $\psi^{0}$ is $F$. Indeed, this complement is isomorphic to the group of characters of the compact group $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$, hence it is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$. Hence $F^{\perp} / F$ is a discrete subgroup of the compact $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$, so it is finite. Therefore, since $F^{\perp}$ is an $F$-vector space, it coincides with $F$.
4.4. Adeles in the function field case and the Riemann-Roch theorem. Let $F$ be a global function field, i.e. the function field of a smooth proper irreducible curve $\mathscr{C}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For a divisor $d=\sum v(d)[v]$ of the curve $\mathscr{C}$ define

$$
\mathrm{A}_{F}(d)=\left\{\alpha=\left(\alpha_{v}\right) \in \mathrm{A}_{F}: v\left(\alpha_{v}\right) \geqslant-v(d) \text { for all } v\right\}
$$

where $[v]$ is the class of the valuation (or the closed point which defines it). In particular, $\mathrm{A}_{F}(0)=$ $\mathrm{A}_{F}(\emptyset)$. We have an adelic complex

$$
\mathscr{A}_{F}(d): F \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{F}(d) \longrightarrow \mathrm{A}_{F},(a, b) \mapsto a-b
$$

and $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)=F \cap \mathrm{~A}_{F}(d), H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)=\mathrm{A}_{F} /\left(F+\mathrm{A}_{F}(d)\right)$.
Characters of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with continuous linear maps from $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. One can easily show that the space of continuous linear maps from $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ which vanish on $F$, is isomorphic to $\Omega_{F / \mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}$ (we will not use this fact).

For a non-zero differential form $\omega \in \Omega_{F / \mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}$ define a map $d_{\omega}:\left(\alpha_{v}\right) \mapsto \sum_{v} \operatorname{Tr}_{k(v) / \mathbb{F}_{q}} \operatorname{res}_{v}\left(\alpha_{v} \omega\right)$, where $k(v)$ is the residue field of $F_{v}$ and $\operatorname{res}_{v}\left(\beta_{v} d \pi\right)$ for $F_{v}$ is $\operatorname{res}_{\pi}\left(\beta_{v} d \pi\right)$ in (3.5) for a prime element $\pi$ of $F_{v}$. There are only finitely many non-zero terms in the sum, since almost all $\alpha_{v} \in \mathscr{O}_{v}$ and $\omega$ has poles at finitely many places. The map $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \circ d_{\omega}$ is closely related to $\psi^{0}$ of its function field.

Composing with the multiplication $\mathrm{A}_{F} \times \mathrm{A}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{F}$ we get the differential pairing

$$
\mathrm{A}_{F} \times \mathrm{A}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, \quad(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \sum_{v} \operatorname{Tr}_{k(v) / \mathbb{F}_{q}} \operatorname{res}_{v}\left(\alpha_{v} \beta_{v} \omega\right)
$$

For a subspace $H$ denote $H^{\perp}=\left\{\beta \in \mathrm{A}_{F}:(H, \beta)=0\right\}$. By Remark 2 in the previous subsection, $F^{\perp}=F$. The complement $\mathrm{A}_{F}(0)^{\perp}$ of $\mathrm{A}_{F}(0)$ with respect to the pairing is $\mathrm{A}_{F}(\kappa), \kappa$ is the divisor of $\omega$ and is called a canonical divisor of $\mathscr{C}$. We get $\mathrm{A}_{F}(d)^{\perp}=\mathrm{A}_{F}(\kappa-d)$, hence the space of continuous linear maps from $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{F} / H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)^{\perp}$, i.e. to $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(\kappa-d)\right)$. The space $\mathrm{A}_{F}(0)$ and hence $\mathrm{A}_{F}(d)$ are compact, and their intersection with $F$ is discrete, which implies that $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)$ is of finite $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-dimension and so is $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)$. We now obtain $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(\kappa-d)\right)$ and $\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(d):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)-$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)=\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(\kappa-d)$.

We will use the virtual dimension of two $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-commensurable spaces $G, H$ (i.e $G \cap H$ is of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-finite codimension in each of them $), \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(G: H):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} G /(G \cap H)-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H /(G \cap H)$. Noting it is additive on short exact sequences and comparing $\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)$ and $\mathscr{A}_{F}(0)$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} d=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}(d): \mathrm{A}_{F}(0)\right)=\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(d)-\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(0)
$$

Using formulas

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} d=\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(d)-\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(0), \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(\kappa-d)\right)
$$

we get
$-\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} d=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(0)\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(\kappa)\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(\kappa-d)\right)$.
Thus, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(d)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(\kappa-d)\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} d+\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(0),
$$

the adelic Riemann-Roch Theorem. If $\mathscr{C}$ is geometrically irreducible then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(0)\right)=1$ and $\chi_{\mathscr{A}_{F}}(0)=1-g$ where $g$ is the genus $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} H^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}_{F}(0)\right)$.

REMARK. This adelic proof is extendable to any (not necessarily smooth) proper irreducible curve over a perfect field (in particular, $\mathbb{C}$ ) by working with its adelic space and complex.

## 5. Ideles of Global Fields

5.1. Ideles is the multiplicative group of the ring of adeles $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ :

$$
J_{F}=\mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}=\prod^{\prime} F_{v}^{\times}=\left\{\alpha=\left(\alpha_{v}\right): \alpha_{v} \in F_{v}^{\times}, \alpha_{v} \in U_{v} \text { for almost all } v\right\}
$$

where $v$ runs through all places of $F, U_{v}=\mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times}$.
Its topology is not the induced topology from $\mathrm{A}_{F}$. Namely, the topology of $\mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}$is the induced topology from $\mathrm{A}_{F} \times \mathrm{A}_{F}$ in which $\mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}$is viewed with respect to the embedding $\alpha \mapsto\left(\alpha, \alpha^{-1}\right)$. Then $J_{F}$ is a topological group. Note that the topology of the multiplicative group of a complete discrete valuation field is the induced topology from $F \times F$ in which $F^{\times}$is viewed with respect to the embedding $\alpha \mapsto\left(\alpha, \alpha^{-1}\right)$, see (18.1) Ch.2; hence the topology of $J_{F}$ induces the usual topology on each local multiplicative $F_{v} \times$.

For a finite set $S$ of places containing all archimedean ones in characteristic zero denote $J_{F}(S)=\mathrm{A}_{F}(S)^{\times}=\prod_{v \in S} F_{v}^{\times} \times \prod_{v \notin S} U_{v}$. Then $J_{F}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim J_{F}(S)}$. Define the translation invariant topology on $J_{F}(S)$ as the product topology on the topology of $F_{v} \times$ for $v \in S$ and the topology of $\mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times}$for $v \notin S$. Since $\mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times}$are compact and $F_{v}^{\times}$are locally compact, $J_{F}(S)$ is locally compact. The direct limit topology of $J_{F}$ is equivalent to the previously defined topology. Then $J_{F}$ is locally compact.

We have the diagonal injective homomorphism $F^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}$. We will identify $F^{\times}$with its image in $\mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}$.

The quotient $C_{F}=\mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times} / F^{\times}$is called the idele class group.
DEFINITION. For a local field with (surjective) discrete valuation $v$ and finite residue field define the normalised absolute value $|\alpha|_{v}=|k(v)|^{-v(\alpha)}$ where $|k(v)|$ is the cardinality of the residue field $k(v)$. For a field isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ define its absolute value as the usual absolute value, for a field isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ define its absolute value is the square of the usual complex norm/module. Note that the triangle inequality does not hold for this absolute value on $\mathbb{C}$.

Due to Theorem (9.5) Ch. 2 for an extension $L_{w} / F_{v}$ of complete discrete valuation fields, the normalised absolute values are related by the formula

$$
|\alpha|_{w}=\left|N_{L_{w} / F_{v}} \alpha\right|_{v}
$$

since $w=f(w \mid v)^{-1} v \circ N_{L_{w} / F_{v}},|k(w)|=|k(v)|^{f(w \mid v)}$. Also, for the extension of archimedean completions $L_{w} / F_{v}$ we have the same formula $|\alpha|_{w}=\left|N_{L_{w} / F_{v}} \alpha\right|_{v}$ as easily checked from the definitions.

When $F^{0}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ we have the product formula $\prod_{v}|\alpha|_{v}=1$ for $\alpha \in F^{\times}$where $v$ runs through all places of $F^{0}$. Hence, for a global field $F$ and $\alpha \in F^{\times}$we obtain the product formula

$$
\prod_{w}|\alpha|_{w}=\prod_{v} \prod_{w \mid v}\left|N_{F_{w} / F_{v}^{0}} \alpha\right|_{v}=\prod_{v}\left|N_{F / F^{0}} \alpha\right|_{v}=1
$$

REMARK. Approximation Theorem (2.8) for discrete valuations can be rewritten as a statement about non-equivalent absolute values $\left|\left.\right|_{\nu}\right.$, and then to also include archimedean absolute values, with exactly the same proof. Thus, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and finitely many distinct places $v_{i}$ and elements $\alpha_{i} \in F_{v_{i}}$ there is an element $a \in F$ such that $\left|a-\alpha_{i}\right|_{v_{i}}<\varepsilon$ for all $i$.

In particular, for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}$and $\varepsilon_{i}>0$ there is $a \in F^{\times}$such that $\left|a-\alpha_{v_{i}}^{-1}\right|_{v_{i}}<\varepsilon_{i}$.
Thus, given positive integer $n_{v_{i}}$ and choosing $\varepsilon_{i}=\left|\alpha_{v_{i}}\right|_{v_{i}}^{-1}|k(v)|^{-n_{v_{i}}}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}=\left|\alpha_{v_{i}}\right|_{v_{i}}^{-1}$ at real $v_{i}$, there is $a \in F^{\times}$such that $a \alpha_{v_{i}} \in U_{F_{v_{i}}, n_{v_{i}}}$ for finite $v_{i}$ and $a \alpha_{v_{i}}>0$ for real $v_{i}$.

The adelic module

$$
\left|\left|=\prod\right|\right|_{v}: J_{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}
$$

is a continuous homomorphism. Its image is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$in the number field case: look, for example, at the image of ideles with only one infinite place component different from 1. Its image is an infinite cyclic group in positive characteristic: for each completion the image of the local absolute value is a nontrivial subgroup of $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $q$ is the cardinality of the largest finite subfield of $F$. Hence the image of the adelic module is its nontrivial subgroup as well.

Its kernel $J_{F}^{1}$ is a closed subgroup of $J_{F}$.
Since $F$ is discrete in $\mathrm{A}_{F}, F^{\times}$is a discrete subgroup of $J_{F}$. Due to the product formula $F^{\times}$is a subgroup of $J_{F}^{1}$. It is closed since the intersection of $F^{\times}$with $\left\{\left(\alpha_{v}\right):\left|\alpha_{v}\right|=1\right.$ for $\left.v \neq v_{0},\left|\alpha_{v_{0}}\right|<1\right\}$ is 1 . Thus, $C_{F}^{1}=J_{F}^{1} / F^{\times}$is a closed subgroup of $C_{F}$.

DEFINITION. Let $S$ be a finite set, containing $S_{\infty}$ in the number field case. The intersection

$$
F^{\times}(S)=F^{\times} \cap J_{F}(S)=\left\{\alpha \in F^{\times}:|\alpha|_{v}=1 \text { for all } v \notin S\right\}
$$

is called the group of $S$-units of $F$.
In particular, $F^{\times}\left(S_{\infty}\right)=F^{\times} \cap \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} F_{v}^{\times} \times \prod_{v \notin S_{\infty}} \mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times}$is the group of units $\mathscr{O}_{F}^{\times}$of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.
The quotient $C_{F}(S)=J_{F}(S) / F^{\times}(S)$ is called the group of $S$-idele classes of $F$.
Put $C_{F}^{1}(S)=J_{F}^{1}(S) / F^{\times}(S)$.
Lemma. The topology of $J_{F}^{1}$ induced by the topology of $J_{F}$ is equivalent to the topology induced by the topology of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$.

Proof. If $1 \in V \cap J_{F}^{1}$ for an $A_{F}$-neighbourhood $V$ of 1 of the type $\left|\beta_{v}-1\right|_{v}<\varepsilon$ for $v \in S$ and $\left|\beta_{v}\right|_{v} \leqslant 1$ for $v \notin S$ for a finite set $S$, then $V \cap J_{F}^{1} \supset W \cap J_{F}^{1}$ with a $J_{F}$-neighbourhood $W$ for which $\leqslant$ is replaced with $=$ for $v \notin S$. If $1 \in W \cap J_{F}^{1}$ for an $J_{F}$-neighbourhood $W$ of 1 of the type $\left|\beta_{v}-1\right|_{v}<\varepsilon$ for $v \in S$ and $\left|\beta_{v}\right|_{v}=1$ for $v \notin S$ for a finite set $S$ containing all infinite places, we can assume $\varepsilon$ is small enough so that $|\beta|<2$. Since the nearest to and smaller than 1 element of $\left|F_{v} \times\right|_{v}$ is $p^{-1} \leqslant 1 / 2$, we deduce that $W \cap J_{F}^{1}=V \cap J_{F}^{1}$ with an $\mathrm{A}_{F}$-neighbourhood $V$ for which $=$ is replaced with $\leqslant$ for $v \notin S$.
5.2. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension of global fields, $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. The group $G$ acts on $\mathrm{A}_{L}, \sigma\left(\alpha_{w}\right)=\left(\sigma \alpha_{w}\right)_{\sigma w}$. We have $\sigma w=w$ iff $\sigma$ belongs to the decomposition subgroup $G_{w} \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{w} / F_{v}\right)$ where $v$ is the place of $F$ under $w$.

The $G$-fixed elements are $\mathrm{A}_{L}^{G}=\mathrm{A}_{F}, J_{L}^{G}=J_{F}$.
Lemma. For a separable extension $L / F$ the map $C_{F} \longrightarrow C_{L}$ induced by $J_{F} \longrightarrow J_{L}$ is injective. For a finite Galois extension $L / F$ the group $C_{L}$ is a $G$-module and $C_{L}^{G}=C_{F}$.

Proof. To check the first assertion, we can assume $L / F$ is a finite Galois extension, then $J_{F} \cap L^{\times} \subset$ $\left(J_{F} \cap L^{\times}\right)^{G}=J_{F} \cap F^{\times}$.

For the second assertion, we only need to show the surjectivity of $J_{L}^{G}=J_{F} \longrightarrow C_{L}^{G}$. Let $\alpha \in J_{L}, \sigma \in G$, and $\sigma\left(\alpha L^{\times}\right)=\alpha L^{\times}$. Then $\sigma \alpha=\alpha \beta_{\sigma}$ for some $\beta_{\sigma} \in L^{\times}$, and $\beta_{\sigma \tau}=\beta_{\sigma} \beta_{\tau}^{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Since automorphisms $\sigma \in G$ are linearly independent as $L$-operators, there is $\delta \in L^{\times}$ such that $\gamma^{-1}=\sum_{\tau \in G} \beta_{\tau} \delta^{\tau} \in L^{\times}$. Then $\gamma^{\sigma}=\sum_{\tau} \beta_{\tau}^{\sigma} \delta^{\sigma \tau}=\beta_{\sigma}^{-1} \gamma^{-1}$, so $\alpha^{\sigma-1}=\beta_{\sigma}=\gamma^{\sigma-1}$ for all $\sigma$, hence $\alpha \gamma^{-1} \in J_{F}$ and $\alpha L^{\times}=\left(\alpha \gamma^{-1}\right) L^{\times}$.

Proposition. In a finite separable extension $L / F$ only finitely many places $v$ of $F$ have at least one ramification index $e(w \mid v)>1$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{L}$ such that $L=F(\alpha)$. Denote by $K$ the largest ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ which is contained in the subset $\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Every maximal ideal $Q$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ not dividing $K$ satisfies $Q+K=\mathscr{O}_{L}$ and taking the $n$th power, it satisfies $Q^{n}+K=\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Hence for every maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ such that $P \mathscr{O}_{L}=\Pi Q_{i}^{e_{i}}$ with $Q_{i}$ not dividing $K$, we have $P \mathscr{O}_{L}+K=\mathscr{O}_{L}$ and $P \mathscr{O}_{L}+\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]=\mathscr{O}_{L}$. Then $\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha] \cap P \mathscr{O}_{L}=\left(P \mathscr{O}_{L}+\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]\right)\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha] \cap P \mathscr{O}_{L}\right) \subset P \mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]$ and so $\mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha] \cap P \mathscr{O}_{L}=P \mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha]$. Therefore,

$$
\mathscr{O}_{L} / P \mathscr{O}_{L} \cong \mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha] / P \mathscr{O}_{F}[\alpha] \cong\left(\mathscr{O}_{F} / P\right)[X] /(\bar{f})
$$

where $f$ is the monic irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F$. Then the factorisation $\bar{f}=\Pi \bar{f}_{i}^{e_{i}}$ into powers of irreducible polynomials $\bar{f}_{i}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{F} / P$ corresponds to the factorisation of $P \mathscr{O}_{L}=\Pi Q_{i}^{e_{i}}$ into the product of maximal ideals $Q_{i}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ and $Q_{i}=P \mathscr{O}_{L}+f_{i}(\alpha) \mathscr{O}_{L}$, the proof is entirely similar to that to the proof of Theorem (3.5.9) Ch.1. The product $\prod_{i} e_{i}=1$ iff $\bar{f}$ has no multiple roots iff the discriminant of $f$ is not in $Q$ (and $Q$ does not divide $K$ ). Thus, there are only finitely many maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ which have at least one ramification index $>1$ in $L / F$.

Corollary. For a finite Galois extension $L / F$ the norm group $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ is an open subgroup of $C_{F}$.

Proof. By the previous Lemma almost all places $v$ of $F$ are unramified in $L / F$. The norm map in finite unramified extensions sends the group of units surjectively on the group of units. For the remaining finitely many places the local norm is continuous and open, see the proof of Theorem (3.2) in the case of finite places and the case of infinite places is obvious. Open neighbourhoods of 1 in $J_{F}$ contain the product of the group of local units for almost all places. Thus, we deduce that $N_{L / F}: J_{L} \longrightarrow J_{F}$ is continuous and open. Hence for a finite Galois extension $L / F$ the norm group $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ is an open subgroup of $C_{F}$.
5.3. For a non-zero element $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}_{F}$ and a maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ the valuation $v_{P}(\alpha)$ is the power of $P$ participating in the factorisation of the principal ideal $\alpha \mathscr{O}_{F}$ into the product of maximal ideals. This immediately extends by multiplicativity to the discrete valuation $v_{P}$ of $F$ and its completion $F_{v_{P}}$.

In the number field case we have a surjective continuous homomorphism

$$
\rho: J_{F} \longrightarrow I_{F}, \quad \rho\left(\left(\alpha_{v}\right)\right)=\prod_{P} P^{v_{P}\left(\alpha_{v_{P}}\right)}
$$

where $I_{F}$ is the group of fractional ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ generated by maximal ideals $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and endowed with the discrete topology. The kernel of $\rho$ is $J_{F}\left(S_{\infty}\right)$ where $S_{\infty}$ is the set of all infinite places of $F$. Adjusting archimedean components, we see that $\rho$ induces a surjective homomorphism $J_{F}^{1} \longrightarrow I_{F}$. The image $\rho\left(F^{\times}\right)$is the group $P_{F}$ of principal fractional ideals. Hence we have the induced isomorphism $J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} J_{F}\left(S_{\infty}\right)\right) \leadsto I_{F} / P_{F}$ with the class group of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. We also have a surjective continuous homomorphism

$$
\bar{\rho}: C_{F}^{1} \longrightarrow I_{F} / P_{F}
$$

In positive characteristic, let $\mathscr{C}$ be a smooth proper geometrically irreducible curve over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with the function field $F$. We have a surjective continuous homomorphism

$$
\rho: J_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Div}(\mathscr{C}), \quad \rho\left(\left(\alpha_{v}\right)\right)=\sum v\left(\alpha_{v}\right)[v]
$$

where $[v]$ is the class of the closed point of $\mathscr{C}$ corresponding to $v$. The kernel of $\rho$ is $J_{F}(\emptyset)$. The group $\operatorname{Div}(\mathscr{C})$ is endowed with the discrete topology. The image $\rho\left(F^{\times}\right)$is the group of principal divisors $\operatorname{PDiv}(\mathscr{C})$. Hence we have an induced isomorphism $J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} J_{F}(\emptyset)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Div}(\mathscr{C}) / \operatorname{PDiv}(\mathscr{C})$ isomorphic to the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathscr{C})$ of $\mathscr{C}$. It induces the surjective continuous homomorphism

$$
\bar{\rho}: J_{F}^{1} /\left(F^{\times} J_{F}(\emptyset)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathscr{C})
$$

the latter is the degree zero subgroup of the Picard group of $\mathscr{C}$.
Also, forgetting the components of ideles for valuations lying over $v_{\infty}$, we have, similar to the number field case, a continuous homomorphism

$$
\rho: J_{F} \longrightarrow I_{F}, \quad \rho\left(\left(\alpha_{v}\right)\right)=\prod_{P} P^{v_{P}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)}
$$

where $P$ runs through maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$.
Proposition. $C_{F}^{1}$ and $C_{F}^{1}(S)$ are compact. $C_{F}$ and $C_{F}(S)$ are locally compact.
Proof. Let's show that there is a constant $c>0$ such that for every adele $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{v}\right)_{v} \in \mathrm{~A}_{F}$ with $|\alpha|>c$ there is an element $a \in F^{\times}$such that $|a|_{v} \leqslant\left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}$ for all places $v$. By (4.3) $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$ is a compact abelian group, let $\mu_{0}$ be its probability measure and let $\mu$ be the translation invariant measure on $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ whose quotient on $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$ is $\mu_{0}$. Let $c^{-1}=\mu\left(\left\{\gamma=\left(\gamma_{v}\right)_{v} \in \mathrm{~A}_{F}:\left|\gamma_{v}\right|_{v} \leqslant 1\right.\right.$ for all $\left.\left.v\right\}\right)$ and let $|\alpha|>c$. Then the compact set $L=\left\{\delta=\left(\delta_{v}\right)_{v}:\left|\delta_{v}\right|_{v} \leqslant\left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}\right.$ for all $\left.v\right\}$ has volume $>1$, so there are two distinct elements $\lambda_{i}$ of $L$ which have the same image in $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$, so their difference $\lambda=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} \in F$ and $|\lambda|_{v} \leqslant\left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}$ for all $v$.

Now for the compact subset $K=\left\{\left(\beta_{v}\right):\left|\beta_{v}\right|_{v} \leqslant\left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$, where $|\alpha|>c$, and any $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{v}\right) \in$ $J_{F}^{1}$ there is an $a \in F^{\times}$such that $|a|_{v} \leqslant\left|\gamma_{v}^{-1} \alpha_{v}\right|_{v}$ for all $v$. Hence $\gamma a \in K \cap J_{F}^{1}$. Thus, $J_{F}^{1}=\left(K \cap J_{F}^{1}\right) F^{\times}$, and Lemma (4.5) implies $J_{F}^{1} / F^{\times}$is compact.

Since $C_{F}^{1}(S)$ is a closed subgroup of $C_{F}^{1}$, it is compact.
The last sentence of the Proposition follows from the description of the quotient $C_{F} / C_{F}^{1}$.

COROLLARY 1. In the number field case the class group $I_{F} / P_{F}$ is finite. In the global function field case the group $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathscr{C})$ is finite.

For sufficiently large finite sets $S$ including $S_{\infty}$ we have $J_{F}=F^{\times} J_{F}(S)$.
Proof. Since $C_{F}^{1}$ is compact, its $\bar{\rho}$-image is compact. Therefore the discreteness of the class group $I_{F} / P_{F}$ and of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathscr{C})$ implies their finiteness.

Since the class group and $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathscr{C})$ are finite, enlarging the set $S_{\infty}$ (or the empty set in the global function field case) to a finite non-empty set $S$ to include in it places corresponding to finitely many maximal ideals that generate the class group or $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathscr{C})$, we have $J_{F}^{1}=F^{\times} J_{F}^{1}(S)$. In characteristic zero $\left|J_{F}\right|=\left|J_{F}(S)\right|$, hence we deduce $J_{F}=F^{\times} J_{F}(S)$. In positive characteristic enlarge $S$ to include places at which components of an idele whose adelic module generates $\left|J_{F}\right|$ are not units, then $\left|J_{F}(S)\right|=\left|J_{F}\right|$ and hence $J_{F}=F^{\times} J_{F}(S)$.

Corollary 2. For a finite Galois extension the norm group $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ is an open subgroup of finite index in $C_{F}$.

Proof. From Corollary (5.2) we know that $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ is an open subgroup of $C_{F}$. Hence $N_{L / F} C_{L}^{1}$ is an open subgroup of compact $C_{F}^{1}$ and so it is of finite index in $C_{F}^{1}$. In the number field case, the adelic module of the image with respect to $N_{L / F}$ of the subgroup of ideles where all components except at one infinite place are 1 and at that infinite place the component runs through all elements of the corresponding completion is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$. In the global function field case, the adelic module of the image with respect to $N_{L / F}$ of the subgroup of ideles where all components except at one place are 1 and at that place the component runs through all elements of the corresponding completion is a subgroup of finite index in $\left|J_{F}\right|$. Hence $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $C_{F}$.

## REMARKS.

1. This gives a new proof of the finiteness of the class group, using the compactness of $C_{F}^{1}$. In turn, using the finiteness of the class group and of the zero part of the Picard group, one can deduce the compactness property of $C_{F}^{1}$.
2. An alternative independent and very different proof of the compactness of $C_{F}^{1}$ will be obtained later, see Remark 2 of (6.6).
5.4. For a finite $S$ with $s>0$ elements and containing $S_{\infty}$ in the number field case we have a homomorphism

$$
\log _{S}: J_{F}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{s}, \quad\left(\alpha_{v}\right) \mapsto\left(\log \left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}\right)
$$

which sends $J_{F}^{1}(S)$ to the hyperplane $H_{s}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{s}: x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}=0\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{s}$. The homomorphism $\log _{S}$ induces the homomorphism

$$
\log _{S}: F^{\times}(S) \longrightarrow H_{S}
$$

Proposition. Let $S$ be a finite non-empty set of places containing $S_{\infty}$ in the number field case. The kernel of $\log _{S}$ is $\mu_{F}$, the image is a discrete subgroup of ranks 1 of $H_{s}$, i.e. a complete lattice of $H_{s}, s=|S|$. Hence the group of units $F^{\times}(S)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of its torsion part and a free group of rank $s-1$.

Proof. The kernel of $\log _{S}$ is $U J_{F}$ where $U J_{F}=\prod_{v} S_{v}^{1}$ and $S_{v}^{1}=\left\{\alpha_{v} \in F_{v}:\left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}=1\right\}$ for all $v$, so $U J_{F}$ is a compact subgroup of $J_{F}(S)$. The kernel of $\log _{S}$ is the intersection of the discrete set $F^{\times}(S)$ in $J_{F}$ with the compact subgroup $U J_{F}$, hence it is a finite group, so the kernel consists of all roots of unity in $F$. The intersection of $\log _{S}\left(F^{\times}(S)\right)$ with the product of $s$ balls of radius 1 in $\mathbb{R}$ is the image of the intersection of the discrete set $F^{\times}$with the compact set $\prod_{v \notin S} S_{v}^{1} \times \prod_{v \in S} B_{v}$ of $J_{F}$ where $B_{v}=\left\{\alpha_{v} \in F_{v}:-1 \leqslant \log |\alpha|_{v} \leqslant 1\right\}$, so it is finite. Thus, $\log _{S}\left(F^{\times}(S)\right)$ is discrete in $H_{s}$.

We have $\left|J_{F}(S) / U J_{F}\right|=\left|J_{F}(S)\right|$ and log sends it isomorphically to $\mathbb{R}$ in characteristic zero and to an infinite cyclic group $\cong \mathbb{Z}$ in the positive characteristic case. The group $J_{F}(S) / U J_{F}$ is isomorphic via $\log _{S}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{s-r}$ where $r$ is the cardinality of $S_{\infty}$ in the number field case and $r=0$ in the global function field case. Thus, applying the absolute value to $J_{F}(S) / U J_{F}$ corresponds to a surjective additive homomorphism $\lambda: \mathbb{R}^{r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{s-r} \longrightarrow Y$ where $Y=\mathbb{R}$ in the number field case and $Y=\mathbb{Z}$ in the global function field case. Hence there exist $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ in the number field case and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the global function field case such that $\lambda\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)=\sum a_{i} x_{i}$. The quotient $J_{F}^{1}(S) /\left(F^{\times}(S) U J_{F}\right)$ is compact and is isomorphic to the quotient of $J_{F}^{1}(S) / U J_{F}$ by $F^{\times}(S) U J_{F} / U J_{F}$. Hence the quotient $\operatorname{ker}(\lambda) / L$ is compact, where $L=\log _{S}\left(F^{\times}(S)\right)$. Extend $\lambda$ to the additive map $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}^{s} \longrightarrow Y$ by the formula $\Lambda\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)=\sum a_{i} x_{i}$. The group $H_{s} \cong \operatorname{ker}(\Lambda)$ contains a subgroup $L^{\prime}$ generated by $e_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{s}, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant s$, the first component of $e_{j}$ is $a_{j}$, the $j$ th component is $-a_{1}$ and all other components are 0 . Since $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{s}, L^{\prime}$ is a full lattice in $H_{s}$ and the quotient $H_{s} / L^{\prime}$ is compact. Moreover, $L^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{ker}(\lambda)$. Therefore, $H_{s} / \operatorname{ker}(\lambda)$ is compact. Since $\operatorname{ker}(\lambda) / L$ is compact as well, the quotient $H_{s} / L$ is compact. Since $L$ is discrete in $H_{s} \cong \mathbb{R}^{s-1}$, we conclude $L \cong \mathbb{Z}^{s-1}$.
5.5. Let $A$ be an abelian group written additively and let $f, g: A \longrightarrow A$ be group homomorphisms such that $f \circ g=g \circ f=0$. Denote by $A_{f}$ the kernel of $f$ and by $A^{f}$ the image of $f$. The Herbrand quotient $Q_{f, g}(A)$ is $\frac{\left|A_{f}: A^{g}\right|}{\left|A_{g}: A^{f}\right|}$.

Lemma. $Q_{f, g}(A)=1$ for a finite group $A$. If $B$ is a subgroup of $A$ such that $f(B), g(B) \subset B$, then $Q_{f, g}(A)=Q_{f, g}(B) Q_{f, g}(A / B)$ when two of the factors are finite.

Proof. For the first property, consider finite groups $A \supset A_{g} \supset A^{f} \supset 0 \subset A^{g} \subset A_{f} \subset A$ in which the index for the first inclusion equals the index for the fourth inclusion, the index for the third inclusion equals the index for the sixth inclusion. Hence the index for the second inclusion equals the index for the fifth inclusion.

For the second property, denote $C=A / B$. We have an exact sequence of homomorphisms

$$
B_{f} / B^{g} \longrightarrow A_{f} / A^{g} \longrightarrow C_{f} / C^{g} \longrightarrow B_{g} / B^{f} \longrightarrow A_{g} / A^{f} \longrightarrow C_{g} / C^{f} \longrightarrow B_{f} / B^{g}
$$

in which the first, second, fourth, fifth maps are induced by $B \longrightarrow A$ and $A \longrightarrow C$. To define the third map, take $c \in C$ such that $f(c)=0$, take any $a \in A$ such that $a+C=c$, then $f(a) \in B_{g}$. Similarly one defines the sixth map. The exactness is immediate and one deduces $Q_{f, g}(A)=$ $Q_{f, g}(B) Q_{f, g}(A / B)$.

We will use $Q$ in the situation when a cyclic group $G$ of order $n$ with a generator $\sigma$ acts on an abelian group $A, f=1-\sigma$ and $g=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{i}$, so $A_{f}=A^{G}, A^{f}=I_{G} A=\left\{a^{\sigma-1}: a \in A\right\}, A_{g}=\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{Tr}_{G}$, $A^{g}=\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(A)$.

We denote $Q(G, A)=Q_{f, g}(A)$.

## EXAMPLES.

1. If the action on an infinite cyclic group $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial, then $Q(G, A)=n$.
2. If $A=\oplus_{\sigma \in G} \sigma B$, then $Q(G, A)=1$.
3. Let $L / F$ be a cyclic extension of local fields with finite residue field, $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ of order $n$. Then

$$
Q\left(G, L^{\times}\right)=\frac{\left|F^{\times}: N_{L / F} L^{\times}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}: L^{\times 1-\sigma}\right|}=n
$$

by local class field theory and Hilbert 90 Theorem. We also have $Q\left(G, U_{L}\right)=1$ due to $L^{\times} / U_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and Example 1.

THEOREM. Let $L / F$ be a cyclic extension of global fields with Galois group $G$ of prime order n. Then $Q\left(G, C_{L}\right)=n$.

Proof. For a finite place $v$ of $F$ and a place $w$ of $L, w \mid v$, the preceding Examples imply $Q\left(G, L_{w}^{\times}\right)=$ $\left|L_{w}: F_{v}\right|$ and $Q\left(G, U_{L_{w}}\right)=1$.

In positive characteristic we have

$$
Q\left(G, C_{L}\right)=Q\left(G, J_{L} / J_{L}^{1}\right) Q\left(G, J_{L}^{1} / L^{\times} J_{L}(\emptyset)\right) Q\left(G, L^{\times} J_{L}(\emptyset) / L^{\times}\right)
$$

and $Q\left(G, J_{L} / J_{L}^{1}\right)=Q(G, \mathbb{Z})=n, Q\left(G, J_{L}^{1} /\left(L^{\times} J_{L}(\emptyset)\right)\right)=1$ since $J_{L}^{1} /\left(L^{\times} J_{L}(\emptyset)\right)$ is isomorphic to finite $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathscr{C})$, see (5.3), $Q\left(G, L^{\times} J_{L}(\emptyset) / L^{\times}\right)=Q\left(G, J_{L}(\emptyset)\right) Q\left(G, L^{\times}(\emptyset)\right)^{-1}=Q\left(G, J_{L}(\emptyset)\right)$ since $L^{\times}(\emptyset)$ is the multiplicative group of the finite field of constants of $L$. Using $Q\left(G, J_{L}(\emptyset)\right)=$ $\Pi_{\nu} Q\left(G, U_{L_{v}}\right)=1$, we conclude $Q\left(G, C_{L}\right)=n$.

For number fields $L / F$ choose a finite set $S$ of places of $L$, which is invariant under the acton of $G$ and which contains all archimedean places and is sufficiently large so that $J_{L}=L^{\times} J_{L}(S)$. Then $C_{L}=J_{L} / L^{\times}=\left(L^{\times} J_{L}(S)\right) / L^{\times} \cong J_{L}(S) / L^{\times}(S)$ and $Q\left(G, C_{L}\right)=Q\left(G, J_{L}(S)\right) Q\left(G, L^{\times}(S)\right)^{-1}$. Denote by $S_{0}$ the set of places of $F$ under the places in $S$. We get $Q\left(G, J_{L}(S)\right)=\prod_{v \in S_{0}} Q\left(G, \prod_{\sigma \in G / G_{v}} \sigma L_{w}^{\times}\right)$ where $G_{v}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{w} / F_{v}\right), w \mid v$. Since the order of $G$ is prime, either $G_{v}=1$ or $G_{v}=G$. Using Example 2 in the first case, we obtain $Q\left(G, \prod_{\sigma \in G / G_{v}} \sigma L_{w}^{\times}\right)=Q\left(G_{v}, L_{w}^{\times}\right)=n_{v}$ where $n_{v}=\left|G_{v}\right|$. Hence $Q\left(G, J_{L}(S)=\prod_{v \in S_{0}} n_{v}\right.$. To complete the proof, it remains to show that $Q\left(G, L^{\times}(S)\right)=$ $n^{-1} \prod_{v \in S_{0}} n_{v}$.

In order to achieve that, use the map $\log _{S}: L^{\times}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{s}$. Let $\left\{e_{w}: w \in S\right\}$ be the standard basis of $V=\mathbb{R}^{s}$. Let the group $G$ act on $V$ by $\sigma e_{w}=e_{\sigma w}$. Then $\log _{S}(\sigma a)=\sum_{w \in S} \log |\sigma a|_{w} e_{w}=$ $\sigma \sum_{w \in S} \log |a|_{\sigma^{-1} w} e_{\sigma^{-1} w}=\sigma \log _{S}(a)$. Hence, $\log _{S}\left(L^{\times}(S)\right)$ together with $e^{\prime}=\sum_{w \in S} e_{w}$ generate a $G$-invariant complete lattice $M$ in $V$. Note that $\sigma e^{\prime}=e^{\prime}$ for every $\sigma \in G$. We have $M / \mathbb{Z} e^{\prime} \cong$ $\log _{S}\left(L^{\times}(S)\right)$, so, since the kernel of $\log _{S}$ is finite,

$$
Q\left(G, L^{\times}(S)\right)=Q\left(G, \log _{S}\left(L^{\times}(S)\right)\right)=Q(G, \mathbb{Z})^{-1} Q(G, M)=n^{-1} Q(G, M)
$$

Denote by $\left|\mid\right.$ the sup-norm with respect to the coordinates of the basis $e_{w}$ of $V$. Since $M$ is a lattice, there is $c>0$ such that for every $x \in V$ there is $m \in M$ such that $|x-m|<c$. For every $v \in S_{0}$ choose $w_{v} \in S$ such that $w_{v} \mid v$. Let $t=n c s+1$. Then for each $v \in S_{0}$ there is $m_{v} \in$ $M$ such that for $x_{v}=t e_{w_{v}}-m_{v}$ we have $\left|x_{v}\right|<c$. Due to the definition of the action of $G$ on $V$ we also have $\left|\sigma x_{v}\right|<c$ for every $\sigma \in G$. For $w \in S, w \mid v$ define $z_{w}=\sum_{\sigma: \sigma w_{v}=w} \sigma m_{v}$. Then $\tau z_{w}=\sum_{\sigma: \sigma w_{v}=w} \tau \sigma m_{v}=\sum_{\rho: \rho w_{v}=\tau w} \rho m_{v}=z_{\tau w}$ for every $\tau \in G$. Let's show that $z_{w}$ are linearly independent. We have

$$
z_{w}=\sum_{\sigma: \sigma w_{v}=w} \sigma m_{v}=t \sum_{\sigma: \sigma w_{v}=w} e_{w}-y_{w}=t n_{v} e_{w}-y_{w}, \quad y_{w}=\sum_{\sigma: \sigma w_{v}=w} \sigma x_{v}
$$

and $\left|y_{w}\right| \leqslant n_{v} c$. Write $y_{w^{\prime}}=\sum_{w \in S} d_{w^{\prime}}^{w} e_{w}$ with real $d_{w^{\prime}}^{w}$, then $\left|d_{w^{\prime}}^{w}\right| \leqslant n_{\nu^{\prime}} c$ when $w^{\prime} \mid v^{\prime}$. Let $\sum_{w \in S} c_{w} z_{w}=$ 0 with real $c_{w}$. From $t \sum_{v \in S_{0}} n_{v} \sum_{w \mid v} c_{w} e_{w}=\sum_{w^{\prime} \in S} y_{w^{\prime}} c_{w^{\prime}}=\sum_{w \in S} \sum_{w^{\prime} \in S} c_{w^{\prime}} d_{w^{\prime}}^{w} e_{w}$ we deduce $t n_{v} c_{w}=$ $\sum_{w^{\prime} \in S} d_{w^{\prime}}^{w} c_{w^{\prime}}$ and $n_{v} n c s\left|c_{w}\right|<\left|t n_{v} c_{w}\right|=\left|\sum_{v^{\prime} \in S_{0}} \sum_{w^{\prime} \mid v^{\prime}} d_{w^{\prime}}^{w} c_{w^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant c \sum_{v^{\prime} \in S_{0}} n_{\nu^{\prime}} n n_{v^{\prime}}^{-1} \max \left\{\left|c_{w^{\prime}}\right|: w^{\prime} \mid v^{\prime}\right\} \leqslant$ cns $\max \left\{\left|c_{w^{\prime}}\right|\right\}$ when $w \mid v$, so $c_{w}=0$ for all $w$. Thus, the vectors $z_{w}, w \in S$, are linearly independent.

Hence $M^{\prime}=\sum \mathbb{Z} z_{w}$ is a sublattice of $M$ of finite index, and it is a complete $G$-invariant lattice of $\mathbb{R}^{s}$ and $\sigma z_{w}=z_{\sigma w}$. So $M^{\prime}=\oplus_{v \in S_{0}} M_{v}^{\prime}$ where $M_{v}^{\prime}=\oplus_{\sigma \in G / G_{v}} \mathbb{Z} \sigma w_{v}$. Hence, $Q(G, M)=$ $Q\left(G, M^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{v \in S_{0}} Q\left(G, \oplus_{\sigma \in G / G_{v}} \mathbb{Z} \sigma w_{v}\right)$. Since the order of $G$ is prime, either $G_{v}=1$ or $G_{v}=G$. Using Example 2 in the first case, we obtain $Q\left(G, \oplus_{\sigma \in G / G_{v}} \mathbb{Z} \sigma w_{v}\right)=Q\left(G_{v}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Hence, $Q(G, M)=$ $\prod_{v \in S_{0}} Q\left(G_{v}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\prod_{v \in S_{0}} n_{v}$ by Example 1, and the proof is completed.

Corollary 1. $\left|C_{F}: N_{L / F} C_{L}\right|=\left|J_{F}: F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right|$ is divisible by $|L: F|$ for cyclic extensions of prime degree.
Proof. $Q\left(G, C_{L}\right)=\frac{\left|C_{F}: N_{L / F} C_{L}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}: C_{L}^{1-\sigma}\right|}=n$.
A place $v$ of $F$ is said to split completely (or totally decomposed) in $L / F$ is $L_{w}=F_{v}$ for every place $w \mid v$ of $L$. In other words, due to the formula $|L: F|=\sum_{w \mid v} e(w \mid v) f(w \mid v)$, there are exactly $|L: F|$ distinct places $w$ of $L$ over the place $v$ and for each of them $e(w \mid v)=f(w \mid v)=1$.

Corollary 2. Let $L / F$ be a nontrivial finite Galois extension. Then there are infinitely many places of $F$ which do not split completely in $L$.

Proof. Take any cyclic subgroup of prime order of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ and consider its fixed field $E$, then $L / E$ is cyclic of prime order. If $L_{w}=F_{v}$ for almost all places $v$ of $F$ and $w \mid v$ then $L_{w}=E_{u}$ for almost all places $u$ of $E$ and $w \mid u$. Let $\alpha \in J_{E}$. Denote by $S$ the set of places of $E$ where $L_{w} \neq E_{u}$. Using Remark (7.1) Ch. 2 find an element $a \in E^{\times}$such that $\alpha a^{-1}$ is a local norm at every $u \in S$. Then $\alpha a^{-1} \in N_{L / E} J_{L}$, so $C_{E} / N_{L / E} C_{L}=1$, a contradiction.

Corollary 3. Let $F$ be a global field whose field of constants is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then for the adelic module $\left|F^{\times}\right|=q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. Let $q^{d}$ be the greatest common divisor of the cardinalities of the residue fields of places of $F$, and let $F^{\prime}=F \mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$. Since for every place $v$ the residue field of $F_{v}$ contains $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}, F_{v}=F_{w}^{\prime}$ for $w \mid v$. Hence $F=F^{\prime}$ by Corollary 2 and $d=1$.

## 6. Zeta Functions and Zeta Integrals

6.1. Zeta functions is one of the key objects of number theory. One can avoid the use of zeta functions in class field theory, see Remark 2 of (6.7), but using them adds additional flavour and allows to derive stronger statements. Also, $L$-functions and zeta functions play central roles in the Langlands program and in higher adelic analysis and geometry, two different generalisations of class field theory.

DEfinition. The zeta function of a scheme $X$ of finite type over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is

$$
\zeta_{X}(s)=\prod_{x \in X_{0}}\left(1-|k(x)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $x$ runs through closed points of $X, k(x)$ is the finite residue field of $x$.

## EXAMPLES.

1. When $X=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, this is the Euler-Riemann zeta function

$$
\zeta_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})}(s)=\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)=\prod_{p}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{n^{s}}
$$

where $p$ runs through all positive primes.
2. When $X=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right), \mathscr{O}_{F}$ is the ring of integers of an algebraic number field, this is the Dedekind zeta function

$$
\zeta_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)}(s)=\zeta_{F}(s)=\prod_{v}\left(1-|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\prod_{P}\left(1-N(P)^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{I} N(I)^{-s},
$$

where $v$ runs through all finite places of $F, P$ runs through maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}, I$ runs through non-zero-ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$. The number $N(P)$ is $|k(v)|$ where $P=P_{v}$ corresponds to $v$.
3. When $X$ corresponds to a smooth proper irreducible curve $\mathscr{C}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with function field $F$, this is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\mathscr{C}}(s)=\zeta_{F}(s) & =\prod_{x \in \mathscr{C}_{0}}\left(1-|k(x)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\prod_{v}\left(1-|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\prod_{w \mid v_{\infty}}\left(1-|k(w)|^{-s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{P}\left(1-N(P)^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\prod_{w \mid v_{\infty}}\left(1-|k(w)|^{-s}\right)^{-1} \sum_{I} N(I)^{-s},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v$ runs through all places of $F, P$ runs through maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}, I$ runs through nonzero ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$; the first factor corresponds to the discrete valuations $w$ of $F$ over the discrete
valuation $v_{\infty}=-\operatorname{deg}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. Each Euler factor $\left(1-|k(x)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ absolutely and uniformly converges for $\mathfrak{R} e(s)>0$ and meromorphically extends to the complex plane with the only pole at $s=0$.

The series $\sum_{I} N(I)^{-s}$ can be written as a Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} / n^{s}$. If it converges at real $s_{0}$ then it converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets for $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>s_{0}$. Indeed, all partial sums $q_{r}=q_{r}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{n=r} a_{n} / n^{s_{0}}$ are bounded by some positive constant, and

$$
\sum_{n=m}^{n=r} a_{n} / n^{s}=\sum_{n=m}^{n=r-1} q_{n}\left(1 / n^{s-s_{0}}-1 /(n+1)^{s-s_{0}}\right)-q_{m-1} / m^{s-s_{0}}+q_{r} / r^{s-s_{0}}
$$

$1 / m^{s-s_{0}}-1 / r^{s-s_{0}}=\left(s-s_{0}\right) \int_{m}^{r} d x / x^{s-s_{0}+1}$. Thus, for $\left|s-s_{0}\right|$ bounded and $\mathfrak{R e} e(s) \geqslant s_{0}+\varepsilon$ with positive $\varepsilon$ the sum $\sum_{n=m}^{n=r} a_{n} / n^{s}$ tends uniformly to 0 when $m, r \rightarrow+\infty$.

If $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{n=r} a_{n}\right| \leqslant r$, then for the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} / n^{s}$ we have

$$
\left|q_{r}(s)-q_{m}(s)\right| \leqslant \sum_{n=m+1}^{n=r-1} n s \int_{n}^{n+1} d x / x^{s+1}+1 / r^{s-1}
$$

and $\sum_{n=m+1}^{n=r-1} n \int_{n}^{n+1} d x / x^{s+1} \leqslant \int_{m+1}^{r} d x / x^{s}$. Thus, this Dirichlet series is a holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$.

The Dirichlet series for $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)$ diverges at $s=1$ and converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets for $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$ and there $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\Pi\left(1-p^{-s}\right)^{-1}$. In particular, $\log \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)=\sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{p}\left(m p^{m s}\right)^{-1}$ for $\Re e(s)>1$. We also deduce from the previous calculation that for real $s>1$

$$
1 /(s-1) \leqslant \int_{1}^{\infty} 1 / x^{s} \leqslant \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) \leqslant 1+1 /(s-1)
$$

Use the notation $f \sim g$ for two functions with singularity at $s=1$ whose difference does not have a singularity at $s=1$. Hence

$$
\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) \sim 1 /(s-1)
$$

Since $\sum_{m \geqslant 2} \sum_{p}\left(m p^{m s}\right)^{-1}$ converges uniformly and absolutely for $\mathfrak{R} e(s)>1 / 2+\varepsilon$, we deduce

$$
\log \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) \sim \sum_{p} p^{-s}
$$

For a number field $F$ and a maximal ideal $P$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ its index in $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ is its norm $N(P)=p^{f(P \mid p \mathbb{Z})}$ where $p \mathbb{Z}$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ lying under $P$. Since there are at most $n=|F: \mathbb{Q}|$ maximal ideals over $p \mathbb{Z}$, for $\mathfrak{R} e(s)>1$ we have

$$
\log \prod_{P}\left(1-N(P)^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{P} m^{-1} N(P)^{-m s} \leqslant n \sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{p} m^{-1} p^{-m s}=n \log \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) .
$$

Therefore $\zeta_{F}(s)=\prod_{P}\left(1-N(P)^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets for $\mathfrak{R} e(s)>1$ and there $\zeta_{F}(s)=\sum_{I} N(I)^{-s}$. Now, and similarly to $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)$,

$$
\log \zeta_{F}(s) \sim \sum_{N(P) \text { is prime }} N(P)^{-s}
$$

where $P$ runs through maximal ideals whose residue field has prime cardinality.
Maximal ideals of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ are principal ideals generated by monic irreducible polynomials $f$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, so for $\Re e(s)>1$ we have $\prod_{P}\left(1-N(P)^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\prod_{f}\left(1-q^{-s \operatorname{deg}(f)}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{g} q^{-s \operatorname{deg}(g)}$ where
$g$ runs through all monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, their number of degree $m$ is $q^{m}$, so the latter sum $=\sum_{m \geqslant 0} q^{m} q^{-s m}=\left(1-q^{-s+1}\right)^{-1}$. Taking into account $v_{\infty}, \zeta_{\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}(s)=\left(1-q^{-s}\right)^{-1}\left(1-q^{-s+1}\right)^{-1}$ converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets for $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$ for $\mathfrak{\Re e}(s)>1$ with the only poles at $s$ such that $q^{s}=1$ or $q^{s-1}=1$. We also have

$$
\log \zeta_{\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}(s) \sim-\log \left(1-q^{-s+1}\right) \sim-\log (s-1) .
$$

An arbitrary global field of characteristic $p$ is a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$, and similarly to the discussion of the relation between the zeta function of an algebraic number field and of $\mathbb{Q}$, the zeta function of a smooth proper irreducible curve $\mathscr{C}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets for $\mathfrak{\Re e}(s)>1$. Similarly to the number field case,

$$
\log \zeta_{F}(s) \sim \sum_{|k(v)| \text { is prime }}|k(v)|^{-s}
$$

where $v$ runs through discrete valuations of the function field of $\mathscr{C}$ whose residue field has a prime number of elements.
6.2. Each time when $|k(v)|$ shows up in a product/sum, this means that $v$ runs through the appropriate set of finite $v$.

Denote by $j_{v}: F_{v} \times \longrightarrow J_{F}$ the homomorphism sending $\alpha \in F_{v} \times$ to the idele all of whose components are 1 except the $v$-component which is equal $\alpha$.

Now we define twists of zeta functions by characters, they are traditionally called $L$-functions.
Definition. Let $\chi$ be a non-trivial character of $J_{F}$ of finite order.
For example, such characters come from characters of the ideal class group $I_{F} / P_{F}$ using the surjective homomorphism $J_{F} / F^{\times} \longrightarrow I_{F} / P_{F}$.

The group $\chi^{-1}(1)$ is a closed subgroup of $J_{F}$ of finite index, so it is open and it contains $j_{v}\left(U_{v}\right)$ for almost all $v$. Let $C$ be a finite set of finite places $v$ of $F$. Define

$$
L_{C}(s, \chi)=\prod_{v \notin C}\left(1-\chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\chi(v)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \chi\left(j_{v}\left(U_{v}\right)\right) \neq 1 \\ \chi\left(j_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right) & \text { if } \chi\left(j_{v}\left(U_{v}\right)\right)=1\end{cases}
$$

where in the second case $\chi(v)=\chi\left(j_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)$ where $\pi_{v}$ is any prime element of $F_{v}$, the value $\chi\left(j_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)$ does not depend on the choice of prime element.

Then $L_{C}(s, 1)=\prod_{v \notin C}\left(1-|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ which, when multiplied with the finitely many Euler factors for $v \in C$, is $\zeta_{F}(s)$.

The product of finitely many factors $\left(1-\chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ does not affect the behaviour near $s=1$.

Except finitely many factors corresponding to places in positive characteristic over $v_{\infty}$, the product $\prod_{v \notin C}\left(1-\chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ is the product $\prod_{v \notin C}\left(1-\chi\left(P_{v}\right) N\left(P_{v}\right)^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ where $P$ runs through maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ and $\chi\left(P_{v}\right)=\chi(v)$. By the same reasons as for $\zeta_{F}(s)$, the product converges
absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$, and there we have for the main factor $L_{C}(s, \chi)^{*}$, i.e. for non-zero ideals $I$ of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{C}(s, \chi)^{*} & =\sum_{I,(I, C)=1} \chi(I) N(I)^{-s} \\
\log L_{C}(s, \chi) \sim \log L_{C}(s, \chi)^{*} & =\sum_{m \geqslant 1} \sum_{C} \chi(P) /\left(m N(P)^{m s}\right) \sim \sum_{v \notin C, N\left(P_{v}\right) \text { is prime }} \chi\left(P_{v}\right) N\left(P_{v}\right)^{-s},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I$ runs through ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ coprime to $C, P_{v}$ runs through maximal ideals of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ for finite $v \notin C$ and not over $v_{\infty}, \chi\left(\Pi P_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)=\Pi \chi\left(P_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}$.
6.3. The additive and multiplicative group of local fields with finite residue field and of adeles are abelian locally compact groups, so they have a nontrivial translation invariant measure. Such a measure is defined up to multiplication by a positive constant.

This translation invariant measure $\mu_{v}$ on the additive group of a local field $F_{v}$ with finite residue field with the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{v}$ and maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}_{v}$ is easy to describe. Counting indices and using the virtual index similarly to (4.4), we immediately get the measure of closed balls

$$
\mu_{v}\left(\alpha+\mathscr{M}_{v}^{n}\right)=\mu_{v}\left(\mathscr{M}_{v}^{n}\right)=\left|\mathscr{O}_{v}: \mathscr{M}_{v}^{n}\right|^{-1} \mu_{v}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)
$$

thus one only needs to fix $\mu_{v}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

DEFINITION. For a finite $v$ denote by $d_{v}$ the maximal integer such that $\psi_{v}^{0}$ sends the fractional ideal $\mathscr{M}_{v}^{-d_{v}}$ to 1 . In other words, in the notation of the proof of Proposition (4.3), $\operatorname{Tr}_{F_{v} / k_{v}}\left(\mathscr{M}_{v}^{-d_{v}}\right) \subset \mathscr{O}_{k_{v}}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{F_{v} / k_{v}}\left(\mathscr{M}_{v}^{-d_{v}-1}\right) \not \subset \mathscr{O}_{k_{v}}$. The ideal $\mathscr{M}_{v}^{d_{v}}$ is called the absolute different of $F_{v}$. The numbers $d_{v}$ are zero for almost all $v$ since only finitely many places ramify in $F / k$.

DEFInITION. Choose normalised measures $\mu_{v}$ as the self-dual measures with respect to the character $\psi_{v}^{0}$, i.e. we will have the property that the double Fourier transform of $g(x)$ gives $g(-x)$. Namely, $\mu_{v}$ is the usual Lebesque measure on $\mathbb{R}$, twice the usual Lebesque measure on the complex plane, and for finite $v$ the normalisation is $\mu_{v}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}\right)=|k(v)|^{-d_{v} / 2}$. Choose the translation invariant measure $\mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}=\mu=\prod_{v} \mu_{v}$ on $\mathrm{A}_{F}$, it is well defined since $\mu_{v}\left(O_{v}\right)=1$ for almost all $v$.

The normalised absolute values $\left|\left.\right|_{v}\right.$ defined in (5.1) are the module functions associated to $\mu_{v}$, i.e. for every $\alpha \in F_{v}^{\times}$we have $\left|\alpha_{v}\right|_{v}=\mu_{v}\left(\alpha_{v} A\right) / \mu_{v}(A)$ for any measurable subset $A$ of $F_{v}$ of nonzero volume. For finite places this comparison follows immediately from the displayed formula above. Hence $|\alpha|=\mu(\alpha A) / \mu(A)$ for any measurable subset $A$ of $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ of non-zero volume.

DEFINITION. On the multiplicative group $F_{v} \times$ define the translation invariant measure $\mu_{v} \times$ by the formula $\mu_{v}^{\times}=\left(1-|k(v)|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \mu_{v} /| |_{v}$ in the non-archimedean case and $\mu_{v}^{\times}=\mu_{v} /| |_{v}$ in the archimedean case. Then $\mu_{v}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times}\right)=1$ for almost all $v$. Choose the translation invariant measure $\mu_{J_{F}}=\mu^{\times}=\prod_{v} \mu_{v}^{\times}$on $\mathrm{A}_{F}^{\times}$, it is well defined.
6.4. We now define certain spaces of functions on which one has Fourier transforms.

DEFINITION. Define spaces of functions $S\left(F_{v}\right)$ as locally constant functions on $F_{v}$ with compact support in the non-archimedean case and as as smooth functions on $F_{v}$ such that the product with any polynomial function tends to 0 when the absolute value of the argument tends to infinity. Define $S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ as the space spanned by functions $\otimes_{v} g_{v}$ with $g_{v} \in S\left(F_{v}\right)$ such that $\left.g_{v}\right|_{\mathscr{O}_{v}}=1$ for almost all $v$.

Define the Fourier transforms for $g_{v} \in S\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ as

$$
\mathscr{F}_{v}\left(g_{v}\right)\left(\alpha_{v}\right)=\int_{F_{v}} g_{v}\left(\beta_{v}\right) \psi_{v}^{0}\left(\alpha_{v} \beta_{v}\right) d \mu_{v}\left(\beta_{v}\right), \quad \mathscr{F}(g)(\alpha)=\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F}} g(\beta) \psi^{0}(\alpha \beta) d \mu(\beta)
$$

The definitions and the computations in the next paragraph imply $\mathscr{F}\left(\otimes_{v} g_{v}\right)=\otimes \mathscr{F}_{v}\left(g_{v}\right) \in$ $S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ for $\otimes_{v} g_{v} \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$.

General harmonic analysis results show that there is a constant $c$ such that $\mathscr{F} \circ \mathscr{F}(g)(x)=$ $c g(-x)$ for all $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$. To show that $c=1$, it is sufficient to check for some specific non-zero functions.

DEFINITION. Choose $f_{v}(x)=\exp \left(-\pi|x|_{v}^{2}\right)$ when $v$ is real, $f_{v}(x)=\exp \left(-2 \pi|x|_{v}\right)$ when $v$ is complex and $f_{v}=\operatorname{char}_{\mathscr{O}_{v}}$ when $v$ is finite.

Then $\mathscr{F}_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)=f_{v}$ for infinite $v$ and $\mathscr{F}_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)\left(\alpha_{v}\right)=\left|\delta_{v}\right|_{v}^{1 / 2} f_{v}\left(\delta_{v} \alpha_{v}\right)$ where $\delta_{v} \in F_{v} \times$ is such that $\left|\delta_{v}\right|_{v}=|k(v)|^{-d_{v}}$. These $f_{v}$ are eigenfunctions of $\mathscr{F}_{v}$ with eigenvalue 1 for all $v$ except finitely many finite $v$.

For $f=\otimes f_{v}$ we have $\mathscr{F}(f)(\alpha)=|\delta|^{1 / 2} f(\delta \alpha)$ where $\delta \in J_{F}$ has components $\delta_{v}$ at finite places and 1 at infinite places (in the number field case). Thus,

$$
|\boldsymbol{\delta}|=\prod_{v}|k(v)|^{-d_{v}}
$$

If $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ then for every $\beta \in J_{F}$ the function $g_{\beta}: \alpha \mapsto g(\alpha \beta)$ belongs to $S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{F}\left(g_{\beta}\right)(\alpha) & =\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F}} g(\beta \gamma) \psi^{0}(\alpha \gamma) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}(\gamma) \\
& =|\beta|^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{A}_{F}} f\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \psi^{0}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \beta^{-1} \alpha\right) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=|\beta|^{-1} \mathscr{F}(g)\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma \beta$. Thus, $\mathscr{F}\left(g_{\beta}\right)=|\beta|^{-1} \mathscr{F}(g)_{\beta^{-1}}$.
For $\beta \in J_{F}$ with infinite components 1 we now deduce

$$
\mathscr{F} f_{\beta}=|\delta|^{1 / 2}|\beta|^{-1} f_{\delta \beta^{-1}}
$$

Hence, $\mathscr{F} \circ \mathscr{F}(f)(\alpha)=|\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{1 / 2}|\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{1 / 2}|\boldsymbol{\delta}|^{-1} f(\alpha)=f(-\alpha)$.
REMARK. In characteristic zero it is not difficult to show that $|\delta|^{-1}=\left|d_{F}\right|$ where $d_{F}$ is the discriminant of $F$. In positive characteristic the image of $\delta \in J_{F}$ with respect to $\rho: J_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Div}(\mathscr{C})$ of (5.3) is a canonical divisor $\kappa=\sum d_{v}[v]$ of $\mathscr{C}$, see (4.4), and $|\delta|^{-1}=|\kappa|=q^{2 g-2}$ where $q$ is the cardinality of the constant subfield of $F$ and $g$ is the genus of the curve $\mathscr{C}$.
6.5. The additive group $F$ is a discrete locally compact group, its translation invariant measure is an atomic measure where each point have volume $c>0$. Choose the measure $\mu_{F}$ which is the counting measure, i.e. $c=1$. As common in harmonic analysis, define the measure $\mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}$ on $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$ such that $\mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}=\mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F} \otimes \mu_{F}$, i.e. for all $f \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ the equality

$$
\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F}} f \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}=\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}\left(\int_{F} f(\beta+a) \mu_{F}(a)\right) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}(\bar{\beta})
$$

holds where $\bar{\beta}=\beta+F$.
Since the measure on $F$ is atomic counting,

$$
\int_{F} g(a) \mu_{F}(a)=\sum_{a \in F} g(a)
$$

Proposition. Let $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ and $\beta \in J_{F}$. Then (Gau $\beta-$ Cauchy-Poisson summation formula)

$$
\int_{F} g(a) \mu_{F}(a)=\int_{F} \mathscr{F}(g)(a) \mu_{F}(a)
$$

We also have (Riemann-Roch type formula)

$$
\int_{F} g(\beta a) \mu_{F}(a)=|\beta|^{-1} \int_{F} \mathscr{F}(g)\left(\beta^{-1} a\right) \mu_{F}(a)
$$

Proof. Recall that the orthogonal complement of $F$ with respect to $\psi^{0}$ is $F$. Hence the group of characters of $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$ is isomorphic to $F$, see Remark 2 of (4.3).

For $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$ let $\hat{g}(\alpha)=\int_{F} g(\alpha+a) \mu_{F}(a)$, this is a function on $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$. Denote by $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}$ the Fourier transform of functions on compact $\mathrm{A}_{F} / F$ using the character induced by $\psi^{0}$, since $\psi^{0}(F)=1$. Then for $b \in F$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}(\hat{g})(b)=\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F} \hat{g}(\bar{\beta}) \psi^{0}(b \beta) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}(\bar{\beta})=\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F} \int_{F} g(\beta+a) \mu_{F}(a) \psi^{0}(b \beta) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}(\bar{\beta}) \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F} \int_{F} g(\beta+a) \mu_{F}(a) \psi^{0}(b(\beta+a)) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}(\bar{\beta})=\int_{\mathrm{A}_{F}} g(\gamma) \psi^{0}(\gamma b) \mu_{\mathrm{A}_{F}}(\gamma)=\mathscr{F}(g)(b),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma=\beta+a$.
Applying the inverse Fourier transform to the function $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{A}_{F} / F}(\hat{g})$ on $F$, we obtain $\hat{g}(\bar{\beta})=$ $\int_{F} \mathscr{F}(g)(a) \overline{\psi^{0}(a \beta)} \mu_{F}(a)$. Thus, $\int_{F} g(a) \mu_{F}(a)=\hat{g}(0)=\int_{F} \mathscr{F}(g)(a) \mu_{F}(a)$, the first formula. Since $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right)$, all the computations are justified.

The second formula follows from the first one and (6.4).

REMARK. The second formula of the Proposition implies another proof of the RiemannRoch formula in positive characteristic. Namely, for a divisor $d$ of a smooth proper geometrically irreducible curve $\mathscr{C}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with function field $F$, let $\beta \in J_{F}$ be such that the map $\rho^{\prime}$ defined in (5.3) sends it to $d$. Then for the specific function $f$ defined in (6.4), the last formula of the previous Proposition tand the observation $\left|F \cap \mathrm{~A}_{F}(d)\right|=\int_{F} f(\beta a) \mu_{F}(a)$ imply the RiemannRoch formula stated and proved differently in (4.4).

In the number field case the second formula of the Proposition can be viewed as a onedimensional predecessor of Arakelov geometry on arithmetic surfaces.
6.6. We will use the counting measure $\mu_{F^{\times}}$on the discrete group $F^{\times}$, so

$$
\int_{F} g \mu_{F}=g(0)+\int_{F^{\times}} g \mu_{F^{\times}} .
$$

DEFINITION. Define the translation invariant measure $\mu_{J_{F} / F^{\times}}$such that $\mu_{J_{F}}=\mu_{J_{F} / F^{\times}} \otimes \mu_{F^{\times}}$. Hence for all $h=g \chi$ with $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right), \chi$ is a character of $J_{F}$ that sends $F^{\times}$to 1 , the equality

$$
\int_{J_{F}} h \mu_{J_{F}}=\int_{J_{F} / F^{\times}}\left(\int_{F^{\times}} h(\beta a) \mu_{F^{\times}}(a)\right) \mu_{J_{F} / F^{\times}}(\bar{\beta})
$$

holds.
Recall that $\left|J_{F}\right|=\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$in the number field case and $\left|J_{F}\right|=q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in the global function case when the constant field of $F$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (see Corollary 3 of (5.5)). Choose a subgroup $M$ of $J_{F}$ such that $J_{F}=$ $M \times J_{F}^{1}$. Hence $M \cong\left|J_{F}\right|$. Endow $M$ with the standard multiplicative measure $\mu_{\mathbb{R}} /| |$ of positive reals or with the counting discrete measure. Define the translation invariant measure $\mu_{J_{F}^{1}}$ such that $\mu_{J_{F}}=\mu_{J_{F}^{1}} \otimes \mu_{M}$. Define the translation invariant measure $\mu_{J_{F}^{1} / F^{\times}}$such that $\mu_{J_{F}^{1}}=\mu_{J_{F}^{1} / F^{\times}} \otimes \mu_{F^{\times}}$.

For a character $\chi$ of $J_{F}$ of finite order we have $\chi(M)=1$ since characters of finite order of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$and of $\mathbb{Z}$ are trivial. Thus $\chi(m \gamma)=\chi(\gamma)$ for $m \in M, \gamma \in J_{F}^{1}$.

DEFINITION. For $g \in S\left(\mathrm{~A}_{F}\right), s \in \mathbb{C}$ and a character $\chi$ of $J_{F}$ that vanishes on $F^{\times}$and is of finite order, the zeta integral is

$$
\zeta(g, s, \chi)=\int_{J_{F}} g(\alpha)|\alpha|^{s} \chi(\alpha) \mu_{J_{F}}(\alpha)
$$

There are two ways to compute it, thus providing the equality for the two results of computation.

The first computation. The first way is the use $J_{F}=\Pi^{\prime} F_{v}^{\times}$and do local computations.
Let's start with the case of $\chi=1$ and let $g$ be $f$ defined in (6.4). Then

$$
\zeta(f, s, 1)=\zeta_{F}(f, s, 1)=\prod_{v} \zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}, s, 1\right), \quad \zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}, s, 1\right)=\int_{F_{v}^{\times}} f_{v}(\alpha)|\alpha|_{v}^{s} \mu_{F_{v}^{\times}}(\alpha) .
$$

Calculations immediately show that

$$
\zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}, s, 1\right)= \begin{cases}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} / 2}\left(1-|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1} & \text { if } v \text { is finite } \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)=\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2) & \text { if } v \text { is real } \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)=(2 \pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) & \text { if } v \text { is complex }\end{cases}
$$

$d_{v}$ was defined in (6.3). Recall that $\Gamma(s)$ is defined for $\mathfrak{R} e(s)>0$ as $\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{s} \exp (y) d y / y$, it has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane, has no zeros there and has simple poles at nonpositive integers.

Since $\zeta_{F}(s)$ absolutely and uniformly converges for $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$, the zeta integral $\zeta(f, s, 1)$ has the same property. Note that the function $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s) \prod_{v}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} / 2}$ is a meromorphic function on the complex plane and it does not have zeros there.

Thus, for $\mathfrak{R} e(s)>1$

$$
\zeta(f, s, 1)=\zeta_{F}(s) \zeta_{F, \infty}(s) \prod_{v}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} / 2}
$$

where $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s)=\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)^{r_{1}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^{r_{2}}$ in the number field case and $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s)=1$ in positive characteristic. Therefore, the zeta integral $\zeta(f, s, 1)$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$.

In particular, in the classical case of $F=\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(f, s, 1)=\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) \pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2)$.
By (6.4) the local components of $\mathscr{F}(f)$ are equal to $\left|\delta_{v}\right|_{v}^{1 / 2} f_{v \delta_{v}}$, so this is $f_{v}$ at all finite places where $d_{v}=0$. We have $\zeta_{v}\left(\mathscr{F}\left(f_{v}\right), s, 1\right)=|k(v)|^{-d_{v} s}\left(1-|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ at finite places and

$$
\zeta(\mathscr{F}(f), s, 1)=\zeta_{F}(s) \zeta_{F, \infty}(s) \prod_{v}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} s}
$$

Now let $\chi$ be nontrivial. Let $V_{\chi}$ be the finite set of all finite places $v$ where $\chi\left(j_{v}\left(U_{v}\right)\right) \neq 1, j_{v}$ is defined in (6.2). Denote $U_{0, F_{v}}=U_{F_{v}}$. For a finite $v$ define the conductor $c_{v}=c_{v}(\chi)$ as the smallest non-negative integer such that $\chi\left(j_{v}\left(U_{c_{v}, F_{v}}\right)\right)=1$. Thus, $v \in V_{\chi}$ iff $c_{v} \neq 0$. The definition in (6.2) shows that $\chi(v)=0$ when $v \in V_{\chi}$. We also have $L_{C}(s, \chi)=L_{C \cup V_{\chi}}(s, \chi)$.

Note that $\zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}, s, \chi\right)=0$ when $c_{v}>0$, since the sum of the values of a non-trivial character of a finite group $U_{v} / U_{c_{v}, F_{v}}$ on all of its elements is 0 . We will modify $f_{v}$ at $v \in V_{\chi}$ to get non-zero local zeta integrals. As a side remark which we do not use, since for $0<\mathfrak{R} e(s)<1$ one can easily show that

$$
\zeta_{v}\left(\mathscr{F}\left(g_{1}\right), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) \zeta_{v}\left(g_{2}, s, \chi\right)=\zeta_{v}\left(\mathscr{F}\left(g_{2}\right), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) \zeta_{v}\left(g_{1}, s, \chi\right)
$$

for $g_{1}, g_{2} \in S\left(F_{v}\right)$, the quotient $\zeta_{v}\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) / \zeta_{v}(g, s, \chi)$ when the denominator is non-zero does not depend on the choice of $g \in S\left(F_{v}\right)$.

If $v$ is a real place, for the composite character $\chi \circ j_{v}$ of finite order of $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$there is a uniquely determined number $a$ which is 0 or 1 , such that this character sends $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$to $(\alpha /|\alpha|)^{a}$; define $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s, \chi)=\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+a)$. If $v$ is complex, for the composite character $\chi \circ j_{v}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$there is a uniquely determined number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that this character sends $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$to $(\alpha /|\alpha|)^{n}$, then define $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s, \chi)=\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s+|n| / 2)$.

Now, let's use, following Tate's choice,

$$
f^{\chi}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\chi}, \quad f_{v}^{\chi}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\alpha^{a} f_{v}(\alpha) & \text { if } v \text { is real } \\ \bar{\alpha}^{n} f_{v}(\alpha) & \text { if } v \text { is complex and } n \geqslant 0 \\ \alpha^{-n} f_{v}(\alpha) & \text { if } v \text { is complex and } n<0 \\ f_{v}(\alpha) & \text { if finite } v \notin V_{\chi} \\ \psi_{v}^{0}(\alpha) \operatorname{char}_{\mathscr{M}_{v}^{d_{v}-c_{v}}}(\alpha) & \text { if finite } v \in V_{\chi}\end{cases}
$$

Then $f_{v}^{\chi}=f_{v}^{\chi^{-1}}$ at finite places. One calculates

$$
\zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)= \begin{cases}\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s, \chi) & \text { if } v \text { is real } \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s, \chi) & \text { if } v \text { is complex } \\ |k(v)|^{-d_{v} / 2}\left(1-\chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1} & \text { if finite } v \notin V_{\chi} \\ |k(v)|^{\left(c_{v}+d_{v}\right) s} \times \text { non-zero constant } & \text { if finite } v \in V_{\chi}\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)$ has no complex zeros.

We have

$$
\mathscr{F}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}\right)(\alpha)= \begin{cases}i^{a} f_{v}^{\chi}(\alpha) & \text { if } v \text { is real, } \\ i^{n \mid} f_{v}^{\chi^{-1}}(\alpha) & \text { if } v \text { is complex, } \\ \left|\delta_{v}\right|_{v}^{1 / 2} f_{v}\left(\delta_{v} \alpha\right), & \text { if finite } v \notin V_{\chi}, \\ |k(v)|^{d_{v} / 2+c_{v}} \text { char }_{U_{c, ~}, F_{v}} & \text { if finite } v \in V_{\chi}\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\zeta_{v}\left(\mathscr{F}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}\right), s, \chi\right)= \begin{cases}i^{a} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s, \chi) & \text { if } v \text { is real, } \\ i^{n \mid} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s, \chi) & \text { if } v \text { is complex, } \\ \chi(v)^{d_{v}}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} s}\left(1-\chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1} & \text { if finite } v \notin V_{\chi}, \\ \text { non-zero constant } & \text { if } v \in V_{\chi} .\end{cases}
$$

For a finite set of places $C$ the function $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ is defined in (6.2). We obtain that for $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(f^{\chi}, s, \chi\right) & =L_{C}(s, \chi) \zeta_{F, \infty}(s, \chi) \prod_{v \in C \cup V_{\chi}} \zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}, s, \chi\right) \prod_{v \notin \subset V_{\chi}}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} / 2}, \\
\zeta\left(\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\chi}\right), s, \chi\right) & =L_{C}(s, \chi) i^{b} \zeta_{F, \infty}(s, \chi) \prod_{v \in C \cup V_{\chi}} \zeta_{v}\left(\mathscr{F}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}\right), s, \chi\right) \prod_{v \notin C \cup V_{\chi}} \chi(v)^{d_{v}|k(v)|^{-d_{v} s},}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the number field case $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s, \chi)=\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s, \chi)^{r_{1}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s, \chi)^{r_{2}}$, integer $b$ depends on the numbers $a, n$ for real and complex places, and $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s, \chi)=1$ in positive characteristic. The function $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s, \chi) \prod_{v \in C \cup V_{\chi}} \zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)$ is a holomorphic function on $\Re e(s)>0$, therefore the zeta integral $\zeta(f, s, \chi)$ is a holomorphic function on $\Re e(s)>1$.

The second computation. The second way to compute the zeta integral is to use the filtration $J_{F}>J_{F}^{1}>F^{\times}$and the equality of sets $F=F^{\times} \cup\{0\}$. This is a global computation. It can be viewed as an analog of the radial computation of the Gaussian integral. For $m \in M$ denote

$$
\zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi)=|m|^{s} \int_{J_{F}^{1}} g(m \gamma) \chi(\gamma) \mu_{J_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)
$$

Using the previous Proposition to pass from the third to the fourth line, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi)+|m|^{s} g(0) \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma) \\
&=|m|^{s} \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \int_{F^{\times}} g(m \gamma a) \mu_{F^{\times}}(a) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)+|m|^{s} g(0) \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma) \\
&=|m|^{s} \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \int_{F} g(m \gamma a) \mu_{F}(a) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma) \\
&=|m|^{s-1} \int_{C_{F}} \chi(\gamma) \int_{F} \mathscr{F}(g)\left(m^{-1} \gamma^{-1} a\right) \mu_{F}(a) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma) \\
&=|m|^{s-1} \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma)^{-1} \int_{F} \mathscr{F}(g)\left(m^{-1} \gamma a\right) \mu_{F}(a) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma) \\
&=\zeta_{m^{-1}}\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right)+|m|^{1-s} \mathscr{F}(g)(0) \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi^{-1}(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,
$\zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi)+|m|^{s} g(0) \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)=\zeta_{m^{-1}}\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right)+|m|^{1-s} \mathscr{F}(g)(0) \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi^{-1}(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)$.

Now represent the measure space $M$ as $M_{-} \cup M_{+}$where $M_{-}, M_{+}$correspond to $(0,1]$ and $[1,+\infty)$ with their measures in the number field case and $M_{-}, M_{+}$correspond to $\left\{q^{n}: n<0\right\} \cup\{1\}$ and $\left\{q^{n}: n>0\right\} \cup\{1\}$ where $q^{n}$ is given volume 1 when $n \neq 0$ and $\{1\}$ in both sets is given volume $1 / 2$. We have

$$
\zeta(g, s, \chi)=\int_{M} \zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi) \mu_{M}(m)=\int_{M_{-}} \zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi) \mu_{M_{-}}(m)+\int_{M_{+}} \zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi) \mu_{M_{+}}(m)
$$

Assume from now on that $g=f^{\chi}$. Then both integrals converge for $\mathfrak{\Re e}(s)>1$. The second integral converges even better when $\Re e(s)$ gets smaller since $m \in M_{+}$, hence the second integral extends to an entire function $\xi(g, s, \chi)$ on the complex plane. For the first integral, using the previous computation for $\zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M_{-}} \zeta_{m}(g, s, \chi) \mu_{M_{-}}(m) & =\int_{M_{-}} \zeta_{m^{-1}}\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) \mu_{M_{-}}(m)+\Delta(g, s, \chi) \\
& =\int_{M_{+}} \zeta_{m}\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) \mu_{M_{+}}(m)+\Delta(g, s, \chi) \\
& =\xi\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right)+\Delta(g, s, \chi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Delta(g, s, \chi)=\int_{M_{-}}\left(\mathscr{F}(g)(0)|m|^{s-1} \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma)^{-1} \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)-g(0)|m|^{s} \int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)\right) \mu_{M_{-}}(m) .
$$

If $\chi=1$ then $\int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma) \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)=\mu_{C_{F}^{1}}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)$ and

$$
\zeta(g, s, 1)=\xi(g, s, 1)+\xi(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, 1)-\mu_{C_{F}^{1}}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)(g(0) / s+\mathscr{F}(g)(0) /(1-s))
$$

in characteristic zero, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(g, s, 1) & =\xi(g, s, 1)+\xi(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, 1) \\
& -\mu_{C_{F}^{1}}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)\left(g(0) /\left(1-q^{-s}\right)+\mathscr{F}(g)(0) /\left(1-q^{1-s}\right)+(\mathscr{F}(g)(0)-g(0)) / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in positive characteristic.
Thus, $\zeta(g, s, 1)$ extends to a meromorphic function on the complex plane. Taking $g=f$, so $f(0)$ and $\mathscr{F}(f)(0)$ are non-zero, we also obtain that $\mu_{C_{F}^{1}}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)<\infty$. Since every locally compact abelian group of finite measure is compact, we deduce from the computation of the zeta integral that $C_{F}^{1}$ is compact. We also have $\mu_{C_{F}^{1}}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)>0$ since otherwise $\mu_{J_{F}^{1}}=0, \mu_{J_{F}}=0$ and $\zeta(f, s, 1)=0$ which contradicts the first computation of the zeta integral.

Therefore, the poles of $\zeta(f, s, 1)$ are at $s=0$ and $s=1$ in characteristic zero and at $q^{s}=1$ and $q^{1-s}=1$ in positive characteristic.

If $\chi\left(C_{F}^{1}\right) \neq 1$ then $\int_{C_{F}^{1}} \chi(\gamma)^{-1} \mu_{C_{F}^{1}}(\gamma)$ is zero and

$$
\zeta(g, s, \chi)=\xi(g, s, \chi)+\xi\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right)
$$

extends to an entire function on the complex plane.
When $\mathscr{F} \circ \mathscr{F}(g)(\alpha)=g(\alpha)$, we get the functional equation for the zeta integral

$$
\zeta(g, s, \chi)=\zeta\left(\mathscr{F}(g), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) .
$$

Theorem. The zeta integral $\zeta(f, s, 1)$ extends to a meromorphic function on the complex plane and its only poles are at $s=0$ and $s=1$ in characteristic zero and at $q^{s}=1$ and $q^{1-s}=1$ in positive characteristic. It satisfies the functional equation

$$
\zeta(f, s, 1)=\zeta(\mathscr{F}(f), 1-s, 1) .
$$

For a character $\chi$ of $J_{F}$ such that $\chi\left(J_{F}\right) \neq 1=\chi\left(F^{\times}\right)$and $\chi$ is of finite order, the zeta integral $\zeta\left(f^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)$ extends to an entire function on the complex plane and satisfies the functional equation

$$
\zeta\left(f^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)=\zeta\left(\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\chi}\right), 1-s, \chi^{-1}\right) .
$$

The zeta function $\zeta_{F}(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on the complex plane, with the only poles at $s=0$ and $s=1$ in characteristic zero and at $q^{s}=1$ and $q^{1-s}=1$ in positive characteristic. Denote $\widehat{\zeta}_{F}(s)=\left(\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2)\right)^{r_{1}}\left((2 \pi)^{1-s} \Gamma(s)\right)^{r_{2}} \zeta_{F}(s)$ in characteristic zero and $\widehat{\zeta}_{F}(s)=\zeta_{F}(s)$ in positive characteristic. It satisfies the functional equation

$$
\widehat{\zeta}_{F}(s)=|\delta|^{-1 / 2+s} \widehat{\zeta}_{F}(1-s),
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widehat{\zeta}_{F}(s)=\left|d_{F}\right|^{1 / 2-s} \widehat{\zeta}_{F}(1-s) \text { in characteristic zero, } \\
\zeta_{F}(s)=\left(q^{2 g-2}\right)^{1 / 2-s} \zeta_{F}(1-s) \text { in positive characteristic. }
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\chi \neq 1$, for a finite set $C$ of finite places the function $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ extends to an entire function on the complex plane and it satisfies the functional equation relating $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ and $L_{C}\left(1-s, \chi^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. It only remains to use the above computations.
From the comparison of the entire function $\zeta\left(f^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)$ and the function $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ and the fact that the function $\zeta_{F, \infty}(s, \chi) \Pi_{v \in C \cup V_{\chi}} \zeta_{v}\left(f_{v}^{\chi}, s, \chi\right)$ has no complex zeroes, we obtain that $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ extends to an entire function on $\mathbb{C}$. The functions equation for $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ follows from the two displayed lines in the last paragraph of the first computation.

Corollary. For a finite abelian extension $L / F$ the group $J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)$ is finite by Corollary 2 of (5.3). Let $\chi$ be a non-trivial character of the finite group $J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)$. Then for a finite set $C$ of finite places the function $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ extends to an entire function on the complex plane and in particular the order of its zero at $s=1$ is non-negative.

## Remarks.

1. The proof the Theorem uses subsections (4.1)-(4.3), including the local compactness property of the additive and multiplicative groups of completions of a global field and its adelic ring, and self-duality of the additive groups of completions of a global field and its adelic ring in Proposition (4.3). It does not use any other non-trivial results of sections 1-4.
2. The computation of the zeta integral in the proof of the Theorem proves compactness of $C_{F}^{1}$ by proving $\mu\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)<\infty$. This proof is different from the proof in (5.3) and in basic algebraic number theory. Following the lines of how Proposition (5.4) was deduced from compactness of the idele classes of adelic module 1 and discreteness of non-zero global elements in ideles.
3. There are classical analytic ways without involving zeta integrals to prove Corollary 2 and to prove the Theorem (Hecke's proof of the functional equation of the $L$-functions of number fields). In the proof included in this section, due to Iwasawa and Tate, the functional equation is implied by the structure of the zeta integral, self-duality of adeles, the Fourier transform on functions on adeles and the right mixture of the additive and multiplicative structures.
4. Generalisations of the zeta integral play key roles in the Langlands program and in higher zeta integrals theory.
6.7. Now let's look at an analytic proof of the second inequality by using $L$-functions.

THEOREM. The index of $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ in $C_{F}$ for a global field $F$ and a cyclic extension $L / F$ of prime degree does not exceed the degree of the extension. Hence, in view of Corollary 1 of (5.5),

$$
\left|C_{F}: N_{L / F} C_{L}\right|=|L: F|, \quad \operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}=C_{L}^{1-\sigma}
$$

Proof. Denote by $C$ the set of all finite places $v$ for which $e(w \mid v)>1$ in $L / F$, hence $e(w \mid v)=|L: F|$ since the latter is a prime number. This set is finite due to Proposition (5.2). So finite $v \notin C$ are unramified in $L / F$.

Denote $m=\left|J_{F}: F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right|, n=|L: F|$.
By Theorem (6.6), $\log \zeta_{F}(s) \sim-\log (s-1)$. The function $\zeta_{F}(s)$ is the product of $L_{C}(s, 1)$ and the product of finitely many Euler factors $\left(1-|k(v)|^{-s}\right)^{-1}$ each of which is a holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>0$, hence $\log L_{C}(s, 1) \sim \log \zeta_{F}(s) \sim-\log (s-1)$.

For a non-trivial character $\chi$ of the finite abelian group $J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)$ denote by $n(\chi)$ the order of zero of $L_{C}(s, \chi)$ at $s=1$. Then $\log L_{C}(s, \chi) \sim n(\chi) \log (s-1)$. By Corollary of (6.6), $n(\chi) \geqslant 0$ for characters $\chi$ different from the trivial character.

For $\mathfrak{R e}(s)>1$ we have

$$
\log L_{C}(s, \chi) \sim \sum_{v \notin C} \chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s}=\sum \chi(v)|k(v)|^{-s} \sim \sum_{\alpha \in J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)} \chi(\alpha) \sum_{v: j_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right) \in \alpha F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}}|k(v)|^{-s}
$$

where $\pi_{v}$ as in (6.2). Summing over all characters of the finite abelian group $J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right) \cong$ $C_{F} / N_{L / F} C_{L}$ we obtain

$$
\log \zeta_{F}(s)+\sum_{\chi \neq 1} \log L_{C}(s, \chi) \sim \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\alpha \in J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)} \chi(\alpha) \sum_{v: j_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right) \in \alpha F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}}|k(v)|^{-s}
$$

The sum $\sum_{\alpha \in J_{F} /\left(F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)} \chi(a)$ equals zero if $a$ is different from the identity of the quotient group and equals its order otherwise.

Denote by $S_{L / F}$ the set of finite places of $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ which split completely in $L / F$, so there are $n$ places $w$ of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ over $v$ and $k(w)=k(v)$. For every $v \in S_{L / F}$ we have $j_{v}\left(F_{v}^{\times}\right) \subset N_{L / F} J_{L}$. Using the notation $\gtrsim$ to indicate that the left-hand side is not smaller than the right-hand side plus a constant
when real $s \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\sum_{\chi \neq 1} n(\chi)\right) \log \frac{1}{s-1} & \sim m \sum_{v: j_{v}\left(\pi_{v}\right) \in F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}}|k(v)|^{-s} \gtrsim m \sum_{v \in S_{L / F}}|k(v)|^{-s}=\frac{m}{n} \sum_{w: w \mid v, v \in S_{L / F}}|k(w)|^{-s} \\
& \gtrsim \frac{m}{n} \sum_{w:|k(w)| \text { is prime }}|k(w)|^{-s} \sim \frac{m}{n} \sum_{w}|k(w)|^{-s} \sim \frac{m}{n} \log \frac{1}{s-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $m \leqslant n$. Now, using Corollary 1 of (5.4) we deduce $m=n$, and in the displayed formulas, $n(\chi)=0$ for all $\chi \neq 1, \sum_{v \in S_{L / F}}|k(v)|^{-s} \sim \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{s-1}$.

## REMARKS.

1. The method of using the singularity at $s=1$ of series $\sum_{P \in B} N(P)^{-s}$ has a long tradition starting from Dirichlet's proof of the theorem about primes in arithmetic progressions. See Exercises 3.10-3.11 Ch. 4 for its proof from the point of view of class field theory, and see Exercise 3.12 Ch. 4 for Chebotarev's density theorem.
2. A purely algebraic proof (by Chevalley) of the first statement of the Theorem can be obtained using Kummer theory and in positive characteristic $p$ for Galois extensions of degree $p$ by using Artin-Schreier theory, so without using $L$-functions. The proof above, essentially due to Weber, but in adelic language, is a historical approach to class field theory via the study of the density of primes in arithmetic progressions and splitting of maximal ideals using $L$-functions.

## 7. Global Class Field Theory

In this section we will derive all main results of global class field theory.
DEFINITION. For the field of real numbers define the reciprocity map

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{R}}=\Psi_{\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})
$$

as $r \mapsto \tau^{(1-\operatorname{sign}(r)) / 2}$ where $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$ is the complex conjugation. We can identify $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}) \leadsto$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with the group $\{ \pm 1\}$. For the field of complex numbers define the reciprocity map

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{C})=\{1\}
$$

as the map which sends everything to 1 . Of course, infinitely divisible elements of a group have to go to the identity element of a profinite group with respect to any homomorphism from the former to the latter.

Even though we do not have profinite extensions of archimedean completions with Galois groups isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and hence frobenius elements in the sense of (2.1) and no analog of the map $\Upsilon$ of section 2 , one checks immediately that for infinite places we have analogs of the commutative diagrams of Theorem (2.9). Indeed, the Galois groups involved are either trivial or $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$. In particular, if $E / L / F, E / M / F$ are finite extension of archimedean completions, then $\left.\Psi_{E / M}(\beta)\right|_{L}=\Psi_{L / F}\left(N_{M / F}(\beta)\right)$ for $\beta \in M^{\times}$.
7.1. For abelian extensions the decomposition group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w}$ of a place $w$ of $L$ over a place $v$ of $F$ depends on $v$ only, due to the equality $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w}=\sigma^{-1} \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w} \sigma=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{\sigma w}$. Keeping in mind (4.1), for abelian $L / F$ we will denote $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{w}$ by $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{v}, L_{w}=L_{v}, i_{w}$ by $i_{v}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F), i_{v}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{v}$.

Definition. Let $F$ be a global field. Using the local reciprocity maps for all completions of $F_{v}$, define for a finite abelian extension $L / F$ the homomorphism

$$
\Phi_{L / F}: J_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F), \quad \Phi_{L / F}(\alpha)=\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)
$$

where $v$ runs through all places of $F, \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}: F_{v} \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)$ is the local reciprocity map. The product is well defined, since for almost all $v$ the element $\alpha_{v} \in U_{F_{v}}$ and the extension $L_{v} / F_{v}$ is unramified by Proposition (5.2).

Proposition. Let $M / F, E / L$ be finite separable extensions of global fields and $L / F$ and $E / M$ be finite abelian extensions. Then the diagram

is commutative, where the right vertical map is the restriction of Galois automorphisms and the left vertical map is the norm map $N_{M / F}$.

Proof. For an idele ( $\beta_{w}$ ) of $J_{M}$ and $w \mid v$ for a place $v$ of $F$ we know from Theorem (2.9), section 3 and the Definition preceding subsection (7.1) that $\left.\Psi_{E_{w} / M_{w}}\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right|_{L_{v}}=\Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(N_{M_{w} / F_{v}}\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right)$ where $w \mid v$. Since $N_{M / F}\left(\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right)_{v}=\prod_{w \mid v} N_{M_{w} / F_{v}}\left(\beta_{w}\right)$ by (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{L / F}\left(N_{M / F}\left(\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right)\right) & =\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(N_{M / F}\left(\beta_{w}\right)_{v}\right)=\prod_{v} \prod_{w \mid v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(N_{M_{w} / F_{v}}\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right) \\
& =\left.\prod_{v} \prod_{w \mid v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{E_{w} / M_{w}}\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right|_{L_{v}}=\left.\Phi_{E / M}\left(\left(\beta_{w}\right)\right)\right|_{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition. For an infinite abelian extension $R / F$ define

$$
\Phi_{R / F}: J_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(R / F)
$$

as the inverse limit of $\Phi_{L / F}(\alpha)$ for finite subextensions $L / F$ of $R / F$, using the previous Proposition for $M=F$.

Corollary. The equality $\Phi_{R / F}(\alpha)=\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{R_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ remains valid for infinite abelian extensions $R / F$, where $R_{v}$ is the compositum of completions of all finite subextensions $E / F$ of $R / F$ with respect to (any of) extensions of $v$ on $E$. The previous Proposition remains true for infinite $L / F$ and $E / M$.

Proof. The product $\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{R_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ converges to $\Phi_{R / F}(\alpha)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(R / F)$. Indeed, for a finite subextension $E / F$ of $R / F$ let $\sigma_{E}=\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{E_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$. By the previous Proposition for any finite subextension $M / F$ of $E / F,\left.\sigma_{E}\right|_{M}=\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{M_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)=\Phi_{M / F}(\alpha)=\sigma_{M}$, so $\left\{\sigma_{E}\right\}_{E}$ converge to $\Phi_{R / F}(\alpha)$ in the profinite topology of $\operatorname{Gal}(R / F)$. The second assertion of the Corollary follows immediately.
7.2. In characteristic zero, the maximal cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {cycl }}$ is the composite of all finite cyclotomic extensions $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\text {cycl }} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim }(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}
$$

We have $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}=\Pi_{p} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$and from the description of the units of local number fields we know that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for odd prime $p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} \cong T \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad T=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{p>2} \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) \mathbb{Z}
$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ has no nontrivial torsion, the torsion subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\text {cycl }} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ coincides with the torsion subgroup of $T$. The latter contains $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \oplus_{p>2} \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) \mathbb{Z}$ whose closure in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$coincides with $T$.

DEFINITION. For $k=\mathbb{Q}$ denote by $\tilde{k}$ the fixed field of $T$, it is a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-extension of $k$.
In positive characteristic, the field $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ has the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-extension $\tilde{k}=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\operatorname{sep}}(t)$.

LEMMA. Let l be a prime number and $m$ a positive integer. For a finite extension $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ let $\breve{K} / K$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$-subextension of $\widetilde{K} / K$. Then for every finite extension $E$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ containing $K$, the image of $\operatorname{Gal}(E \breve{K} / E)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\breve{K} / K)$ is a nontrivial open subgroup of the latter and the intersection $E \cap \breve{K}$ is of finite degree over $K$.

Proof. For a prime number $l$ denote by $A_{l}$ the subextension of $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}$ with the Galois group $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$, so $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}=\prod A_{l}$. Put $l^{\prime}=l$ if $l$ is odd and $l^{\prime}=4$ if $l=2$. The field $A_{l}$ is linearly disjoint with $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{l^{\prime}}\right)$ and their composite is the maximal $l$-cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{l^{\infty}}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since the finite extension $E\left(\zeta_{l^{\prime}}\right)$ of $E$ does not include $E\left(\zeta_{l^{\infty}}\right)$, the extension $E \breve{K} / E$ is nontrivial. Hence the image of $\operatorname{Gal}(E \breve{K} / E)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\breve{K} / K)$ is a subgroup of finite index.

We get the surjective homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{deg}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{k} / k) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

For every finite separable extension $F$ of $k$ we get, similar to section 2 , the surjective homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{F}=f_{F}^{-1} \operatorname{deg}: G_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / F) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

where $f_{F}=|F \cap \tilde{k}: k|, \widetilde{F}=F \tilde{k}$. It is continuous, since the restriction of Galois automorphisms is continuous.

We denote the element of $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / F)$ that is sent by $\operatorname{deg}_{F}$ to $1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ as $\varphi_{F}$. This is the frobenius element in abstract class field theory in the sense of (2.1), but we will not use this name in the
case of global fields in order not to confuse it with the Frobenius automorphisms of completions of global fields.

ThEOREM. For a global field F let

$$
w_{F}=\operatorname{deg}_{F} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}: J_{F} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} .
$$

Then $w_{F}\left(F^{\times}\right)=1$. The homomorphism $w_{F}$ induces the continuous homomorphism $v_{F}: C_{F} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.
Proof. Since $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}(\alpha)=\Phi_{\tilde{k} / k}\left(N_{F / k}(\alpha)\right)$ by Corollary (7.1), it is sufficient to prove the statement for $k=\mathbb{Q}$ and $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$.

In characteristic zero, it suffices to show that $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(a)=1$ for every root $\zeta$ and $a \in$ $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$. If $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$ are roots of orders $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1$, then $\zeta=\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}$ is of order $m_{1} m_{2}$ and from Proposition (7.1) we deduce $\left(\zeta^{\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(a)-1}\right)^{m_{1}}=\left(\zeta_{2}^{\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}(a)-1}\right)^{m_{1}}$, so it is sufficient to show $\zeta^{\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(a)-1}=1$ for every root $\zeta$ of order $l^{n}>2, l$ a prime number.

When $l$ is different from a prime $p$, the extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is unramified. Therefore we obtain $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(a)(\zeta)=\zeta p^{p^{v p(a)}}$ by Remark (18.2) Ch.2. When $p=l$ then by Corollary (3.2) we know $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(a)(\zeta)=\zeta^{u^{-1}}$ where $a=p^{v_{p}(a)} u$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. When $v$ is infinite then $\mathbb{R}(\zeta)=\mathbb{C}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}(\zeta) / \mathbb{R}}(a)(\zeta)=\zeta^{\operatorname{sign}(a)}$. Since $u=\operatorname{sign}(a) \prod_{p \neq l} p^{v_{p}(a)}$, we deduce $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(a)=1$.

In positive characteristic $p$, for a root $\zeta$ of order prime to $p$ and $a \in k^{\times}, k_{v}(\zeta) / k_{v}$ is unramified for all places $v$ of $k$ and $\Phi_{k_{v}(\zeta) / k_{v}}(a)(\zeta)=\zeta^{|k(v)|^{v(a)}}$. Since $1=\left|a^{-1}\right|=\prod_{v}|k(v)|^{v(a)}$, we obtain $\Phi_{k(\zeta) / k}(a)=1$.

Thus, $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}$ induces the homomorphism $C_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / F)$ and we have the homomorphism $v_{F}: C_{F} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The map $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}$ is continuous, since the preimage of $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / L)$ for a finite subextension $L / F$ of $\widetilde{F} / F$ contains $F^{\times}$and the image of the norms of $L_{w} / F_{v}$ for $w \mid v$ and places $v$ of $F$ by (4.2), hence it also contains $N_{L / F} J_{L}$. The group $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ is an open subgroup in $C_{F}$ by Corollary (5.2).

REMARK. In positive characteristic $v_{F}$ has a simple description. Denote by $k_{F}$ the finite coefficient field of $F$. Note that the restriction of the local Frobenius automorphism of $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}} / F_{v}$ on $\widetilde{F}=F \otimes_{k_{F}} k_{F}^{\text {sep }}$ is $\varphi_{F}^{\left|k(v): k_{F}\right|}$ and by local class field theory $\Psi_{F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)=\varphi_{F_{v}}^{v\left(\alpha_{v}\right)}$. Hence $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}(\alpha)=$ $\varphi_{F}^{\sum_{v} v\left(\alpha_{v}\right)\left|k(v): k_{F}\right|}=\varphi_{F}^{-\log _{\left|k_{F}\right|}|\alpha|}$ and $v_{F}(\alpha)=-\log _{\left|k_{F}\right|}|\alpha|$. In particular, $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}(\alpha)=1$ iff $\alpha \in J_{F}^{1}$.

Proposition. In characteristic zero $v_{F}\left(C_{F}\right)=\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. In positive characteristic $v_{F}\left(C_{F}\right)$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z}$. For every finite separable extension $L / F$ we have

$$
v_{F}\left(N_{L / F} C_{L}\right)=|L \cap \widetilde{F}: F|^{-1} v_{L}\left(C_{L}\right) .
$$

Proof. To prove the first assertion, note that for every finite subextension $L / F$ of $\widetilde{F} / F$ the image $\Phi_{L / F}\left(J_{F}\right)$ contains all the decomposition $\operatorname{groups} \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{v}=i_{v}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)\right)$ where $v$ runs through all places of $F$, since $\Phi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(F_{v} \times\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)$. Denote by $M$ the fixed field of $\Phi_{L / F}\left(J_{F}\right)$, then $M_{v}=F_{v}$ for all places $v$ of $F$. By Corollary 2 of (5.5) we deduce $M=F$. Thus, $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(J_{F}\right) \mid L=$ $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ for every finite subextension $L / F$ of $\widetilde{F} / F$. Therefore, the image $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(C_{F}\right)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / F)$.

In characteristic zero $C_{F} / C_{F}^{1} \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$which is a divisible group, hence $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(C_{F}\right)=\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)$. Since $C_{F}^{1}$ is compact and $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}$ is continuous, $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)$ is closed and so $\Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(C_{F}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / F)$.

In positive characteristic, for every completed $F_{v}$ the image $\Psi_{F_{v}}\left(F_{v}^{\times}\right)$restricted on $\widetilde{F}=F \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {sep }}$ is an infinite cyclic subgroup of the infinite cyclic subgroup generated by $\varphi_{F}$, hence $v_{F}\left(C_{F}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Using Corollary (7.1) we deduce

$$
w_{F}\left(N_{L / F} C_{L}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{F} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}\left(N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)=|L \cap \widetilde{F}: F|^{-1} \operatorname{deg}_{L} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{L} / L}\left(J_{L}\right)=|L \cap \widetilde{F}: F|^{-1} w_{L}\left(C_{L}\right) .
$$

7.3. The map $\operatorname{deg}_{k}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ for class field theory of section 2 is the surjective homomorphism $\operatorname{deg}_{k}: G_{k} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{k} / k) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Definition. Put $A=\xrightarrow{\lim } C_{E}$ where $E$ runs through all finite separable extensions of $k$. This is a $G_{k}$-module. Then $A_{F}=C_{F}$ by Lemma (7.2).

The map $v=v_{k}: A_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined in the Theorem and Proposition of (7.2). The required for abstract class field theory compatibility of $v$ with the norm map and deg as in (2.3) is established in Proposition (7.2).

Properties A1 and A2 of (2.7), i.e. for cyclic extensions $L / F$ of prime degree the kernel of the norm map $N_{L / F}: C_{L} \longrightarrow C_{F}$ equals $C_{L}^{1-\sigma}, \sigma$ is a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, and the index of the norm group $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ equals to the degree, hold true by Theorem (6.7).

Thus, section 2 implies
Theorem. For a finite Galois extension L/F of global fields we have the homomorphism

$$
\Upsilon_{L / F}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow C_{F} / N_{L / F} C_{L},
$$

its kernel is $[\operatorname{Gal}(L / F), \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)]$ and it is surjective. All the properties of section 2 hold.
The inverse homomorphism is the surjective homomorphism

$$
\Psi_{L / F}: C_{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

with kernel is $N_{L / F} C_{L}$.
We also have the global reciprocity map

$$
\Psi_{F}: C_{F} \longrightarrow G_{F}^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

with all the properties in (2.8) and (2.9) satisfied. The map $\Psi_{F}$ is continuous.
Proof. Continuity of $\Psi_{F}$ follows from $\Psi_{F}^{-1}(\operatorname{Gal}(L / F))=N_{L / F} C_{L}$ for a finite abelian extension $L / F$ and the openness of the norm group in Corollary (7.2).

Corollary. For every finite cyclic extension $L / F$ of global fields with a generator $\sigma$ properties A1 and A2 hold, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{ker} N_{L / F}=C_{L}^{1-\sigma}, \quad C_{F} / N_{L / F} C_{L} \cong \operatorname{Gal}(L / F) .
$$

Proof. The second assertion follows from the isomorphism property of $\Psi_{L / F}$. The first assertion can be proved by induction on the degree of cyclic $L / F$. Let $M / F$ be a subextension of $L / F$ of prime degree $m$. Proposition (2.6) for the abelian $L / F$ implies that the homomorphism $j: A_{F} / N_{L / F} A_{L} \longrightarrow A_{M} / N_{L / M} A_{L}$ induced by $A_{F} \longrightarrow A_{M}$ corresponds via the reciprocity maps to the homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L / M), \sigma \mapsto \sigma^{m}$. For cyclic $L / F$ it is surjective, and hence $j$ is surjective. Therefore, $A_{M} \subset A_{F} N_{L / M} A_{L}$. Now, if $\alpha \in A_{L}$ is in the kernel of $N_{L / F}$ then by the induction assumption $N_{L / M} \alpha=\beta^{\sigma-1}$ for some $\beta \in A_{M}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Write $\beta=\gamma N_{L / M} \delta$ with $\gamma \in A_{F}$ and $\delta \in A_{L}$. Then $N_{L / M} \alpha=\beta^{\sigma-1}=N_{L / M} \delta^{\sigma-1}$, so $\alpha \delta^{1-\sigma}$ is in the kernel of $N_{L / M}$, and so $\alpha \in C_{L}^{1-\sigma}$.

Corollary 2. For a finite cyclic extension $L / F$ an element $a \in F^{\times}$is in the norm group $N_{L / F} L^{\times}$iff its image in every completion $F_{v} \times$ is in the image of the local norm maps $N_{L_{v} / F_{v}}$.

Proof. If $a$ is in the image of the local maps $N_{L_{v} / F_{v}}$ for all $v$, then $a=N_{L / F} \beta$ for an idele $\beta \in J_{L}$. Hence $N_{L / F}\left(\beta L^{\times}\right)=1$ in $C_{F}$. Therefore by Corollary 1 we obtain $\beta=\gamma^{1-\sigma} b$ for some $\gamma \in J_{L}$ and $b \in L^{\times}$. Thus, $a=N_{L / F} b$.
7.4. One can ask about compatibility of the local reciprocity maps and the global reciprocity map.

THEOREM. For every finite abelian extension $L / F$ and every place $v$ of $F$ we have the commutative diagram

where $j_{v}$ send an element $\alpha \in F_{v} \times$ to the class of the idele with components 1 everywhere except at $v$ where its component is $\alpha$.

Proof. Let $F$ be a number field.
First consider infinite places where there are no maps $\Upsilon$. If $F_{v}{ }^{\times}$is infinitely divisible the diagram commutes. If $L_{v}=F_{v}$ then $j_{v}\left(F_{v}^{\times}\right) \in N_{L / F} C_{L}$ and the diagram commutes. If $v$ is a real place and $\alpha \in F_{v}{ }^{\times}$is not infinitely divisible, then it is -1 modulo the subgroup $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$of infinitely divisible elements; if $L_{v} / F_{v}$ is nontrivial then $L_{v} \cong \mathbb{C}$, hence $|L: F|$ is even. Then $\Psi_{L / F}\left(j_{v}(-1)\right)^{2}=$ 1 and we only need to check that $\Psi_{L / F}\left(j_{v}(-1)\right)=-1$. Consider the special case $L=F\left(\zeta_{4}\right)$ where $\zeta_{4}^{2}=-1$. If $\Psi_{L / F}\left(j_{v}(-1)\right)=1$ then $j_{v}(-1) \in N_{L / F} C_{L}$, i.e. $j_{v}(-1)=N_{L / F}(\beta) b$ for some $\beta \in J_{L}$ and $b \in F^{\times}$. Then (i) $b \in N_{L_{v^{\prime}} / F_{v^{\prime}}} L_{v^{\prime}}^{\times}$for $v^{\prime} \neq v$, and (ii) $-b \in N_{L_{v} / F_{v}} L_{v}^{\times}$. On the other hand, $w_{F}(b)=$ 1 by Theorem (7.2), so from (i) we deduce $b \in N_{L_{v} / F_{v}} L_{v}^{\times}$. But then from (ii) $-1 \in N_{L_{v} / F_{v}} L_{v}^{\times}$, a contradiction. Thus, for the special case $L=F\left(\zeta_{4}\right)$ we have $\Psi_{L / F}\left(j_{v} \alpha\right)=i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}(\alpha)$. In the general case of real $v$, define $L^{\prime}=L\left(\zeta_{4}\right)$ and choose $F^{\prime}$ as the fixed field of the restriction of the complex conjugation to $L^{\prime}$. Then $L^{\prime}$ is an extension of $F^{\prime}$ of degree $2, L^{\prime} / F^{\prime}$ is the special case as above, $L^{\prime} \supset L, F^{\prime} \supset F, F_{v}^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $L_{v}^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $F_{v}=F_{v}^{\prime}, L_{v}=L_{v}^{\prime}$. For $L^{\prime} / F^{\prime}$ we already know that $\Psi_{L^{\prime} / F^{\prime}}\left(j_{v}^{\prime} \alpha\right)=i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}(\alpha)$, where $j_{v}^{\prime}: F_{v}=F_{v}^{\prime} \longrightarrow C_{F^{\prime}}$. Due to formula for the norm
map on ideles in (4.2), $j_{v}(\alpha)=N_{F^{\prime} / F}\left(j_{v}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$. Using the first Proposition of (2.5) we conclude $\Psi_{L / F}\left(j_{v}(\alpha)\right)=i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}(\alpha)$.

Now we deal with finite places $v$ in characteristic zero. By Theorem (2.9) $\operatorname{deg}_{F} \circ \Psi_{\widetilde{F} / F}=$ $v_{F}$. Since $w_{F}=\operatorname{deg}_{F} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{F} / F}$, in the special case of a finite subextension $L / F$ of $\widetilde{F} / F$ we get $\Psi_{L / F}(\alpha)=\prod_{v} \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right)$ and, in particular, the diagram is commutative. We will reduce the general case to this special case, similar how in the study of $\Upsilon$ one reduces the general case of finite Galois extensions to the case of finite Galois extensions inside $\widetilde{F} / F$.

We have the diagram

where $j_{v}^{*}$ is induced by $j_{v}$, and the horizontal maps are isomorphisms. Its commutativity is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram in the statement of the Theorem.

Since elements of prime power order generate finite abelian groups, we can assume that the order of $\sigma$ is $l^{m}$ for a prime $l$ and a positive integer $m$. We can also assume that $\sigma$ generates $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ by passing to the fixed field of $\sigma$. We use the notation $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}$ for the $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, similar to Lemma (7.2). Put $\breve{F}=F \breve{\mathbb{Q}}, \breve{F}_{v}=F_{v} \breve{\mathbb{Q}}$. The restriction map gives the homomorphism $G_{F_{v}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{F}_{v} / F_{v}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\breve{F} / F)$. By Lemma (7.2), $n_{l}=\left|F_{v} \cap \breve{F}: F\right|$ is a positive integer. So there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{F}_{v} / F_{v}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{F} / \breve{F} \cap F_{v}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{l}$ and we have the surjective homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}^{\smile}: G_{F_{v}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{F}_{v} / F_{v}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{l}
$$

which is different from the $\operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}$ in local class field theory.
For the local fields extension $L_{v} / F_{v}$ and a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)$ we can use $\operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}^{\breve{ }}$ as in Remark (2.2). Hence, there is an element $\phi$ of $\operatorname{Frob}^{\smile}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)=\left\{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{L}_{v} / F_{v}\right): \operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}^{\smile}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\}$ such that $\left.\phi\right|_{L_{v}}=\sigma$. We have $\operatorname{deg}_{F}\left(\left.\phi\right|_{\breve{F}}\right)=n_{l} \operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}^{\breve{ }}(\phi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Denote by $K$ the fixed field of $\left.\phi\right|_{\breve{L}}$, by (2.2) it is of finite degree over $F$. Denote $M=K L$, by (2.2) it is of finite degree over $K$ and $L$ and is inside $\breve{K}=\breve{L}$. Denote by $M_{w}$ the completion of $M$ with respect to a place $w$ of $M$ over $v$ of $L$, then $M_{w} \supset L_{v}$. Denote by the same notation $w$ the place of $K$ under the place $w$ of $M$. The fixed field of $\phi$ is of finite degree over $F_{v}$, and contains $K$ and $F_{v}$, therefore it contains $K_{w}$. We deduce that the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{w} / K_{w}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)$ sends $\left.\phi\right|_{M_{w}}$ to $\sigma$. The extension $M / K$ is of the special type, so the preceding diagram is commutative for $M / K, M_{w} / K_{w}$. It remains to use the following cube diagram all side diagrams except the bottom square are commutative. Hence the
bottom square is commutative for $\sigma$ (note that $K, M$ depend on $\sigma$ ).


Finally, in positive characteristic $\widetilde{F}=F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {sep }}$ and for each completion $F_{v}$ we have $\widetilde{F}_{v}=$ $F_{v}^{\mathrm{ur}}=F_{v} \otimes_{F} \widetilde{F}$. We argue similarly to the characteristic zero case argument, with the simplification due to the fact that $\operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}^{v}$ is the usual $\operatorname{deg}_{F_{v}}$ in local class field theory of local fields of positive characteristic with finite residue field.

Remark. In the last part of the proof for number fields it would be more satisfying to work with local extensions $F_{v} \widetilde{F} / F_{v}$, however unlike Lemma (7.2) for $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$-extensions, the intersection $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is not of finite degree over $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, for odd primes $l$ different from $p$ and a primitive $l$ th root $\zeta_{l}$ it is easy to check that the degree of the unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{l}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is $r_{l}$ where $r_{l}$ is the minimal positive integer such that $p^{r_{l}} \equiv 1 \bmod l$. Hence the fixed field $R_{l}$ of the decomposition $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{l}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ of $p$ in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{l}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is of degree $(l-1) / r_{l}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. By the last sentence in the proof of Theorem (6.7), there are infinitely many primes which split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) / \mathbb{Q}$, hence, by Theorem (3.5.9) Ch.1, there are infinitely many primes $l$ such that $p$ is a quadratic residue modulo $l$, and hence $(l-1) / r_{l} \geqslant 2$. So $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ contains disjoint nontrivial extensions $R_{l}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ for infinitely many $l$.

Corollary 1. For every abelian extension $L / F$ of global fields and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{v}\right) \in J_{L}$

$$
\Psi_{L / F}(\alpha)=\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}\left(\alpha_{v}\right) .
$$

For every principal idele $a \in F^{\times}$the reciprocity law holds

$$
\prod_{v} i_{v} \circ \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}(a)=1
$$

Proof. The first formula for idele $j_{v}(b)$ and every place $v$ is the content of the previous Theorem. Hence it holds for the subgroup of ideles which have almost all of their components equal to 1 . This subgroup is a dense subgroup of ideles. Since the reciprocity map $\Psi_{L / F}$ is continuous by Theorem (7.3), we have the first statement of the Corollary. The second statement follows.

Corollary 2. For every finite abelian extension $L / F$ and every place $v$

$$
j_{v}\left(F_{v}^{\times}\right) \cap F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}=j_{v}\left(N_{L_{v} / F_{v}} L_{v}^{\times}\right),
$$

and the places of $L$ over the place $v$ of $F$ are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of the finite group $J_{F} /\left(F_{v}^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}\right)$.

Proof. The $\supset$ inclusion follows from the description of the norm map in (4.2). Let $j_{v}(\alpha) \in$ $F^{\times} N_{L / F} J_{L}$ for $\alpha \in F_{v}^{\times}$, i.e. $j_{v}(\alpha)=a N_{L / F}(\beta)$ for some $a \in F^{\times}$and $\beta \in J_{L}$. This implies $\Psi_{L_{v^{\prime}} / F_{v^{\prime}}}(a)=1$ for all places $v^{\prime} \neq v$, hence by Corollary $1, \Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}(a)=1$, and therefore $\Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}(\alpha)=$ 1 , so $\alpha \in N_{L_{v} / F_{v}} L_{v}^{\times}$.

The places of $L$ over $v$ correspond the cosets of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)_{v}=j_{v}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right)\right)$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$, and since $\Psi_{L / F}$ and $\Psi_{L_{v} / F_{v}}$ are isomorphisms, we deduce the last statement.

To state the next Corollary we need to make several definitions and observations.
The Hilbert symbol $(,)_{n, F_{v}}: F_{v} \times F_{v} \times \longrightarrow \mu_{n}$ for local fields $F_{v}$ with finite residue field containing a primitive $n$th root of unity was defined and studied in (3.4). Similarly we can define it for archimedean completions $F_{v}$ using the same formula $(\alpha, \beta)_{n, F_{v}}=\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F_{v}}(\alpha)(\gamma)$ where $\gamma^{n}=\beta$. Then $(\alpha, \beta)_{n, \mathbb{C}}=1$ for all non-zero complex $\alpha, \beta$ since $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$is infinitely divisible; $(\alpha, \beta)_{2, \mathbb{R}}=1$ if $\alpha>0$ or $\beta>0$ and $=-1$ otherwise.

For a finite $v$ such that $\mu_{n} \subset F_{v}, \alpha \in F_{v}^{\times}$and $v(n)=v(\alpha)=0$ the $n$th power residue symbol $\left(\frac{\alpha}{v}\right)_{n}: \mathscr{O}_{v}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mu_{n}$ is defined as

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}:=\alpha^{(|k(v)|-1) / n} \quad \bmod \mathscr{M}_{v}
$$

So $\left(\frac{\alpha}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}=1$ iff $\bar{\alpha} \in k(v)^{\times n}$, which explains the name.
For a non-zero fractional ideal $I$ of $F$ with factorisation $I=\prod P_{v_{i}}^{n_{i}}$ with non-zero integer $n_{i}$, let an integer $n>1$ be such that $\mu_{n} \subset F, v_{i}(n)=0$ for all $i$ and let $a \in F^{\times}$be such that $v_{i}(a)=0$ for all $i$. Define the $n$th power residue symbol

$$
\left(\frac{a}{I}\right)_{n}:=\prod\left(\frac{a}{v_{i}}\right)_{n, F_{v_{i}}}^{n_{i}}
$$

If the fractional ideal $I=b \mathscr{O}_{F}, b \in F^{\times}$, satisfies the restrictions above, then

$$
\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)_{n}:=\left(\frac{a}{b \mathscr{O}_{F}}\right)_{n}
$$

When $F=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$, for coprime positive odd integers $a, b$ the symbol $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)_{2}$ is the Legendre quadratic symbol.

Corollary 3. (Reciprocity Law for nth power residue symbols). Denote by $S^{\prime}$ the set of archimedean places of $F$ in characteristic zero and the set of places over $-\operatorname{deg}$ in positive characteristic. Let $a, b \in F^{\times}$. Assume that for every finite place v of $F$ if one of $v(a), v(b), v(n)$ is non-zero then the other two are 0 . Then

$$
\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)_{n}^{-1}=\prod_{v(n)>0 \text { or } v \in S^{\prime}}(a, b)_{n, F_{v}}
$$

Proof. Corollary 2 implies that for $a, b \in F^{\times}, \mu_{n} \subset F$, and $\gamma^{n}=b$

$$
\prod_{v}(a, b)_{n, F_{v}}=\gamma^{-1}\left(\prod_{v} \Psi_{F_{v}}(a)\right)(\gamma)=\gamma^{-1} \Psi_{F}(a)(\gamma)=1
$$

For finite $v$ such that $v(n)=v(a)=0$ we know from the proof of the second Proposition of (3.4) that $(b, a)_{n, F_{v}}=\left(\frac{a}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}^{v(b)}$ and this is 1 if also $v(b)=0$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)_{n}^{-1} & =\prod_{v(b) \neq 0}\left(\frac{a}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}^{v(b)} \prod_{v(a) \neq 0}\left(\frac{b}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}^{-v(a)}=\prod_{v(a b) \neq 0}\left(\frac{a}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}^{v(b)}\left(\frac{b}{v}\right)_{n, F_{v}}^{-v(a)} \\
& =\prod_{v(a b) \neq 0}(b, a)_{n, F_{v}}=\prod_{v(n)=0}(b, a)_{n, F_{v}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v \notin S^{\prime}$. Applying the first sentence of the proof, the proof is completed.
Thus, explicit formulas for the $n$th Hilbert symbol give the answer to Hilbert's Problem 9 about explicit description of $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)_{n}^{-1}$.

An easy computation show that $(a, b)_{2, \mathbb{Q}_{2}}=(-1)^{(a-1)(b-1) / 4}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}$. The partial case of Corollary 3 for $F=\mathbb{Q}, n=2$ gives a proof of Gauß' quadratic reciprocity law for coprime positive odd integers $a, b$. It is the only proof which explains why this law holds. The auxiliary formula for $\left(\frac{2}{b}\right)_{2}$ also follows immediately.
7.5. EXISTENCE THEOREM. The reciprocity map $\Psi_{F}$ is continuous. Its kernel coincides with the intersection of all open subgroups of finite index in $C_{F} . \Psi_{F}$ is surjective in characteristic zero. In positive characteristic its image is everywhere dense, and it sends $C_{F}^{1}$ isomorphically onto $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / \widetilde{F}\right)$.

The correspondence between open subgroups of finite index in $C_{F}$ and the norm subgroups of finite abelian extensions $L / F: N \leftrightarrow N_{L / F} C_{L}, N=\Psi_{F}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)\right)$, is an order reversing bijection between the lattice of open subgroups of finite index in $C_{F}$ (with respect to the intersection $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ and the product $N_{1} N_{2}$ ) and the lattice of finite abelian extensions of $F$ (with respect to the compositum $L_{1} L_{2}$ and intersection $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ ).
Proof. Continuity of $\Psi_{F}$ is in Theorem (7.3).
By Theorem (2.9) the image of $\Psi_{F}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / F\right)$. In characteristic zero $C_{F}=M \times C_{F}^{1}$ where $M \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$is an infinite divisible group. Hence $\Psi_{F}\left(C_{F}\right)=\Psi_{F}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)$. Since $C_{F}^{1}$ is compact and $\Psi_{F}$ is continuous, $\Psi_{F}\left(C_{F}\right)$ is closed, so $\Psi_{F}$ is surjective. In positive characteristic, due to Remark (7.2) the image $\Psi_{F}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)$ is in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / \widetilde{F}\right)$, it is dense and closed hence $\Psi_{F}\left(C_{F}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{ab}} / \widetilde{F}\right)$, and the cokernel of the reciprocity map is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} / \mathbb{Z}$.

To verify that an open subgroup $N$ of finite index in $C_{F}$ coincides with the norm subgroup $N_{L / F} C_{L}$ of some finite abelian extension $L / F$, it suffices to verify that $N$ contains the norm subgroup $N_{M / F} C_{M}$ of some finite separable extension $M / F$. Indeed, in this case $N$ contains $N_{E / F} C_{E}$, where $E / F$ is a finite Galois extension, $E \supset M$. Then by Proposition (2.8) we deduce that $N=N_{M / F} C_{M}$, where $M$ is the fixed field of $\Psi_{E / F}(N)$ and $M / F$ is abelian.

Denote by $n$ the index of $N$ in $C_{F}$ (in fact, it suffices to consider the case of $n$ a power of prime number, but the argument there is the same as below). Assume first that $n$ is not divisible by characteristic of $F$. The preimage of $N$ in $J_{F}$ is open of index $n$ subgroup of $J_{F}$, so it contains the product of $F^{\times}$and the subgroup $N_{S}=\prod_{v \notin S} U_{v} \times \prod_{v \in S} F_{v}^{\times n}$ for some finite subset $S$ containing all infinite places in characteristic zero.

Denote $E=F\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ for a primitive $n$th root $\zeta_{n}$. Enlarge $S$ so that it contains all ramified places in $E / F$ (their number is finite by Proposition (7.2)) and all places dividing $n$. Denote by $S^{\prime}$ the set of all places of $E$ over places in $S$. Further enlarge finite $S$ so that the set $S^{\prime}$ of all places of $E$ over places in $S$ has the property $J_{E}=E^{\times} J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ (see Corollary 1 of (7.3)). Consider the Kummer extension $M$ of $E$ obtained by extracting all $n$th roots from all elements of $E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. By Proposition (7.4) the group $E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the product of a free abelian group of rank $s-1, s=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$, and the finite group of roots in $E$. Since $\mu_{n} \subset E$, we obtain $\left|E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right): E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{n}\right|=n^{s}$ and by Kummer theory the extension $M / E$ has degree $n^{s}$. Each place $w \notin S^{\prime}$ is unramified in $M / E$, so the group $U_{w}$ of units of the ring of integers of $E_{w}$ is in the norm group $N_{M_{w} / E_{w}} M_{w}^{\times}$. For $w \in S^{\prime}$ the $n$th powers $E_{w}^{\times n}$ are in $N_{M_{w} / E_{w}} M_{w}^{\times}$since $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{w} / E_{w}\right)^{n}=1$. Hence by Corollary 2 of (7.4) we deduce that $E^{\times} N_{M / E} J_{M}$ contains the product of $E^{\times}$and $N_{S^{\prime}}=\prod_{w \notin S^{\prime}} U_{w} \times \prod_{w \in S^{\prime}} E_{w}^{\times n}$.

Note that $N_{S^{\prime}} \cap E^{\times}=E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{n}$. To show the nontrivial inclusion, for an element $a \in N_{S^{\prime}} \cap E^{\times}$ consider the cyclic Kummer extension $K=E(\sqrt[n]{a})$. Then $K_{w}=E_{w}$ for all $w \in S^{\prime}$ and $K_{w} / E_{w}$ is unramified for all $w \notin S^{\prime}$. Hence every idele in $J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is in $E^{\times} N_{K / E} J_{K}$ by Corollary 2 of (7.4). Since $E^{\times} J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=J_{E}$, we deduce $C_{E}=N_{K / E} C_{K}$ and therefore $K=E$ and $a \in E^{\times n}$. Therefore, $N_{S^{\prime}} \cap E^{\times} \subset E^{\times n} \cap J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{n}$.

We have $J_{E} /\left(E^{\times} N_{S^{\prime}}\right) \cong E^{\times} J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right) /\left(E^{\times} N_{S^{\prime}}\right)$ and its order is the quotient of the order $r$ of the group $J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right) / N_{S^{\prime}}$ by $n^{s}=$ the order of $\left(J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \cap E^{\times}\right) /\left(N_{S^{\prime}} \cap E^{\times}\right)=E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right) / E^{\times}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{n}$. We also have $J_{E}\left(S^{\prime}\right) / N_{S^{\prime}} \cong \prod_{w \in S^{\prime}} E_{w}^{\times} / E_{w}^{\times n}$ and due to the description in (18.3) Ch. 2 in the non-archimedean case and the fact that $M$ has no real places if $n>2$, we obtain $\left|E_{w}^{\times}: E_{w}^{\times n}\right|=n^{2}|n|_{w}^{-1}$ for all places $w$ of $E$. Since $|n|_{w}=1$ for $w \notin S^{\prime}$, we obtain $r=n^{2 s} \prod_{w}|n|_{w}^{-1}=n^{2 s}$. Thus, the order of $J_{E} /\left(E^{\times} N_{S^{\prime}}\right)$ is $n^{s}=|M: E|$ and hence using Theorem (7.3) we derive $E^{\times} N_{S^{\prime}}=E^{\times} N_{M / E} J_{M}$. Therefore, $F^{\times} N_{S} \supset$ $F^{\times} N_{M / F} J_{M}$. Thus, $N \supset N_{M / F} C_{M}$, as desired.

To handle the case when $n$ is divisible by $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, it is sufficient to show by induction on $m \geqslant 1$ that any open subgroup $N$ of index $p^{m}$ in $C_{F}$ contains a norm group, and then, similarly to the proof of local Existence Theorem (3.2), one only needs to treat the case $m=1$ where one can use Remark 1 below, working with the adelic version of the Artin-Schreier pairing of (3.5).

Everything else follows from Proposition (2.8).

## Remarks.

1. Let $F$ be a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. Using the local Artin-Schreier pairings from (3.5), define a pairing

$$
(,]: J_{F} \times F \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}, \quad(\alpha, b]=\sum_{v}\left(\alpha_{v}, b\right]_{v}, \quad\left(\alpha_{v}, b\right]_{v}=\operatorname{Tr}_{k(v) / \mathbb{F}_{p}} \operatorname{res}_{v}\left(b d_{t} \alpha / \alpha\right)
$$

where res ${ }_{v}$ is res $\pi_{v}$ for any prime element $\pi_{v}$ of $F_{v}$ as in (3.5), $d_{t} \alpha=d t d_{\pi_{v}} \alpha / d_{\pi_{v}} t$. Since only finitely many places ramify in $F / \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ by Proposition (7.2), the element $t$ is a local parameter of $F_{v}$ for almost all places $v$ of $F$, and hence $(,]_{v}$ is the local Artin-Schreier pairing for almost all $v$.

If $\left(J_{F}, b\right]=0$ then $b \in \wp\left(F_{v}\right)$ for almost all $v$ by (3.5), hence the extension $F\left(\wp^{-1}(b)\right) / F$ splits completely for almost all $v$, hence $F\left(\wp^{-1}(b)\right)=F$ by Corollary 2 of (7.5) and thus $b \in \wp(F)$. If $(\alpha, F]=0$ then $d_{\omega}\left(F \alpha^{-1} d_{t} \alpha\right)=0$ where $\omega=d t$ and $d_{\omega}$ is defined in (4.4), hence by (4.4) $\alpha^{-1} d_{t} \alpha=c d t$ for some $c \in F$. Let $\operatorname{Der}_{t}$ be the operator of taking the derivative with respect to
$t$ and $M_{\beta}$ be the operator of multiplication by $\beta$. The equality for $\alpha$ and $c$ can be rewritten as $\operatorname{Der}_{t}+M_{c}=M_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \operatorname{Der}_{t} \circ M_{\alpha}$. Hence $\left(\operatorname{Der}_{t}+M_{c}\right)^{m}=M_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \operatorname{Der}_{t}^{m} \circ M_{\alpha}$. Since $\operatorname{Der}_{t}^{p}=0$, there is a maximal $m<p$ for which $l=\left(\operatorname{Der}_{t}+M_{c}\right)^{m}(1) \neq 0$. Then $\left(\operatorname{Der}_{t}+M_{c}\right) l=0, c=l \operatorname{Der}_{t}\left(l^{-1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Der}_{t}(\alpha l)=0$. So each $v$-component of $\alpha l$ is in $F_{v}^{p}$ and so $\alpha l \in J_{F}^{p}, \alpha \in J_{F}^{p} F^{\times}$.

Thus, we obtain the perfect continuous pairing $C_{F} / C_{F}^{p} \times F / \wp(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ which induces, by Artin-Schreier theory, the continuous isomorphism $C_{F} / C_{F}^{p} \leadsto \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{p} / F\right)$ where $F_{p}$ is the maximal abelian extension of $F$ of exponent $p$. This implies that every open subgroup $N$ of index $p$ in $C_{F}$ is the norm group of the Artin-Schreier extension $L=F\left(\wp^{-1}(b)\right)$ of $F$ where $b \mathbb{F}_{p}+\wp(F)$ is the complement of $N$ with respect to the perfect pairing.
2. Similarly to Remark 1 and alternatively to the preceding proof, when $\mu_{n} \subset F$, one can use the local Hilbert symbols to define the pairing

$$
C_{F} / C_{F}^{n} \times F^{\times} / F^{\times n} \longrightarrow \mu_{n}
$$

check its non-degenerate property and an adelic analog of Remark 1 of (3.5), to prove that every open subgroup $N$ of index $n$ in $C_{F}$ is the norm group of the Kummer extension $L=F(\sqrt[n]{b})$ of $F$ and $N$ is the complement of $b$ with respect to the pairing.
3. For a description of the kernel of the reciprocity map see Exercise 3.8.

The following Corollary is not used in this class field theory course, in contrast to the brief introduction to class field theory in sect. 5 of basic algebraic number theory.

Corollary. (Kronecker-Weber Theorem) The maximal abelian extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ coincides with the maximal cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {cycl }}$.

Proof. By the previous Theorem it is sufficient to show that every open subgroup $N$ of $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains the norm group of a cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $N$ is open, for some positive integer $m$ the group $N$ contains $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$, where $m=\Pi p^{n_{p}}$ and

$$
J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)=\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \times \prod_{p \mid m} U_{n_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \prod_{p \nmid m} U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $n_{2}>1$.
Let's show that $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times}=N_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}} C_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)}$. We can use the computations of the norm groups of cyclotomic extensions of $p$-adic fields in Proposition (3.2) where it was shown that the norm group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{p^{n_{p}}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is $\langle p\rangle \times U_{n_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ if $p^{n_{p}}>2$. The group $U_{n_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is contained in the norm group of any unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, so the norm group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ contains $U_{n_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. By Corollary 2 of (7.4), $N_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}} C_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)}$ contains $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$. We have $J_{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \cong$ $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \times \prod_{p} U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \times \prod_{p \nmid m} U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \prod_{p \mid m} U_{n_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$, so the quotient is isomorphic to $\prod_{p \mid m} U_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} / U_{n_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Hence, the index of $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$in $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ equals the degree of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. Theorem (7.3) now implies $N_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}} C_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)}=J_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \mathbb{Q}^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$.

### 7.6. REMARKS.

1. There is a certain analogy between Neukirch's approach to class field theory and the zeta integral theory of Iwasawa-Tate: in both cases one extends the original math subjects area (finite Galois extensions/zeta functions) to something much larger where one has richer arithmetic and topological structures (infinite Galois groups/ideles and adeles) and uses that richer structure to produce, in an almost obvious way, the construction of axiomatic class field theory or the proof of the functional equation and meromorphic continuation of the zeta function.
2. One can show that the equality $F^{\text {ab }}=F^{\text {cycl }}$ holds for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ only. Historically, without using abstract class field theory, one develops special class field theory for $\mathbb{Q}$, called cyclotomic class field theory (Kronecker and others), using explicit cyclotomic methods. Special in the sense of using more information about Galois action on torsion element than the abstract general class field theory of section 2 does. Another special class field theories are known for quadratic imaginary fields with complex multiplication (Kronecker-Weber-Hilbert), and more generally, for totally imaginary extensions of totally real fields (Shimura). General functorial class field theory such as in this lectures is very much different from those special theories, both conceptually and technically.
3. Other approaches to class field theory of global fields include general class field theories:

- by Artin, building on Takagi's work, using $L$-functions and Chebotarev density theorem,
- by Hasse, using central division algebras and the computation of the Brauer group of the field to define a canonical pairing of the group of characters of the field with, in the modern language, the idele class group and use its properties to derive the reciprocity map
- by Chevalley using ideles and not using $L$-functions,
- by Weil, Hochschild, Nakayama, Artin, Tate, the Galois cohomology approach.

In positive characteristic only:

- by Kawada and Satake using Artin-Schreier-Witt pairing,
- by Rosenlicht, Lang, 'geometric' class field theory for varieties over finite fields,
- by Hayes, Drinfeld, special class field theory using Drinfeld modules of rank 1.

4. Higher adelic theory studies adelic structures associated to two-dimensional arithmetic schemes. There are two main adelic structures there: one of more geometric ( 1 -cocyles) nature (its use leads to an adelic proof of the Riemann-Roch theorem for surfaces and a two-dimensional version of the homomorphism $\rho$ of (7.3) and one of more arithmetic ( 0 -cycles) nature crucial for a two-dimensional version of the Iwasawa-Tate theory and applications to meromorphic continuation and functional equation of the zeta function of the scheme and properties of its poles.
5. Three main generalisations of class field theory are higher class field theory, Langlands program, anabelian geometry. They will be discussed in the sequel lecture courses. For more information about these generalisations, as well as existing class field theories, see this paper.

## CHAPTER 4

## Exercises

## 1. Algebraic Numbers Exercises

1.1. Let $A$ be an integral domain and $K$ is its fraction field. Prove that $A$ is a Dedekind ring if and only if every non-zero proper ideal of $A$ can be written as a product of prime ideals if and only if every non-zero ideal $I$ of $A$ satisfies $A=\{a \in K: a I \subset A\} I$.

## 1.2.

(a) Let $F$ be an algebraic number field of degree $d$. Let $m$ be a positive integer. For $a_{i} \in F^{\times}$ and independent variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ put

$$
f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)=N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} X_{m}\right)=\prod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \mathbb{C})}\left(\sigma\left(a_{1}\right) X_{1}+\cdots+\sigma\left(a_{m}\right) X_{m}\right)
$$

Show that $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ (i.e. every monomial expression is a monomial of total degree $d$ ) with coefficients from $\mathbb{Q}$.
(b) Show that $f$ defined in (a) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(c) Let $g\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ with rational coefficients. Assume that $g$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume also that there exists an algebraic number field $L$ such that $g$ splits into the product of linear polynomials over $L$. Show that then there is an algebraic number field $F$, a positive integer $m$ and elements $a_{i} \in F^{\times}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, such that $g=N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(f)$ as in (a).
1.3. Let $b>1$ be an odd number and let $m>1$ be an integer. Suppose that $d=b^{m}-1$ is square-free.
(a) Show that $d \equiv 2 \bmod 4$.
(b) Show that $(b)^{m}=(1+d)$ factorizes into the product of ideals $(1+\sqrt{-d})$ and $(1-\sqrt{-d})$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$.
(c) Show that if a proper non-zero ideal $I$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ divides both $(1+\sqrt{-d})$ and $(1-\sqrt{-d})$, then 2 is contained in $I$ and therefore $2^{2}=4$ is contained in the product $(1+\sqrt{-d})(1-\sqrt{-d})=$ $(1+d)$. Deduce from (a) that this is impossible; thus, the ideals $(1+\sqrt{-d})$ and $(1-\sqrt{-d})$ don't have common factors.
(d) Prove that there are ideals $I, J$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ such that $(1+\sqrt{-d})=I^{m}$ and $(1-\sqrt{-d})=J^{m}$ and $I J=(b)$.
(e) Let $n$ be the minimal positive integer such that $I^{n}$ is a principal ideal, say $(e+c \sqrt{-d})$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$ for some $e, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that $c \neq 0$.
(f) Show that $b^{n}=e^{2}+d c^{2} \geqslant d=b^{m}-1$ and deduce that $n \geqslant m$. Conclude that the ideal class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ has an element (namely, $I)$ of order $m$.

Example: $b=3, m=3, d=26$, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{26})$ is $\geqslant 3$.
1.4. Let $d$ be a positive square free integer, $d \neq 5$. Suppose that $4^{n}+1=d a^{2}$ with integer $a$. Prove that $2^{n}+a \sqrt{d}$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ following the steps below.
(a) Show that $d$ is odd.
(b) Assume that $2^{n}+a \sqrt{d}$ isn't a fundamental unit, and arrive at a contradiction (in d) and e) below). Since $2^{n}+a \sqrt{d}$ is a $m$ th power of a fundamental unit with $m>1$, we can take a prime divisor $p$ of $m$ and deduce that

$$
2^{n}+a \sqrt{d}=((b+c \sqrt{d}) / 2)^{p}
$$

for some integers $b, c$. Show that then

$$
2^{n}-a \sqrt{d}=((b-c \sqrt{d}) / 2)^{p}
$$

and hence $-1=4^{n}-d a^{2}=\left(b^{2}-d c^{2}\right)^{p} / 4^{p}$. Deduce that $p$ must be odd and $b^{2}-d c^{2}=-4$.
(c) Show that

$$
2^{p+n}=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\binom{p}{2 i} c^{2 i} d^{i} b^{p-2 i}=b e, \quad e=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\binom{p}{2 i} c^{2 i} d^{i} b^{p-1-2 i}
$$

(d) If $b$ is odd, then since it is a divisor of $2^{p+n}$, it must be equal to 1 . Show that then $b^{2}-d c^{2}=1-d c^{2}=-4$ and $d=5$, a contradiction.
(e) If $b=2 b_{1}$ is even, then $c=2 c_{1}$ must be even and then $b_{1}^{2}-d_{1} c_{1}^{2}=-1$. Show that

$$
2^{n}=b_{1} e_{1}, \quad e_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\binom{p}{2 i} c_{1}^{2 i} d^{i} b_{1}^{p-1-2 i}=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\binom{p}{2 i}\left(1+b_{1}^{2}\right)^{i} b_{1}^{p-1-2 i}=p+b_{1} f
$$

with integer $f$. Deduce that $e_{1} \equiv p \bmod b_{1}$, so $e_{1}$ is odd, $>1$ and divides $2^{n}$, a contradiction.
1.5. Let $P$ be a maximal ideal of the ring of integers of an algebraic number field $F$, such that $P^{n}=a \mathscr{O}_{F}$ is a principal ideal. Prove that the ideal $P \mathscr{O}_{L}$, generated by $P$ in $\mathscr{O}_{L}$, a a principal ideal of the ring $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ of integers of the field $L=K(\sqrt[n]{a})$.
1.6. Prove that each algebraic number field $F$ has a finite extension $L$ such that every ideal of the ring of integers of $F$ generates a principal ideal of $\mathscr{O}_{L}$.

## 2. Local Fields Exercises

2.1. A subring $\mathscr{O}$ of a field $F$ is said to be a valuation ring if $\alpha \in \mathscr{O}$ or $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathscr{O}$ for every nonzero element $\alpha \in F$. Show that the ring of integers of a valuation of $F$ is a valuation ring. Conversely, for a valuation ring $\mathscr{O}$ in $F$ one can order the group $F^{\times} / \mathscr{O}^{\times}$as follows: $\alpha \mathscr{O}^{\times} \leqslant \beta \mathscr{O}^{\times}$
if and only if $\beta \alpha^{-1} \in \mathscr{O}$. Show that the map $F \rightarrow\left(F^{\times} / \mathscr{O}^{\times}\right) \cup+\infty$, which sends 0 to $+\infty$, is a valuation with the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}$.
2.2. Show that every isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ onto a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is continuous.
2.3. Let $F$ be a field with a discrete valuation $v$ and ring of integers $\mathscr{O}$ and maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}$. Show that the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $F$ is a Henselian discrete valuation field.
(b) If $f(X)=X^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{0}$ is an irreducible polynomial over $F$ and $\alpha_{0} \in \mathscr{O}$, then $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{O}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.
(c) If $f(X)=X^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{0}$ is an irreducible polynomial over $F, n \geqslant 1, \alpha_{n-2}, \ldots, \alpha_{0} \in$ $\mathscr{O}$, then $\alpha_{n-1} \in \mathscr{O}$.
(d) If $f(X)=X^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{0}$ is an irreducible polynomial over $F, n \geqslant 1, \alpha_{n-2}, \ldots, \alpha_{0} \in$ $\mathscr{M}, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathscr{O}$, then $\alpha_{n-1} \in \mathscr{M}$.
2.4. Let $F$ be a Henselian field with respect to nontrivial valuations $v, v^{\prime}: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Assume the topologies induced by $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ are not equivalent.
(a) Show that if $v$ is discrete, then $v^{\prime}$ is not.
(b) Deduce that $F$ is separably closed.
2.5. Let $\pi$ be a prime element of a discrete valuation field $F$, and let $\bar{F}^{\text {sep }}$ be of infinite degree over $\bar{F}$.
(a) Let $F_{i}$ be finite unramified extensions of $F, F_{i} \subset F_{j}, F_{i} \neq F_{j}$ for $i<j$. Put

$$
\alpha_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \pi^{i}
$$

where $\theta_{i} \in \mathscr{O}_{F_{i+1}}, \notin \mathscr{O}_{F_{i}}$. Show that the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $F^{\text {ur }}$, but $\lim \alpha_{n} \notin$ $F^{\mathrm{ur}}$.
(b) Show that $F^{\text {sep }}$ is not complete, but the completion of $F^{\text {sep }}$ is separably closed.
2.6. Prove that for every finite extension of complete discrete valuation fields $L / F$ there is a finite extension $K^{\prime}$ of a maximal complete discrete valuation subfield $K$ of $F$ with perfect residue field such that $e\left(K^{\prime} L \mid K^{\prime} F\right)=1$ following the steps below
(a) Let $M_{1} / F, M_{2} / F$ be finite Galois subextensions of $L / F$. Show that the set of upper ramification jumps of $M_{1} / F$ is a subset of upper ramification jumps of $M_{2} / F$. Denote by $B(L / F)$ the union of all upper ramification jumps of finite Galois subextensions of $L / F$.
(b) For a real $x$ define $L(x)=\cup_{M} M(x)$ where $M$ runs over all finite Galois extensions of $F$ in $L$ and $M(x)$ is the fixed field of $\operatorname{Gal}(M / F)(x)$ inside $M$. Show that if $x_{1}<x_{2}$, then $L\left(x_{1}\right) \neq L\left(x_{2}\right)$ if and only if $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cap B(L / F) \neq \emptyset$.
(c) Show that if $x$ is the limit of a monotone increasing sequence $x_{n}$, then $L(x)=\cup L\left(x_{n}\right)$.
(d) Show that if $x$ is the limit of a monotone decreasing sequence $x_{n}$ and $x \notin B(L / F)$, then $L(x)=\cap L\left(x_{n}\right)$.
(e) Let $x$ be the limit of a strictly monotone decreasing sequence $x_{n}$. Define $L[x]=\cup_{M}\left(\cap_{n} M\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ where $M$ runs over all finite Galois extensions of $F$ in $L$. Show that $L[x]=\cap_{n} L\left(x_{n}\right)$. Show that $L[x]=L(x)$ is and only if $x \notin B(L / F)$.
(f) A subfield $E$ of $L, F \subset E$ is called a ramification subfield if for every finite Galois subextension $M / F$ of $L / F$ there is $y$ such that $E \cap M=M(y)$. Show that every ramifications subfield of $L$ over $F$ coincides either with some $L(x)$ or with some $L[x]$.
(g) Deduce that the set of all upper ramification jumps of $L / F$ is the union of $B(L / F)$ and the limits of strictly monotone decreasing sequences of elements of $B(L / F)$.
2.7. Let $L / F$ be a cyclic totally ramified extension of complete discrete valuation fields, $|L: F|=p^{n}$. Let $\operatorname{char}(F)=0, \operatorname{char}(\bar{F})=p$, and let $\bar{F}$ be perfect.
(a) Show that $L / F$ has $n$ ramification numbers $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$.
(b) Show that if $x_{i}$ are divisible by $p$, then $x_{i}=x_{1}+(i-1) e$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, where $e=e(F)$.
(c) For the rest of this Exercise assume that a primitive $p$ th root of unity $\zeta$ belongs to $F$. Let $N_{L / F}(\alpha)=\zeta$ and $v_{L}(\alpha-1)=i$. Show that if $x_{1}<e /(p-1)$, then $x_{1} \leqslant i \leqslant h_{L / F}(e /(p-1))$ and if $x_{1} \geqslant e /(p-1)$, then $i=e /(p-1)$.
(d) Assume that $M / F$ is cyclic of degree $p^{n-1}$ and $L=M(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})$ with $\alpha \in M^{*}$. Let $\alpha^{-1} \sigma(\alpha)=$ $\beta^{p}$ for a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Show that $N_{M / F}(\beta)$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity.
(e) Show that if $x_{1} \geqslant e /(p-1)$, then $x_{i}=x_{1}+(i-1) e$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.
(f) Let $n \geqslant 2$. Show that if $x_{n-1} \geqslant p^{n-2} e /(p-1)$, then $x_{n}=x_{n-1}+p^{n-1} e$, and if $x_{n-1} \leqslant$ $p^{n-2} e /(p-1)$, then

$$
(1+p(p-1)) x_{n-1} \leqslant x_{n} \leqslant p^{n} e /(p-1)-(p-1) x_{n-1}
$$

2.8. Let $L_{n}$ be a cyclic totally ramified extension of $F$ of degree $p^{n}, p=\operatorname{char}(\bar{F})$ and $L_{n} \subset$ $L_{n+1}$. Let $L=\cup L_{n}$. Show that $i\left(L_{n+1} \mid L_{n}\right) \geqslant i\left(L_{n} \mid L_{n-1}\right)+1$. Deduce that $L / F$ is arithmetically profinite.
2.9. Let $F$ be a complete field with respect to some nontrivial valuation $v: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Let the perfect residue field $\bar{F}$ be of characteristic $p>0$. Put $F^{(n)}=F$, and let $R^{\times}(F)=\lim _{幺} F^{(n) \times}$ with respect to the homomorphism of the raising to the pth power $F^{(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\uparrow p} F^{(n)}$. Put $R(F)=$ $R^{\times}(F) \cup\{0\}$.
(a) Show that if $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha^{(n)}\right), \mathrm{B}=\left(\beta^{(n)}\right) \in R(F)$, then the sequence $\left(\alpha^{(n+m)}+\beta^{(n+m)}\right)^{p^{m}}$ converges as $m \rightarrow+\infty$. Put $\gamma^{(n)}=\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\alpha^{(n+m)}+\beta^{(n+m)}\right)^{p^{m}}$ and define $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}=\Gamma=\left(\gamma^{(n)}\right)$; put $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(n)}=\alpha^{(n)} \beta^{(n)}$ and define $\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{B}=\Delta=\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(n)}\right)$. Show that $R(F)$ is a perfect field of characteristic p.
(b) For $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha^{(n)}\right)$ put $v(\mathrm{~A})=v\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(0)}\right)$. Show that $v$ possesses the properties of a valuation. Let $\theta \in F$ be the multiplicative representative of $a \in \bar{F}$ and $\Theta=\left(\theta^{(n)}\right)$ with $\theta^{(n)}=\theta^{1 / p^{n}}$. Show that $R: a \rightarrow \Theta$ is an isomorphism of $\bar{F}$ onto a subfield in $R(F)$ which is isomorphic to the residue field of $R(F)$.
(c) Show that if $v: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is discrete, then $R(F)$ can be identified with $\bar{F}$.
(d) Show that if $F$ is of characteristic $p$, then the homomorphism $\mathrm{A}=\left(\alpha^{(n)}\right) \mapsto \alpha^{(0)}$ is an isomorphism of $R(F)$ with the maximal perfect subfield in $F$.
2.10. Let $L$ be an infinite arithmetically profinite extension of a local field $F$ with residue field of characteristic $p$. Assume that the Hasse-Herbrand function $h_{L / F}$ grows relatively fast, i.e., there exists a positive $c$ such that $h_{L / F}\left(x_{0}\right) / h_{L / F}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>c$ for all $x_{0}$ where the derivative is defined. Let $C$ be the completion of the separable closure of $F$.
(a) For $\left(\alpha_{E}\right) \in N(L / F)$ show that there exists $\beta^{(n)}=\lim _{E} \alpha_{E}^{\left|E: L_{1}\right| / p^{n}} \in C$ where $L_{1} / F$ is the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $L / F$ and $E$ runs over all finite extensions of $L_{1}$ in $L$. Show that $\left(\beta^{(n)}\right)$ belongs to $R(C)$.
(b) Show that the homomorphism $N(L \mid F) \longrightarrow R(C)$ is a continuous (with respect to the discrete valuation topology on $N(L \mid F)$ and the topology associated with the valuation $v$ defined in the previous exercise) field homomorphism.
(c) Let $E$ be a separable extension of $L$. Let $S$ be the completion of the ( $p$-)radical closure of $N(E, L \mid F)$, i.e., the completion (with respect to the extension of the valuation) of the subfield of $N(E, L \mid F)^{\text {alg }}$ generated by $\sqrt[p^{n}]{\alpha}$ for all $n$ and $\alpha \in N(E, L \mid F)$. Show that there is a field isomorphism from $S$ to $R(\widehat{E})$ where $\widehat{E}$ is the completion of $E$. Deduce that if $F$ is of positive characteristic, then $\widehat{E}$ is a perfect field.
2.11. Let $F$ be a discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with residue field of characteristic $p$, and let $C$ be the completion of the separable closure of $F$. Define the map

$$
g: W\left(\mathscr{O}_{R(C)}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C}
$$

by the formula $g\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} p^{n} \alpha_{n}^{(n)}$, where $\mathrm{A}_{m}=\left(\alpha_{m}^{(n)}\right) \in \mathscr{O}_{R(C)}$.
(a) Show that $g$ is a surjective homomorphism. Show that its kernel is a principal ideal in $W\left(\mathscr{O}_{R(C)}\right)$, generated by some element $\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \ldots\right)$ for which, in particular, $v\left(\alpha_{0}^{(0)}\right)=v(p)$.
(b) Let $W_{F}(R)=W\left(\mathscr{O}_{R(C)}\right) \otimes_{W(\bar{F})} F$. Show that $g$ can be uniquely extended to a surjective homomorphism of $K$-algebras $g: W_{F}(R) \rightarrow C$.
(c) Show that the kernel $I$ of this homomorphism is a principal ideal.
(d) Let $B^{+}$be the completion of $W_{F}(R)$ with respect to $I$-adic topology and let $B$ be its quotient field. Show that $B$ does not depend on the choice of $F$ and is a complete discrete valuation field with residue field $C$. The ring $B$ plays a role in the theory of $p$-adic representations and $p$-adic periods.
2.12. For $n \geqslant 0$, find a local number field $F$ such that $\mu_{p^{n}} \subset F, \mu_{p^{n+1}} \not \subset F$, and the extension $F\left(\mu_{p^{n+1}}\right) / F$ is unramified.
2.13. Let $L$ be a finite Galois extension of a local number field $F$ with Galois group $G$. Show that $L / F$ is tamely ramified if and only if the ring of integers $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ is a free $\mathscr{O}_{F}[G]$-module of rank 1.
2.14. Let $F$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}, n=\left|F: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right|$. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension, $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. A field $L$ is said to possess a normal basis over $F$, if the group $U_{1, L}$ of principal units decomposes, as a multiplicative $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module, into the direct product of a finite group and a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module of rank $n$.
(a) Show that if $G$ is of order relatively prime to $p$, then $L$ possesses a normal basis over $F$.
(b) Suppose the $F$ has no roots of order $p$. Show that $L$ possesses a normal basis over $F$ if and only if $L / F$ is tamely ramified.

## 3. Class Field Theory Exercises

3.1. Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois totally ramified extension and $E$ be the maximal abelian extension of $F$ in $L$. Let $\alpha \in F^{\times}$and $\alpha=N_{L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F \mathrm{ur}} \beta$ for some $\beta \in L^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Let $\beta^{\varphi-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i}^{\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}-1}$ with $\gamma_{i} \in L^{\mathrm{ur} *}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$. Show that

$$
\left.\Psi_{L / F}(\alpha)\right|_{E}=\left.\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\right|_{E}
$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}=\tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{v\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \ldots \widetilde{\sigma}_{m}^{v\left(\gamma_{m}\right)} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}} / F^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ and $v$ is the discrete valuation of $L^{\mathrm{ur}}$. Deduce that, in particular, if $\beta^{\varphi-1}=\pi^{\widetilde{\sigma}-1}$ for a prime element $\pi$ of $L^{\mathrm{ur}}$, then $\left.\Psi_{L / F}(\alpha)\right|_{E}=\left.\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\right|_{E}$.
3.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $\zeta_{p}$ be a primitive $p$ th root of unity.
(a) Show that $X^{p}-Y^{p}=\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\zeta_{p}^{i} X-\zeta_{p}^{-i} Y\right)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(\zeta_{p}^{i}-\zeta_{p}^{-i}\right)=p$.
(b) Put $c\left(\zeta_{p}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\zeta_{p}^{i}-\zeta_{p}^{-i}\right)$. Show that $c\left(\zeta_{p}\right)^{2}=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p$.
(c) For a positive integer $b$ put

$$
\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } p \mid b \\ 1 & \text { if } p \nmid b, b \equiv a^{2} \quad \bmod p \text { for } \\ -1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Show that

$$
\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)=\frac{c\left(\zeta_{p}^{b}\right)}{c\left(\zeta_{p}\right)}
$$

(d) Let $q$ be an odd prime, $q \neq p$, and let $\zeta_{q}$ be a primitive $q$ th root of unity. Show that

$$
\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\left(\zeta_{p}^{i} \zeta_{q}^{j}-\zeta_{p}^{-i} \zeta_{q}^{-j}\right)
$$

(e) Deduce on of the proofs of the quadratic reciprocity law: if $p, q$ are odd primes, $p \neq q$, then

$$
\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}}, \quad\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{8}}
$$

3.3. Let $F$ be a local field with finite residue field, and let $L$ be a totally ramified infinite arithmetically profinite extension of $F$. Let $N=N(L \mid F)$. Show that there is a homomorphism $\Psi: N^{\times} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right)$ induced by the reciprocity maps $\Psi_{E}: E^{\times} \mapsto \operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\mathrm{ab}} / E\right)$ for finite subextensions $E / F$ in $L / F$. Show that $\chi \circ \Psi=\Psi_{N}$, where the homomorphism $\chi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {ab }} / L\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(N^{\mathrm{ab}} / N\right)$ is defined similarly to the homomorphism $\tau \mapsto \mathrm{T}$ in (17.6) Ch.2.
3.4. Let $\zeta_{p}$ be a primitive $p$ th root of unity, $p>2$. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{p}\right), \pi=\zeta_{p}-1, \operatorname{Tr}=\operatorname{Tr}_{F} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
(a) Show that

$$
\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\zeta_{p} \pi^{i}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \bmod p & \text { if } \quad i=p-1 \\
0 & \bmod p & \text { if } \quad i \neq p-1, i \geqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

(b) Let $\alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2}, \beta \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$. If $\gamma=\sum a_{i} \pi^{i}, a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then let

$$
d \log \gamma:=\gamma^{-1}\left(\sum i a_{i} \pi^{i-1}\right)
$$

this depends on the choice of expansion of $\beta$ in a series in $\pi$. Let

$$
\log \beta:=(\beta-1)-\frac{(\beta-1)^{2}}{2}+\frac{(\beta-1)^{3}}{3}-\ldots
$$

Prove the Artin-Hasse formula

$$
(\alpha, \beta)_{p}=\zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\zeta_{p} \log \alpha \cdot d \log \beta\right) / p}
$$

(c) Using a suitable expansion in a series in $\pi$, show that $d \log \zeta_{p}$ can be made equal to $-\zeta_{p}^{-1}$, $d \log \pi$ to $\pi^{-1}$. Prove the Artin-Hasse formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\zeta_{p}, \beta\right)_{p}=\zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(\log \beta) / p} & \text { for } \beta \equiv 1 \bmod \pi \\
(\beta, \pi)_{p}=\zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\zeta_{p} \pi^{-1} \log \beta\right) / p} & \text { for } \beta \equiv 1 \bmod \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

3.5. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}\right)$, where $\zeta_{p^{n}}$ is a $p^{n}$ th primitive root of unity, $p>2$. Denote $\operatorname{Tr}=\operatorname{Tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. Let $\pi_{n}=\zeta_{p^{n}}-1$; then $\pi_{n}$ is prime in $F$. Prove the Artin-Hasse formulas

$$
\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}, \beta\right)_{p^{n}}=\zeta_{p^{n}}^{\operatorname{Tr}(\log \beta) / p^{n}}, \quad\left(\beta, \pi_{n}\right)_{p^{n}}=\zeta_{p^{n}}^{\operatorname{Tr}}\left(\zeta_{p^{n}} \pi_{n}^{-1} \log \beta\right) / p^{n} \quad \text { for } \quad \beta \equiv 1 \quad \bmod \pi_{n}
$$

3.6. Let $A$ be a commutative topological ring with unity containing a subfield $F$. Show that $A$ is isomorphic to the ring of adeles $\mathrm{A}_{F}$ of a global field $F$ if and only if $A$ is locally compact but not compact and not discrete, $F$ is discrete in $A, A / F$ is compact, and the intersection of all closed maximal ideals of $A$ is 0 .
3.7. Let $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a quadratic form in several variables with coefficients in a number field $F$. Prove Hasse theorem: that the equation $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ has a solution $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in F$ different from 0 if and only if it has a solution different from 0 in each completion of $F$.
3.8. For a number field $F$ let $L$ be the maximal abelian extension of $F$ which is unramified at all finite places and in which real places stay real. Prove that the Galois group of $L / F$ is isomorphic to the ideal class group of $F$. The field $L$ is called the Hilbert class field for $F$.
3.9. Let $D_{F}$ be the kernel of the reciprocity map for a global field $F$.
(a) Prove that $D_{F}$ is an infinitely divisible group.
(b) Prove that $D_{F}=\{1\}$ in positive characteristic.
(c) Prove that in characteristic zero $D_{F}$ is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{r_{2}} \times$ $\left(\left(\Pi \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{R}\right) / \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r}$ where $r=r_{1}+2 r_{2}$ are the standard numbers associated to the number field $F$.
3.10. Let $F$ be an algebraic number field.
(a) For a cycle $z=\sum n_{v}[v]$, a linear combination with non-negative integer coefficients, almost all equal to 0 , of classes of finite places $v$, define the $z$-ray idele class group $C_{F}^{z}:=J_{F}^{z} F^{\times} / F^{\times}$where $J_{F}^{z}:=\prod U_{n_{v}, F_{v}} \times \prod U_{F_{v}}^{\prime}$. Here the first product is over finite places, $U_{0, F_{v}}=U_{F_{v}}$, the second product is over infinite places and $U_{F_{v}}^{\prime}$ is the subgroup of all infinitely divisible elements of $F_{v} \times$. Show that the set of open subgroups of finite index of $C_{F}$ coincides with the set of closed subgroups of $C_{F}$ which contain one of ray idele class groups. The finite abelian extension $F^{z} / F$ corresponding to $C_{F}^{z}$ by the existence theorem is called the ray class field for the cycle $z$.
(b) Denote by $I_{F}^{z}$ the group of fractional ideals of $F$ generated by maximal ideals whose places have coefficient 0 in $z=\sum n_{v}[v]$. Denote by $P_{F}^{z}$ principal ideals generated by elements $a$ such that $a-1 \in \prod P_{v}^{n_{v}}$ and the image of $a$ in each real completion $F_{v}$ is in $U_{F_{v}}^{\prime}$. Using Remark (5.1) Ch. 3 show that $\rho: J_{F} \longrightarrow I_{F}$ of (5.3) Ch. 3 induces an isomorphism

$$
C_{F} / C_{F}^{z} \cong I_{F}^{z} / P_{F}^{z}
$$

3.11. Let $F$ be an algebraic number field.
(a) For a subset $M$ of finite places of $F$ its Dirichlet's density is

$$
d(M):=\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+0} \frac{\sum_{v \in M}|k(v)|^{-s}}{\sum_{v}|k(v)|^{-s}}
$$

if exists. Deduce from (6.6) Ch. 3 that

$$
d(M):=\lim _{s \rightarrow 1+0} \frac{\sum_{v \in M}|k(v)|^{-s}}{\log \frac{1}{s-1}}
$$

(b) For a cycle $z$ let $\chi$ be a nontrivial character of $I_{F}^{z} / P_{F}^{z}$. By the previous exercise it corresponds to a non-trivial character of finite order of $J_{F} / J_{F}^{z}$. Let $C$ be the support of $z$, i.e. those $v$ for which $n_{v} \neq 0$. Show that $L_{C}(\chi, 1) \neq 1$.
(c) Let $R$ be a subgroup of $I_{F}^{z}, R \supset P_{F}^{z}$. Let $M_{a+R}$ for $a \in I_{F}^{z}$ be the set of finite places whose maximal ideals belong to the coset $a+R$. Using the proof of Theorem (6.7) Ch. 3 show that $d\left(M_{a+R}\right)=\left|I_{F}^{z}: R\right|^{-1}$.
(d) Deduce Dirichlet's theorem on prime numbers in arithmetic progressions: for a positive integer $m$ and an integer $a$ prime to $m$ there are infinitely many prime numbers congruent to $a$ modulo $m$.
3.12. Let $F$ be an algebraic number field and $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension.
(a) Let $L / F$ be a cyclic extension. For a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ let $M_{\sigma}$ be the set of all finite places $v$ of $F$ which are unramified in $L / F$ and such that $\sigma$ is the Frobenius automorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{v} / F_{v}\right) \subset$ $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$. Using the proof of Theorem (6.7) Ch. 3 show that $d\left(M_{\sigma}\right)=|L: F|^{-1}$.
(b) Let $L / F$ be a finite Galois extension. For a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ let $M_{\sigma}$ be the set of all finite places $v$ of $F$ which are unramified in $L / F$ and such that the conjugate class $\Sigma$ of $\sigma$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ is the conjugate class of the Frobenius automorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{w} / F_{v}\right) \subset \operatorname{Gal}(L / F)$ for a place $w$ of $L$ over $v$. Deduce Chebotarev's theorem: $|L: F| d\left(M_{\sigma}\right)$ is the number of elements of $\Sigma$.

